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## REVIEW OF THE MONTH.

The month of December has been an exceptionally important period in
treasury Treasury finance. On Decemfinance. ber 15 the fourth quarterly installment of income and excess profits tax payments for the year 1919 fell due and was paid. Approximately $\$ 670,000,000$ was realized from this source. On December 15, also, interest payments on the first Liberty loan and on the Victory loan became due in the approximate amount of $\$ 140,000,000$. Because of the unusually large requirements of the Treasury, growing out of its interest payments for the month and of the necessity of meeting maturing certificates of indebtedness, of which about $\$ 700,000,000$ fell due in the course of the month, the Secretary of the Treasury on December 7 announced the sale of an issue of $\$ 500,000,000$ of Treasury certificates of indebtedness. These certificates were issued under the same general terms and conditions which had prevailed in previous issues, the rate of interest being $5 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent for the 6 -month and 6 per cent for the 12 -month maturities. Total subscriptions amounted to $\$ 790,449,500$, of which $\$ 589$,680,500 were allotted. The gross receipts of the department for the month on ordinary account amounted to $\$ 931,989,397$, while similar disbursements amounted to $\$ 404,-$ 575,091 . A surplus on ordinary account was shown in the amount of $\$ 527,414,306$ for the month ending December 31, 1920, and for the first six months of the fiscal year 1921 in the amount of $\$ 459,504,944$.
The outcome of the transactions of the 'Ireasury, in so far as their effect upon banking is concerned, was not materially different from that which has existed at previous quarterly periods when income and excess profits tax installments were received. At such dates
there is a sharp temporary contraction of liabilities on the part of Federal Reserve Banks, while there is a transfer of deposit credits from member banks to Federal Reserve institutions. Owing to the existence of commercial and financial depression, difficulties were encountered by some individuals and business establishments in obtaining the funds with which to settle their last installment of taxes due to the Government. One result of this situation was an increase in borrowing at some of the banks, while in other cases securities were disposed of for the purpose of obtaining funds witt which in make settlement with the Government. This latter factor tended to produce much heavier sales on the stock exchanges of the country for a few days prior to December 15. Incidentally the tendency of the operations connected with or growing out of Government financing during the month was to render the money market rather more stringent than would otherwise have been true.

The developments during the months of November and December have strongly emphasized the im-
Future policy. portance of future Treasury policy in its relation both to the banking and business of the country. It is evident that the Nation has practically reached the close of the period of post-war financing and that from this time forward excess-profits-tax receipts are likely to be much less than heretofore. Revision of the excess-profits tax has been recommended to Congress for some time past. On the other hand, the continued existence of a large volume of outstanding floating indebtedness maintains the Treasury Department as a continuously influential factor in the money market. These and other phases of the situation were fully reviewed by the Secretary of the Treasury in his annual report to Congress, in which he calls attention to the decrease during the past year
in the volume of outstanding certificates which had brought them down to a total of $\$ 2,337$,000,000 on October 31, a decrease of about $\$ 1,125,000,000$ within the preceding twelvemonth period. Further reduction of these outstanding certificates is, however, as the Secretary clearly points out, entirely dependent upon the maintenance of "adequate revenues from taxation" and "rigid economy in expenditures" on the part of the Government. The near approach of the date when the Victory notes will become due and payable tends moreover to emphasize still further the necessity of a far-sighted policy in connection with Treasury finance in order that the volume of obligations to be met may not become unmanageable. As the Secretary states in his report:
"* * * Within a period of about two and a half years ending in May, 1923, there will thus become payable about $7 \frac{1}{2}$ billions of Government war obligations, of which approximately $4 \frac{7}{4}$ billions represent Victory notes. Earlier plans and expectations were disarranged by the unexpectedly large burdens placed upon the Treasury by the transportation act. According to the estimates, there will be paid on account of the railroads during the current fiscal year probably a billion dollars, of which over 300 millions has already been called for and paid. Added to these expenditures are large payments to the railroads on account of the settlement of matters arising under Federal control. It is obvious that these payments limit the progress which the Government had expected to make in the retirement of the floating debt. It is expected, however, that perhaps the heaviest payments on account of the railroads will have been completed by the spring of next year, and then for the remaining months of the fiscal year the Treasury looks forward to a more rapid reduction of the floating debt. By the end of the fiscal year, in the absence of unforeseen contingencies, the floating debt should be brought considerably below two billions, perhaps to as low as a billion and a half. The balance should be retired during the fiscal year 1922, except such an amount as it may be necessary to keep outstanding in order to avoid money strain in connection with the quarterly payments of income and profits taxes and to finance the Government's current requirements in the intervals between the heavy tax receipts. By the end of the fiscal year 1922 the Victory loan should also have been reduced by at least a half billion dollars as a result of sinking-fund operations.
"The remainder of the Victory loan, perhaps $3 \frac{3}{4}$ billions, will then have become substantially floating debt, as it will mature during the following fiscal year. Provision should be made, therefore, under proper Treasury regulations and, if necessary, by partial calls for redemption, for the acceptance of Victory notes during the fiscal year 1923, before maturity, in payment of income and profits taxes. In this way and through further sinking-fund operations it should be possible to reduce the Victory loan so that at maturity it would stand at about three billions of dollars.

These purposes, however, may be accomplished only, as already remarked, in the event that the income of the Govemment is maintained. On this point the Secretary says:
"* * * If rigid economy is practiced and the estimates reduced wherever possible, there is some hope that by the close of the fiscal year 1922 the floating debt may be extinguished, provided, of course, that adequate revenues from taxation are maintained. There is no certain means, however, of predicting the course of business or of incomes and profits, and it is a certainty that tax receipts even under existing law will not keep up to the 1920 level. There are also frequent efforts by extraordinary measures, like the soldiers' bonus, to bring about a radical increase in expenditures. In these circumstances-as was suggested in my letter of May 18, 1920, to the chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives-the only question which should be considered is whether a due regard for the protection of the Treasury does not impose upon the Congress a real duty to seek out additional sources of tax revenue for the next two years. The country at times is being encouraged to expect a reduction of taxes. Revision of taxes should be effected. There can and should be a better distribution of the tax burden. Unwise taxes should be eliminated. But any scheme which would after this fiscal year yield for several years to come less than four billions of dollars would be incompatible with safety and sound finance. * * *"

As the means to attain the end of which he speaks, the Secretary suggests a variety of possible measures, included among them being a revision of the higher schedules or rates of the income tax, the establishment of a new system of flat corporation surtaxes, and the establishment of various excise and luxury taxes, which he describes in considerable detail.

Immediate measures of revision were outlined in the letter of March 17, 1920, which the Secretary addrossed to Hon. J. W. Fordney, chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means, to which reference was made in the Bulietin for April last. The banking significance of the suggestions thus placed before Congress is seen in the necessity of reducing the dependence of the Treasury upon current borrowing from the banks on the one hand and the desirability on the other of effecting an actual reduction in the amount of indebtedness outstanding, as well as of insuring a satisfactory market among investors for such issues of obligations as may be offered to the public in connection with the refunding of part or all of the Victory notes.

While, as pointed out by the Secretary of the Treasury, there has been very decided progress during the past year in reducing the banking. volume of outstanding certificates of indebtedness, equally important progress has been made in eliminating from our banks holdings both of these certificates and of longer term public obligations. The condition of affairs in this regard is well worthy of special note. In the following tables are presented the positions both of Federal Reserve Banks and of the member banks in 100 selected cities which report weekly to the Board as regards their holdings of Government bonds and securities, of paper collateraled thereby, and of other investment paper:

Government securitics, also discounted and purchased paper, held by 12 Federal Reserve Banks, monthly, 1920.
[In millions of dollars.]

| Last Friday in- | $\begin{gathered} \text { Govern- } \\ \text { ment } \\ \text { securities. } \end{gathered}$ | Discounted paper collateraled by Government securities. | Other discounted paper. | Purchased paper. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January. | 304 | 1,458 | 716 | 561 |
| February | 294 | 1,573 | 881 | 531 |
| March.... | 290 | 1,441 | 1,008 | 452 |
| April. | 294 | 1,465 | 1,070 | 407 |
| May.. | 306 | 1,448 | ${ }^{1}$ '71 | 419 |
| June. | 352 | 1,278 | 1.154 | 399 |
| July.. | 325 | 1.241 | 1,251 | 345 |
| August. | 301 | 1,315 | 1,352 | 322 |
| September | 298 | 1,220 | 1,484 | 308 |
| October.. | 296 | 1.204 | 1,597 | 298 |
| November | 321 | 1. 192 | 1.543 | 248 |
| December. | 288 | 1,141 | 1,578 | 256 |

Government securities, also loans and other investments, held by member banks, monthly, 1920.
[In millions of dollars.]

| Last Friday in- | Government bonds and securities, exclusive of circulation bonds. | Paper collateraled by Government securities. | All other loans and investments. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January. | 1,576 | 1,227 | 13,550 |
| February. | 1,478 | 1,168 | 13,756 |
| March | 1,280 | 1,160 | 14,111 |
| April | 1,411 | 1,090 | 14,160 |
| May. | 1,414 | 1,062 | 14,200 |
| June.. | 1,291 | 1,026 | 14,345 |
| July.. | 1,235 | 981 | 14,373 |
| August. | 1,232 | 966 | 14,463 |
| September. | 1,179 | 950 | 14,742 |
| October. | 1,096 | 912 | 14, 741 |
| November. | 1, 122 | 906 | 14,435 |
| December | 1,123 | 909 | 14,435 |

It is in connection with the portfolios of the selected member banks that the change in the banking situation and the improvement in liquidating power is best seen. As shown by the quoted figures there has been a marked decline in the holdings of Government bonds and securities. This decline in the case of the member banks alone amounts to about 29 per cent of the amount held last January. Another, though less marked, decline is noted in the case of paper collateraled by Government securities, which has fallen off from the peak point of last January in a proportion equal to about 27 per cent. "Other loans and investments" have offset by fully 7 per cent the reduction which has taken place in the collateraled paper, while, as often pointed out, this increase represents an advance in the quantity of support extended to active current business. Summed up, therefore, the results of the year's operations have been an important change in the actual position of the portfolios both of reserve and of member banks, so that the place formerly occupied by credits of a noncommercial and investment character is being more and more taken by credits which are the direct outgrowth of business operations.
With progress thus being made toward restoration of a more liquid
Conservation of condition in our banking portfolios, it is essential to note any factors that might result in endangering the advance mads from time to time. This question has come up in an acute form during
the past few weeks in connection with two proposals. These are (1) that there be a restoration of the activity of the War Finance Corporation and (2) that direct assistance be given by the Government or by Federal Reserve Banks to interests which are suffering from reduction of prices of their products. The "revival" of the War Finance Corporation would be expected to result in the granting of export credits by that organization, the purpose being to take off accumulated surpluses of cotton, grain, and other items and to place them at the disposal of foreign countries in which a shortage of raw materials had developed, although there exists there abundant labor power for the working up of the raw materials into finished products. The idea of a grant of direct Government loans (or, what is the same thing, of loans made by the banks upon the strength of Government deposits left with them), or of easier rediscounts furnished by Reserve banks, has for its object the enabling of producers to withhold their goods from the market pending the time when prices of such commodities succeed in reaching a higher level. These plans have resulted in the resolution adopted by the Senate on December 13 and by the House on December 18, the resolution being sent to the President on December 19, vetoed by him on January 3 and passed by the Senate over his veto on the same date. Prior to the action of Congress hearings had been held by the Senate and House Committees on Agriculture in joint session, at which the views of the Secretary of the Treasury and the Governor of the Federal Reserve Board were heard. The reason for looking with disfavor upon the idea of reviving the War Finance Corporation was stated by the Secretary of the Treasury in the following language used in his annual report and repeated in substance to the congressional committees:
"* * * In the circumstances, producers whose products could not be satisfactorily marketed and whose prices were falling demanded that the Treasury intervene. They asked either that it deposit money in certain sections or that the activities of the War Finance Corporation be resumed.
"Neither of these things was feasible. The Treasury had no money to lend and no money
to deposit except for Government purposes. It is not in the banking business and should not be. It is borrowing money periodically to meet current obligations at a cost of about 6 per cent. * * *
"Furthermore, the War Finance Corporation was a war agency and was created to help win the war. It was clearly desirable that war agencies should cease to function as quickly as possible. The only power of the corporation which had any possible bearing on the situation is one which was inserted after the armistice with a particular possible state of facts in view. Fearing that with the cessation of exports for military purposes after the armistice exports might not go forward, Congress empowered the corporation, in order to promote commerce with foreign nations, to make advances under certain conditions. The War Finance Corporation had no money of its own. It or the Treasury would have had to borrow the money, and borrow it at a cost of about 6 per cent. * * *"

Governor Harding, in his discussion of the banking aspect of the plans contemplated in the proposed resolution, expressed the following views:
"The Federal Reserve Board has always advocated the policy of orderly marketing of crops. We realize that it is best for the producer, best for the consumer, best for the banking interests, and best for the railroads. Suppose an entire crop which takes the better part of a year to produce, a staple crop, should be dumped on the market in the course of two or three weeks or a month or two. The result would be that the pressure of the volume of that commodity, no matter how great the demand for it might be, on the market at one time would depress the price for it. It would tax the banks to furnish the money in advance of the consumptive need for the crop, and it would also tax the warehouse capacity, and the railroads would be burdened in furnishing transportation facilities.
"Orderly marketing means some marketing; it means some buying and some selling, a gradual and steady process. I would regard as an ideal condition the steady movement of a staple crop extended over a period of five or six months, thus causing no strain on anybody and giving the producer the benefit of the average price.
"It has been pointed out that there are certain staples for which there is at present no market at all. Well, I do not see anything to do in such cases but to arrange for renewals on the best terms possible. But there are
other staples for which there is some market, although prices are not satisfactory to the producers."

These considerations were influential in leading to the elimination in the House of Representatives of that part of the resolution which directed or suggested a relaxation of banking credit.

There have been a number of variants of the plan suggested in the congressional resolution to which reference has already been made. One of these is seen in the proposal to advance funds to Germany in an amount equal to the holdings of former German property in the hands of the Alien Property Custodian, the proceeds of the credit to be used in the purchase of cotton, grain, and other products. Some similar demands have been made in connection with plans for financing goods in warehouses. All such proposals tend to increase the amount of." frozen credit" in the hands of the banks. They thus not only reduce the amount of goods to which the consumer has access but they also tend to reduce the amount of fluid credit which can be used for the purpose of promoting active business enterprise. As pointed out by Governor Harding in his testimony before the Senate committee, there has been no reduction in the total amount of credit available in the country at large. Aggregate liabilities of Federal Reserve Banks on account of reserve deposits and Federal Reserve notes combined at the close of December were $\$ 5,116,944,000$ as compared with the corresponding figure of $\$ 4,898,767,000$ a year earlier. The question at issue, therefore, is simply how the volume of credit already existing shall be used-whether it shall be used for the financing of new production, the maintenance of institutions which are actually disposing of goods and facilitating their movement from producer to consumer, or whether it shall be used for the purpose of withholding goods from the market or possibly of shipping them to foreigners who are not now in position to settle for them through return shipments. As to this latter point there should be no misunderstanding. It is not only desirable but practically essential that satisfactory arrangements be made for the financing of a legitimate and reasonable export trade, such
action facilitating, as it necessarily will, the restoration of industry abroad and consequently the eventual liquidation of the claims which we now hold upon foreign countries. Such long-term advances, however, should be financed through the agency of actual investment credits provided by the placing of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness in the hands of individuals or institutions who are in position to supply the funds that are needed to "carry" these obligations until their foreign recipients are able to settle them.

In this same connection it is well to note that the request for special relaxaRate of dis- tion of credit in favor of a par-
count. ticular group of producers is only one phase of a demand for the general relaxation of credit. Subsequent to the opening of Congress at the beginning of December, bills affecting the functions or policies of the Federal Reserve System were introduced. Among them was Senate bill No. 4560 , which, if enacted, would have fixed the maximum rate of interest or discount which Federal Reserve Banks could charge at 5 per cent per annum. This bill having been brought to the attention of the Federal Reserve Board with a request for an expression of its opinion, the Governor of the Board on December 16 addressed to Hon. George P. McLean, chairman of the Senate Committee on Banking and Currency, a statement in which he expressed the opinion of the Board, as follows:
"The Federal Reserve Board desires to put itself on record as unalterably opposed to this bill or to any other bill which in any way attempts to limit the power now vestod in it and in the Federal Reserve Banks to regulate the rates of discount which those banks may charge. * * * In conclusion and by way of summary, if this bill should become a law it is the Board's firm belief that the Federal Reserve Banks would find it impossible while functioning in a normal way to protect their gold reserves. that the Federal Reserve System would within a very short time cease to be in any sense a reserve system and would become a mere instrument for the acceleration and perpetuation of expansion, and that a wholesale scramble for the funds of the Federal Reserve Banks would ensue which would leave those banks onlr two alternatives-one, to lend their funds at the rate prescribed until the exhaus-
tion of their reserves had been completed, and the other to fix a definite limit upon their total volume of loans, thus adopting a rigid system of credit rationing. In the one case they would reach a point where they would be unable to make further rediscounts, no matter how insistent or meritorious the demands might be, and in the other they would find it necessary to piace all applications for discount accommodations on a waiting list until repayment of prior loans made new funds available."

The views expressed in the letter from which quotation has been made are amply borne out by the experience of the Bank of England and of other foreign reserve banks in making discount rates for the regulation of money market conditions. In the general practice of central banks, rates have usually been slightly above the market rates-a plan which has been followed by the Bank of England for many years past. A study of the money market in the United States during the past year shows clearly that the present rates of discount prevailing in the United States are not the result of the rates of discount established at the Federal Reserve Banks, but are the outgrowth of the natural interaction of demand for and supply of capital following upon the relaxation of Government control of interest and discount rates.
As just explained, however, the reason for

Check to foreign business. objecting to most of the plans currently set forth for the extension of long-term credit is not found in their purpose but in the method they seek to apply. Indeed, the call for a rational system of foreign trade financing has become more and more evident during the past month or more in consequence of the growth of serious congestion in foreign ports. This congestion has now been carried to so advanced a point that in the case of some countries trading is practically at a standstill. At various South American points, for instance, official reports show that very large quantities of American goods were shipped to buyers in those countries but that these buyers have not been able to make settlement. In other cases the buyers have canceled their orders because of the belief that they could now buy at a lower level of prices in the United States. The result has been at some points to fill
customhouses and warehouses with unsold goods which are "held up" pending a decision whether the buyers can be induced to take and pay for them either at the level of prices originally agreed upon or at some new level. This condition of affairs would not be so acute as it is were it not for the fact that staple products of various foreign countries have ceased to find a satisfactory market in the United States. Such articles as sugar, rubber, hides, and other basic exports to the United States are either not in demand in this country at the present time, owing to the congestion here and the slackening of manufacturing activity, or else the prices they command are so low that their foreign owners are not willing to part with them at existing rates.
The actual buying power of many foreign countries in the United States, already unfortunately reduced by war conditions, has been still further curtailed, the effect being to aggravate unfavorable conditions in exchange. One outcome of this situation, as already noted in previous issues, has been the establishment of moratoria in various countries or the creation of a condition of affairs practically equivalent to a moratorium. Coupled with the embargoes upon the movement of gold and specie which already existed, such action necessarily brings about a depreciation of exchange which is abnormal even from the standpoint of current conditions. A means of obtaining relief from the existing situation which is now much under discussion is that of placing in the United States loans of a sufficient amount to fund a substantial part of the outstanding indebtedness. This may be the most available means of relief. Evidently, however, it would nave been better had there been from the outset in the trade with the countries which require such assistance recognition of the needs of the situation and a corresponding provision for placing the trade upon an investment basis. That has unfortunately been lacking in many instances. A representative of the State Department, for example, who has just inquired into conditions in Cuba, finds that a loan of from $\$ 50,000,000$ to $\$ 100,000,000$ will be needed in order to restore finance and trade equilibrium in that country and to render
it possible to end the moratorium existing there. While in no small measure the difficulty in our foreign trade is due to the sudden changes in prices that have occurred, it is also true that these changes themselves are in some degree the outcome of miscalculation and an improper basis of trading. The lesson of the present situation is emphatically that of making regular arrangements for putting our foreign obligations upon an investment footing.

The developments in our foreign trade during the past two months have
Foreign v. domestic credit. clearly shown a marked in- crease in the unfunded trade balance in favor of the United States which is being carried on the books of banks and of merchants. In the October issue it was estimated that this unfunded balance up to the close of September was probably conservatively to be figured at $\$ 3,000,000,000$. Taking the trade figures now available up to the end of November as a base and assuming a continuance of trade during the month of December at approximately the same rate as for November, it may be fair to anticipate a trade balance for the year of about $\$ 2,800,000,000$ in our favor, an estimate which would add fully $\$ 600,000,000$ to the estimate previously published. If this assumption be correct, our foreign trade since the armistice will show a credit balance still unfunded and amounting to about $\$ 3,600,000,000$ in our favor as against the remainder of the world. The question has been raised by some whether this credit balance may have operated to curtail the credit applicable to domestic business. In other words, it has been argued by some that as this great volume of credit has been extended to foreigners it must have had the effect of reducing the amount of credit which could be extended to our own citizens. From this, inference has been drawn that a continued favorable balance of trade would have a continuously unfavorable effect upon domestic commerce and industry, inasmuch as it would mean the transfer of an increasing proportion of bank credits from domestic business to export business. This view hardly recognizes the fundamental facts in the situation.

The credits which are opened on behalf of European importers or buyers by banks in the

United States are American credits, not foreign credits. They are opened for the purpose of enabling the European importer to obtain a domestic credit in the United States with which he can pay for Amercain products destined for European use. It is a domestic credit, not a foreign credit, that is granted by the American financial institution involved in the transaction. It is a domestic credit foreign in its incidence only in that it is granted to a foreign buyer in order to finance an export from the United States to a foreign country. It is, therefore, only the goods constituting the export which leave the country. The credit opened by the American bank remains behind as a part of the total volume of banking credit outstanding in the United States, and passes from hand to hand as is the case with all ordinary banking credits.

Still more apparent is this the case when the credit which finances the export to Europe is an ordinary credit granted to the American producer or exporter. Neither class of these two transactions can properly be said to reduce the quantity of credit available or in use in the United States. This may be seen by a glance at the situation which has developed during the past few months. The credit which has been extended for the support of our export trade has, as is often remarked, been largely extended to individuals and firms in the form of loans which have enabled them to continue their process of production here at home. They have, in short, continued their employment of labor and their demand for raw materials, and the "credit" granted to them by the banks has thus continued its existence as domestic credit, being transferred by those who received it to others from whom these recipients bought the goods or services. The effect of our foreign trade, therefore, has not been to diminish the amount of credit granted but rather to increase it, and this, in fact, is the more serious criticism to be made in connection with our present export trade. Too much of it has taken the form of "credit," which has continued in existence long after it should have been canceled or paid.

Our foreign credit has been of such a nature as to require for its proper management the
absorption of bonds or long-term obligations of one kind or another which could be used to "fund" the outstanding indebtedness, thereby reducing the quantity of credit granted by the banks and transferring it to the investor in the shape of securities. A packer, for example, who sells abroad $\$ 3,500,000$ worth of meats, for which he receives, say, $£ 1,000,000$ in credit in an English bank, may borrow dollars in New York or Chicago against this balance. With these dollars he buys more animals, and the seller thereof still further enlarges his operations by the use of the proceeds. Thus the "credit" continues its local existence until the claim against the English bank is liquidated by some form of payment. It is because of the lack of such a funding arrangement that the outstanding balance in our favor has grown so great and now constitutes an element of concern in our international trade situation. Those who view our foreign trade as in some sense prejudicial to home conditions of credit or production should constantly bear in mind that the effect of it is not the limitation of bank credit, but its undue expansion, and that the harm resulting from it, if harm there be, is found in the fact that it deprives us of the use of our productive resources, which might otherwise, theoretically at least, be retained and applied at home; while it results under present conditions in unduly enlarging the amount of nonliquid or "frozen" bank credit which remains in existence on the books of our various financial institutions and which consequently exerts an undue effect in the raising of prices for those goods which are in fact retained at home. The situation at present is such that while we export capital we get credit in return. Danger lies in a shortage of capital, not in a curtailment of credit.

Conditions as thus indicated are, of course, not favorable to the reestab.
Foreignex- lishment of normal conditions change rates. in foreign exchange. During the month of December foreign exchange rates have shown renewed tendency to decline and have in general maintained themselves on a low level. This has not been consistently true throughout the month, sporadic improvement
in the values of sterling or other currencies occurring from time to time. Indeed, at about the middle of the month sterling rates on one occasion reached a figure considerably higher than any that had been established for several weeks past, but then, as on other occasions, the existence of the high rate promptly led to efforts on the part of holders of foreign balances to realize, with the result that quotations again became depressed. In the following table are reviewed the principal changes in exchange rates week by week during the past month:

Foreign exchange rates.

|  | Dec. 4. |  | Dec. 11. |  | Dec. 18. |  | Dec. 24. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High. | Low. | High. | Low. | High. | l.ow. | High. | L.ow. |
| England. | 3.4875 | 3.4550 | 3.4600 | 3.4275 | 3.5375 | 3.4463 | 3.5350 | 3.4875 |
| France | . 0607 | . 0597 | . 0596 | . 058 | . 061 ? | . 0560 | . 0594 | . 0584 |
| Italy. | . 0368 | . 0356 | . $0 \times 5$ | . 0349 | . 0348 | . 0339 | . 0343 | . 0338 |
| Spain | . 1316 | . 130* | . 130 | . 1266 | 1315 | . 1292 | . 1298 | . 1271 |
| Argentin | . 3475 | . 3425 | . 355 F | . 3538 | 3563 | . 3413 | . 34625 | . 34125 |
| China: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hong Kong | . 6500 | 6075 | . 60000 | . 5400 | .5600 | . 5450 | . 5625 | . 5475 |
| Shanghai.. | . 8500 | 7950 | . 7900 | . 7050 | . 7400 | . 7200 | . 7250 | . 7200 |
| Japan (Yokohoma) | . 5038 | 5038 | . 5038 | 5038 | . 5038 | . 4950 | 4925 | . 4875 |
| Germany | . 0146 | . 0140 | . 0137 | . 0129 | . 0140 | . 0133 | . 0139 | . 0138 |
| Switzerland. | . 1568 | . 1561 | . 1557 | . 1547 | . 1541 | . 1507 | . 1522 | . 1520 |
| Sweden(Stockholm). | . 1945 | . 1930 | . 1935 | . 1920 | . 1980 | . 1925 | . 1975 | . 1970 |
| Holland. | . 3050 | . 3038 | . 3048 | . 3040 | . 3140 | . 3042 | . 3125 | . 3115 |
| Belgium | . 064 : | . 0631 | . 0624 | . 0619 | . 0634 | . 0612 | . 0625 | . 0619 |
| Canada. | . 882 | . 873 | 870 | . 863 | . 863 | . 846 | . 850 | . 840 |
| Silver in New York. | 71 | . 688 | . 68 | . 593 | . 66 | . 624 | .653 | . 62 |

One factor which during the month has been of special importance in depressing rates of exchange on oriental and silver-using countries in general has been the price of silver bullion. While the so-called "domestic silver" has been maintained in value at or near $\$ 1$ per ounce as a result of the purchasing policy of the United States Treasury, the price of silver bullion in the open market has been quite steadily downward. It has now reached practically a prewar level, as may be seen from the following brief table:

$$
\text { Price of silver bullion. }{ }^{\mathbf{1}}
$$

Dec. 16, 1913. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$0.5758
Dec. 15, 1916............................................. . . . . $76 \frac{3}{4}$
Dec. 14, 1917.............................................. . . . . 858
Dec. 15, 1918.............................................. . . . . $1.01 \frac{5}{8}$
Dec. 15, 1919............................................. 1. $31 \frac{1}{2}$
Dec. 15, 1920. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 66
${ }^{1}$ Prices taken from Journal of Commerce, New York. Quoted as official" 1913-1917, as "tar" 1918-19, as "foreign" in 1920.

This fall in the price of silver has had a correspondingly depressing effect on the oriental exchanges, which have in a measure fluctuated in sympathy with changes in the value of silver bullion. In trade with South America and with some other nations which have largely overbought of American goods, exchange rates have become nominal and the purchase of remittances has been practically impossible. Thus for some weeks past foreign exchange transactions between Australia and the United States and between this country and several of the South American countries has been nearly out of the question, the banks declining to furnish dollars in the United States in return for claims upon banks in those markets. The reason for this situation is found in the existence of a large unfunded bank balance in favor of the United States in all those countries, or, what is the same thing, the existence of very large mercantile indebtedness in those countries due to American merchants and constituting a demand for dollars $i_{n}$ New York which could not be satisfied through ordinary methods of remittance. The shipment of gold or specie being embargoed, this practically rendered the making of payments out of the question and made it necessary for the banks in effect to suspend the extension of further accommodation to such branches of trade.

The situation at the end of the year, both as affecting the United States and
Foreign bank- foreign countries, is necessarily very deeply influenced by the foreign banking position. A review of the situation abroad appears, however, to afford comparatively little support for the hopes of improvement which were strongly expressed at the opening of the year. At that time predictions were made that in some countries, especially in Great Britain, a strong stand against banking inflation would result in material reduction of outstanding currency and liabilities in the course of the 12 -month period, while the attitude adopted by the British Treasury with respect to the currency notes then in circulation appeared to hold out good promise of a sound plan for the handling of public finance in its monetary aspects. The developments
of the year scarcely seem to bear out the ideas then suggested, the 12 months of 1920 being on the whole a period of continued inflation and excess both in currency and banking credit. During the year the reserve of the Bank of England has fallen to a materially lower point, going on December 1 to 7.32 per cent, although later showing some recovery from this extreme of depression, followed by fluctuation. A very similar course of events has been witnessed in France, although the situation is better than in 1919, while in Germany banking conditions have appeared to move steadily from bad to worse. The actual course of events in some of the principal banking countries of Europe is indicated in the following brief tabulation, which contrasts conditions toward the close of 1920 with those which existed about a year earlier:

Comparison of conditions of leading European central banks, November, 1919, and November, 1920.

${ }^{1}$ Held by Bank of England and by the Treasury as note reserve.
2 Bank notes held as reserve in currency notes account excluded.
${ }^{3}$ Includes gold held abroad.
4 Items are aggregates for Banca d'Italia, Banco di Sicilia, and Banco
di Napoli.
${ }^{5}$ Reserve includes gold, silver and foreign holdings, but not holdings Treasury.
6 Government notes not included.
${ }^{7}$ Includes deposits on current account bearing interest, and drafts payable on demand.
${ }^{8}$ Includes Reichsbank notes and Darlehnskassenscheine.
9 Italian figures apply to end of September.
One of the striking features in the finances of most of the European countries is seen in the continued maintenance of the unfunded or floating debt at a very high level. The exist-
ence of this unfunded debt has everywhere had substantially the same effects. It has necessitated a resort on the part of the public treasuries to the banks in order to obtain the support which was needed to carry the obligations pending the time that they could be putinto the hands of investors. The advance of the rates of interest on such unfunded indebtedness, which was determined upon in Great Britain and was paralleled in other countries, including our own, has had the effect of encouraging investors to take up and hold some part at least of these short-term public obligations. The success thus had has been only partial, and its incompleteness has given rise to proposals like those recently under consideration in England, which have contemplated the funding of the floating debt through the issue of long-term bonds to be sold in the United States. In France the tendency of public authorities has been to allow the floating debt to run with successive renewals pending the time that arrangements could definitely be made for collecting the reparations which had been levied upon Germany. The slowness of progress toward such collection has been a discouraging element in the situation, but has not brought about an equalization of the budget in France, financiers preferring to continue the negotiations with Germany in the hope of obtaining budgetary relief, of which they stood in need. A new session of the Brussels financial conference, which convened on December 16 and which was charged with immediate consideration of the German reparations question, may possibly prove to be the final stage in the progress of the reparation problem, and so of making possible some adjustment in connection with the short-term indebtedness now employed as a means of carrying the differences between the product of taxation and the total amount of the budget on all scores. During the course of the year it has been recognized as more and more essential that in some way there should be a disposal of this floating debt and that recourse to the banks for current funds to be used in Government operations should cease. Until, however, the whole question of the German reparations is disposed of and a fairly definite adjustment arrived at, no final or posi-
tive settlement of the budget or exchange issues is likely to be attained. This is clearly the considered opinion of experts who have examined the situation with the most care.

The Geneva conference of the League of Nations, which has been in ses-
Financial conferences. sion during the past month, has practically adopted the plan for international credit which was developed at and recommended by the Brussels conference and which practically follows the suggestions made by M. Ter Meulen. Details of the Ter Meulen plan were published in the Federal Reserve Bulletins for November and December. The Geneva conference has provided for the working out of further details of these credit proposals at the hands of an expert commission shortly to be appointed, while in the meantime a new financial conference was summoned to meet at Brussels and began holding its sessions on December 16. Two phases of the BrusselsGeneva scheme are worthy of special note. One is the so-called "Veredlungs-kredit" or "finishing credit." Under it arrangements are to be made for the shipment of raw products from one country to another in which there is an available supply of lahor, the understanding being that the product of the industrial operation is then to be worked up into consumption goods, a proportion of which shall be returned to the shippers of the raw material in order that it may be sold on the home market and the proceeds applied to reimbursing those who shipped the material in the first place. The other phase of the plan is the provision of an issue of bonds secured by assets of various kinds which are to be deposited by foreign applicants for credit with the treasury of their own country. Such a treasury, after satisfying itself that the offered securities are sound, is then authorized to issue its own bonds to the would-be borrower. The League of Nations undertakes to oversee all such credits by determining what amount can reasonably be issued in any one country, thus assuring the rationing or proper distribution of such credit as is available in the world to those countries which stand most in need of it. Both plans have much to recommend them, and the principle of both has already been employed in a limited
way in the postwar financing of various countries. The question how far they will actually succeed in solving the present international credit problem essentially depends upon the amount of saved or available capital which can be found in the world at large and the rate at which the bonds are issued. The plans, however, ought to be of service in bringing about a better interchange of products and an adjustment of the supply of credit to the demand for it. Much of the success will, of course, be based upon the skill with which these plans are actually developed and put into operation. The extent to which such securities can be widely popularized among investors the world over will be an important element in the working out of the plan. This is already recognized, it being admitted that an extensive bond-selling campaign would be necessary in a country like our own, for example, if the rank and file of investors are to be induced to put their savings in to the securities thus offered to them. Underlying the whole question is, of course, the matter of taxation and budgetary control. Unless the countries which issue such bonds are themselves in a solvent condition, their budgets either efficiently balanced or in prospect of being so, the advantage of having government bonds issued on a basis of deposited securities in the way suggested would be comparatively limited. Nearly as good results could probably be obtained in that case through some other method of hypothecating the underlying assets put up by the private borrowers. Failure to bring about an agreement designed to reduce armaments the world over is necessarily a somewhat serious handicap to the eventual success of this credit proposal. Should such a reduction of armaments and corresponding saving of funds be brought about, the proceeds to be applied to reduction of taxation, or to industrial use, or to the lessening of public debt, the effect upon the quotation of the proposed bonds would undoubtedly be a very marked one.

During the month ending December 10 the net inward movement of gold
Gold and silver movements. was $\$ 31,051,000$, as compared with a net inward movement of $\$ 62,519,000$ for the month ending November 10. Net imports of gold since August 1,

1914, were $\$ 847,380,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit:


England furnished $\$ 40,550,000$, or over 80 per cent, and France $\$ 4,672,000$ of the $\$ 50$,481,000 of gold imported during the monthly period ending December 10; Colombia, Canada, the Netherlands, Mexico, and the Dutch West Indies furnishing most of the remainder. Of the gold exports, amounting to $\$ 19,430,000$, over 92 per cent or $\$ 18,006,000$, was consigned to Japan, and the remainder principally to Canada, Hongkong, and Mexico. Since the removal of the gold embargo on June 9, 1919, total gold exports have amounted to approximately $\$ 670,427,000$. Of this total, $\$ 192,-$ 414,000 was consigned to Japan, $\$ 146,555,000$ to Argentina, $\$ 69,715,000$ to Hongkong, $\$ 67$,396,000 to China, $\$ 40,812,000$ to British India, $\$ 29,778,000$ to Spain, and the remainder principally to Mexico, Uruguay, the Dutch East Indies, the Straits Settlements, Canada, and Venezuela.

During the same monthly period the net outward movement of silver was $\$ 136,000$, as compared with a net outward movement of $\$ 282,000$ for the month ending November 10. Net exports of silver since August 1, 1914, were $\$ 454,707,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit:


Mexico furnished $\$ 3,399,000$, or over threefifths, of the $\$ 5,568,000$ of silver imported during the monthly period ending December 10, most of the remainder coming from Peru, Chile, Honduras, the Dutch East Indies, and Canada. Of the silver exports, amounting to $\$ 5,704,000$, over one-half, or $\$ 2,930,000$, was consigned to Hongkong, $\$ 1,315,000$ to China, and the remainder principally to Canada, British India, Japan, and Mexico.

Principal changes in the condition of report-
The banking situation. ing member banks between November 19 and December 10 included the liquidation of about 92 millions of tax certificates in anticipation of their redemption on December 15, an increase of about 20 millions in loans supported by corporate securities and a reduction of nearly 150 millions in other loans and investments, composed mainly of commercial loans and discounts. For the first three weeks the reporting banks' aggregate loans and investments show a reduction of 212 millions, while their borrowings from the Federal Reserve Banks went up nearly 25 millions. In connection with December 15 tax payments and Government operations on the same date, including the redemption of about 700 millions of tax certificates, the payment of semiannual interest on the first Liberty bonds and on Victory notes, also the issuance of about 590 millions of 1921 tax certificates, there is seen a considerable increase of all classes of loans, also of the banks' investments in Treasury certificates. During the week ending December 17 the reporting member banks increased their certificate holdings by nearly 120 millions and their loans and other investments by 96.5 millions, while at the close of the subsequent week they report a decrease of 8.9 millions since November 19 in United States securities, increases of 7.3 millions in their loans secured by Government war obligations, and of 59.6 millions in their loans supported by corporate securities, as against a decline of 94.7 millions in their other loans and investments, or a total increase in loans and investments for the five weeks of 9.7 millions. On the other hand, redemption of Treasury certificates by the Government enabled the banks to reduce their bor-
rowings from the Federal Reserve Banks during the week ending December 17 by about 112 millions. These borrowings were, however, resumed on a large scale during the following week, reaching a total of $2,176.8$ millions, or slightly over 13 per cent of the banks' total loans and investments on December 24, compared with $2,119.2$ millions on November 19, or 12.6 per cent of the banks' total loans and investments on that date.

During the period between November 26 and December 30 the Federal Reserve Banks' holdings of discounted bills fluctuated between $2,776.8$ millions on December 3 and 2,596.7 millions on December 17, the Friday following the redemption of nearly 700 millions of tax certificates. During the following week a large volume of tax checks passed through the clearing houses, besides the Government made its first call for funds deposited the week before, and the result is seen in larger scale borrowings by member banks and an increase by 135 millions in the Federal Reserve Bank holdings of discounted bills. Holdings of acceptances declined from 247.7 millions on November 26 to 234.6 millions on December 17 (a low record for the year), but show a gain to 255.7 millions on Thursday, December 30. Treasury certificates on hand show considerable fluctuations in keeping with the amounts of special certificates held by the banks to cover temporary advances to the Government. The total of such special certificates reached the high figure of 93 millions on December 17, following the large disbursements of the Government in interest payments and in redemption of the tax certificates, but declined to 18 millions on the following Thursday. No certificates of this class were held by the Reserve Banks on Thursday, December 30.

A further decrease is shown in the volume of interbank rediscounting, the total of paper held under discount for other Reserve Banks by the Boston, Philadelphia, and Cleveland banks showing an almost continuous reduction from 154.1 to 115.3 millions. The list of rediscounting institutions included at the close of the period under review the Federal Reserve Banks of Richmond, Atlanta, Minneapolis,

Kansas City, and Dallas, both the New York and St. Louis banks having redeemed their outstanding rediscounted paper. The Atlanta and Minneapolis banks show smaller amounts outstanding than five weeks before.

Net deposits fluctuated between $1,667.3$ millions on December 3 and $1,542.6$ millions on December 17. On December 30 the total stood at $1,604.2$ millions, or 19.4 millions below the corresponding November 26 total, variations in this item reflecting to some extent the varying amounts of the "float" carried by the Reserve Banks, and to a lesser extent changes in reserve balances carried by the member banks. Federal Reserve note circulation, after some reduction during the first two weeks, shows the usual preholiday expansion, the increase for the two weeks preceding Christmas being 93.1 millions, compared with over 150 millions, for the corresponding two weeks in 1919. During the last week of the year the return flow of Federal Reserve notes to the Federal Reserve Banks set in, and Federal Reserve note circulation shows a decline of over 60 millions for the week. Gold with foreign agencies shows a reduction of nearly 67 millions, this amount representing the balance
of the ear-marked gold held until recently by the Bank of England for account of the Federal Reserve Banks, which having been received in this country, is now included with the banks' other reserve holdings of gold. The account "Gold with foreign agencies" now stands at 3.3 millions, the amount of ear-marked gold held for the account of the Federal Reserve Banks by the Bank of France. Total gold reserves, as the result of further net gold imports and deposits by the Treasury, on December 30 stood at $2,059.3$ millions, an increase of 35.4 millions since November 26, while total cash reserves show an even larger gain for the period from 2,195.3 to $2,249.2$ millions.
The banks' reserve ratio fluctuated between 44.1 per cent on December 3 and 45.5 per cent on December 17, the highest ratio attained during the year. On the following Thursday, mainly because of the large increase in the banks' note liabilities, the ratio shows a decline to 45.1 per cent. Reduction in Federal Reserve note circulation, together with an increase in cash reserves during the following week, caused a rise of the ratio to 45.4 per cent at the close of the year.

## BUSINESS, INDUSTRY, AND FINANCE, DECEMBER, 1920.

Further progress in business readjustment has been the characteristic feature of commercial and industrial development during the month of December. Such readjustment has been accompanied by a further decline in prices, which has brought the level shown by the Board's index number at the close of November to 190 per cent of the level of 1913 (a decline for the month of about $8 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent). An increase of unemployment growing out of reductions of business activity, which have cut operations to figures varying from 40 per cent to 75 per cent of normal, has affected labor unfavorably. Wage cuts running as high as 20 per cent to 25 per cent in some lines have accompanied the shrinkage of demand, and there has been a falling off of export trade. On the other hand, banking power has been well maintained, normal credit accommodation has been extended to legitimate business, the reserve ratio has grown stronger, and interreserve bank borrowing has been in part liquidated. At the member banks liquidation of loans is beginning and better conditions are foreshadowed.

From district No. 1 (Boston) it is reported that the liquidation in commodities, while drastic, has been on the whole orderly, regular demands for credit have been granted, and the banking situation is stronger.

From district No. 2 (New York) it is reported that money rates have grown easier, wholesale price declines have been the sharpest of the present movement, manufacturing is in reduced volume, and export trade is declining. Retail trade has been well maintained. There has been an adequate supply of banking accommodation.

From district No. 3 (Philadelphia) it is reported that there has been but little change of conditions from the preceding month, manufacturing and wholesaling being quiet, while retail trade is larger than last year.

From district No. 4 (Cleveland) it is reported that money conditions are encouraging, prices are still receding, manufacturing continues to be restricted in volume, railroad efficiency is large, while curtailment of output and lowering of wages are in evidence throughout the iron and steel trade.

From district No. 5 (Richmond) it is reported that business in wholesale and manufacturing lines is inactive, building operations at a minimum, and unemployment prevalent, but signs of improvement are noted.

From district No. 6 (Atlanta) it is reported that most of the cotton crop has been harvested but is being largely held, while farmers gen-
erally feel the effect of low prices. Other markets are quiet. Coal production has increased. Lower prices of pig iron have not produced any great amount of business.
From district No. 7 (Chicago) it is reported that liquidation of bank loans is progressing favorably. Farmers are suffering from low prices, but effort is being made to supply the credit they require. Retail trade has held up well, all things considered.
From district No. 8 (St. Louis) apathy in buying is reported. Price cuts have gone further and there is increase of unemployment. Transportation has largely improved and banking conditions are even better than heretofore.

From district No. 9 (Minneapolis) it is reported that grain receipts have somewhat fallen off, while stocks of grain have increased. Flour production has improved. Prices in general have declined. Bank conditions are stronger. Retail trade has held its own.

From district No. 10 (Kansas City) it is reported that there is slowing down of business in nearly all lines, but there is a general belief that conditions will soon be righted and a foundation is being laid for decidedly better business. Only slight evidences of liquidation are to be noted.
From district No. 11 (Dallas) it is reported that there will be a "large carry over" both of debts and commodities into 1921. Movement of goods shows decrease pretty generally, but retail trade has held up well.

From district No. 12 (San Francisco) it is reported that retail trade is good, wholesaling less active, crops moving steadily to market, livestock and allied interests in favorable position, and financial prospects favorable.

Agriculture.-The weather conditions which have prevailed generally throughout the agricultural sections have been favorable for farm operations. The husking of corn has been carried forward rapidly and is practically finished in most districts. In district No. 4 (Cleveland), however, husking has been slow and the warm, moist weather has injured the quality of corn to some extent. The weather conditions have also aided materially in fall plowing and the preparation of the ground for next season's crop. Winter wheat has made a good start and is in a good condition to go through the winter. In some sections there has been some damage from the Hessian fly, but the colder weather will tend to eliminate this evil.

An indication of the extent to which cotton picking has progressed is afforded by the num-
ber of bales ginned prior to December 1, which was $10,144,921$ in 1920 , as against $8,844,368$ in 1919. In some sections rains have delayed picking to some extent, while in other sections farmers are reported to be abandoning the cotton because of the decline in price and the relative scarcity of pickers, together with the high wages demanded. In southern Oklahoma cotton picking continues, although reports are to the effect that a considerable portion of the crop will be pastured after the first picking. There has been eonsiderable deterioration in the quality of the lint, due to the wet weather, and the bulk of the ginning is reported to be grading below middling.
Movement of cotton to market has been relatively slow, receipts showing a sharp and unseasonable falling off. In some sections it is reported that sellers have complained that they were unable to sell their cotton at any price unless it was of superior grade. However, cotton farmers in many sections show a tendency to hold as much as possible of their crop off the market, in the hope of an advance in price. Many new cotton storage warehouses have been constructed. The low prices realized for cotton have resulted in considerable attention being given to the reduction of next year's acreage, some planters proposing a decrease of one-third and crop rotation has been urged.

Live stock.-Live stock on farms and ranges in district No. 10 (Kansas City) is "generally healthy and in good condition." The tendency is fast disappearing to abandon the business and sacrifice the stock. As feed is cheap and plentiful, there is more of an incentive to put flesh on the animals in spite of the low prices prevailing. Southwestern ranges and live stock, with few exceptions, "are entering the winter in the best condition they have enjoyed for many years." Receipts of cattle at 15 western markets increased from 1,628,564 head during October to $1,781,261$ head during November, the respective index numbers being 162 and 177, as compared with $2,038,786$ head, corresponding to an index number of 202 during November, 1919. Receipts of hogs increased greatly between October and November, being 2,624,185 head during November, as compared with $1,836,748$ head during October and 2,659,251 head during October, 1919, the respective index numbers being 119,84 , and 121. On the other hand, receipts of sheep were less, being $1,542,477$ head during November, corresponding to an index number of 113, as compared with $1,865,330$ head during October, corresponding to an index number of 136 , and 1,742,668 head during November, 1919, corresponding to an index number of 128 . Novem-
ber cattle receipts at Fort Worth, however, were the smallest on record for that month since 1912, and "were generally of an undesirable quality." With declines in the prices of hogs in December receipts for the first half of the month in the principal markets in district No. 10 (Kansas City) again fell below those of a year ago. The St. Paul cattle market was depressed to the lowest levels of this year, in part as a result of large receipts (especially of common and poor grade, which are always hard to market at this time of the year), slackened country demand for stockers and feeders, inadequate stock cars for shipping, and low prices at eastern markets. Heavy marketings and declines in grains and provisions contributed to a decline in hog prices, closing prices for the highest grades on November 30 being $\$ 9$ to $\$ 9.65$, as compared with $\$ 12$ to $\$ 12.25$ on October 30.

Other crops.-The tobacco situation has shown but very little change during the past month. The opening of the western dark markets in Kentucky was disappointing to the farmers because of the prevailing low prices, together with the decreased demand. In district No. 5 (Richmond) "the farmers have shown a tendency to sell their off-color and poorer grades, apparently holding their best tobacco until after the holidays in the hope of better prices." Export demand for leaf tobacco is very small, while manufacturers' stocks are reported large and their purchases have been kept at a minimum. The slackening of demand for manufactured goods continues, with the result that some factories are closed while others are running only on part time. The decreased demand is most noticeable in the case of cigars, and the retailers' orders are falling off in consequence.

Fruits.--Shipments of California fruit have been very satisfactory, and transportation facilities have been excellent throughout the shipping season. While the boxed apple crop is placed at $27,633,000$ boxes, as compared with $35,415,000$ boxes in 1919, approximately two-thirds of the crop is out of the producing districts and the storage holdover much smaller than in 1919. Shipments of oranges are slightly below shipments during the same period last year, but shipments of lemons have been the largest ever recorded in California.
Grain and flour.-The movement of grain to market has varied somewhat at different centers. In district No. 10 (Kansas City), November wheat receipts at the principal markets were about 13 per cent larger than the receipts for the month of October, but were 11.6 per cent below the receipts of November, 1919. On the other hand, in district No. 9
(Minneapolis) receipts at Minneapolis and Duluth were 15.5 per cent below October receipts, but were 47.1 per cent above receipts for November, 1919. It is reported that about 50 per cent of this year's crop is still on the farm as against about 35 per cent at the same time last year. In district No. 10 "notwithstanding the fact that a bumper crop of corn was raised in the big corn-producing States as compared with the small crop of last year, the primary receipts of corn at the markets of this district were about 42 per cent below the receipts in the same period of $1919 . "$ In Minneapolis and Duluth corn receipts were well above November, 1919, and more than double receipts for October, 1920. Receipts of oats, barley, rye, and flax decreased as compared with October, but in each case were above receipts for November, 1919. The prices of grains throughout the various markets have shown a decline with only a few minor exceptions. The closing price of cash wheat No. 1 dark northern at Minneapolis on November 30 was $\$ 1.50 \frac{7}{8}$ to $\$ 1.54 \frac{7}{8}$, while the closing price on October 30 was $\$ 2.13 \frac{1}{4}$ to $\$ 2.17 \frac{1}{4}$. In district No. 10 (Kansas City) it is reported that "wheat prices rallied the first week in December after a continued decline in November, but at the end of the second week a weakness was developed which brought wheat down almost to the low level of the last week in November."
The flour market continues dull. "Millers report conditions in their business the most unsatisfactory experienced in recent years," states the report from district No. 8 ( St . Louis). Hard-wheat patents were quoted in Kansas City on December 14 at $\$ 8.50$ to $\$ 9$, as compared with $\$ 9.35$ to $\$ 9.50$ on November 16. Aside from the absence of demand, particular complaint is made of the instability of wheat futures and the resulting disorganization of the flour market. There has been a further slowing down of milling operations in this district. The total output of a selected group of mills in November was $1,285,155$ barrels, as compared with $1,970,977$ in November, 1919, and operations during the six weeks ending December 11 have been at 53.3 per cent of capacity, as compared with 87.8 per cent for the same period last year. Production in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) during the four weeks ending November 27 was $2,460,495$ barrels, an increase of 8 per cent over the figure of $2,279,990$ barrels for the four weeks ending October 30 , but was only 82 per cent of output a year ago, which was $3,012,465$ barrels, the reporting mills representing 75 per cent of the total production in the district. Present operations are at 52 per cent of capacity, as compared with 69 per cent a year ago. Mill
operations in district No. 8 (St. Louis) are only 45-50 per cent of capacity. St. Louis mills have effected a cut in the wages of all operatives of 50 cents a day.

Cotton textiles.-Although a slight increase in the buying of cotton by New England mills has occurred during the past month, according to the report from district No. 1 (Boston), purchases have been much below normal, while mills in the Carolinas are said "to have been out of the market for some time" (District No. 5, Richmond). In view of the limited demand and the size of the crop, which, according to final estimates of the Department of Agriculture will amount to $12,987,000$ bales, it is not surprising that price declines have been continuously recorded. From November 20 to December 20 there was a decline of slightly more than 10 per cent in the price of spot cotton in New York, while the fall from the maximum price recorded in July amounted to 63.75 per cent. For 22 towns in the Carolinas the Bureau of Markets quoted prices averaging only 14.41 on December 11, and district No. 5 (Richmond) reports that the market was limited even at this low level.

The New England mills are estimated to have been operating at even lower capacity during the middle of December than they were during the previous month, when 30 per cent to 40 per cent of operating capacity represented the average for district No. 1 (Boston). In district No. 5 (Richmond) it is stated that in Gaston County, N. C., where the fine-yarn industry of the district is largely concentrated, the mills have closed down entirely.

The report on cotton consumption issued by the Census Bureau in November reflects the cessation of manufacturing activity, the amount consumed, 332,057 bales, falling below that reported for August, 1914, by 51,943 bales. The cotton consumption of the New England mills for the month ending November 30 was only 100,442 bales, as compared with 168,167 bales reported for August. On the other hand, the reduction in cotton stocks of these mills from 610,311 bales in August to 463,369 bales in October resulted in the increased buying previously referred to and caused a slight increase in stocks held to be registered in November, when 485,651 bales on hand were reported.

Woolen textiles.-District No. 1 (Boston) says that there is "a more distinct note of optimism in the Boston wool trade than for some time, it being estimated that sales for the second week in December amounted to $6,000,000$ pounds, about equally divided between domestic and foreign." British Government holdings, however, offered at auction the second week in December resulted in sales of only 1,500 bales out of a total offering of

7,400 bales. While there is as yet no evidence of reaction from the depression prevailing in the market for woolen and worsted textiles, district No. 3 (Philadelphia) states that two or three large mills report increased demand for dress goods, more particularly women's wear. On the other hand, some mills in the district are further reducing the scale of operations or considering a complete suspension of operations. Yarn mills in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) report less business than during the preceding month, even with yarn prices 50 per cent to 60 per cent below the peak points. "Manufacturers of hosiery, underwear, sweaters, etc." it is said, "have sufficient yarns to enable those who are operating at all to maintain their curtailed schedules." The American Woolen Co.'s auction sale of 9,000 pieces of overcoating (Dec. 14-16) brought prices of about 25 per cent to 40 per cent of those prevailing at the opening level for 1920.

Hosiery.-Practically no business is being transacted in hosiery lines in district No. 3 (Philadelphia), as a result of the uncertainties of price fluctuations which have been aggravated by forced sales of stocks. As a result, it is estimated that the operating capacity of the mills is less than 20 per cent of normal. Returns received for November from 34 reporting firms in the hosiery industry, which sell to the wholesale trade, show a reduction in the value of the product manufactured during the month (selling price) of 74 per cent as compared with the same month in 1919 and 18.8 per cent as compared with the previous month. The value of finished products on hand at the end of the month (selling price) was 95.2 per cent in excess of stocks held a year ago. There was, however, a reduction of 5.9 per cent as compared with the previous month. Unfilled orders on hand at the end of the month (selling price) had dropped 87.5 per cent as compared with November, 1919, and 21.1 per cent as compared with the preceding month. Six reporting firms which sell to the retail trade show a decrease in the value of the product manufactured of 64.3 per cent as compared with November, 1919 , and 24.8 per cent as compared with the previous month. Unfilled orders on hand at the end of the month (selling price) were 6.2 per cent less than during the previous month, which had registered a great decrease, amounting to 71.8 per cent, as compared with September.

Underwear.-Similar conditions prevail in the underwear industry in district No. 3 (Philadelphia), many mills being closed, while others are operating at from 20 per cent to 40 per cent of capacity. The mild weather has also interfered with the placing of additional orders
for heavy-woight goods, and stocks already manufactured have been sold at a sacrifice. Twenty-one concerns manufacturing underwear, located in district No. 3 (Philadelphia), record a decrease in the value of the product manufactured of 63.9 per cent during November, 1920, as compared with November, 1919, and 39 per cent as compared with the preceding month. Finished products on hand at the end of the month were 322.1 per cent in excess of amounts held at the end of November, 1919, and showed only a negligible decrease of 1.6 per cent as compared with the previous month of October. Orders booked during the month were 25.7 per cent less than during the corresponding month last year and 16.5 per cent less than in October. Unfilled orders at the end of the month were 95.8 per cent below the amounts outstanding at the end of November, 1919.
Thirty-five firms belonging to the National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics, which represent 72 per cent of the white goods, 62 per cent of the dyed goods, and 32 per cent of the printed goods, report the total number of yards finished during the month of November, 1920, as $40,591,156$ as compared with $52,833,213$ yards finished during the preceding month of October. The percentage of capacity operated during the month averaged 25 per cent for all reporting firms, as against 35 per cent during the preceding month. The firms located in districts Nos. 1 and 2 had an operating percentage slightly below the average, the figures being 21 per cent in each case, whereas district No. 3 reported operating capacity of 38 per cent. The average number of days' work ahead at the end of November was 4.3 as compared with 4.4 for the preceding month.

There has been very little change in the raw silk market during the past month, as there has been no general increase of manufacturing activity to create a buying demand. In Paterson looms are working at 6.7 per cent of their maximum capacity in terms of loom hours as compared with 8.6 per cent last month. Elsewhere in New Jersey the depression is not so extreme, however. Indeed, district No. 3 (Philadelphia) reports a renewal of interest on the part of buyers for dress and waist houses, with the result that some mills which were shut down have resumed operations, two of the largest mills at full time. Improvement, however, is by no means general, many firms in the district showing no signs of increased activity.

Shoes and leather-LLeather prices have suffered further declines, heavy native steer (packer) hides selling for 16 cents"and 19 cents
per pound on December 15, as compared with 53 cents in August, 1919. Calfskins selling as high as $\$ 1$ in August, 1919, were quoted at 15 cents the middle of the present month. As a matter of fact, quotations are largely nominal in the absence of any general buying demand.

Reports received from 24 representative boot and shoe manufacturers of New England about the middle of November indicated that conditions were at least no worse in that industry than during the preceding month, six concerns reporting a decreased production, being offset by six which had increased their output, while the other 12 reported conditions to be unchanged. The majority of these concerns reported shipments to be either equal to or in excess of those of the preceding month.

Iron and steel.--Further decreases in the prices of iron and steel products have occurred, and in practically all items the wide "spread" which separated independent price levels from those of the leading interest has disappeared. It is reported from district No. 3 (Philadelphia) that "demand in most lines, more prominent, however, in steel plates and other finished products, is almost entirely absent," and " "cancellations have become more prevalent." The unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation decreased from $9,836,852$ tons at the close of October to $9,021,481$ tons at the close of November, the respective index numbers being 187 and 171 . The market situation is reflected in the continued decrease in productive activity. Pig-iron production during November was $2,934,908$ tons, corresponding to an index number of 127 , as compared with 3,292,597 tons during October, corresponding to an index number of 142. Steel-ingot production likewise decreased, from $3,015,982$ tons during October to $2,638,670$ tons during November, the respective index numbers being 125 and 109. Structural steel bookings during November were 49,250 tons, or $27 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent of capacity, as against 45,600 tons, or $25 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent of capacity during October. Curtailment of operations in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) has progressed more rapidly during the current month than at any time previous, and almost without exception reports indicate that present operations are only at 40 per cent of capacity, while further decrease is planned. A number of independent steel works in district No. 4 are shut down completely, as are many merchant blast furnaces which have been accumulating stocks of pig iron, while many independent steel plants are operating at not over 50 per cent to 60 per cent. A decided contrast, however, exists between the situation of the independents and the leading interest. The latter entered
large orders in past months at the minimum prices and has been enabled to keep up operations on these orders, various subsidiaries now operating at about 90 per cent of capacity. Accompanying the readjustment process in the industry have been wage reductions announced by various independent producers.

Coal.-Production of bituminous coal has continued upon a high level. The November figure was $51,012,000$ tons, corresponding to an index number of 138 , as compared with $50,744,000$ tons during October, and $18,688,000$ tons during November, 1919, the respective index numbers being 137 and 50 . The output for the week ending December 4 set a new high record for the year, but was bettered during the following week. This was in spite of the fact that on November 29 the priority in the use of open-top cars was withdrawn completely. Demand is not as insistent, with the lessened activity in various industries, and there has been a marked falling off in the export trade, in particular during the past two months. The fuel situation thus is easier in certain sections, so that, for example, in district No. 8 (St. Louis), "daily improvement is reported." Coke has experienced a further decline in price.

Production of anthracite coal during November was $7,519,000$ tons, as compared with $8,188,000$ tons in October and $7,870,000$ tons in November, 1919, the respective index numbers being 102,111 , and 106 . There has been virtually no loss of time from transportation difficulties. Efforts of the fair price committee are reported from district No. 3 (Philadelphia) to be holding down mine prices to a fair margin of profit above cost of production and to have been limiting the rehandling of coal by wholesalers. The market is becoming more steady and complaints of shortages from eastern cities are becoming less numerous.
Petroleum.-November crude petroleum production in district No. 11 (Dallas) was $13,347,352$ barrels, a new record, as compared with $12,277,297$ barrels during October. Production in district No. 10 (Kansas City), however, is commencing to show a slight decline from the peak in October. It is reported that production is in excess of the amount which can be handled readily by the pipe lines, and refiners are temporarily storing some oil. Drilling activities in Texas have been steadily declining since July, and this is partly attributable to the overtaxed condition of pipe lines and storage in many of the most active fields. Operators in some of the fields in district No. 10 (Kansas City) are curtailing developments to some extent, but extensive enlargements of refineries are in progress. The petroleum market in that district is experienc-
ing the usual seasonal dullness, "but there has been no general or sweeping decline in either crude petroleum or in its refined products." A reduction in the price of crude oil of 50 cents per barrel was announced in November in the Texas coastal fields, due to the heavily increased production. Subsequently reductions of 15 to 25 cents per barrel were reported in the fuel oil markets of north Texas.
Nonferrous metals.--Further decrease of activity was noticed in the zinc industry in district No. 10 (Kansas City) during November. Shipments of zinc ore from the Missouri-KansasOklahoma southwestern district during November were 37,470 tons, as compared with 40,479 tons during the same month last year. Shipments of lead ore, however, were somewhat greater than in November, 1919, being 6,368 tons, as against 6,210 tons. The average price of zinc ore was the lowest average price for any month of the year, the price being $\$ 40$ per ton at the opening of the month and $\$ 32.95$ at the close. Lead prices dropped $\$ 10$ per ton. Additional properties shut down in the district, many for three to four months, and mines continuing to operate were forced to cut wages, beginning December 1 , from 10 to 25 per cent, reductions to remain in effect as long as present zinc prices prevail. Production of refined copper in district No. 9 (Minneapolis), as reported by companies producing 75 per cent of the total output of the district, was $19,926,725$ tons during November, as compared with $20,194,498$ tons during October and $25,156,563$ tons during November, 1919. The decrease is ascribed to the lack of export demand and prevailing low prices. The number of miners employed decreased 12 per cent from October to November, or from 27,035 to 23,805 , as compared with 29,374 for November, 1919. Wages were reduced in Michigan either in November or on December 1, although not in Montana. Trade sources indicate some recovery both in price and in demand in the nonferrous metal markets as a whole at the opening of December, but this was soon followed by a reaction, and lower price levels were reached. The price of lead was reduced by the leading interest about December 3 to 5 cents, which was the outside market level, and about December 21 to 4.75 cents, but demand has continued light in this metal, as well as in copper, zinc, and tin.

Employment and wages.-An increasing percentage of unemployment is noted throughout the country, but the situation is most serious in the eastern districts, especially in the manufacturing centers, where mills and factories are either closed or operating on part-time schedules. Wage reductions have also become more general during the past month, especially
in the textile industries, a large percentage of the New England mills having announced wage cuts of about $22 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, effective December 20. District No. 1 (Boston) says: "The closing of the worsted mills in Lawrence the last week in November affected approximately $1,500 \mathrm{em}$ ployees, while some 16,000 have been affected by wage reductions in the cotton mills; wage reductions in Lowell, Mass., in the cotton mills involve 25,000 employees and in New Bedford, Mass., about 40,000 ; in Manchester, N. H., upward of 16,000 are affected by reduced wage schedules announced to take effect on January 3, 1921." The Massachusetts Department of Labor and Industries estimates that 25 per cent of the membership of reporting unions were unemployed the middle of December, while in many instances working hours have been curtailed.
In district No. 2 (New York) it is stated that the number of workers is about 20 per cent below the maximum reached in the spring. The principal decline during December occurred in the metal and kindred industries in upper New York State, but in this district also the most serious conditions prevail in the textile and clothing trades, it being estimated that only 5,000 of the 65,000 normally employed in men's clothing factories in New York City are now at work. In factories engaged in the manufacture of women's clothing, about 40 per cent of the 75,000 workers ordinarily employed are still retained. In Paterson employment in the silk mills is about 10 per cent of normal. The New York report further says: "Those idle in Rochester include 9,200 clothing factory workers and 5,000 shoe-factory workers, and the remainder are made up from those formerly employed in the building and metal trades and miscellaneous factory enterprises. In Syracuse 100 factories formerly employing 40,000 persons have laid off 18,725. Auburn, Fulton, and Oneida, providing employment for 15,000 in the early spring, now employ 10,000 . The depression in the knit-goods trade has been reflected in Utica and Cohoes, where only about 10 per cent of the maximum number of such workers are now employed. In Troy eight of the nine large collar and shirt factories were closed during December, making idle 10,000 workers, mostly women and girls. In Rome, Ilion, Little Falls, and Herkimer, where about 23,500 persons were employed last spring, 8,500 are now idle. These figures and the more general data for the State as a whole refer in the main to persons working in industrial establishments who constitute in this State about one-third of the wage-earning population. Other types of workers have been much less, affected by the slackening in business activity."

In the southeastern districts also considerable unemployment exists, although conditions are not so acute. The textile mills in these sections have likewise reduced wages anywhere from 20 per cent to 25 per cent. District No. 8 (St. Louis) says that although unemployment is much less marked than elsewhere in the country "it has noticeably increased during the past 30 days," the lumber, furniture, clothing, and metal industries being most affected. So far wage reductions in this district have been insignificant.

In district No. 10 (Kansas City) reports indicate "very general unemployment, due in part to the usual winter slowing down but also resulting from lack of demand for local products. The curtailment of operation has been fairly general, occurring in the mining and petroleum industries, in railroading, and to some extent in mercantile establishments." An exception to this situation is noted, however, in the coal mines of Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Arkansas, where the percentage of unemployment is less than during preceding months. Reports from 144 of the larger industrial establishments in five leading towns in Oklahoma, which employ a total of 17,000 persons, show a reduction of forces amounting on the average to about 7 per cent. Oil refineries in some instances have reduced the number of employees as much as 40 per cent. There have been some reductions in the forces of packing houses, and flour mills in certain sections of the State have reduced their working forces nearly 50 per cent. It is said that there is more unemployment in Colorado than at any time for the past three years, this being especially true for the three largest cities. The building trades, however, are said to be working steadily and the situation in the coal and metalliferous mines is good. In Kansas, although there is some increase in unemployment among unskilled workers, the situation on the whole is fairly good. In Wyoming, also, there has been some unemployment, but it has not been exceptionally pronounced. In district No. 12 (San Francisco) unemployment is increasing, the unemployed in the State of Washington being estimated at 20,000 , while in Portland 10,000 laborers are stated to be without work.

Wholesale trade.-During October the decline in the volume of net sales of reporting wholesale firms had become quite general not only as compared with the preceding month, but with the same month a year ago. The downward tendency continued during November has become more pronounced in lines not previously so seriously affected. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) 26 reporting hardware firms show decreases of 10.1 per cent in November as
compared with the preceding month, althnugh sales are still 10.2 per cent in excess of those for November, 1919. The sales of 51 wholesale grocery houses in the district fell 15.8 per cent as compared with a year ago, although showing a negligible increase over the preceding month. It is stated that buying in this field is largely for immediate consumption. As a matter of fact, in volume and number of sales, the wholesale grocery business is probably about as active as a year ago, but price reductions have brought about decreases in the money volume of sales. In district No. 4 (Cleveland) slight reductions are shown in volume of sales of dry goods and grocery firms amounting to 4.2 per cent and 3.8 per cent, respectively, with 3 dry-goods houses and 13 grocery firms reporting. On the other hand, hardware sales (4 firms reporting) are still 16.7 per cent above those for November, 1919, while 3 wholesale drug concerns have increased sales 45.8 per cent as compared with November, 1919. In district No. 5 (Richmond) wholesale hardware houses registered declines of 7.8 per cent in volume of sales as compared with a year ago and 14.9 per cent as compared with the month of October. In this district declines in all lines, namely, groceries, dry goods, boots and shoes, hardware, and furniture were reported, the percentage decreases as compared with November, 1919, being 5.7 per cent for groceries ( 9 firms reporting), 51.2 per cent for dry goods ( 8 firms), 35.7 per cent for boots and shoes ( 9 firms), and 84 per cent for furniture ( 4 firms). Last year it was said that "at the close of November the reporting firms had large amounts in orders outstanding, but this year the orders reported amount to practically nothing. Back orders with which the firms began the year have been filled as new business fell of, or else have been canceled before shipment could be made. A dry goods wholesaler reported $\$ 125,000$ in outstanding orders on November 30,1919 , but only $\$ 3,000$ on November 30, 1920. Another firm selling the same line estimates that they had unfilled orders around a half million dollars at the end of November last year, but had not enough to mention this year on the same date. A boot and shoe dealer reports $\$ 15,000$ in unfilled orders on November 30, 1920, compared with $\$ 200,000$ on the same date a year ago. Four furniture makers reported outstanding orders as of November 30, 1920, as but $\$ 37,932$, compared with $\$ 909,430$ on the same date last year.

In district No. 6 (Atlanta) average decreases are shown for all reporting lines both as compared with November, 1919, and with the preceding month, the declines being especially
heavy in the case of dry goods and shoes from the returns of a year ago. The 11 reporting dry goods firms show average sales decreases of 49.4 per cent as compared with November, 1919, and 9.5 per cent as compared with the preceding month. Similarly 9 wholesale shoe houses register declines of 40.2 per cent and 31.5 per cent, respectively. Twelve grocery firms give average sales 18.2 per cent below the totals for the same month last year and 11.7 per cent lower than those of the preceding month, while the corresponding figures for 9 hardware firms are 9.4 per cent and 12.2 per cent, respectively. Decreases in sales as compared with November, 1919, are heavy for all reporting lines in district No. 7 (Chicago), amounting to 16.2 per cent for 23 grocery houses, 20.1 per cent for 8 shoe firms, and 29.1 per cent for 8 dry goods firms. All concerns report cautious buying and downward price trends. In district No. 10 (Kansas City) the only exception to the downward movement is found in the case of drugs, declines being especially heavy in groceries and furniture as compared with a year ago, while furniture, grocery, and hardware sales are much below October averages. In district No. 11 (Dallas), also, the solitary exception to the general declines reported occurs in wholesale drugs, sales being 8 per cent in excess of those for November, 1919, although 21 per cent below the average for the preceding month, with 5 firms reporting. Four grocery firms report declines of 10 per cent from the preceding month, and 34 per cent as compared with November, 1919; for 2 hardware concerns, the declines are 3 per cent and 27 per cent, respectively; for 3 dry goods firms, 13 per cent and 29 per cent; and for 2 dealers in farm implements, 37 per cent and 47 per cent. In district No. 12 (San Francisco) 137 wholesale firms reporting for 8 lines, namely, groceries, dry goods, hardware, drugs, shoes, stationery, furniture, and auto tires, show average declines as compared with the preceding month and with November, 1919, drugs again being alone in maintaining an increase, having advanced 15.7 per cent as compared with November, 1919. The declines as compared with a year ago amount to 32.9 per cent for auto tires, 23.8 per cent for furniture, 33.3 per cent for shoes, 21.6 per cent for dry goods, 11.9 per cent for groceries, and 8.8 per cent for hardware. Declines from the averages of the preceding month were 47.7 per cent for auto tires, 19.5 per cent for furniture, 28.2 per cent for shoes, 24.5 per cent for dry goods, 14.7 per cent for groceries, 18.1 per cent for hardware, and 11.5 per cent for drugs. Demand is reported to be restricted, buyers filling only immediate needs,
while current orders are small and, in some cases, practically negligible.
Retail trade.-The retail trade situation is characterized by a continued lowering of prices and an increased volume of business, due both to these price reductions and to Christmas shopping. The percentage increase of net sales over those of November, 1919, in Boston was 11.4, in Philadelphia 8.5, in Richmond 13.1, and in San Francisco 11.3. The cause of the comparatively large volume of business during November is well expressed in the report from district No. 10 (Kansas City) which says: "While this may reasonably be ascribed in part at least to the seasonal influences imparted by the Christmas spirit, it is quite evident that the attractive prices at which goods are offered are having a good deal to do with the increased volume of sales." It is reported in some districts that prices are now approaching the replacement values, while it is reported in district No. 8 (St. Louis) that "the past 30 days have been marked by numerous and drastic cuts in retail prices, but the reductions are in a large measure isolated and specialized." The department stores are gradually liquidating the large sums which were tied up in stocks when the present declines started, but the outstanding orders are very low and little attention has been paid to the replacement of depleted stocks because the stores are holding out with the view to restocking at lower figures during the early months of 1921 . This is shown by the percentage of outstanding orders at the end of November to the total purchases during the calendar year 1919, which is 7.7 per cent in Boston, 4 per cent in Philadelphia, and 3 per cent in Richmond.
Building.-Causes operative in preceding months to hamper the resumption of building continued to prevent a revival of activity. The deterrent effects of high wage levels and high prices of building materials, together with restricted funds for investment have been accentuated by the usual decline to be expected at this season. In New York State and northern New Jersey building contracts for November were $\$ 20,000,000$ below the October total, but contracts within the district for the 11 months period were $\$ 579,000,000$, 16 per cent in excess of totals for the same period in 1919. In district No. 4 (Cleveland) the decrease in total valuation of permits for 12 cities from the same month last year was 51 per cent and a slightly greater decline occurred in district No. 5 (Richmond), where totals were 54.6 per cent below those for November, 1919. In district No. 6 (Atlanta) a few cities show increases, but the general trend is downward. In district No. 8 (St.

Louis) Louisville, Memphis, and Little Rock all report declines in the value of permits, as compared with November, 1919. More inquiries are said to have been received by architects, builders, and contractors, but actual undertakings are few. In district No. 9 (Minneapolis) the number of permits amount to 81 per cent of the total for November, 1919, and the valuation is only 33 per cent of that of a year ago. There was a decline in the number of permits in every city except Fargo and Missoula, and declines in valuation occurred in all cities except St. Paul, Missoula, and Great Falls. In district No. 10 (Kansas City) the decrease in the value of permits as compared with the same month last year was 50.6 per cent, although estimated costs of building up to December 1 were 11.5 per cent larger than during the corresponding period last year. In district No. 11 (Dallas) the value of permits issued in 9 leading cities was 42.9 per cent below valuations in November, 1919, although the total number of permits increased from 1,387 to 2,001 . As a matter of fact, heavy decreases in Fort Worth, Shreveport, Dallas, and El Paso account for the drop, as elsewhere increases had occurred. In district No. 12 (San Francisco) the decline in building activity has not at any time been so pronounced as in the other districts, and although the value of permits declined 8.7 per cent from October figures, the totals are still 1.6 per cent greater than those reported in November, 1919. It is difficult to estimate the influence of the seasonal change in bringing about the decrease since October, as last year the November figures registered an advance.

Production of lumber has declined generally. In district No. 5 (Richmond) many mills have closed entirely, and a diminished production for November is reported by four lumber associations of the San Francisco district. The largest of these, the West Coast Lumberman's Association, with 121 mills, reports for the four weeksending November 27 , orders of $182,417,000$ feet against a cut of $264,305,000$ feet. The decline has been due partly to seasonal curtailment of operations, as some of the larger mills are closing for the yearly overhauling of machinery, and in other sections snow has reduced operations. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) there has been little buying of lumber because builders are unable to secure funds and because the public in general anticipate further reductions. In the Atlanta district the lumber situation shows some improvement. While a number of mills in New Orleans are expected to remain closed until after the holidays, in Alabama many are resuming operations. Increased demand has taken place for
southern pine, and in consequence prices have strengthened.

Prices.-The Board's index number of wholesale prices showed a further marked decline during November. In October the number stood at 108 per cent above 1913 prices, in November at 90 per cent. This means there has been a decline of 28 per cent from the peak of last May and of 8.5 per cent during November. Imports and exports are much nearer the prewar level than are commodities for domestic consumption. Further decreases in prices of commodities which were already affected by the decline rather than an extension of price cutting to othėr industries featured the month. In other words, agricultural products, textiles, hides and leather, and iron and steel products declined very strikingly, while coal, petroleum, gasoline, paper, brick, and cement remained largely unaffected. In the latter groups of commodities reductions are reported here and there in the open market, but quoted contract prices appear to be it approximately the same level as in earlier months. In the case of petroleum, reductions occurred in the Texas coastal field, but in other districts no important changes have been made. In the coal industry prices are reported as easier in December. Contract quotations on leading grades of anthracite and bituminous for November, however, were at the same Ligh level as in October.

In three important industries-cotton, wool, and boots and shoes-where the liquidation process has been of longest duration, further price reductions were made in November, but it was reported from several sources in December that buying had been on a larger scale than in recent months. Falling prices for farm products have led to a withholding of commodities from market in the case of cotton, corn, and tobacco. Reports from all sections of the country are to the effect that lumber prices were heavily reduced during November. Structural steel along with other steel products likewise declined, but cement and brick prices remained firm.

Retail food prices decreased $2 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent during November, according to an index number of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, computed from the prices of 22 articles of food in different sections of the country. The rate of decline was only slightly more rapid than during October and September. Federal Reserve agents also report decreases in retail prices during November and December, although in most districts emphasis was placed on the fact that in spite of extreme reductions in certain lines, notably clothing, retail prices as a whole were declining less rapidly than wholesale.

## CONDITION OF WHOLESALE TRADE.

Percentage of increase (or decrease) in net sales in November, 1920, as compared with the preceding month.


Percentage of increase (or decrease) in net sales in November, 1920, as compared with November, 1919.


## REPORT OF KNIT GOODS MANUFACTURERS.

Order and production report for month ending Dec. 31, 1920.


Total production of winter and summer underwear for the 6 months ending Dec. 31, 1920.

|  | Number of milis reporting. | Actual produc tion (dozens). | Per cent of normal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July...... | 57 | 583,190 | 73.4 |
| August...... | 64 | 585, 071 | 67.3 |
| September. | 63 | 606,257 | 74. 2 |
| October. | 61 | 393,422 | 50.4 |
| November.. | 63 | 191,831 | 23.2 |
| December. | 61 | 98,671 | 11.0 |

For the month ( 38 mills).

|  | Dozens. | Per cent of actual produetion. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orders. | 42,875 | 10.3 |
| Shipments | 44,696 | 10.7 |
| Cancellations. | 8,592 | 2.0 |
| Production.. | 65,508 | 15.8 |

Twenty-three representative mills which reported for November and December furnish the data for the following tables:
[In dozens.]

|  | November. | December. | Loss. | Gain. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unfilled orders first of month | 77,888 | 50,591 | 27,397 |  |
| New orders. | 53,515 | 39,581 | 13,934 |  |
| Shipments. | 75,756 | 41,984 | 33,772 |  |
| Cancellations. | 1,910 | 8,592 |  | 6,682 |
| Production. | 109,802 | 63,384 | 46,418 |  |

## FINISHED COTTON FABRICS.

The National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics, at the request of the Federal Reserve Board, have arranged for a monthly surver within the industry. The results of the inquiries are herewith presented in tabular form. The secretary of the association makes the following statement concerning the tabulation:

The accompanying figures are compiled from statistics furnished by 35 out of 59 members of the National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics.

It is probably fair to state that in the absence of having specific detail at hand, but according to our best estimate, it is probably well within the fact that the figures given for the various classes of work would cover approximately the following percentages of the entire industry: White goods, 72 per cent; dyed goods, 62 per cent; printed goods, 32 per cent. The figures given represent reports from exactly the same finishers for the two months, both for the totals and for the subdivisions, and therefore are strictly comparable.

Note.-Many plants were unable to give details under the respective headings of white goods, dyed goods, and printed goods, and reported their totals only, therefore the column headed "Total" does not always represent the total of the subdivisions, but is a correct total for the district.

Production and shipments of finished cotton fabrics.


## FEDERAL RESERVE BANKING DURING 1920.

Changes in the condition of the Federal Reserve Banks during the year just passed should be considered in connection with the changes in the credit policy originated about the close of 1919 and continued during the year under review. Early in the year the Federal Reserve Banks raised their discount rates on commercial paper, but the influence of the higher rates is seen in retarding the progress of borrowings rather than in restricting them. In fact, total Federal Reserve Bank holdings of discounted bills, 2,231.2 millions at the beginning of the year, increased by over 300 millions up to the end of April, and by another 200 millions during the next four months. The peak of $2,826.8$ millions was reached on November 5, while the total held on December 30, 2,719.1 millions, is 487.9 millions larger than the total held at the beginning of the year.

Another important change in policy affected the holdings of paper secured by Covernment war obligations. Preferencial rates on paper secured by Liberty bonds and Victory notes were abrogated by some Federal Reserve Banks and raised by others to a level more nearly approximating the rate level for ordinary commercial paper. In the case of paper secured by Treasury certificates the rates adopted were in most cases identical with the higher coupon rates fixed by the Covernment, thus holding out no inducement to the member banks to carry these securities among their own investments and use them as collateral for loans at the Federal Reserve Banks, but rather providing a stimulus to place them in the hands of ultimate investors. The result is seen in the gradual decrease of the amounts of war paper held during the year by the Reserve Banks. From nearly 1,500 millions at the opening of the year the holdings of paper secured by Covernment war obligations declined to 1,141 millions on December 30 . Of the latter total 188 millions, or 16.5 per cent, were secured by Treasury certificates, compared with 461.5 millions, or over 31 per cent, held at the opening of the year-this decrease corresponding in a general way to the reduction in the amount of certificates held by the member banks. Much smaller reductions are shown in the Reserve Banks' holdings of paper secured by Liberty bonds and Victory notes, the December 30 total, $9: 3$ millions, being only 70 millions less than the amount reported at the beginning of the year. On the other hand, holdings of
other discounted paper, which at the opening of the year totaled 746.9 millions, show an almost steady growth, being in excess of 1,500 millions at the end of September, and fluctuating between about 1,500 and 1,600 millions during the last three months of the year. At the end of the year, out of a total of 2,719.1 millions of discounted bills, about 42 per cent was composed of paper secured by United States war obligations, against 67 per cent of a total of $2,231.2$ millions held on the first Friday of the year.

Holdings of bills purchased in open market show an almost uninterrupted decline for the period under review. From a total of 574.6 millions at the beginning of the year, a decline to 255.7 millions on December 30 is shown, the decrease of 318.9 millions being due largely to the increased demand for this class of bills by savings banks, trust companies, also corporate and individual investors. No appreciable changes are shown in the amounts and classes of United States bonds held.

Fluctuations in the holdings of Treasury certificates during the year are largely the result of the issuance by the Covernment from time to time of temporary certificates pending collection of funds from depositary institutions. The largest figures are shown for the four Fridays following the quarterly income tax dates, when temporary advances to the Government by the Federal Reserve Banks for the redemption of tax certificates were at their maximum. Total earning assets of the Federal Reserve Banks, which stood at $3,181.8$ millions on January 2, reached the high point of 3,422 millions on October 15, and at the end of the year stood at 3,263 millions, an increase of over 80 millions for the year.

Government balances with Federal Reserve Banks were considerably smaller than for the previous year, partly because of a smaller volume of Government expenditures but also because of the development of a better technique in the handling of Government funds. The daily average of Government deposits for 1920 was 36 millions, compared with 99 millions the year before. The lowest amount of Government deposits is shown for August 10, when the total was only 7.4 millions, while on September 17, subsequent to the series of fiscal operations in connection with the payment of the September 15 installment of income and excess profits taxes and the issuance and redemption of tax certificates, the highest figure for the year, 135.2 millions, was reached. Members' reserve deposits fluctuated within comparatively narrow limits, the largest total of 1.943 .6 millions being shown for January 16 and the


smallest of $1,711.8$ millions for November 26. Other deposits, including foreign Government credits, declined from 116.3 millions on January 2 to 22.2 millions on December 30, largely as the result of withdrawals by the Argentine Government of deposits made during the war. Net deposits of the Federal Reserve Banks show a general downward tendency for the year, the December 30 total of $1,604.2$ millions being 280.4 millions below the maximum amount shown for February 27, and 264.9 millions below the corresponding total at the beginning of the year, decreases in reserve and net deposits reflecting reductions in demand deposits of member banks, especially during the latter part of the year.

Federal Reserve note circulation, after the usual contraction at the beginning of the year, when a return flow of notes issued during the holiday season occurs, shows an almost uninterrupted expansion from the minimum amount of 2,844 millions on January 23 to a maximum of $3,404.9$ millions on December 23. The total of $3,344.7$ millions on December 30 marks a reduction of 60.2 millions for the week, but an expansion of 345.7 millions since the beginning of January, note expansion rather than growth of deposits accompanying the increase in the borrowings of member banks. Federal Reserve Bank note circulation shows a practically steady decline from 259 millions on January 2 to 177 millions on May 14, but since that date an increase to 217 millions on December 30 is noted.

During the early part of the year the Federal Reserve Banks lost gold, largely through export to South America and to the Orient, and on March 26 total gold holdings were 1,935 millions, marking a reduction of 128 millions from January 2 . Since that time, partly as the result of gold shipments from England in anticipation of the maturity of the Anglo-French bonds on October 15, there has been an increase in gold reserves, the total of $2,059.3$ millions on December 30 being only 3.3 millions below the total shown on January 2. During the latter part of the year all the gold held with foreign agencies, except 3.3 millions held by the Bank of France for Federal Reserve Bank account, was returned to this country. Since the gold held abroad was counted as part of the banks' reserves, its transfer from abroad has had no effect on the reserve ratio. Total cash reserves show an increase of 127.9 millions, or from 2,121.3 millions on January 2 to $2,249.2$ millions on December 30, the larger part of the increase being due to deposits of silver by the United States Treasury.

Interbank discounting showed a considerable increase about the end of April, when nearly 150 millions of rediscounted bills were carried by the Boston, New York, and Cleveland banks for seven other Federal Reserve Banks. After a slight recession these operations were resumed on an increasing scale early in August, and on October 29 the Boston, Philadelphia, and Cleveland banks reported a maximum for the year of over 247 millions of bills held under discount for eight other Reserve Banks. At the close of the year total discounts of the above three banks included 115.3 millions of paper discounted for five other Reserve Banks in the South and Middle West.

During the year the paid-in capital of the Federal Reserve Banks increased from 87.4 to 99.8 millions, or by 12.4 millions, corresponding to an increase of over 411 millions in capital and surplus of member banks. This gain is due primarily to increases in capitalization of existing member banks and to a smaller extent to accessions of new members.
The reserve ratio of the Federal Reserve Banks, as a consequence of the changes detailed above, is higher at the end of the year than at its beginning, the percentage being 45.4 on December 30, compared with 43.7 on January 2. The ratio was brought up to 44.5 per cent on June 18, and to 45.5 per cent, the maximum for the year, on December 17, the Fridays following two of the quarterly income tax dates.

It may be of interest to note that at the Bank of England the ratio of reserves to note and deposit liability combined, calculated on a basis similar to our own, has been maintained during the year on a level approximately the same as that of the Federal Reserve Banks, though the English ratio is subject to wider and more rapid fluctuations caused largely by Government operations in selling Treasury bills and obtaining ways and means advances, on the one hand, and by redemptions of these short-term obligations, on the other. In general, the ratio for the Bank of England has fluctuated during the year between 40 and 50 per cent. On December 1 it stood at 42.4 per cent, comparable with a ratio of 44.1 per cent shown on December 3 for the Federal Reserve Banks.

On pages 26 and 27 are presented charts illustrating the movement from week to week in 1919 and 1920 of the principal asset and liability items of the Federal Reserve Banks; also changes in the ratio of war loan paper to total discounts and to total bills held by the Federal Reserve Banks, and changes in the reserve ratio.

## RECENT MONETARY AND EXCHANGE DEVELOPMENTS IN INDIA.

Indian currency conditions during the war and their relation to the course of the price of silver were discussed in the Federal Reserve Bulletin for October, 1919, page 945, where a sketch of India's monetary history and of the machinery of Indian exchange is also given. On December 22, 1919, the committee appointed by the Secretary of State for India to inquire into Indian exchange and currency made its report and its findings were summarized in the Federal Reserve Bulletin for March, 1920, page 253. In the December, 1920, Bulletin, page 1298, there was reprinted the official resolution putting into effect on October 1 the amendments to the Indian currency and coinage acts adopted by the Indian Legislative Council in conformity with the recommendations of the committee. In view of the developments in India since the close of 1919, this appears to be an opportune time for discussing some of the economic conditions underlying the currency and exchange situation confronting India to-day.

## REPORT OF CURRENCY COMMITTEE OF 1919.

Just as the Indian currency committee of 1914 dealt primarily with the difficulties caused by the low price of silver, so the committee of 1919 considered methods of overcoming the diffculties produced by the high price of silver. One way of overcoming these difficulties was to reduce the silver content of the rupee, but the committee was disinclined to do that as the Indian population was accustomed to consider the rupee as a standard and would have resented any tampering with its time-honored standard of value. Rather than to debase the rupee the committee chose to increasejits legal parity from 15 rupees to a sovereign to 10 rupees to a sovereign, i. e., to make the rupee equivalent to 11.3 grains of pure gold, instead of 7.5 grains as before. The committee chose to link the rupee to the gold sovereign rather than to the pound sterling for the reason that the pound sterling was depreciated and its value subject to fluctuations. When the discount on pounds sterling will have disappeared and the sovereign and the pound will have become once more interchangeable, then the 10 to 1 ratio will apply equally to the pound and to the sovereign. If, on the other hand, the rupee were to be fixed in relation to the pound, the committee felt that the ratio would have to be a very high one in order to prevent the melting of the rupee for its silver content, and in the future, after the expected dis-
appearance of the discount on the pound, this ratio would result in an exaggerated valuation of the rupee. The committee determined that the ratio should be 10 rupees to a sovereign for the reason that this figure is high enough to prevent the silver content of the rupee ( 165 grains) from exceeding its monetary or gold value for any considerable length of time, since in order to make it profitable to melt rupees at the new rate silver would have to be beyond the melting point of British, American, and French coins, and in the committee's opinion silver could not be maintained at such a high level without causing the melting of these coins and thus increasing the supply and reducing the price of silver.

An essential part of the recommendations of the committee was that the import and export of gold to and from India be free from Government control, because a parity with gold could not be maintained without a free gold market. The committee also recommended the removal of the restrictions on the import of silver and of the silver import duty, as well as the ultimate removal of the export restrictions, although it realized that during the duration of the extremely high prices of silver control over silver exports would have to be maintained. In connection with paper currency it was recommended that the absolute limit on fiduciary circulation, which under the latest regulation was 1,200 million rupees, be abolished and a 40 per cent requirement for the metallic portion of the paper currency reserve be substituted. The ratio of metallic reserve actually adopted is 50 per cent. Furthermore, it was recommended that of the securities held in reserve not more than 200 millions be securities of the Government of India. A provision for emergency circulation not to exceed 50 million rupees to be deposited with Presidency banks in times of money stringency was also recommended. In regard to the gold standard reserve, which is made up of profits from the coinage of rupees and was created for the purpose of stabilizing the exchange value. of rupees, the committee recommended that it be continued. This reserve at present consists not of gold but of securities, and the committee felt that its conversion into gold was not practicable or advisable under present conditions, since gold can be used to better advantage in currency reserves. The securities in the gold standard reserve are to have maturities not exceeding 12 months, and a portion of the reserve not exceeding one-half may be held in India. The committee advised the reopening of the Bombay branch of the Royal Mint for the coinage of sovereigns and half-sovereigns, but no action along this line has yet been taken.

GOVERNMENT ACTION SINCE THE REPORT OF THE CURRENCY COMMITTEE.

Since the submission of the report of the currency committee nearly all of its recommendations have been adopted by the covernment of India. All restrictions on gold imports for private account were removed on une 21, 1920, while restrictions on gold exports had been set aside even at an earlier date. As early as February the overnment began to buy gold at the new rate of 11.3 grains per rupee and to sell drafts on London at the rate of 10 rupees per sovereign. Silver imports were permitted on February 2 and silver exports on July 2, when the price of silver began to decline. On June 21 sovereigns and half-sovereigns were declared no longer legal tender in India and holders of such coins were given 21 days in which to turn them in at the treasuries in exchange for 15 rupees. On October 1, by virtue of an act passed on September 8, sovereigns and half-sovereigns regained their legal tender quality, but at the new rate of 10 rupees per sovereign. The mohur, which has the same gold content as the sovereign, but carries on its reverse the legend " 15 rupees," will continue to be accepted by the Government at that rate, the intention being to call in practically all of those coins and to demonetize them. In order to reduce the premium on gold, the Government sold considerable quantities at the Indian bazaars.

## MOVEMENT OF THE PRICES OF SILVER AND INDIAN EXCHANGE.

At the time when the currency committee's recommendations began to be put into effect the price of silver was at its highest, being quoted at over $\$ 1.31$ per ounce in New York. In accordance with this price and the general condition of the market, the Indian Government offered reverse councils, i. e., Government drafts in India on London, for sale at about 2 shillings gold per rupee less cost. At that rate there was an unprecedented demand for these drafts. The main reason for this demand was that large sums had been accumulated by British and other interests in India during the war and that there was a rush to transmit them to England at the favorable rate. India's exports and imports for a period of years and for the most recent months are shown in the following table. One reason for the large imports in recent months is that the stock of merchandise as well as of machinery was depleted during the war period when
the physical volume of imports was comparatively small.

British-Indian exports and imports of merchandise on private account.
[In thousands of rupees.]

|  | Exports. | Imports. | Net exports. | Net imports. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year ending Mar. 31: |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 1,878,795 | 1,170,600 | 708, 195 |  |
| 1911. | 2,098,820 | 1,293,540 | 805, 280 |  |
| 1912. | 2,278, 442 | 1, 385,748 | 892,694 |  |
| 1913. | 2,465, 472 | 1,610, 159 | 855, 313 |  |
| 1914 | 2, 488, 788 | 1,832, 480 | 656, 308 |  |
| 1915 | 1,815, 917 | 1,379, 289 | 436, 628 |  |
| 1916. | 1,973, 802 | 1,313,403 | 660, 399 |  |
| 1917. | 2, 408, 868 | 1,496, 220 | 912,648 |  |
| 1918. | 2, 425,500 | 1,504, 200 | 921, 300 |  |
| 1919. | 2, 538,450 | 1,690,350 | 848, 100 |  |
| 1920 April, 1920 | 3, 270, 274 | 2,079,993 | 1, 190, 281 |  |
| April, 1920 | 271,814 | 203, 188 | 68,626 |  |
| May, 1920. | 258, 588 | 219,588 | 39, 000 |  |
| June, 1920. July, 1920. | 231, 870 | 260, 020 |  | 28,150 |
| July, 1920... | 200,000 | 280,000 |  | 80, 000 |
| August, 1920 | 210, 000 | 310,000 |  | 100,000 |
| September, 1920 | 220,000 | 290,000 |  | 70,000 |
| October, 1920. | 210,000 | 320,000 |  | 110,000 |

India's excess exports of merchandise amount on the average to about 750 million rupees a year. Of this 750 millions something over onehalf is offset $b_{:}^{\prime}$ payments of interest on investments, of pensions, and of expenditures on account of the India Government in London, this amount being roughly measured by the net sales of council drafts, i. e., council drafts less reverse drafts. The remainder of India's favorable trade balance is settled through imports of gold and silver into India. Generally speaking, the Government sells council drafts in London to the extent that it needs funds transferred to England from India, while reverse councils are sold for the purpose of maintaining the exchange rate, i. e., when the price of sterling drafts in India becomes too high in terms of rupees, the India Government offers drafts for sale, thereby tending to stabilize the exchange value of the rupee. These drafts are taken up in England out of the proceeds of the gold standard reserve, which was created for that purpose out of the profits of the coinage of rupees and is kept largely in London where the payments must be made. The sale of reverse council drafts has the effect of contracting the circulation of India, as the drafts are bought with rupees which are thus returned to the Government. Between January 31 and September 30 the circulation was reduced in this manner from 1,852 million to 1,576 million rupees, a decrease of 276 millions. Since the sale of reverse councils was discontinued on September 23, the circulation has begun to expand, the figure on November 30 being 1,602 millions.

Movement of the price of silver and of sterling and rupee exchange, 1919 and 1926.
[Exchange rates are highest quotations on sight drafts for each month.]

|  | Date. | Average New York price of silver. |  | New York exchange on England. |  | New York rupee exchange. |  | London exchange on India (rupee $=$ 24d.). ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Price per fine ounce. | Per cent of average price for 1913 ( $\$ 0.60641$ ). | $4.8665=100$. | Per cent. | $48.67=100.1$ | Per cent. |  |
|  | 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. |  | \$1. 01558 | 167.45 | 4. 7585 | 97.78 | 35. 65 | 73.25 | 1723 ${ }^{\frac{5}{2}}$ |
| February |  | 1. 01500 | 167.33 | 4. 7585 | 97.78 | 35. 65 | 73.25 | $17 \frac{185}{85}$ |
| March... |  | 1. 01495 | 167.34 | 4. 758 | 97.77 | 35.875 | 73.71 | $17 \frac{5}{3}$ |
| April. |  | 1. 01500 | 167.35 | 4. 6725 | 96.01 | 35. 65 | 73. 25 | $17 \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{}$ |
| May. |  | 1. 08020 | 178.10 | 4. 6925 | 96.42 | 42. 50 | 87.32 | 197 |
| June. |  | 1. 11402 | 183. 68 | 4. 6350 | 95.24 | 42. 50 | 87.32 | 197 |
| July. |  | 1. 07332 | 176.97 | 4.57 | 93.91 | 43. 00 | 88.35 | $19 \frac{1}{8}$ |
| August. |  | 1.12386 | 185.30 | 4.3525 | 89.44 | 43. 50 | 89.38 | $21 \frac{7}{8}$ |
| September |  | 1. 156336 | 190.66 | 4. 2625 | 87.59 | 45.00 | 92.46 | 2318 |
| October. |  | 1. 20692 | 198.99 | 4.3225 | 86.97 | 44.00 | 90.40 | 2315 |
| November. |  | 1. 30446 | 215.08 | 4.1625 | 85.53 | 44.75 | 91.95 | $241^{\circ}$ |
| December. |  | 1.33072 | 219.41 | 3.9875 | 81.94 | 46.25 | 95.08 | $27 \frac{5}{5}$ |
|  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... |  | 1.33899 1.32665 | 220.77 218.74 | 3.7875 3.4525 | 77.83 70.94 | 46.25 49.00 | 84.48 100.68 | 27\% |
| February. |  | 1.32665 | 218.74 209.87 | 3.4525 3.9525 | 70.94 81.22 | 49.00 50.00 | 100.68 102.73 | 32 t |
| April.. |  | 1. 20576 | 198.84 | 4.0175 | 82.55 | 47.25 | 97.08 | 267 |
| May.. |  | 1. 03495 | 170.67 | 3.9150 | 80.45 | 45. 75 | 94.00 | 268 |
| June. |  | 2.92789 | 153.01 | 3.9875 | 81.94 | 43.50 | 89.38 | 24. |
| July. |  | ${ }^{2} .92935$ | 153.25 | 3. 95125 | 81.19 | 38.50 | 79.10 | $22{ }^{\frac{1}{3}}$ |
| August. |  | ${ }^{2} .96948$ | 159.87 | 3.7075 | 76.18 | 37.25 | 76.54 | $22{ }^{2}$ |
| September. |  | ${ }^{2} .94510$ | 155.85 | 3.5625 | 73. 20 | 34. 75 | 71. 40 | 22 E |
| October-... |  | 2.84187 | 138.83 | 3. 5075 | 72.07 | 32. 50 | 66.78 | $19{ }^{5}$ |
| November. |  | ${ }_{2}$. 7849 | 129. 41 | 3.5275 | 72.48 | 30.25 | 62.15 | 19 |
| December. |  | 2.65503 | 107.35 | 3.5275 | 72.48 | 28.50 | 58.56 | 18 |

${ }^{1}$ Until Oct. 1, 1920, par of exchange for rupees was 16 pence, or 32.64 cents, but for the sake of comparability percentages have been figured on the new base throughout.

2 Price of domestic silver, 99.535 per fine ounce.

When the Government was selling sterling drafts at the rate of 28 to 30 pence per rupee, i. e., at about 10 rupees or less per sovereign, the demand for such drafts became so heavy, and the difference between the Government rate and the market rate was so pronounced that the Government was obliged to change the rate from 10 rupees to a sovereign to 10 rupees to a pound sterling. The amount of reverse councils sold up to September is estimated at over 50 million pounds. At the same time, as a result of the cessation of the demand for silver from China, the price of silver was rapidly falling, so that the gold value of the silver in a rupee was no longer equal to its par value as a coin. In other words, the problem from one of how to keep down the price of the rupee became one of how to keep it up.

A little retrospect may throw light on the situation. The rupee before 1893 had a value measured by the value of the 165 grains of silver it contained, that is to say, India was on a silver basis. From 1893 to 1920 India was on the gold exchange basis and the rupee had a gold value for exchange purposes of approximately 7.5 grains of gold. But the value of its silver content rising continually in recent years, the value of the rupee had to be raised, as otherwise it would have been melted fo: export. As the result of the new parity and of the drop in the price of silver the rupee is in a somewhat
anomalous condition. Theoretically, it is linked with gold, but the Government has not cared to give gold in exchange for rupees in view of the great premium commanded by gold in India, though it was the committee's intention ultimately to make sovereigns and rupees interchangeable at will at the 1 to 10 rate. ${ }^{1}$ It was feared that to issue gold for rupees would result in a heavy drain on the gold reserves which the Government was not prepared to risk, espe cially as the gold in the reserves was declining (See attached table on currency reserves.)
Since March, 1920, the value of the silver content of the rupee no longer equaled its value at the gold parity. The practical consequence of the situation is that the rupee, whether paper or coin, is inconvertible token money, so far as foreign trade is concerned, and its exchange value is dependent to a great extent on India's balance of international payments. In a western country, with a free gold market, the falling exchange value of the rupee would have led to the export of large quantities of gold, but in India there was a great demand for gold in the interior, where

[^0]the immemorial custom is to use gold for ornaments and for religious ceremonies, and where also, in view of inadequate banking facilities, the hoarding of gold as a means of storing one's wealth is still prevalent. In view of these facts, gold commanded such a high price in the bazaars of India that it did not pay to export gold even in the face of a very low exchange value of the rupee. Gold was thus used for domestic purposes, while the exchange value of the rupee responded to the demand for foreign drafts. India's trade balance in recent months, as shown in the table, has been unfavorable, which is almost unprecedented for that country and may be due to the large demand for textiles and iron and steel products to replenish the stock depleted during the war. The demand for sterling and dollar exchange has been exceedingly heavy and the value of the rupee has dropped as low as 24 cents in the New York market. The situation is rendered still worse, because wheat exports from India are prohibited, in view of the shortage of food. Recently the Government has arranged to permit the export of 400 thousand tons of wheat at a fixed price in the course of the next 6 or 8 months.

To sum up, a perplexing state of affairs exists in India and future developments are difficult to forecast. It is safe to assume that as soon as the balance of trade becomes favorable to India, a tendency for the price of the rupee to rise will appear. This year's crop prospects are only fair, however, which may delay the recovery of the favorable trade balance. No further decline in rupee exchange is to be expected so long as the
export of gold is permitted, since the point has even now been reached where large amounts of gold have been shipped to Japan in payment of balances accumulated during the war. An exchange rate can not well fall below the gold shipment point so long as there is no interference with the free movement of the metal.
The rupee can not, however, be expected to rise to and remain at its par value in exchange transactions until it becomes freely convertible into gold in India, and in turn, convertibility can hardly be expected so long as gold commands a substantial premium in the market. It appears, therefore, that the new ratio of 10 rupees to 1 sovereign will remain purely theoretical so long as the market price of gold will exceed by a considerable margin the statutory ratio of 1 rupee for 11.3 grains of gold, or 10 rupees per sovereign, while the minimum below which the price of the rupee can not fall is the value of its silver content. So that, in effect, the value of the rupee at the present time is more closely connected with the price of silver than with the rupee's statutory gold value.

A paragraph in the Indian currency committee's summary of conclusions reads as follows:

If, contrary to expectations, a great and rapid fall in prices were to take place, and if the costs of production in India fail to adjust themselves with equal rapidity to the lower level of prices, then it might be necessary to consider the problem afresh.

It appears from the developments discussed in this study that the state of affairs mentioned by the committee has come about and that a reconsideration of its conclusions in the light of these developments may become necessary.

Notes in circulation in India, composition of reserve, and percentage of metallic reserve at close of each month, September, 1919, to November, 1920.
[In thousands of rupees.]

| Date. | Notes in circulation. | Metallic reserves. |  |  |  |  | Securities held in reserve. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Silver coin and silver bullion. | Gold coin and gold bullion in India. | Gold coin and gold bullion in England. | Total. | Percentage of circulation. | In India. | In England. |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 30 | $1,718,600$ $1,752,900$ | 509,700 509,200 | 184,500 192,500 | 29,100 55,900 | 723,300 757,600 | 42.08 43.22 | 170,300 170,300 | 825,000 825,000 |
| Nov. 30. | 1,796, 700 | 474,400 | 235, 400 | 91, 600 | 801,400 | 44. 60 | 170,300 | 825, 000 |
| Dec. 31. | 1,829, 100 | 436,700 | 296, 100 | 101,000 | 833,800 | 45.59 | 170, 300 | 825,000 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 31. | 1,851,500 | 403,300 | 351,000 | 116,200 | 870, 500 | 47. 00 | 156,000 | 825,000 |
| Feb. 29. | 1,830,300 | 389,900 | 413,000 | 46,400 | 849,300 | 46.40 | 156,000 | 825,000 |
| Mar. 31. | 1,745,200 | 398, 500 | 443, 700 | 34,500 | 876,700 | 50.23 50.18 | 195,900 | 672, 600 |
| Apr. 30 | 1,707, 400 | 393, 700 | 453, 800 | 9,500 | 857,000 | 50.18 | 237, 700 | 612,700 |
| May 31. | 1, 669,200 | 413,700 | 428,600 <br> 434 <br> 800 | 7,400 24,900 | 849,700 <br> 915 <br> 15 | 50.90 | 311,800 <br> 355 | 507,700 372,700 |
| June 30. | 1,643,400 | 455, 500 | 434, 800 | 24,900 | 915,200 | 55.69 | 355,500 | ${ }^{372}$, 700 |
| July 31. | 1,638,700 | 503,600 | 446,200 |  | 949,800 | 57.96 | 406, 200 | 282,700 |
| Aug. 31. | 1,632,700 | 552,900 | 391, 200 |  | 944, 100 | 57.82 | 473,300 | 215, 300 |
| Sept. 30. | $1,576,300$ $1,595,800$ | 580,600 594,100 | 361,500 1237,500 |  | 942,100 831,600 | 59.75 52.11 | 471,400 680 | 162,800 83,500 |
| Nov. 30. | 1,602,100 | 599,300 | 1238 , 600 |  | 837,900 | 52.30 | 680,700 | 83,500 |

${ }^{1}$ On Oct. 1 the gold in the paper currency reserve was revalued at the new rate of 10 rupees per sovereign instead of 15.

## Transfer of Subtreasury Functions to Federal Reserve Banks.

During the past few months the Federal Reserve Board and the Treasury Department have been cooperating in effecting the discontinuance of the United States subtreasuries and the transfer of certain of their functions and duties to the Federal Reserve Banks. The authority for discontinuance of the Subtreasuries was given by the legislative, executive, and judicial appropriation act, approved May 29, 1920, which provides, as follows:

Independent treasury.
Section 3595 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, as amended, providing for the appointment of an Assistant Treasurer of the United States at Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, St. Louis, San Francisco, Cincinnati, and Chicago, and all laws or parts of laws so far as they authorize the establishment or maintenance of offices of such assistant treasurers or of subtreasuries of the United States are hereby repealed from and after July 1, 1921; and the Secretary of the Treasury is authorized and directed to discontinue from and after such date or at such earlier date or dates as he may deem advisable, such subtreasuries and the exercise of all duties and functions by such assistant treasurers or their offices. The office of each assistant treasurer specified above and the services of any officers or other employees assigned to duty at his office shall terminate upon the discontinuance of the functions of that office by the Secretary of the Treasury.
The Secretary of the Treasury is hereby authorized, in his discretion, to transfer any or all of the duties and functions performed or authorized to be performed by the assistant treasurers above enumerated, or their offices, to the Treasurer of the United States or the mints or assay offices of the United States, under such rules and regulations as he may prescribe, or to utilize any of the Federal Reserve Banks acting as depositaries or fiscal agents of the United States, for the purpose of performing any or all of such duties and functions, notwithstanding the limitations of section 15 of the Federal Reserve Act, as amended, or any other provisions of law: Provided, That if any moneys or bullion, constituting part of the trust funds or other special funds heretofore required by law to be kept in Treasury offices, shall be deposited with any Federal Reserve Bank, then such moneys or bullion shall by such bank be kept separate and distinct from the assets, funds, and securities of the Federal Reserve Bank and be held in the joint custody of the Federal Reserve agent and the Federal Reserve Bank: Provided further, That nothing in this section shall be construed to deny the right of the Secretary of the Treasury to use member banks as depositaries as heretofore authorized by law.

The Secretary of the Treasury is hereby authorized to assign any or all the rooms, vaults, equipment, and safes or space in the buildings used by the subtreasuries to any Federal Reserve Bank acting as fiscal agent of the United States.

All employees in the subtreasuries in the classified civil service of the United States, who may so desire, shall be eligible for transfer to classified civil service positions under the control of the Treasury Department, or if their services are not required in such department they may be transferred to fill vacancies in any other executive department with the consent of such department. To the extent that such employees possess required qualifications, they shall be given preference over new appointments in the classified civil service under the control of the Treasury Department in the cities in which they are now employed.

In each of the subtreasury cities there is either a Federal Reserve Bank or a Federal Reserve Branch Bank, and to these many functions of the respective subtreasuries have been transferred. The following subtreasuries have thus far been discontinued, on the dates named: Boston, October 25, 1920 ; Chicago, November 3, 1920; New York, December 6, 1920; San Francisco, December 20, 1920 ; New Orleans, January 5, 1921 ; St. Louis, January 8, 1921.

Branches of Federal Reserve Banks in Minneapolis, Minn., Kansas City, Mo., Buffalo, N. Y., Detroit, Mich., Philadelphia, Pa., Memphis, Tenn., Louisville, Ky., and Little Rock, Ark., have also been authorized to perform subtreasury functions in part, including particularly exchanges of currency or coin. The subtreasury at Baltimore will be discontinued on or about January 15, and those at Cincinnati and Philadelphia shortly thereafter.

## Methods Followed by City Banks in Granting Accommodation to Correspondents.

This is the second article ${ }^{1}$ presenting the results of a study made by the Division of Analysis and Research of the Federal Reserve Board of the methods pursued by reserve city banks in granting accommodation to their correspondents. The previous article presented the results of data obtained from leading New York City institutions. The present article is based upon information obtained from banks located in Chicago, St. Louis, Minneapolis, Kansas City, Dallas, and San Francisco, or the Federal Reserve Bank cities of the seventh, eighth, ninth, tenth, eleventh, and twelfth Federal Reserve districts. A similar survey is now in progress in various southern and eastern centers, and the results will be published in a later issue of the Bulletin.

To indicate more clearly the relative importance with respect to this type of business of the centers considered in the present article, the following table has been prepared, showing loans, both direct and indirect, made by national banks in these cities to other banks and trust companies.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Number of banks. | Loans. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chicago. | a 23 | 35,346 |
| St. Louis. | 6 | 10,781 |
| Minneapolis. | 6 | 7,973 |
| Kansas City. | 13 | 29, 409 |
| Dallas... | 5 | 5,278 |
| San Francisco. | 9 | 7,311 |
| Total. |  | 96,098 |
| Total for all reserve and other cities having a population of over 50,000 . |  | 474,393 |

[^1]1 The first article appeared in the June, 1920, issue, pp. 584-592.

The figures are taken from the annual report of the Comptroller of the Currency for 1919, volume 1, pages $78-81$, and relate to December 31, 1918.

The following figures, taken from pages 46-47, show loans by national banks in these cities to other banks and trust companies in the form of bills payable and rediscounts separate from loans on certificates of deposit, as of March 4, 1919:

| [In thousands of dollars.] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bills payable and rediscounts. | Certificates of deposit. | Total. |
| Chicago. | 16,239 | 284 | 16,523 |
| St. Louis. | 8, 160 | 25 | 8,185 |
| Minneapolis. | 1, 810 | 1,511 | 3,321 |
| Kansas City. | 11, 199 | 1,333 | 12,532 |
| Dallas........ | 3,923 | 895 | 4,818 |
| San Francisco | 3,497 | 2,599 | 6,096 |
| Total............................ | 44,828 | 6,647 | 51,475 |
| Total for all central reserve and reserve cities. | 234,050 | 17,310 | 251, 360 |

## I. SOURCES OF INFORMATION.

Contents of the file.--The credit folders vary from a very elaborate one of five sections used by a St. Louis bank to mere chronological filing of all statements, reports, memoranda, and correspondence in the case of several banks. The elaborateness of the folder affords an indication of the relative importance placed upon maintaining the impressions on the subject bank in the mind, instead of having them recorded in writing and filed away. The number of borrowing accounts, of course, must be considered in this connection and undoubtedly is an important factor in determining the extent to which each method is used. In the centers under consideration relatively greater use is made of the simpler types of folder than is the case in New York. The officers as a whole prefer to rely more upon their personal knowledge of the subject bank. The folder mentioned above has the following divisions: "Agencies," "statements," "special information," "investigation," and "correspondence." The folders of the other banks differ greatly. A Kansas City institution has a folder of two parts, one containing any correspondence relating to loans made and the other containing all individual statements and reports from outside sources relating to the bank and its officers. A Dallas bank reports the use of a separate file for each bank in the case of correspondents only, statements and data relating to other banks being placed in the miscellaneous file under the name of the town where the bank is located.

As in New York, annual revision of the file is the general practice and, it is stated from Minneapolis, "owing to the fact that loans are granted only one time in the year," this is considered sufficient. One Chicago bank states that it revises files "at least every two years." One bank each in Chicago and Minneapolis report semiannual revision instead.

Experience of other institutions.-In the previous article it was pointed out that in New York it is the general policy to write to the correspondents of the subject bank and request their views and experiences on the subject bank, and also that the banks in general placed considerable stress on the results of these inquiries. In the centers considered in the present article, a similar practice prevails, but less importance appears to be attached to the results. Undoubtedly the closer personal contact which exists in these centers between the lending banks and their correspondents plays a large part in the matter. Two large San Francisco banks make little use of correspondents' expressions of opinion. Another uses reports of correspondents only on country banks, and a Kansas City bank uses this source only when the subject bank is located at some distance and it is not in close touch with the official personnel. On the other hand, it is the general report from Chicago, which would naturally be expected to approximate most closely the New York practice, that "little dependence and importance is placed upon the reports of correspondents." However, some banks of course consider the views of correspondents important, and accordingly we find that one St. Louis bank asks for an expression of opinion from correspondents at least every six months. Banks throughout the territory covered in this article write to correspondents when adverse information comes to their attention, and in this way verify to a certain extent the accuracy of the reports. There is also the general feeling that form inquiries obtain little information specific enough to be of real value. One bank in Kansas City explains "that it is only by giving your own experience and the reason for the investigation that anything of value will be received." This view as to form letters is in accord with that expressed in New York, where various banks reported they frequently had answers so general to be of little service.

Approximately the same information is requested by all banks when inquiry is made of a correspondent. This covers such points as (1) integrity and ability of the management; (2) past relations with the subject bank, whether the account has been satis-
factory, etc.; (3) whether any adverse information has come to its attention, etc. Some banks, especially in Chicago, also request information on the borrowings of the subject bank; i. e., the extent of its borrowings, and whether it is a habitual borrower or only appears to borrow for seasonal needs.

In most cases the exigencies of each case determine the number and location of the correspondents of whom inquiry is made. In Chicago, however, it is stated to be the general policy of the banks not to write to banks in the same town, or even in the immediate vicinity, of the subject bank. Such institutions are regarded as either obligated or prejudiced, as the case may be, and their answers influenced accordingly. One San Francisco bank states that the frequency of its inquiries is based upon the extent and frequency of applications for accommodation by the subject banks. In St. Louis a bank never requests a new expression of opinion unless some information has come to its attention which causes it to think a thorough investigation is necessary. One San Francisco institution states that it writes to from two to ten or more banks, depending on the size and importance of the matter under investigation, perhaps writing in certain cases to two or three banks in the principal cities of the State of the subject bank; two or three Chicago banks; two or three New York banks; and one each in Kansas City, Philadelphia, St. Louis, and Boston. The bank communicates regularly with the banks to see if there has been a change in the opinions expressed, and to other banks when new connections are made. Another San Francisco bank checks all depositing banks about once a year through their banks, using the principal depositaries each time, and varying what appear to be secondary depositaries.

Statement of the subject bank.-As a general rule the published statement of the subject bank is considered sufficient. However, there are a few exceptions. Some banks do not regard such statements as sufficiently detailed to be of real service. Thus one bank in Minneapolis has a special detailed form, which it sends to its correspondents every six months. A second objection to the brief published statement is raised by a Dallas institution, which states that "nothing is disclosed relative to the character of the bank's loan account or investment in securities." To remove this objection the subject bank fills out a special form, not only giving the assets and liabilities in detail, but also a full statement of the amounts due from correspondents, to-
gether with the amount and name of each bank involved.

While it is the general policy of the banks to compile a comparative statement from statements of the subject bank, many do not do so, and instead merely file the published statements in chronological order. There is much diversity in the comparative statement form used by various banks, as is shown by the following table indicating the data employed by certain of the banks:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans and discounts. | Loans and discounts. | Loans and discounts. |
| Overdrafts. | Furnitureand fixtures | Overdrafts. |
| Fixed assets. | Other realestate. | Real estate. |
| Stocks and investments. | Cash and sight exchange. | U. S. and other bonds. Cash and exchange. |
| Cash. 'rotal assets. | Stocks, bonds, securities, etc. <br> lanking house and real estate. Totalassets. | Totalassets. |
| Capital: | Capitalstock. | pital. |
| Surplus and undivid- | Surplus fund. | Surplus and profits. |
| ed profits. | Undivided profits. | Deposits. |
| Deposits. | Individual deposits. | Bills payable. |
| Bills payable. | Bank deposits. | Circulati |
| Rediscounts. | Bills payable and re- | Due Federal Reserve Bank. |
| Totalliabilities. | Totalliabilities. | Rediscounts. Totalliabilities. |
| 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Loans and discounts. | Loans. | Quick assets: |
| Overdrafts. | Overdrafts. | Cash and exchange |
| United states bonds. | Expenses. | Loans and dis- |
| Premium on U. S. bonds. | Realestate. <br> Furnitureand fixtures. | counts. Overdrafts. |
| Other bouds, stocks, | U. S. bonds. | Cust. Accept.Liab. |
|  | Premium. | U. S. bonds and C . |
| Banking house. fix- | Five per cent fund. | Liberty bonds. |
| Furniture and fixtures. | other stocks and bonds. | Liberty bonds. <br> Bonds and securi- |
| Other realestate. | Warrants. | ties. |
| Due from banks and bankers. | Due from- | Total. |
| Checks and other cash | New York. Chicago. | Liabilities: |
| Cash on hand. | St. Louis. | Circulation. |
| Redemption fund. | St. Joseph. | Due to banks. |
| Due U.S. Treasurer. | Wichita. | Individual depo- |
| Other assets. | Oklahoma City. | sits, demand. |
| Totalassets. | Cash. ${ }_{\text {Cotalassets }}$ | its, time. Bonds borrowed. Bills payable. Accept. liabilities. Rediscounts |
| Capitalstock. | Capital. | Other liabilities. |
| Undivided profits. | Undivided profits. | Total |
| Circulating notes. | Interest. |  |
| Due to banks. | Exchange. | Margin in quick. |
| Individual deposits. | Circulation. |  |
| Demand certificates. | Deposits: Individual. | Fixed assets: <br> Banking house. |
| Time certificates. Certified checks. | Individual. <br> County. | Banking house. <br> Furniture and fix- |
| U.S. deposits. | Government. | tures |
| Billsrediscounted. | Demand certifi- | Other real estate. |
| Bills payable. | cates. | Other assets. |
| Otherliabilities. | Time certificates. <br> Certificates for borrow ed money. Bills payable. Bills rediscounted. Tax account. | Total. <br> Capital. <br> Surplus. <br> Undivided profits. |
| Total liabilities. | Totalliabilities. | Totalcapital,surplus and undi- vided profits. vided profits. |

In addition to the comparative statement form, many banks compile various supplementary data, such as a record of overdrafts, average monthly balances, amounts in transit, eastern funds deposited, gross value of account, cost of account, and maximum and minimum loans. In some cases this is included on the comparative statement form, while in others a special form is used.

Representatives' visits and agency reports.The majority of reporting institutions do not have a regular staff of field men making personal visits to country banks. The two chief reasons for this are, that (1) the relatively small number of borrowing accounts in many cases does not require the full services of a traveling representative, and (2) the personal contact between the officers of the city bank and the borrowing bank's official personnel, added to a general knowledge of conditions in the territory, is sufficient. In view of this most institutions make a personal visit only when a special investigation is deemed necessary, and then send an officer. Representatives, however, attend bankers' conventions. The information gathered there in most cases is later written up in the form of memoranda and placed in the proper folders. Some banks believe this offers one of their most profitable sources of information, due to the fact that many men will say things which they do not care to put in writing. Only one of the Chicago banks from whom information was obtained maintains a staff of men in the field to call upon borrowing banks, and this was not instituted until recently. Another bank has two men in this work, but the other banks have either found the practice unsatisfactory, or else the number of borrowing banks too small to justify it. The other institutions which maintain regular field men are scattered among most of the other centers included in the study.

Diversity of practice exists with respect to obtaining mercantile agency reports. One bank gets them on all its borrowing accounts at least once a year. In Dallas, Chicago, and Minneapolis also most reporting institutions make use of such reports, explaining they find them of value in gathering information concerning the directors and officers of the subject bank. One Dallas bank considers agency reports of no value because those who prepare the reports know little of the banking business and, like most people, "believe that a country bank is good until it closes its doors." In San Francisco and Kansas City, as a general rule, mercantile agency reports are not obtained, although some banks find them a valuable source of data relative to the official personnel.
II. RELATION OF AMOUNT OF ACCOMMODATION TO BALANCE MAINTAINED--"CLEAN-UP" REQUIREMENTS.
Balances.-Chicago, St. Louis, and Minneapolis banks attach more importance to the minimum balance kept than do the other cities under consideration. One of the large Chicago banks requires a 20 per cent balance and does not consider a balance of $\$ 1,000$ or $\$ 2,500$ a basis for loans. Several institutions report they make loans to country banks on a minimum balance of 10 per cent, but require 15 per cent from city banks. One bank fixes a minimum dollar amount of $\$ 5,000$. This requirement is not without exception, such as in cases where officers, or more especially directors, of the borrowing bank are affiliated with larger institutions that maintain substantial balances with it, or in the case of very infrequent borrowers, which have been carrying accounts for a number of years, where a 10 per cent minimum alone is required. Some Chicago banks have also, of late, been making loans against balances of less than 10 per cent, generally to small banks located in the Dakotas or Montana that have been in need of help but unable to maintain a satisfactory balance. In St. Louis one bank requires a minimum balance of 15 to 20 per cent, and adds that it is only in exceptional cases, such as for an infrequent loan entirely covered by readily marketable securities, to a very strong institution, that the balance is only 10 per cent. A second institution in this city states that while it has no formal rule, but in making a loan takes into consideration fully the value of the account as shown by complete analysis, balances maintained by borrowing banks with it are over 20 per cent. Minneapolis banks report the highest minimum average balance requirements. One bank insists that its correspondents maintain on deposit at all times nearly 50 per cent of their loans. Another institution requires only a 25 per cent balance on deposit during the heavy borrowing season. However, no limit on the maximum loan is set by the borrowing bank's capital or its capital and surplus. In Dallas, San Francisco, and Kansas City the average balance required ranges from $33 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent to no set limit. Certain San Francisco banks report that they do not insist upon a certain minimum balance, and no reporting bank in that center fixes an absolute minimum, although one institution says a balance of 10 per cent is never found except in the case of a small bank. In Dallas balances average about 10 per cent, the balances kept, of course, being a factor in determining the
amount of accommodation to be extended. In Kansas City most reporting banks have a minimum average balance rule, but several explain there are many exceptions to it. The most frequent reason for these exceptions is stated to be crop failure for several seasons in succession, in which case accommodation is granted against a very low average balance

In most centers there is no special difference in the proportion of the average balances maintained to amount of accommodation granted between large and small banks or banks in different sections served. In Chicago, however, some banks report that the smaller country banks as a rule maintain a lower percentage. The explanation is suggested that they attempt to keep too many city accounts and do not have sufficient funds to make any of them substantial. It was indicated above that recently a number of small banks located in the Dakotas and Montana have kept low average balances. In one or two other cities a bank reports that the small banks have the lowest proportionate balances, but the abovementioned center is the only one where the statement is generally made.

Overdrafts do not appear to offer an important problem throughout this territory. One Chicago bank states that it looks with disfavor upon small balances and overdrafts, but that there may be offsetting circumstances. Another institution in the same city states that the relation between small balances and overdrafts depends entirely upon the net earnings analysis of the account in determining whether a loan should be made. In St. Louis one bank says when overdrafts are frequent it insists upon a discontinuance of the practice under penalty of closing the account. A second St. Louis institution, however, does not "believe it always indicates an unsatisfactory condition of affairs."

Character of borrowing.-With very few exceptions requirement of an annual "cleanup" is the policy of all banks included in the present study. One Chicago institution reports that during the last two years it has not required this from its good borrowing accounts because of the heavy Government financing and the unsettled financial conditions. Minneapolis banks generally require all country correspondents to completely liquidate their loans at least once a year. In this district the heavy borrowing occurs in the fall, commencing in August when the harvest begins. Loaning continues until the crop is marketed, and liquidation takes place in October, November, and December. Some loans are also made in the spring to finance seeding, but this seldom occurs except after a previous bad season.

These loans necessarily are not liquidated until after the crop is marketed. In St. Louis, a "clean-up" is insisted upon by or during December from banks in the cotton territory, while banks financing other crops than cotton have the "clean-up" time varied to suit the marketing season. One bank in this city states that its correspondents borrow between April and August, and liquidate between October and December, remaining out of debt until the following April. In Kansas City, Dallas, and San Francisco all but one reporting bank insist upon an annual "clean-up.". One Dallas bank states it insists on a periodical "clean-up," and does not think the borrowing institution should be back in the market borrowing money for a period of five or six months. The one bank having no formal requirement regarding annual liquidation adds that in case a "clean-up" is not made it ascertains the reason thereof. One bank in Kansas City reports that it requires a "clean-up" once or twice a year, depending upon the nature of the business of the borrowing bank. "Our California banks only borrow during the cropmoving period," states a San Francisco bank.

The usual method of insisting on a periodical liquidation of all loans is by making an annual "clean-up" part of the agreement covering the loan. One bank located in Chicago adds emphasis by increasing the rate of interest with each renewal. Several institutions require a reduction of the loan with each renewal, but this requirement depends upon the exigencies of the case. One Dallas bank states that "we try to impress upon them at the time the loan is made that a bank is not chartered for the purpose of borrowing money, except for specific purposes-first, the making of a crop under adverse conditions, and second, taking care of the crop after it is made." The importance of prompt liquidation in connection with their credit standing is explained to borrowers by a Kansas City bank.

By far the greatest volume of loans are for seasonal needs, although during the past two years more loans for other than seasonal needs have been made than previously. These have been occasioned mainly by Government financing, and, among Chicago banks, by land settlements this year. Unusual withdrawals of deposits, of course, call for special loans. Several banks report that when application is made for a loan other than to help in financing crops, arrangements are made for each special case. Only one bank in the territory, a Kansas City institution, reports that it makes a general practice of lending for other than seasonal requirements. The centers included in the present study thus stress lending for
seasonal purposes only, to a greater extent than is the case in New York.

## III. FORMS OF ACCOMODATION.

Security of the loan.-As in New York, the greater volume of accomodation granted by city banks to their correspondents is in the form of loans against the borrowing bank's note secured by collateral rather than through rediscount of commercial paper for them. The percentage of unsecured loans varies considerably both among the various centers and among the institutions in each center. The highest figure given is by a large San Francisco bank, which places its unsecured loans at 75 per cent of the total accommodation extended, and its rediscounts at 5 per cent. Other San Francisco institutions all show a much lower proportion, and one requires security for all advances. In Kansas City the percentage of unsecured direct loans varies from five to fifteen. One Dallas bank has about 10 per cent unsecured, but another requires either the indorsement of the board of directors of the borrowing bank or collateral for the face amount of the loan, and in the case of the smaller banks, the full limit of the State law, which is one and one-half times the face value and frequently guaranty of the board of directors. Loaning against an unsecured note is generally not favored in Chicago, and banks which do not furnish collateral are required to have the guaranty or indorsement of responsible individuals, usually the directors of the borrowing institution. Loans of Minneapolis banks reporting are all secured, while in St. Louis the proportion of unsecured loans ranged from virtually nothing to 5 per cent.

In these centers, also, as in New York, the majority of the collateral consists of bills receivable. In Chicago, the "overwhelming preponderance" consists of them, one of the largest institutions showing 90 per cent and another 85 per cent of its collateral in that form. One institution, however, located in San Francisco, states that it has only one loan against which the collateral is bills receivable. Some institutions instance the less work involved in checking financial statements, collecting at maturity, etc., in the case of securities collateral. On the other hand, several institutions report that they have no loans secured by stock and bonds other than Liberty bonds. In Minneapolis, stocks and bonds other than Liberty bonds are used as collateral only to a neiglible extent. There appears to be a decreasing volume of loans made against Liberty bonds, although some banks still report that as high as 50 per
cent of the accommodation which they have extended is secured in this way. The proportion varies between banks, rather than between cities. One or two institutions at present are making no loans against Liberty bonds, while other banks prefer them to any other class of security, and accordingly request Liberty bonds as collateral when the borrowing bank has them. In some institutions it is noted that a better rate is given on a loan so secured than on one secured by bills receivable. Some institutions prefer securities to bills receivable as collateral, the Chicago banks being stated to be about evenly divided on this point.

The margins which are required vary somewhat between banks. Some banks in Kansas City and Dallas loan on Government obligations to the full face value, thus relying upon the maker's name. In various ather cases 5 or 10 per cent over the market value is required, while in Minneapolis the amount is given as 20 per cent of the face value to conform with Federal Reserve Bank practice. In Chicago practice is to require a 15 per cent margin on Liberty bonds, 5 per cent on Victory notes, and loan to the full amount on certificates of indebtedness. Loans on other stocks and bonds in general carry 20-25 per cent collateral, and the margin is frequently the same as on bills receivable. Some banks, however, require only a 10 or 15 per cent margin. One bank in Chicago varies its requirement on bills receivable from 20 to 30 per cent in the case of large or good-sized banks to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to 1 in the case of small banks. In Minneapolis the 50 per cent margin generally required by all banks on bills receivable is increased by one bank to 100 per cent in the event of a renewal, but is more in the nature of a penalty for slow payment than a safeguard for the loan. In special circumstances, of course, margins will be increased, and the full limit of the State laws may be required.

Use of bills receivable.-Some institutions from which data were obtained do a very large rediscount business. Two banks report that 60 per cent or more of the total accommodation they extend is in this form. One of these banks is located in San Francisco and the other in Kansas City. One bank in Dallas estimates its percentage of rediscounts at 35 per cent. Such high percentages are the exceptions, however, rather than the general rule, and in most institutions the percentage of rediscounts does not exceed 5 or 10 per cent, and especial care is taken to safeguard the bank against any loss, one bank reporting that its rediscounts amount to little more, in view of their protection and recourse, than a loan against very high-grade collateral. Some banks rediscount on special
occasions, such as when, after buying commercial paper in the market as an investment for a country bank, the latter finds itself in need of funds and requests the city bank to rediscount the paper for it. One bank which does this to some extent explains that at the time the paper is originally bought for its correspondent it does not obligate itself in any way, such as with a repurchase agreement. The condition of the correspondent at the time repurchase is made is the governing factor, not the circumstances under which the paper was previously bought. "With commercial paper," however, it is stated from Chicago, "there is no objection in any instance to rediscounting."

Various reasons are found for the rediscount of paper by banks with their city correspondents instead of obtaining a straight loan with it as collateral. A Chicago bank states that "usually we make an exception and rediscount instead of insisting upon a collateral loan to meet the wish of a banker who is still old-fashioned in this respect and objects to borrowing on the bank's notes." One bank rediscounts commercial paper when the statement of the maker warrants a larger advance than the country bank can legall:- make, and a second institution where the limit of bills payable which the bank can make has been reached. One San Francisco bank states, "if bank's own commercial paper, we prefer to rediscount; if not, we consider their note secured by bills receivable just as acceptable." On the other hand, a Chicago institution which in the past has been doing a considerable rediscount business says, "more and more we are insisting upon bills pa able secured by their bills receivable."

No noticeable change in general is remarked in the methods of securing accommodation since 1914 by the banks from whom data were obtained. One Minneapolis institution believes that the member banks of the Federal Reserve s ; stem tend to reserve their eligible paper for use in connection with borrowings at the Federal Reserve Bank, while cit: banks "have adopted the practice of accepting as collateral any good customer's notes of a sound borrowing bank, merel insisting on the ratio of one and one-half to one of collateral against loans." One Kansas City bank, however, notices just the opposite change, stating "we have asked our correspondents to give us eligible paper whenever possible, and there has been a measurable response to this request."

Maturity of loons.-There are considerabl: less demand loans made in this territory than in New York. In Kansas City, Minneapolis, and St. Louis all the banks from whom data were obtained report that they make no
demand loans to banks. In Minneapolis it is further explained that the conditions under which borrowing occurs, namel: in summer and fall to be repaid after crops are marketed, are especiall; adapted to time loans, and hence there are no calls for demand loans. In Chicago, San Francisco, and Dallas most banks make such loans on' in exeeptional cases, for example where the borrowing bank requires funds for a few da:s while getting paper rediscounted at the Federal Reserve Bank. One San Francisco bank, howerer, has about half its bank loans on demand, but all other reporting banks which have an such loans at all, show onk a very small proportion. Some banks which accept a demand note from a countr: correspondent return it within a few das $s$ in case the loan is not liquidated and reguest a note with a definite maturit. The maturities for time loans vare from 30 days to 6 months, with the majorit: for 90 days. As almost all the accommodation in this territory is for seasonal needs, the loans made for 90 da;s ma': at times if necessary be renewed for another 90 da:s, at the end of which time harvesting will bee completed, and crop returns available. A few institutions, all in different cities, report that the make no loans for over 90 da s , belioving that the country bank should not need funds from outside sources over three months in order to make the necessar: advances to borrowers in its own territory.

Holding of cillateral.--Collateral is usually held $\mathrm{b}_{3}$ the lending bank. Infrequent exceptions are made be banks when there is no question as to the integrit: of the management of the borrowing bank. 'Kansas Cit- is the onl: city in which the banks consulted state that the do not at an time allow the correspondent to either hold the collateral itself or deposit it with another institution which acts as agent for the lending bank. Where collateral is held $b_{y}$ a bank other than the lender a trust receipt is gencrall: emplozed. One bank allows the borrowing bank at times to set aside certain securities and bills receivable in the name of one of the directors of the borrowing bank, rather than with some other institution. This variation from the general practice is due to the fact that it saves the trouble and expense of shipping, insurance, etc., and also facilitates substitution. Facilitating substitutions of course decreases in importance as the proportion of collateral consisting of Goverrment obligations increases. A reduction is not usuall: re uired in the case of substitutions. Some banks send the collateral back after examining it under a trust receipt, while several banks send maturing paper to their
correspondent in order that prompt collection may be made. One institution never allows other banks to hold the collateral covering any of its loans unless it consists of warehouse receipts and substitution is to be allowed, in which event a bank in the locality of the borrowing institution acts as agent of the lending bank and holds the collateral. No difference is noted in practice in this regard as between the different sections of the country $b_{y}$ the banks from whom data were obtained.

Special forms of accommodation.-The striking difference in the form in which accommodation is granted in the centers considered here and in New York is in the relatively large use of the certificate of deposit as a means of borrowing in several of the western centers. Reference to the table given at the opening of the present article indicates that the centers fall into three classes: (1) Chicago and St. Louis, which show a very small use of certificate of deposit borrowing; (2). Dallas and Kansas City, where greater use is made, but much less than in (3) Minneapolis and San Francisco, where the proportion for national banks is somewhat less than half. Some banks in Chicago, St. Louis, and Kansas City have a formal rule against such loans. One Chicago bank which loans a very limited amount in this manner states: "We are making every effort to educate bankers in the practice of borrowing in the regular way." Another institution which shows special consideration to one or two banks says their institutions have formed a habit of borrowing money by the issuance of certificates of deposit for the express purpose of not wanting to show the indebtedness under bills payable. As was above indicated, this is exactly why many banks included in the present study and practically all New York banks oppose the making of such loans. In some States, such as South Dakota and Wisconsin, loaning on certificates of deposit is prohibited by law, in which case notes are generally used instead. In Minneapolis and San Francisco, however, certificate of deposit borrowing forms a large percentage of the total accommodation extended. One bank in San Francisco extends perhaps almost 90 per cent of its accommodation to other banks in this form, the California banks in 'general issuing their certificates of deposit. The average in Minneapolis is between 80 and 90 per cent. In Minnesota, North Dakota, and Montana this is practically the universal method. Some banks make no distinction between the two forms of obligation, and regard certificates of deposit and notes as of the same value. A few banks note a variation in the form of accommodation at different times of the year.

One bank in Dallas states that "during the marketing season, banks may more frequently issue certificates of deposit or the bank's obligation indorsed by directors for temporary adyances. During the growing season, the bank's obligation supported by collateral is more frequently used, the loan covering a longer period. Paper of longer maturities offered in both spring and summer months; shorter maturities during the fall and winter.;
The two other special forms of accommodation more commonly found, i. e., borrowing by the personal note of the directors or officers and sale of securities or paper with a repurchase agreement are reported to be found to a limited extent, as is the case also in New York. A few banks buy paper with repurchase agreement, and a larger number buy securities, especially Government obligations, in this way. However, there is no general policy as to this, and the banks reporting this practice are widely scattered, while they report that such transactions are infrequent.

## IV. PARTS OF TILE ANALYSIS.

Analysis of bills receivable offered as col-lateral.--Credit information concerning, or statement of the maker, frequently accompany paper offered when obtaining accommodation. In Chicago the estimated net worth of the several makers or indorsers of each loan pledged is required. One Dallas bank observes that "it is nearly impossible for us to analyze bills receivable. On all that we can analyze, we secure the commercial rating." One Kansas City bank in most cases obtains a memorandum of the assets and liabilities of the maker of unsecured loans in excess of $\$ 1,000$. Another Dallas bank and a San Francisco bank also vary the analysis according to the size of the paper, requiring definite information or making separate inquiries on notes of considerable size, in addition to the statement of the applying bank as to the maker's character and line of business. The extent to which the receivables are scrutinized differs, of course, also with the standing of the subject bank. One Chicago institution frequently checks up on its bills receivable, and with the permission of the borrowing bank asks for information from other banks located in the same town in regard to the financial responsibility and moral risk of the makers.

The borrowing bank's statement.-The extent to which analysis is made of the statement of condition varies considerably. One bank states that "we are relying more especially upon our knowledge.of the management and general conditions, needs of the community, etc., in the
particular location from which request is made for accommodation." This same thought will also find expression in the exceptions which are allowed to the ratios between the various items which are customarily considered in analyzing the statement. These items were indicated in the preceding article, and include the volume of business, the character of assets, and the borrowings. One Dallas bank gives little attention to the relation between deposits and capital investment for the reason that in its territory "deposits rarely reach a point out of proportion to capital." In Chicago one bank refuses to loan to institutions carrying fixed asssets in excess of 50 per cent of capital and surplus, or when the ratio of deposits to capital investment exceeds 10 to 1 , explaining in such cases that they believe the borrowing bank should pay in additional capital instead of borrowing money. It is not the general rule to fix evene rough line of credit for correspondents. Most institutions prefer to consider every application on its individual merits rath $r$ than to have previously made commitments. In a few cases a maximum line is established above which the lending bank will not consider applications for loans, but in these cases the maximum line appears to be rather for the use of the lending bank, and does not represent a commitment on the part of the latter. Most institutions which establish a maximum line of credit limit it to 100 per cent of the capital and surplus, although one institution in Chicago has placed it at 50 per cent of the capital and surplus. Another institution, located in St. Louis, states that it determines the loan limit by "capital investment, liquidity, and amount of balances maintained."

A few institutions attempt to gather information on "charge-offs" by the country banks they serve in relation to the growth of undivided profits. While such information, if obtained, is significant, there are, however, as one bank in Dallas explains, other factors which may affect the undivided profits, such as overhead expense being out of proportion to gross profits, or the policy of the management in the payment of large dividends, thereby preventing the accumulation of a "healthy" undivided profits account. This particular bank placed little emphasis on the growth of the undivided profits account from year to year, and refers to the fact that it, like most banks, has no special facilities for obtaining such information. One institution requires figures as to profit and loss operations, showing charge-offs, etc., when they believe the institution is carrying slow assets. Another institution states it "considers a bank that charges off losses and shows no
gain and no undivided profits, a better risk than one that shows gain and undivided profits without charging off."

Handling of the account-Experience"of 'other banks.-The way the borrowing institution handles its account with the lending bank naturally is relied upon much more than the experience of other institutions with the account. In drawing conclusions, important considerations are the average balance, the overdrafts and drawings against uncollected funds. One bank attaches importance to the manner in which the subject is dealt with by other institutions, in the event that another bank has the principal account of the country bank. Naturally any adverse reports from other institutions receive consideration, and are generally made the basis of an investigation. The manner in which the account is handled on the books is also an important factor in deciding whether or not a bank will require a reduction of a loan or permit a substitution of collateral.

A varying amount of importance is attached to advances made by other institutions. In most cases the other loans are added to the amount of the loan applied for, the total then being considered in relation to the total assets of the applying bank to see if its condition warrants the additional advance. One bank states that the fact that some conservative, successful bank had advanced funds to the applying bank, would tend to make it give the application more favorable consideration than otherwise. In Chicago loans by other banks are given little consideration, unless the aggregate is over 100 per cent of the capital and surplus of the applying bank.

## Fluctuations in Loans and Discounts of Eleven Banks of New York City, 1913-1919. ${ }^{1}$

## Part I.

The present study of fluctuations in loans and discounts is part of a general review of changes in condition of 11 banks of New York City, which courteously permitted excerpts to be made from their daily statements for a period of seven years, in order that an examination might be made of day-to-day fluctuations in the principal items under assets and liabilities. Although the total resources of the seven national banks and four trust companies included in the study approximated $\$ 4,300,000,000$ at the end of 1919, the statistics are limited in scope when compared with the weekly returns made by the clearing-house
${ }^{1}$ Prepared under the direction of A. P. Youngman, Divison of Analysis and Research.
banks of New York City. Their chief value lies in the fact that they are based upon a day-to-day survey of changes in condition of individual banks for a period during which our banking system has been subjected to radical changes, and has been forced to meet extraordinary demands growing out of war.

## OUTLINE OF STUDY.

The first part of the study is confined to an examination of percentual changes in the loans and discounts of each bank for the period 19131919. The second part deals with the borrowing activities of these banks as shown by outstanding bills payable and rediscounts for the years 1918 and 1919. The data for 1917 are incomplete for four banks, and are not therefore given in as much detail as for the two later years.

Following these surveys of the course of loans and discounts and of rediscounts, similar examination will be made of percentual changes in individual deposits and in bank deposits for each bank. In this connection, the shifting importance of other investments as contrasted with loans and discounts can be further discussed. How did the war, for example, affect the distribution of investments as between loans and discounts on the one hand and securities on the other? Did it accentuate the tendency of those banks and trust companies whose direct investments in securities were already great, to expand this item more rapidly than did other banks? Or did banks previously unaccustomed to invest directly in securities in large amounts expand their hold-ings with greater relative rapidity? In short, did the characteristic emphasis of the several banks in matters of investment policy alter during the war or did it tend to persist in so far as war-time exigencies permitted? Generalizations based upon returns compiled from many banks can not, of course, answer such questions.

## EXCIJUSION OF OTHER INVESTMENTS.

In attempting to follow the movement of loans and discounts for each bank, a truer picture of banking activity will be given if other investments are excluded. Not only will the exclusion accentuate or define those differences in investment policy which are obscured by the inclusion of loans, discounts, and other investments under the same caption, but more light ought to be thrown in consequence upon the purposes and results of rediscounting. Segregation helps to explain why some banks have borrowed so much more heavily than others from the Federal Reserve Bank. It is also an aid to the understanding of differences
in times and in methods of borrowing. To be sure, total loans and discounts are swollen by loans against Government securities and there is more or less shifting from United States securities directly held to customers' loans against United States securities. These loans are nevertheless in a very different category from direct investments in United States securities. The latter may have been acquired on credit, the resultant United States deposit requiring no reserve. The former gives rise to deposits requiring reserves, although if the proceeds of the loan are being used to pay for bonds, the deposit will eventually be shifted to Government account. The chief objection, however, to the elimination of loans against United States securities from total loans is the impossibility of differentiating between those loans which would never have been contracted except for investment purposes and those whose proceeds are being used in all sorts of ways-loans which would have been collateraled in some other fashion if United States securities had not been available.

## LOANS AND DISCOUNTS.

A number of difficulties were encountered in assembling day-to-day returns of loans and discounts for the several banks. The only way to test the comparability of the items has been to check them with reports made to the United States Comptroller of the Currency and the New York State Superintendent of Banks, and then to find out by direct inquiry the reasons for the discrepancies that usually exist between the official statements of condition and the daily ones. The United States Comptroller, for example, requires banks reporting at dates of call to subtract from total loans and discounts the following items: (1) Notes and bills rediscounted (other than acceptances sold); (2) acceptances of other banks payable at a future date, guaranteed by the reporting bank by indorsement or otherwise; and (3) foreign bills of exchange or drafts sold with indorsement of the reporting bank. But in calling for a condensed statement from individual banks for publication in the second volume of his annual report, the Comptroller asks for gross amounts of loans and discounis which include besides overdrafts and rediscounts the above-mentioned contingent liabilities on indorsed acceptances of other banks and foreign bills of exchange. Since the banks, as a rule, do not include items (2) and (3) among loans and discounts in their daily statements, and since, for the purpose in hand, it seems undesirable to do so, the statistics of loans and discounts, although including item (1) noies and bills rediscounied, fall below published totals in the annual report
by these excluded amounts of contingent liabilities which are very large in two instances. ${ }^{-}$ In the case of Bank $O$, however, the contingent liabilities on acceptances of other banks indorsed have not been excluded. The daily statement is in highly condensed form and these totals have been added to the figures for daily loans and discounts. An examination of the detailed statements made to the Comptroller over the years for which the item appears shows that the amounts are fairly stable aad percentually insignificant. At worst, the growth in 1919 would slightly raise the percentage of total loans and discounts for the later months of the year.

## WORK OF ASSEMBLING.

The heterogeneity of the forms in which the daily statements appear made the work of assembling difficult. Since the daily statement is a record designed to meet the special needs of the bank and its officers, it is not surprising that no two banks are alike in methods of presentation. In some cases there are elaborate classifications of loans affording a fairly detailed insight into investment policies of the banks; in other cases the classifications are allembracing and sometimes misleading to an outsider. As a result, additions, both simple and lengthy, and occasional subtractions are required to bring the totals into general conformity with one another. The most serious obstacle encountered in bringing about the uniformity necessary for the purpose in hand grows out of the variety of practice that prevails in segregating loans from other investments. To be sure, items not placed in accordance with official rulings are eventually thrown into total loans and discounts-or taken out, as the case may be-on dates of call; but otherwise they appear on the daily statement under various headings. One bank, for example, included all its United States certificates of indebtedness under time loans, and these had to be subtracted from the daily totals by reference to other records. Another institution classified under other investments, securities held by it under repurchase agreements (large amounts as it happened) which were transferred to loans only at dates of call. Moreover, to the uninitiated these holdings were not described in a way to differentiate them from other stock and bond investments. Another bank which also carried securities under repurchase agreements had classified them as loans, but under a designation which required definition. In all cases, differences of this sort have been corrected in
${ }^{1}$ Individual overdrafts as a rule do not appear on the daily statements, being kept as separate memoranda. Hence in all but one instance, in which the effect upon the results was insignificant, they have been
excluded.
accordance with the official practice except in instances noted below.

## FOREIGN LOANS.

Loans made by foreign branches of two of the banks had to be excluded as they are only available for the dates of call and their inclusion would be undesirable for comparative purposes even if obtainable. In one of these cases estimates of amounts of such loans were obtained and it was found that the resultant percentage fluctuations did not differ materially from those used except in one month. Banks with foreign departments sometimes maintain entirely separate bookkeeping systems and only transfer balances to the daily statement instead of distributing investments among the various items. For this reason, in the case of Bank $Z$, the average amounts of foreign loans were estimated on the basis of the Comptroller's calls, as the amounts were nearly the same for all the dates and assurance was given that they were consistently so. It so happened that the resultant changes amounted to only a fraction of 1 per cent in any month. In the case of the same bank, certain shortterm municipal notes carried as loans on the general ledger had been transferred to investments in the daily statement and were allowed to remain there. Otherwise, except for a few minor items (kept under investments for all purposes except calls), whose addition to loans would have been laborious and would have been practically without effect upon computations, uniformity of practice in combining loans and discounts has, it is hoped, been secured.

## MERGERS.

The continuity of the comparisons has been interfered with in four instances by mergers. Fortunately, in two cases (Banks N and X) these occurred in 1914 and did not affect the computations of the chain indices in 1917, 1918, 1919. The averages were corrected by increasing the totals for the earlier months of the year in which the mergers took place by a constant percentage representing the addition to loans thereby brought about. In the third case (that of Bank O) occurring in 1919, loans were reduced for the later months in similar fashion in computing percentages for the tables showing the range of fluctuations in the daily averages of loans and discounts as given by months. In the fourth case (Bank A), the merger was effected in 1917 and it seemed best in this instance to increase loans for the preceding months of 1917 by a fixed amount instead of by the addition of a constant percentage. (See Tables I and II.) No corrections, how-
ever, were introduced for the statistics contained in Tables A and B in the second part of the study.

VOLUME OF LOANS.
The total volume of loans and discounts of the 11 banks, as averaged for the year in each case, on the basis of daily holdings, amounted to $\$ 1,900,000,000$ in round numbers in 1919. as compared with not quite $\$ 620,000,000$, the sum of the averages for 1913. As will be shown, however, by Chart $X$ facing this page, the rate of increase has been very unequal for the different banks, while the extent of the fluctuations up and down show an extraordinary difference of degree and often of direction. ${ }^{1}$
The banks rank as follows according to:

| Rank. | (1) <br> Total resources, 1919 (last official statement). | (2) <br> Loans and discounts, 1919 (averages based on daily statements). | (3) <br> Loansand discounts, 1913 (averages based on daily statements). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | M | M | C |
| 2. | C | C | Z |
| 3. | B | B | M |
| 4. | E | A | A |
| 5. | A | Z | B |
| 6. | X | X | X |
| 7. | 7 | N | E |
| 8. | D | D | D |
| 9. | N | Y | N |
| 10. | 0 | E | Y |
| 11. | Y | 0 | 0 |

Since the present study deals in percentage shifts, the banks naturally lend themselves to classification according to the character of the percentual changes in loans and discounts for the period. An examination of Chart X, referred to above, and of Table I, makes it evident that on the whole the banks have become increasingly unstable as regards the volume of loans and discounts held, but that, notwithstanding this fact, differences in degree of instability persist so that it is possible to group them roughly into (1) banks with a wide range of deviation from the yearly average throughout the period under examination, as, for example, Banks E and M ; (2) banks with an intermediate range of deviations--some manifesting a fair degree of stability for single years, but with a great spread in the averages for other years, as banks $O$ and $C$; others showing a wide range within the year but with greater steadiness of range when comparing one year with another, as Banks A and D. Banks B and Y have also been placed in this intermediate group; (3) banks with a relatively limited range of deviation as compared with the other banks in the group, as, for example, Banks X, Z, and N.

1 Chart $X$ is based on actual averages without corrections for mergers.

Table I.--Difference in range between (1) highest and lowest daily averages of loans and discounts for months, and (2) highest and lowest daily holdings of loans and discounts (expressed as percentages of the daily average of total loans and discounts for each year).
[Corrected as previously indicated to allow for mergers. Based on items correct to the nearest $\$ 1,000$.


DAY-TO-DAY FLUCTUATIONS.
The characteristic tendencies come out even more strongly in an examination of day to day fluctuations in loans and discounts. Table I gives the range of the daily averages for months, and of the actual daily amounts of loans held, for each bank, as expressed in percentages of the daily averages for the successive years. The justification for the attempt at grouping on the basis of the variability of the monthly average is usually reenforced by an examination of the figures showing the daily range. The classification is by no means hard and fast and it may be that certain banks in the intermediate group could be transferred to the first group. It will be noted that Bank $M$ in the first group is first both in total resources and in loans in 1919; Bank E, fourth in total resources, is only tenth in loans; whereas two of the three banks in the lowest group rank higher in volume of loans than in total resources.

The classification does not take account of differences in the rate of growth of loans and discounts from year to year. Bank E , for example, has shown a relatively lower rate of increase from year to year than other banks, falling from seventh to tenth place. Bank Z also has dropped from second to fifth place, whereas Bank $N$ in the same group has advanced from ninth to seventh place. It is the variability in the amount of such holdings from month to month, not the rate of increase from year to year, that is emphasized by the grouping.

As the information in regard to the several banks was secured under pledge not to make individual returns public, actual figures are nowhere employed. It is very desirable, however, in comparing percentage fluctuations to secure at least a rough idea of the relative amounts of actual loans and discounts in order to know whether large percentual fluctuations apply to a relatively small bank or to one of the largest ones. For this purpose, Chart X, showing the course of loans and discounts for all banks for the years 1913-1919, has been drawn on the logarithmic scale, thereby making it possible not only to show percentage increases or decreases from month to month but also enabling one to place absolute amounts in relation to one another. Two-deck logarithmic paper was used in order to facilitate comparison of banks whose loans and discounts fall below $\$ 100,000,000$ with those which rise above that sum. This chart shows the general trend for each bank, and can be used to supplement impressions given by the statistics. (See Chart X.) Charts drawn on the arithmetic scale have also been prepared showing for one typical bank in each group the percentage changes in the daily averages of loans and discounts from month to month expressed in terms of the daily averages for the year. (See Chart Y following Chart X.)
(Excerpt from "Elements or Statistics" (Bowley), p. 188, 3d edition)
"* * * When we are attacking questions of causation it very frequently happens that we are more concerned to know the proportionate increase than the actual increase. * * * We need a diagram not of quantities, but of ratios, where equal vertical distances represent no longer equal absolute increments, but equal proportional increments; that is, equal rates of increase. By the use of logarithms a universal scale can be constructed which serves this purpose. The nonmathematical student can easily accustom himself to the use of diagrams so constructed, by studying one where the actual amounts represented are entered, and noticing that whatever part of the scale he takes, doubling, halving, increasing by 20 per cent, and so on, are always represented by the same vertical distances, respectively. * * *"

It should be remembered, however, that the vertical scale measuring increases is not the same as that for decreases. For example, a 30 per cent decrease is always represented by the same vertical distance, on any part of
the scale, but the distance is not the same as for a 30 per cent increase. (Cf. scales of increase and of decrease, Chart X.

## IRREGULAR LOAN MOVEMENT.

Throughout the period under examination the movement of loans and discounts is highly irregular. The uncertain industrial outlook in 1913, the outbreak of war in Europe in 1914, the coincident panic, the subsequent trade revival and unexampled growth of the years 1915 and 1916, were unsettling factors that took away all semblance of normality from statistics of banking growth. Then followed the declaration of war by this country and the all-controlling and upsetting influence exercised by fiscal needs. Opportunity for normal expansion and contraction of loans has been lacking. It is only surprising that in the midst of the turmoil there is a certain persistence of type in the movement of the loans and discounts of the individual banks that warrants an attempt at classification.

During the year 1915 the change in percentage relatio ships is unprecedented. In all cases there is a marked rise due to the fact that the year witnessed a tremendous growth of trade and iadustry following the uncertainty and panic immediately succeeding the outbreak of the war in Europe. A contraction of loans had no sooner been achieved than an expansion came on a great scale and a steady advance upward was registered. It is interesting to note that the range for all banks with the one exception of Bank E was greater in 1915 than in any war year, but the movement was regular, almost uniformly upward. It is also noticeable that the increase was as spectacular in the case of the trust companies as in that of the banks then belongirg to the Federal Reserve System.
The outstanding fact which is emphasized by the charts is the increasing irregularity of loans and discounts for all banks, with the maintenance, however, of relative status, i. e., the banks showing greatest stability in earlier years are also found to have steadier loan holdings during the war period while the percentual changes of banks that were erratic to begin with continue to be pronounced. (See Charts X and Y and Table I.) The frequency table on page 46 showing by years the number of times different per cents occur in different months again evidences the progressive spread that has occurred in those fluctuations as compared even with such abnormal years as 1913-1914 (excepting 1915, however). (See Table II.)
A more detailed analysis has been made of the statistics of loans and discounts for the war years 1917, 1918, and for 1919, the daily averages for each month being expressed as a
percentage of the daily averages for the preceding month, giving a series of chain relatives for each bank. Table III on page 47 shows the comparative frequencies of the values of chain relatives by months during the three years.

CHAIN RELATIVES.
A comparison of the classified chain relatives of the individual banks for the years 1917, 1918, and 1919 with those contained in the frequency table published on page 159 of the Review of Economic Statistics for April, 1919, which shows monthly average loans of the New York City clearing-house banks (January, 1879, to January, 1914, inclusive), gives added emphasis to the fact of increasing irregularity. $\frac{\mathrm{June}}{\mathrm{May}}$
Table II.-Loans and discounts: Daily averages for each month expressed as percentages of the daily average for the year. [Frequency of occurrence of different per cents in different months.] [Based on items correct to nearest $\$ 1,000$.]


Table III.-Loans and discounts: Daily averages for each month expressed as percentages of the daily averages for the preceding month.
[Comparative frequencies of values of chain relatives, by months, in three years.]
[Based on items correct to the nearest $\$ 100,000$.]


The cases in which the increase exceeded 6 per cent in any month for the entire period were eight in number, three occurring in February. The cases in which decreases equaled or exceeded 6 per cent were one each in January, March, May, June, October, and December. A glance at Table III shows how marked are the divergencies of the relatives of the individual banks from any normal trend that may be postulated on the basis of the clearing-house figures. To be sure these latter computations were based upon composite returns from the clearing-house banks and individual erratic tendencies would be absorbed in many in-
stances. ${ }^{3}$ However, when 11 banks, representing such large amounts of banking resources as those in question, show variations as extreme as indicated in the table presenting comparative frequencies of values of chain relatives in the years 1917, 1918, 1919, it is evident that all tests of normality that might once have been applied fall down. Even eliminating Bank E and Bank M, responsible for the most extreme fluctuations, the spread still remains very great.

[^2]Chart Y.


Bank " $E$ " has a limited number of large accounts and heavy investments in securities in relation to loans." It is among the leading "Wall Street" banks.

Bank "B" occupies an intermediate position. It represents commercial banking operations, does a Wall Street business, and controls heavy bankers' balances.

Bank "N" has a large number of individual accounts and is said to be engaged primarily in commercial banking operations. Investments in securities are relatively small.

Although these three typical banks happen to fall into three different groups, the method of grouping has not been based upon any distinctions as to type of business done. It rests of necessity upon superficial differences that are apparent to anyone examining the statistics. A thoroughgoing interpretation of the changes in loans and discounts of tfie individual banks would necessitate an intimate knowledge of the scope of their activities, the kinds of business financed by them, and the seasonal peculiarities of such businesses.

COMPARISON WITH CLEARING-HOUSE BANKS.
Furthermore, not only are individual variations abnormal as compared with the composite clearing-house returns. but the upward and downward trends for the 11 banks taken as a whole are quite incalculable from month to month during the three-year period studied. For example, in 1918 and in 1919 January shows a decline over December of the preceding year in a majority of instances, whereas the median point for the clearing-house banks for the period 1879-1914 was 101.3; February shows a decline in these years, but in 1917 advances were recorded for 7 of the 11 banks (C. H. 103.7). Similarly, in March, 1918, and in March, 1919, there is a decline in 7 cases (C. H. 100.7). April shows a decline in 6 cases both in 1918 and in 1919 , but advances in 9 cases in 1917 (C. H. 99.4). In May declines occur in 3 cases in 1918, in 3 cases in 1919, and in 7 cases in 1917 (С. H. 100.0). In June for all three years there was an advance over May in a majority of cases (C. H. 100.8) ; in July there was an advance in 1918 in all but two cases and in the other years a fainly even division (C. H. 101.3). In the majority of cases August shows a drop in 1919 and 1918 (C. H. 100.6), and September an advance in 1919 and a drop in 1918 and 1917 (C. H. 99.2). October drops in 1917, adrances in 1918 and 1919 (C. H. 100.0); November advances in 1918, drops markedly in 1919 (C. H. 99.4) ; December advances in 1917 and drops in 1918 and 1919 in the majority of cases (C. H. 99.2). Even if there were evidence of a tendency to conform to any gencral trend in the movements upward or downward, the percontage changes are so extrome in comparison with the restrained limits of the clearing-houso returns for the earlier years that they would be of little use in enabling one to forecast trends. As it is, there is a lack of consistency in the month to month changes when comparing one bank with another and lack of consistency in month to month changes from one year to another in the case of the same banks. ${ }^{3}$

[^3]
## EFFECT OF REDISCOUNTS.

With the rediscount facilities afforded by the Federal Reserve Banks, it is to be expected that the month to month fluctuations in loans and discounts would be exaggerated. In a sense, that may be said to have been one of the chief objectives of banking reform--to make it easier to enlarge loan holdings at certain seasons of the year, not to keep them within rigid limits. If borrowing from Federal Reserve Banks had been undertaken solely for carrying loansinstead of largely for the purpose of financing the purchase of securities, or if it were possible to differentiate actually as well as technically between the two classes of applications, one might measure somewhat the extent to which borrowing or rediscounting in 1917, 1918, and 1919 had enhanced the fluctuations of loans as compared with the already erratic movements of 1913-1916.

It is obvious that any seasonal tendencies have been obscured, neutralized, or even reversed by war-time needs and by readjustment activities following the war. The fairly general and decided upward trend at the end of the year in 1917, 1918, and 1919 testifies to that fact. That the composition of loans should be altered by war needs goes without saying. All banks would have large additions to loans collateraled by United States securities. Those banks investing heavily in United States securities would also borrow more heavily to pay for them. But such investments would not affect the ability to lend to private borrowers, and if rediscounting operations had been confined to financing purchases of United States securities, there would be no reason to expect that loans and discounts would show a tendency to shift more violently in the later years of the period studied. As a matter of fact, it is evident that the rediscounting facilities afforded by the Federal Reserve Banks not only took care of the purchase of Government securities but made possible the creation of "war" loans without necessitating the displacement of other loans to an equal amount, just as in normal times seasonal needs would make possible the granting of new loans without corresponding contraction, if rediscounting facilities were available. It is not without significance, therefore, that the banks which have resorted most largely to borrowing are usually found among those whose loan holdings have been highly irregular. The banks which have done the least borrowing have maintained, as compared with other banks, a steadier line of loans and discounts, although all have shared in the increasing unevenness.

## Part II.

To demonstrate the statements made above, it is necessary to follow the operations of the individual banks, in order to see to what extent and under what conditions they borrowed from the Federal Reserve Bank. The detailed examination of their borrowing operations has been confined to 1918-19 as the data for 1917 are incomplete for several banks. The returns for 1917 which are available, however, merely reinforce the conclusions reached by a study of the statistics for 1918-19.

Borrowing operations of the banks have been considered primarily in their relation to loans and discounts on the one hand, and to United States deposits on the other, with a view to determining some of the underlying reasons which led to applications for loans and rediscounts. (It is hoped that a study of changes in individual deposits and in bankers' deposits, now in preparation, will throw further light on this subject.)

So much stress has been placed upon the extent to which borrowing at the Federal Reserve Banks has resulted from Government calls of sums on deposit created by loans made to the Government against holdings of its securities, that it is interesting to observe the cases in which operations of this sort are severely limited. In two cases, at least, Banks N and X, borrowing was resorted to relatively late and only became considerable at the end of the period studied when business and industrial needs rather than governmental demands were pressing.

## STATISTICAL STUDY.

Tables A and B contain the statistics upon which the study is based. Table A shows for each bank for 1918 and 1919 the percentage deviations from corresponding items for the previous month, of daily averages of (1) loans and discounts, (2) bills payable and rediscounts, and (3) United States deposits. Table $B$ shows the percentage relations of daily averages for months and for years of (1) bills payable and rediscounts and (2) United States deposits to daily averages of total loans and
discounts for corresponding periods during 1918 and 1919. Table C gives data similar to that presented in Table B for the seven banks for which returns were secured in 1917.

For purposes of the present study, it appears desirable to ignore the distinction between bills payable and rediscounts. To subtract rediscounts from total loans, for example, and not deduct bills payable would give an entirely false idea of the relative position of two banks, one of which is shifting part of its burdens whether of loans or of investments by means of bills payable, while the other is resorting to rediscounts. In the case of Bank Z, for example, bills payable are collateraled by bills receivable over a considerable period. If one were to include these bills receivable in total loans and discounts and exclude eligible paper of the same sort rediscounted, the proceeding would be illogical and would lead to false conclusions. For purposes of the present comparison, therefore, rediscounts have been added to loans and discounts as previously stated and total figures of bills payable and rediscounts have been compared with total loans including rediscounts.

In the banks examined, bills payable have usually been collateraled either entirely or almost wholly by certificates of indebtedness. In the case of Bank $\mathbf{E}$ this is exclusively so: the use of bills receivable by Bank Z is exceptional. Some of the banks have made fairly extensive use of customers' paper collateraled by United States securities, as, for example, Banks B and C. But the facts are that the purpose of the rediscount does not dictate the form it shall take. The only way to establish a connection (or lack of it) between the general lending activities of the bank and its need of rediscounts is to combine bills payable, however secured, with rediscounts. Even so, if investments are combined with loans for purposes of comparison, the reasons for mounting rediscounts are obscured. They may be due to payments called for by the Government or investments in securities or they may be occasioned by increasing loans. There is no way of knowing, however.

Table A (1918).-(1) Loans and discounts, (2) bills payable and rediscounts, and (3) United States deposits.-Percentage deviation of the daily average for each month from the corresponding daily average for the preceding month.
[Based on items correct to nearest $\$ 100,000$.]

|  | Bank A. |  |  | Bank B. |  |  |  |  | Bank C. |  |  |  | Bank D. |  |  | Bank E. |  |  |  |  | Bank M. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1) | (2) |  | (3) |  |  |  | (2) | (3) | (1) | (2) | (3) ${ }^{1}$ |  | 1) | (2) |  | (3) | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 1918. | Perct. | Perct. | Perct. | Per ct. | Per |  | Per |  | Per |  | Per ct. | Perct. | Perct. | Perct. | Perct. |  |  |  |  | Perct. | Perct. | Perct. | Perct. |
| February.. | +2.2 | ${ }^{2}$ ) | +127.9 | $-5.3$ | - 22 |  |  |  |  |  | - 30.2 | +206.8 | $+7.3$ | +1.8 | 165.5 |  |  |  |  | +113.1 | 1-23.2 | - 7.8 | +32.0 |
| Mareh..... | - 5.4 | (2) | $-32.3$ | $-4.9$ | + | 3.6 |  |  | - 5 | $2+$ | $+22.3$ | + 30.4 | $+5.2$ | $+54.2+$ | +20.8 |  |  | (2) |  | +92.1 | $1+8.7$ | $7+89.1$ | $-31.6$ |
| April. | + 0.3 | (2) | - 8.6 | $-0.8$ | - 23 | 3.5 |  |  | -1 | $7+$ | $+125.5$ | + 8.2 | $+5.3$ | $+20.1$ | -35.5 |  |  | +4 |  | + 28.1 | $1+1.3$ | +222.9 | $-45.7$ |
| May.. | + 4.2 | +452.0 | + 14.6 | + 4.0 | - 42 | 2.6 |  |  | +1 | $8+$ | $+32.1$ | 1-0.2 | + 0.6 | $-1.9$ | -60.0 |  | 13.9 |  | 0.1 | + 23.4 | $4+9.7$ | $7+22.8$ | $-4.3$ |
| June. | +2.3 | - 7.2 | -18.2 | $+11.3$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  | +15 | . 9 | - 2.6 | + 1.8 | -2.0 | $-45.2+$ | + 50.0 |  | 30.0 | +2 | 4.4 | $-52.9$ | +11. | - 45.7 | $-1.0$ |
| July. | +1.9 | - 39.8 | + 11.7 | $+6.5$ | +108 | 8.1 |  |  | +10 | . 9 | - 95.0 | 0-31.5 | +3.7 | $+16.8$ | - 13.9 |  | 19.0 | $-4$ | 2.5 | $+55.5$ | +14. | -54.3 | $+61.4$ |
| August | +2.8 | + 44.1 | - 11.4 | $-1.3$ | + 49 | 9.6 | $-16$ | 6.7 | -2 | . $9+$ | $+156.3$ | + +32.2 | - 0.5 | +45.1 | -16.1 |  | 7.7 |  | 7.5 | - 12.2 | - 4.6 | + +185.4 | -16.0 |
| September. | $-5.3$ | + 24.3 | - 42.1 | $+6.8$ | + 19 | 9.6 | -48 | 8.6 | - 2 | $6+$ | $+65.3$ | - 37.4 | -12.4 | +4.9 | - 40.4 |  | 6.6 |  | 4.8 | $-25.3$ | + 0.9 | $9+117.5$ | $-29.3$ |
| October... | -0.6 | -14.5 | +101.9 | +0.6 | $-35$ | 5.1 | - | 3.7 | $+2$ | $0+$ | +352.1 | + 22.3 | -6.6 | -40.4 | - 9.7 |  | 9.1 |  | 0.9 | +64.8 | $8+9.9$ | $9+25.0$ | -3.7 |
| November. | $+16.6$ | $+67.8$ | + 29.8 | +14.5 |  | 0.0 | $+46$ | 6.1 | +14 | . $8+$ | $+48.7$ | - 19.3 | +6.1 | $-26.0$ | +35.7 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.9 | + 26.5 | +14.0 | - 34.6 | -24,2 |
| December. | $-0.3$ | + 71.7 | $-63.0$ | $-6.3$ |  |  |  |  | - 0 |  | - 26.8 | 8-18.8 | +1.9 | $+29.0$ | + 23.7 | - |  | +3 | 9.3 | $-67.5$ | $5-4.3$ | 3-15.1 | -23.1 |
|  |  | Bank N. |  |  |  | Bank O. |  |  |  |  |  | Bank X. |  |  | Bank Y. |  |  |  |  |  | Bank Z. |  |  |
|  |  | (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (1) |  |  | 2) |  | (3) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) |  | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 1918. |  | Per ct. | Perct. <br> (2) | Per ct.+74.5 |  | Perct. |  | Perct. (2) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per ct. } \\ +18.3 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per ct. } \\ +0.6 \end{gathered}$ | Per ct. (2) | Per ct. | Perct. Perct. |  |  |  | Per ct. $+59.5$ |  | Perct. | Perct. | Per ct. |
| February |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.0 |  |  | +10 | . 2 |  |  |  |  |  | -6.5 | +29.1 |  |  | +273.5 |
| March. |  | -. 6 | (2) | + |  |  | 6.7 | ${ }^{3}+5$ | 509.3 |  |  |  | -65.2 | $-0.9$ | ${ }^{2}$ 2) | $-24.2$ | + | 0.3 |  |  |  | 1.7 | $-5.5$ | +38.4 | - 8.7 |
| $\Lambda$ pril |  | $\begin{aligned} & -2.0 \\ & +3.0 \end{aligned}$ | (2) | - 40 | 0.7 |  | 3.8 |  | 5.3 |  | +62.9 | - 2.5 | ..... | -35.2 | $+$ | . 8 |  |  |  |  | $+5.5$ | $+5.7$ | $-16.4$ |
| May |  |  | (2) | +11 | 7.1 |  | 10.4 |  | 169.4 |  | -45.5 | - 1.3 | $+45.5$ | $+27.1$ | - | 3. 0 |  |  |  | 36.4 | + 3.8 | -24.0 | + 77.3 |
| June |  | +4.1 | (2) | - 18 | 8.4 |  | 14.1 | - | 51.5 |  | +22.9 | $-0.2$ | $+65.6$ | $+16.0$ | $+$ | 5. 6 |  | 1.1 |  | 60.0 | + 6.2 | +11.9 | - 16.3 |
| July.. |  | $-.2$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  | 15.5 | - | 59.6 |  | +3.4 | $-1.0$ | $+109.4$ | +66.7 | $+$ | 1.2 |  | 1.4 |  | 6.9 | +2.1 | $+88.3$ | $-21.5$ |
| August. |  |  | -3.4 (2) | $+$ | 6.5 |  | 8.2 |  | 63.1 |  | +55.7 | +16.4 | +19.8 | $+25.6$ | - | 4.0 |  | 4.4 |  | 6.0 | $-4.1$ | $-7.3$ | $+27.4$ |
| September |  | -1.5 |  | - -3 | 6.3 |  | 12.4 |  | 35.5 |  | $-58.9$ | $-1.0$ | $+73.7$ | $-59.9$ | $+$ | 4.9 |  | 5.3 |  | 60.3 | $-3.3$ | -15.2 | - 52.1 |
| October |  | +4.8 +380.0 |  | $0+7$ | 8.5 |  | 9.1 |  | 45.0 |  | +17.1 | $-3.4$ | $-31.6$ | - 1.4 |  | 5. 1 |  | 3.4 | $+$ | 4. 0 | $-1.3$ | -4.0 | - 23.2 |
| November |  | +8.1 | $+6.3$ | $3-$ | 8.0 | $+$ | 5.9 | - | 44.8 |  | $+17.3$ | $-3.7$ | 77.8 | $-6.9$ | $+1$ | . 5 |  | 5.4 | $+2$ | 42.3 | $+6.6$ | -39.0 | $-47.2$ |
| December |  | -3.3 | - 99.0 | $0-66$ | 6.7 |  | 4.1 |  | 187.5 |  | -48.1 | + 1.7 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | -10.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 61.8 | $-13.8$ | - 8.6 | +139.3 |

${ }^{1}$ Computations for both years based upon weekly statements made to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York showing United States doposits on hand.
in each case compared with returns for the last preceding month in which they are reported, not antedating Jan. 1 1918, however.)
3 Base less than $\$ 1,000,000$.
Table A (1919).-(1) Loans and discounts, (2) bills payable and rediscounts, and (3) United States deposits-Percentage deviation of the daily average for each month from the correspondiny daily averaje for the preceding month.
[Based on items correct to nearest $\$ 100,000$. ]


Table B.-(1) Bills payable and rediscounts, and (2) United States deposits-Daily averages for months and for years expressed as percentages of the daily averages of total loans and discounts for the same periods.
[Based on items correct to nearest $\$ 1,000$.]

| Average for- | Bank A. |  | Bank B. |  | Bank C. |  | Bank D. |  | Bank E. |  | Bank M. |  | Bank N. |  | Bank 0. |  | Bank X. |  | Bank Y. |  | Bank Z. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (1) | 1 (2) | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (1) | ${ }^{1}$ (2) | (1) | (2) |
| 1918. | P.ct. | .ct. | P.ct. | $P . c t$. | P.ct. | P.ct. | P.ct. | P.ct. | P.ct. | P.ct. | $P . c t$. | P.ct. | P.ct. | P.ct. | $P . c t$. | P.ct. | P.ct. | $P . c t$. | P.ct. | $P . c t$. | P.ct. | P.ct. |
| Year 1918.. | 5.6 | 10.2 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 12.6 | 14.3 | 20.0 | 7.7 | 68.5 | 60.0 | 13.4 | 9.5 | 1.1 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 13.2 | 4.8 | 7.2 | 22.4 | 7.4 | 14.5 | 6.3 |
| Jan | 1.4 | 7.6 | 8.4 | 5.4 | 8.4 | 4.8 | 16.7 | 2.9 | 31.3 | 15.6 | 2.4 | 15.3 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 3.8 | 1.2 | 25.0 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 9.2 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 6.3 | 7.6 | 2.2 |
| Feb | (2) | 16.8 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 6.2 | 15.4 | 16.9 | 7.3 | (2) | 47.9 | 2.9 | 26.3 | (2) | 6.3 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 34.4 | (2) | 9.1 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 10.5 | 8.8 |
| Ma | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 12.1 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 21.1 | 25.0 | 8.4 | (2) | 69.7 | 5.1 | 16.5 | (2) | 7.0 | 8.9 | 12.8 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 7. 0 | 14.2 | 9.1 | 15.3 | 18.5 |
| Apr | (2) | 10.9 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 18.3 | 23.3 | 28.5 | 5.3 | 50.0 | 91.0 | 16.2 | 8.9 | ${ }^{2}$ ) | 4.2 | 8.9 | 21.8 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 18.2 | 4.9 | 15.4 | 6.7 |
| May | 7.6 | 12.7 | 3.1 | 8.3 | 23.7 | 22.8 | 27.7 | 8.4 | 98.7 | 130.3 | 19.8 | 8.4 | (2) | 9.0 | 21.6 | 10.7 | 2.5 | 5.9 | 19.7 | 7.1 | 11.2 | 11.4 |
| Jume | 6.9 | 9.7 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 11.0. | 19.9 | 20.1 | 15.4 | 12.7 | 94.4 | 47.2 | 9.7 | 7.5 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 7.0 | 9.3 | 11.6 | 4.2 | 6.9 | 20.8 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 9.1 |
| July | 4.1 | 10.6 | 5.5 | 10.8 | 0.9 | 12.4 | 17.4 | 10.5 | 45.6 | 61.7 | 3.9 | 10.6 | ${ }^{2}$ ) | 7.1 | 3.2 | 10.3 | 8.9 | 11.6 | 20.2 | 9.8 | 21.8 | 7.0 |
| Aug | 5.7 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 2.4 | 26.9 | 25.5 | 8.8 | 45.6 | 50.3 | 11.5 | 9.3 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 7.7 | 4.8 | 15.0 | 9.2 | 12.5 | 20.2 | 9.6 | 21.0 | 9.3 |
| Sept | 7.5 | 5.6 | 9.5 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 10.8 | 30.4 | 5.9 | 80.4 | 40.1 | 24.8 | 6.5 | 1.2. | 5.0 | 3.6 | 7.0 | 16.1 | 5.1 | 29.7 | 3.6 | 18.5 | 4.6 |
| Oct | 6. 4 | 11.3 | 6.1 | 4. 2 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 19.5 | 5.6 | 111. 1 | 72.9 | 28.2 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 4.8 | 7.6 | 11.4 | 5.1 | 29.4 | 3.5 | 18.0 | 3.6 |
| Nov | 9.2 | 12.8 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 23.3 | 9.1 | 13.5 | 7.2 | 106.2 | 92.4 | 16.2 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 8.4 | 2.6 | 5.1 | 35.8 | 11.2 | 10.3 | 1.8 4.9 |
| Dec | 15.9 | 4.6 | 6.0 | 5. 7 | 17.2 | 7.5 | 17.2 | 8.8 | 149.1 | 30.2 | 14.4 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 7.5 | 4.5 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 4.5 | 41.2 | 4.3 | 10.9 | 4.9 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1919 . \\ \text { Year } 1919 . . \end{gathered}$ | 12.3 | 5.8 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 20.8 | 8.9 | 21.3 | 4.9 | 95.5 | 60.9 | 14.8 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 20.1 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 29.6 | 4.3 | 18.1 | 3.6 |
| Jan | 16.5 | 6.1 | 12.4 | 6.6 | 21.1 | 7.7 | 22.4 | 7.5 | 112.0 | 48.3 | 21.6 | 6.0 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 4.0 | 17.4 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 35.2 | 7.5 | 15.4 | 5.1 |
| Feb | 15.8 | 7.6 | 22.1 | 10.5 | 25.3 | 11.8 | 27.3 | 9.0 | 68.5 | 31.5 | 17.5 | 7.3 | (2) | 3.8 | 31.5 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 40.4 | 5.2 | 18.5 | 8.5 |
| Mar | 15.7 | 6.5 | 20.0 | 6.8 | 29.1 | 6.9 | 27.7 | 9.6 | 75.2 | 46.7 | 19.4 | 7.9 | (2) | 3.7 | 34.8 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 6.0 | 39.8 | 5.2 | 19.2 | 6.3 |
| Apr | 15.7 | 5.3 | 8.4 | 10.4 | 22.7 | 9.6 | 29.71 | 7.3 | 73.3 | 10.7 | 15.6 | 5.8 | (2) | 2.3 | 21.5 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 33.8 | 2.5 | 12.2 | 10.2 |
| May | 11.3 | 3.9 | 8.4 | 4.5 | 27.5 | 4.9 | 31.2 | 4.6 | 207.1 | 53.3 | 15.9 | 3.4 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 2.1 | 24:8 | 4.4 | 7.1 | 2.8 | 30.5 | 2.3 | 19.2 | 5.5 |
| June | 3.9 | 11. 5 | 9.2 | 4.5 | 18.2 | 7.4 | 22.5 | 2.9 | 85.7 | 137.5 | 13.2 | 6.7 | 1.7 | 8.3 | 20.4 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 25.8 | 8.2 | 21.9 | 1.7 |
| July | 12.7 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 17.1 | 8.2 | 24.2 | 3.3 | 105.3 | 50.2 | 10.3 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 27.4 | 1.4 | 11.4 | 1.3 | 22.8 | 5.3 | 17.5 | 0.8 |
| Aug | 12.1 | 3. 2 | 8.6 | 5.4 | 18.7 | 8.3 | 21.8 | 5.4 | 126.7 | 62.7 | 10.0 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 17.3 | 5.7 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 24.2 | 3.9 | 21.0 | 4.4 |
| Sept | 13.4 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 13.3 | 19.7 | 15.0 | 15.2 | 4. 6 | 98.1 | 95.1 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 7.3 | 23.8 | 3.5 | 20.5 | 1.8 |
| Oct. | 15.9 | 5.5 | 12.8 | 18.0 | 23.5 | 12.1 | 12.9 | 1. 7 | 68.5 | 54.5 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 13.7 | 2.1 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 22.4 | 3.2 | 19.6 | 0.7 |
| Nov | 6.1 | 3. 1 | 13.5 | 7.4 | 11.9 | 5.7. | 12.3 | 1.6 | 99.9 | 24.8 | 24.0 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 15.8 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 28.1 | 2.0 | 20.4 | 0.3 |
| Dec | 7.7 | 6.2 | 19.7 | 5.4 | 15.2 | 9.6 | 10.3 | 2.8 | 63.7 | 37.2 | 24.9 | 2.7 | 11.0 | 2.8 | 20.5 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 24.4 | 1.4 | 18.7 | 2.4 |

${ }^{1}$ Computations based on weekly returns of United States deposits made to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. 2 No rediscounts or bills payable reported.

Table C.-(1) Bills payable and rediscounts ${ }^{1}$ and (2) United States deposits - Daily averages for months and for the year expressed as percentages of the daily averages of total loans and discounts for the same periods.
[Based on items correct to nearest \$1,000.]

| Average for- | Bank IB. |  | Bank C. |  | Bank E. |  | Bank N. |  | Bank 0 . |  | Bank X. |  | Bank Z. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (1)1 | (2) | (1) | (2) |
| June $\quad 1917$. | P.ct. | P.ct. | P.ct. ${ }_{1}$ | P.ct. | $P_{2 . c t .}$ | $P_{12} \mathrm{ct}$. . | P.ct. | $P . c t$. | P.ct ${ }_{5} 8$ | P. ${ }_{5.1}{ }_{1}$ | $P . c t$. | P. ci. | P. ct. | P.ct. |
| July... | 1.2 | 0.9 |  | 2.9 | 6.6 | 12.8 |  | 5.7 | 5.5 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 3.2 | ${ }_{3.6}$ |
| August. |  | 2.6 |  | 4.4 |  | 41.0 |  | 3.7 | 0.2 | 0.8 |  | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.8 |
| September | 2.1 | 5.9 |  | 10.1 | 5.6 | 76.5 |  | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 |  | 3.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| October | 1.1 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 19.3 | 84.8 | 74.0 |  | 6.0 | 11.3 | 8.5 |  | 4.0 | 2.4 | 1.4 |
| November. | 1.3 | 17.9 | 26.0 | 57.0 | 129.5 | 71.3 |  | 28.8 | 5.8 | 20.4 |  | 10.0 | 3.0 | 13.8 |
| December. | 2.9 | 19.3 | 31.2 | 33.8 | 7.6 | 120.5 |  | 11.2 | 11.0 | 28.6 |  | 10.0 | 8.4 | 8.6 |

May figures not included given for few days at end of month.
${ }^{1}$ Includes United States Certificates sold under repurchase agreements.

The direct comparison of bills payable and rediscounts with loans and discounts, excluding other investments, undeniably gives startling results in some cases, as, for example, in those instances in which rediscounts in excess of 100 per cent of loans appear. If extraordinarily high percentages are found, it is obvious that the bank in question is heavily interested in other investments (although if loans are mounting at the same time, there will be a secondary cause of rediscounting activity to be reckoned with).

The question that arises in examining the ratios of bills payable and rediscounts to loans
and discounts is an insistent one. Why was there such unequal resort to the privilege of buying United States securities on credit and then borrowing to meet calls for payment, especially as final payment could be effected easily when the redemption of certificates occurred or when Government funds were subsequently deposited? In short, since the operation involved expansion of investments without necessitating a corresponding increase in current funds, it is surprising that it was not done more extensively even by banks customarily putting their resources in loans rather than in other securities. The question has
been frequently put to bankers, but has never been satisfactorily answered. One can see that, in the case of banks carrying a large proportion of trust or savings funds and facing the prospect of heavy withdrawals at the time of the Liberty loan campaigns, the necessities of the case would dictate extensive investments in United States securities. Similarly banks carrying large amounts of funds in securities that could be easily liquidated and accustomed to a high degree of fluctuation in loans would be freer to convert their assets into Government securities at the beginning. But subsequently, the profitableness of such operations must have appealed to all banks, whatever the character of their business.

## CHANGES IN REDISOOUNTS AND BILLS PAYABLE AND IN UNITED STATES DEPOSITS.

To study the interaction between rediscounts and bills payable on the one hand and United States deposits on the other, it is necessary to consult both Tables A and B. It does not follow that a striking inverse correlation between rediscounts and United States deposits, as shown by the chain indices in Table A, reflects any very significant relationship of the absolute figures. To get some further light on this point, it is necessary to turn to Table B, giving percentages of daily averages for each month of bills payable and rediscounts and of daily averages of United States deposits to the daily average of total loans and discounts for the corresponding period. One can with the aid of Table B determine whether the shifts upward and downward are of comparable. magnitude. To illustrate: An increase of 11.9 per cent in bills payable and rediscounts in Bank Z in June, 1918, parallels a decrease of 16.3 per cent in United States deposits. (See Table A.) In May, however, bills payable and rediscounts had averaged 11.2 per cent of total loans for that month and United States deposits 11.4 per cent. (See Table B.) It is evident that although the absolute drop has been greater in the case of United States deposits, the absolute magnitudes are in measurable relation to each other. To illustrate again: Bank C in June, 1919, showed a decrease of 30.2 per cent in the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts compared with the preceding month. At the same time the daily average of United States deposits rose 60.5 per cent. (See Table A.) However, as United States deposits in May averaged only 4.9 per cent of the daily average of loans and discounts for that month against 27.5 per cent for the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts, it is patent that the absolute
increase of 60.5 per cent is small when compared with the decrease of 30.2 per cent in bills payable. (See Table B.)

The method of averaging by months somewhat obscures at times the extent of the existing inverse correlation; but weekly averages proved to be even less satisfactory. A day-to-day flotting of the actual figures would yield the best results, as a descending line of bills payable and rediscounts may cross an ascending line of United States deposits in the middle of the month or vice versa. Indeed the upward and downward movements may extend over a period of several months. Unfortunately daily statements could not be effectively used without divulging actual returns, but it has been possible to use charts based upon daily returns as supplementary aids in interpreting the monthly averages.

When United States deposits result from credit purchases of certificates or credit on loan account there is, of course, no reason to expect that an increase in such deposits will bring about a decrease in bills payable and rediscounts. But following increases in United States deposits due to actual receipts as in months of tax payments, there is reason to expect a reduction of bills payable in the case of banks that have previously borrowed to meet calls for payment on securities purchased on credit or bonds bought similarly. It is noticeable in June, 1918, that there is a reduction in average bills payable and rediscounts in a majority of the banks and an increase in the average of United States deposits. This is even more generally true in June, 1919. ${ }^{\text {i }}$

## PEAK OF REDISCOUNTS.

Notwithstanding the marked differences in the practices of the various banks both as to time and extent of rediscounting and in the relative amount of United States deposits carried, a few partial generalizations can be made. Both in 1918 and in 1919 a bare majority of the banks reach the peak of their rediscounting activities in the months of October, November, and December, when daily averages of rediscounts and bills payable for the month bear the highest percentage relation to the daily averages for the year. (See Table X.)
In 1919, however, it will be noted that the daily average for successive months of United States deposits on the whole bears a steadily diminishing relationship to the daily average of total loans and discounts, while the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts after a

4 Sometimes the increase in United States deposits grows out of purchases of United States certificates on credit, preceding tax payments, the subsequent redemption of certificates resulting in the reduction of
bills payable following the tax-due dates.
mid-year period of contraction becomes on the whole an increasing percentage of the daily average of total loans and discounts for corresponding periods. Not only that, but there is an increasing tendency for loans and rediscounts to move upward and downward in unison, whereas Government withdrawals, even when occurring at times when rediscounts are rising, become ever less adequate to explain the magnitude of those rediscounts. It will be noted, for example, by consulting Table B, that in 1918 the daily average for the year of

United States deposits in the majority of cases was greater than the daily average of rediscounts, but in 1919 the situation is reversed. In short, it is evident that the increases in rediscounts are taking care of loan increases to an ever greater extent. As might be expected under such circumstances, loans not only continue to show a wide range of fluctuations in 1919, but in some banks, the upward and downward swings cover a wider range as expressed in percentages than they did in 1918. (See Chart X.)

Table X.-Daily averages for each month expressed as percentages of the daily average for the year.
BILLS PAYARLE AND REDISCOUNTS.

- [Based on items correct to nearest $\$ 1,000$.]

|  | Bank A. | Bank B. | Bank C. | Bank D. | Bank E. | Bank M. | Bank N. | Bank 0. | Bank X. | Bank Y. | Bank 7. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January......... | 23.8 | 129.7 | 63.1 | 75.2 | 44.8 | 18.1 |  | 19.1 |  |  | 53.7 |
| February |  | 100.3 | 44.0 | 76.4 |  | 16.7 |  |  |  | 36.7 | ${ }_{60} 5$ |
| March. |  | 104.3 | 53.9 | 118.2 |  | 31.4 |  | 116.6 |  | 61.1 | 96.0 |
| April. |  | 78.9 | 121.5 | 141.8 | 64.8 | 101.8 |  | 112.7 | 34.2 | 78.6 | 101.6 |
| May. | 129.4 | 45.1 | 160.4 | 138.9 | 110.0 | 125.1 |  | 300.5 | 48.9 | 82.3 | 77.1 |
| June. | 120.3 |  | 156.3 | 76.0 | 136.9 | 67.9 |  | 147.1 | 82.4 | 91.7 | 86.4 |
| July... | 72.4 | 94.4 | 78.7 | 88.8 | 78.8 | 31.0 |  | 59.2 | 172.7 | 90.4 | 163.0 |
| August. | 103.8 | 141.2 | 20.2 | 129.1 | 84.6 | 88.4 |  | 95.0 | 206.9 | 86.5 | 150.7 |
| September | 129.6 | 169.5 | 33.4 | 135.2 | 139.5 | 192.3 | 108.4 | 63.5 | 358.4 | 133.8 | 128.0 |
| October | 110.3 | 110.0 | 150.8 | 80.9 | 168.7 | 240.3 | 502.0 | 01.5 | 244.4 | 138.9 | 123.0 |
| November | 185.5 | 110.0 | 224.4 | 59.7 | 167.1 | 157.1 | 529.6 | 49.2 | 53.9 | 187.4 | 75.0 |
| December. | 318.6 | 115.8 | 164.2 | 77.2 | 232.9 | 133.6 | 54.9 | 144.6 |  | 212.0 | 68.4 |
| UNITED STATES DEPOSITS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1918. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fanuary....... |  | 71.1 | 31.3 | 34.5 | 25.1 | 160.3 | 56. 4 | 181.9 | 125.2 | 73.1 | 34.8 |
| February | 162.3 109.9 | 102.6 86.8 | 96.3 125.6 | 91.4 109.6 | $\begin{array}{r}67.5 \\ 102.5 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 211.7 144.8 | 98.7 108.6 | 216.5 74.7 | 124.1 94.3 | 1117.7 | $\begin{array}{r}130.3 \\ +\quad 118.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| April. | 100.2 | 81.6 | 136.0 | 71.3 | 131.3 | 78.8 | 64.1 | 122.7 | 61.1 | 64.3 | 99.3 |
| May. | 114.9 | 101.7 | 135.7 | 113.8 | 161.9 | 75.4 | 139.5 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 89.2 | 176.2 |
| June.. | 94.3 | 149.2 | 138.1 | 170.4 | 76.2 | 74.4 | 113.2 | \$2.1 | 90.3 | 141.7 | 147.9 |
| July... | 105.2 | 156.5 | 94.6 | 146.3 | 118.7 | 120.4 | 114.2 | 84.4 | 150.1 | 131.5 | 116.1 |
| August | ${ }^{93.3}$ | 130.3 | 125.0 | 122.6 | 104.1 | 101.2 | 120.8 | 132.6 | 188.4 | 124.1 | 148.5 |
| September | 53.9 | 67.5 | 78.1 | 73.2 | 77.6 | 71.6 | 77.2 | 53.8 | 76.2 | 48.6 | 71.1 |
| October... | 108.8 | 64.8 | $9{ }^{96} 6$ | 66.0 | 128.0 | 68.8 | 137.6 | 64.2 | 74.3 | 50.4 | 54.6 |
| November | 143.7 | 94.2 | 77.2 | 89.4 | 162.0 | 52.3 | 126.5 | 75.1 | 69.9 | 176.4 | 29.0 |
| Dezember. | 52.3 | 93.4 | 62.6 | 111.3 | 52.6 | 39.7 | 43.2 | 38.5 | 61.8 | 66.6 | 69.9 |

BILLS PAYABLE AND REDISCOUNTS.


UNITED STATES DEPOSITS.

| January 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January. | 99.5 | 74.6 | 87.1 | 134.2 | 72.7 | 106.6 | 123.0 | 119.8 | 120.4 | 187.4 | 128.8 |
| February | 114.4 | 118.6 | 131.8 | 161.2 | 44.6 | 124.7 | 115.5 | 130.3 | 100.3 | 135.6 | 203.6 |
| Mareh. | 98.2 | 72.3 | 75.4 | 170.5 | 57.5 | 137.6 | 109.0 | 146.9 | 137.1 | 135.8 | 151.9 |
| April. | 81.1 | 115.5 | 108. 6 | 128.4 | 122.1 | 101.0 | 76.0 | 116.3 | 73.0 | 65.6 | 240.4 |
| May. | 61.8 | 50.0 | 54.8 | 85.9 | 59.3 | 60.1 | 73.7 | 88.5 | 64.9 | 57.5 | 135.3 |
| June. | 224.6 | 56.7 | 88.2 | 66.5 | 244.5 | 124.0 | 293.4 | 44.1 | 118.4 | 204.0 | 48.0 |
| July.. | 79.8 | 79.9 | 95.3 | 71.6 | 90.4 | 49.9 | 97.2 | 30.6 | 28.5 | 120.6 | 23.3 |
| Augist. | 63.2 | 62.7 | 89.9 | 127.2 | 93.3 | 92.5 | 118.2 | 127.2 | 95.9 | 81.1 | 120.1 |
| September | 164.2 | 117.3 | 163.6 | 103.2 | 145.7 | 122.6 | 56.4 | 136.6 | 168.5 | 72.2 | 49.6 |
| October.. | 111.4 | 224.6 | 134.9 | 57.1 | 134.4 | 148.1 | 21.9 | 66.3 | 146.5 | 69.4 | 20.3 |
| November. | 55.3 | 101.4 | 62.2 | 31.8 | 58.9 | 70.2 | 11.5 | 31.8 | 70.6 | 42.6 | 9.8 |
| December. | 108.4 | 70.7 | 109.5 | 55.3 | 70.1 | 62.7 | 102.1 | 161.8 | 83.7 | 27.8 | 69.5 |

## OLASSIFICATION OF BANKS.

Before examining the statistics of individual banks in more detail, a tentative classification might be made for the sake of clarity. Just as the 11 banks were grouped according to the extent of the fluctuations in their total loans and discounts (as averaged for months), so they may be classified according to the magnitude of their rediscounting operations as compared with total loans. Or they may be divided with reference to the extent of the inverse correlation existing between bills payable and rediscounts, on the one hand, and United States deposits on the other. In general, banks that have borrowed heavily also give evidence of active participation in governmental financing operations, while those that have done the least borrowing show little connection between rediscounts and accessions or withdrawals of Government funds. It follows that banks doing the greatest amount of borrowing, and participating most extensively in dealings in United States securities, can usually be grouped together by all three methods of classification. But there are at least two exceptions to this generalization. Bank $Z$, which has relatively stable loan holdings, is among the heavy borrowers whose rediscounts are not explicable by reference to Government operations, and Bank Y, also a heavy borrower, with fluctuating loans, varies its rediscounts throughout in close correspondence with changes in its loans.

Under normal conditions one would expect a bank accustomed to varying the amount of its loans and discounts rather freely, and used to carrying investments in other securities in more than the average amounts in relation to loans, to have less need of rediscounting facilities than banks of the opposite type. Banks with their resources more fully invested in loans and discounts, and accustomed to pursue an even lending policy, might be expected to feel more quickly the pressure of extraordinary demands for loans or unusually large withdrawals. They would have smaller available secondary reserves, such as readily convertible securities, upon which they could rely and would presumably apply sooner for the assistance of a rediscounting agency. Yet there were not only great differences in the extent of the reliance upon rediscounting by the several banks, but as a result of the character of the war-time need, the banks that would normally have been expected to do the least borrowing did the most.

## SPECLAT ANALYSIS.

The analysis of the operations of the several banks which follows is more confidently expressed in some cases than in others, because, as will be evident, the amount of supplementary information that can be used in interpreting the figures is fairly detailed in some cases, while in others it is highly condensed and inadequate.

## BANK E.

Bank E holds a unique position among the other banks in respect to the high ratios existing between daily averages of bills payable and of United States deposits to daily averages of total loans and discounts for corresponding periods. Both in 1918 and in 1919, daily averages of United States deposits are very large, being 60 per cent of the daily average of total loans and discounts in 1918 and 60.9 per cent in 1919. The daily average of bills payable, however, which amounted to 68.5 per cent of the daily average of total loans and discounts in 1918, has risen to 95.5 per cent in 1919 (Cf. Table B). It is evident, therefore, that in the latter year, bills payable are helping to carry a greater percentage of the regular loans and discounts. Til other words, they do not result so largely from Government calls for sums due on United States securities purchased on credit or from other withdrawals of government funds. As might be expected, therefore, with an expansion of rediscounting activity accompanying a reduction in the size of government operations, the fluctuations in the holdings of loans and discounts in 1919 become even more pronounced than they were in 1918. In view of the large investments in United States certificates and the heavy Government deposits on hand, it is easy to see why the effect of tax payments is more apparent and the resultant changes in condition more extreme in the case of Bank $E$ than in any of the other 10 banks. A day to day following of the movement of bills payable and United States deposits affords striking evidence of the inverse correlation existing between these items during the period preceding and following tax dates. In June, 1918, it will be noticed that the daily average of United States deposits showed a drop from May of 52.9 per cent, while bills payable increased 24.4 per cent. Withdrawals of United States deposits began in May and continued until the end of June, concurrently with a rise in bills payable. Then an accession of Government funds brought a decrease in bills payable, the effect of which is seen in the heavy

July drop in bills payable. The daily statements show that a July increase in United States deposits is due to purchases of United States certificates paid for by credit on the books of the bank. Bills payable on the other hand, remain fairly steady during the month, although below the level of the preceding month. (Cf. Table A.)
In September ard December, 1918, Goveriment withdrawals were fourd in conjunction with increases in bills payable. In September, however, although withdrawals of United States deposits led to an increase in bills payable, the extent to which such deposits were withdrawn is not adequately reflected in the average monthly figures, because reductions were partially counterbalarced in the latter part of the month by credit created additions to deposits resultirg from purchases of new certificates. (Cf. daily statements.) The December reductions in United States deposits were accompanied by a steady increase in bills payable urtil the middle of the month, after which date bills payable dropped somewhat. Nevertheless the amounit outstaidirg at the end of the year still remained at a very high figure. (Daily statemeats.) For July to December, inclusive, the daily averages of loans and discou ts are above the figures for the earlier mosths, while bills payable in October, November, ard December amourt to 111.1 per cent, 106.2 per cent, and 149.1 per cenit of total loa:s and discounts, cousiderably above the ratio of Uaited States deposits to total loans, which amounted to 72.9 per cen t, 92.4 per cent, and 30.2 per cen t, respectively. (Cf. Table B.)

In 1919 again a pronouiced drop in the daily average of United States deposits in May was due to steady Government withdrawals throughout the month, resulting in mounting bills payable, the maximum figure being reached at the end of the mo th when United States deposits were at their lowest point. (Cf. Table A.) In June the increase in United States deposits occurs at the beginning of the month when bills payable are being maintained at a high level. The increase is evidently the result of credit purchases of United States certificates. Followirg tax payments there is no increase in United States deposits, but bills payable fall with a reductio 1 in certificate holdings due to redemptions. In September again, a heavy increase in United States deposits dates from the middle of the month with a coincide it sharp drop in bills payable. October and November withdrawals of Government funds mark a steady advance of bills payable with a downward plunge at the beginning of December when certificate issuts
held were redeemed. The level of bills payable remains nevertheless high in December, averaging 63.7 per cent of the daily average of total loans and discounts, and it is evident that notwithstanding the close interaction throughout between bills payable and United States deposits, the former in recedirg fail to revert to the previous low levels, whereas United States deposits show diminishirg averages. (Cf. Table B.) Loans and discounts, however, advance and in the covcluding three months of 1919 average 150.4 per cent, 144.5 per cent, and 115 per cent, respectively, of the daily average for the year. (Cf. Chart Y.)

BANK M.
Bank M is another bank which carried heavy investments in United States securities in 1918 and 1919. In 1918 there is evidence of a certain amount of inverse correlation between bills payable and rediscounts on the one hand and United States deposits on the other. The heavy reductions in United States deposits in April, for example, are found in conjunction with a sharp rise in bills payable and rediscounts. But otherwise the average amounts of withdrawals are insignificant in comparison with the average increases in bills payable and rediscounts. (Cf. Table A.) After the June decreases in bills payable and rediscounts, resulting from redemption of United States certificates, a low level of rediscounts was maintained through July. Then there followed a period of increased borrowing. The daily average of bills payable and rediscounts rose from 3.9 per cent of the daily average of total loans and discounts in July to 24.8 per cent and 28.2 per cent of the daily average of total loans and discounts in September and October respectively, falling to 16.2 per cent in November and i4.4 per cent in December. (Cf. Table B.) Meanwhile loans and discounts were approaching the peak points for the year, the November average being 130.5 per cent of the yearly average, while the December average dropped slightly to 124.9 per cent (statistics not tabulated). United States securities directly held had, on the contrary, been reduced to a minimum in November and December, so that the loan increases were no doubt partly accounted for by additions to borrowers' loans collateraled by United States securities, following installment payments on the fourth Liberty loan.
In 1919 withdrawals of United States deposits appear to have had little to do with increases in bills payable and rediscounts. In the early part of the year, holdings of United States securities were heavy and their gradual
redemption kept borrowing in check. In fact, until October the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts for successive months falls steadily except for a March rise and a negligible increase in May. Thereafter, the expansion that occurred appears to have virtually nothing to do with Government operations. The rising amounts of bills payable and rediscounts, however, become an ever increasing proportion of total loans and discounts, jumping from 8.7 per cent of average loans in October to 24 per cent in November and 24.9 per cent in December. (Cf. Table B.) At the same time total loans and discounts rise above their daily average for the year to 114.1 per cent in October, 115.8 per cent in November, and 114.6 per cent in December. (Statistics not tabulated.) No doubt the tieing up of loans resulting from labor troubles and transportation difficulties which were responsible for a very general increase in borrowing operations at the end of the year affords at least a partial explanation of the rise which occurred $n$ the case of Bank M.

## BANK A.

Bank A has rediscounted much less heavily than either Bank E or Bank M in proportion to its outstanding loans and discounts. In fact, during the early months of 1918 there are no bills payable or rediscounts recorded, excepting a small amount in January. (Cf. 'Table B.) The direct holdings of United States securities are, however, heavier than for the later months of the year. In March there is an increase in the average amounts of United States securities directly held, while there is a drop in the average holdings of loans and discounts of about the same magnitude. A resort to borrowing in May accompanies an increase in loans, United States securities showing a commensurate decline. (For holdings of United States securities see weekly returns to Federal Reserve Bank of New York.) Installment payments on the third Liberty loan were due in this month and probably explain the loan increases with accompanying borrowing.

In the course of the year bills payable and rediscounts become a fairly steadily increasing percentage of loans and discounts, which in their turn reach their maximum in November and December, when the daily averages for the month are 113.6 per cent and 113.3 per cent, respectively, of the daily average for the year. (Statistics not tabulated.) As payments on the fourth Liberty loan were being made in these months and as United States securities directly held were below the level of earlier months, it looks as if responsibility for pay-
ments on United States bond issues had been shifted to customers in the form of collateral loans, installment payments being met for them by the bank, however, through a further resort to bills payable or rediscounts.

In 1919 Bank A displays marked unevenness in loans and discounts with a pronounced swell for the period from June to October. It will be noticed that bills payable and rediscounts maintain a high and fairly stable percentual relation to the daily average of loans and discounts for all months up to November, with the exception of June. (Cf. Table B.) The sustained high level of loans goes along with a diminution in amount of United States securities held, reductions coming at times when redemptions of United States certificates occur. (Cf. weekly returns made to Federal Reserve Bank of New York.) It is evident that these redemptions have not been made an occasion for contraction, as ilills payar le are not reduced in consequence. On the contrary, they are maintained at a high figure until November, when a sharp drop is brought about, coincident with loan contraction, loansfalling in September from 116.5 per cent of the daily average for the year to 101.4 per cent in November. (Statistics not tabulated.) The reduction which took place in June in bills payable and rediscounts was traceable to certificate medemptions, United States deposits beinghigh throughout the month with no evidence of additions or withdrawals. The December increase in Government deposits which came in the middle of the month coincided with a redemption of United States certificates and reduced holdings. Nevertheless borrowing increased toward the end of the month, although loans dropped slightly. (Cf. Table A.)

## BANK C.

Bank C is a bank having heavy direct investments in United States securities in 1918 (cf. daily statements) and highly variable amounts of bills payable and rediscounts outstanding. Its holdings of United States certificates are steadily increasing during the early months, reaching a maximum in May and June when United States deposits, evidently credit-created, are also at a high point. The high daily averages of bills payable and rediscounts for April, May, and June are explicable on the score of Government calls to pay for certificates purchased. (Cf. daily statements.) Loans and discounts remain below the daily average for January until June. With redemptions in United States certificates at the end of June, bills payable and rediscounts disappear entirely for a time. Throughout the summer months they remain at a low figure. (Cf. Table A.)

In the fall again there are large additions to holdings of United States certificates. Diminishing United States deposits and increases in loans which are at maximum in November and December, bring increases in bills payable and rediscounts, which in November and December are absolutely higher than they were in the preceding May and June. The loan increases follow reductions in United States certificates and represent loans to customers against United States securities in the months when installment payments on the fourth Liberty loan were due. (Cf. daily statements giving loans classified in detail.)

In 1919 Bank C shows a steady reduction in loans against United States securities and after July the daily average of total loans and discounts is constantly below the average for the year until December. (Cf. Chart X showing loan contraction early in the year.) The ratio of bills payable and rediscounts to total loans and discounts, although high throughout the year, is lower for the last half year, October excepted. (Cf. Table B.) The reduction in bills payable and rediscounts has coincided very largely with the redemption of United States certificates. In the months when United States deposits have been withdrawn the daily averages of bills payable have, as a rule, increased, as in March, May, and October. Toward the end of March there is a reduction in bills payable and rediscounts following a reduction in certificate holdings. The December increase in the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts appears to be related to loans as they increase together for a while. During the month, however, a drop in bills payable is found in conjunction with a reduction in loans against United States securities.

BANK B.
In the case of Bank B the daily averages of bills payable and rediscounts are moderately low in relation to the daily averages of total loans and discounts during 1918. Rediscounts of loans to customers against United States securities explain some part of the fluctuations in amounts of bills payable and rediscounts. (Cf. daily statements giving loans classified in detail.) Although few United States securities were carried directly, large loans were made against them as collateral and very heavy increases in loans occurred at the end of 1918, when the daily averages for November and December amounted to 125 per cent and 117.1 per cent, respectively, of the daily average for the year. (Cf. Chart Y.)

In 1919 the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts reaches a maximum in December, when the average amounts to 19.7 per cent
of the daily average of total loans and discounts for the month. February is not far behind December in the actual daily average of rediscounts, while the ratio of the daily average to the daily average of total loans and discounts is even greater, 22.1 per cent. (Cf. Table B.) In February an increase in rediscounts of loans to customers against United States securities occurred, following last installment payments on the fourth Liberty loan. Eventually these rediscounts disappear, but at the end of the year, following Victory loan financing, they are a large item. Again a pronounced end of the year increase in loans comes with the increase in bills payable. As in other cases, the unsatisfactory industrial conditions prevailing at this time help to explain the increase. Reductions in United States deposits in November and December would also accentuate the need for borrowing. (Cf. Table A.)

BANK O.
As compared with the other banks, Bank 0 has maintained a moderately low percentage of bills payable and rediscounts to total loans in 1918, excepting the month of May, when a decided increase follows upon Government withdrawals of deposits. Its loan fluctuations are rendered more extreme, however, by the fact that with the reduction of security holdings, following redemptions of United States certificates, increases in its reserves appear to have been made the occasion of expanding loans. (Cf. daily statements.)
In 1919 the position of the bank has altered in that bills payable and rediscounts have become increasingly heavy in relation to total loans and discounts, while United States deposits are on the average but a small percentage of the average bills payable and rediscounts. There is no evidence of any significant connection between reductions in the former and increases in the latter, given the disproportion in the absolute sums involved. With reductions in amounts of United States securities held, there did not follow commensurate reductions in bills payable and rediscounts, which were sustained at a high level until August, when there was a drop. A subsequent increase resulted in the attainment of a high ratio of the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts to the daily average of total loans and discounts in the fall months. (Cf. Table B.)

BANK N.
Bank $N$ is one of the banks having relatively stable holdings of loans and discounts which has borrowed in restricted amounts. It
did not resort to borrowing operations until the fall of 1918, beginning in September. There are slight increases in November in the daily average of bills payable coinciding with a drop in the daily average of United States deposits. Both items are small, however, but the withdrawals are much larger than the increase in bills payable. Moreover, United States deposits drop sharply from a high figure at the beginning of the month, whereas bills payable are relatively steady throughout. The December decrease in loans was accompanied by a disappearance of bills payable, foans against United States securities remaining about the same.

As the investments of this bank are chiefly in loans (as compared with other banks, direct investments in securities being much below the average), and as this was also true for the war period, it follows that United States deposits were relatively small, and Government withdrawals had little to do with the creation of bills payable and rediscounts. Hence the course of loans and discounts needs to be examined. As loans were fairly stable during the early part of 1918 , it is easy to see why the bank was the last one to resort to borrowing. And, when it did begin in the fall, there is a rise in loans, traceable probably to Liberty loan operations, as it was accompanied by an increase in loans to customers against United States securities. (Cf. daily statements.) Although there is some measure of inverse correlation between bills payable and rediscounts and United States deposits in July, September, and October, 1919, it will be evident upon examination of the percentage relationships of these items to total loans and discounts in the preceding months, that there is little relationship between the absolute figures. (Cf. Tables A and B.) In September, furthermore, a low percentage of bills payable indicates disappearance of the item on all but four days of the month. A day to day following of these items fails to reveal any connection between Government demands and the rediscounting activities of the bank. The sharp increase in rediscounts in December undoubtedly was the outcome of business and industrial exigencies, and accompanies an increase in loans. Bills payable are consistently high during December and at the end of the month they are at the peak. Loans to customers against Liberty bonds have fallen, however, to insignificant sums. United States bonds on hand or pledged have become negligible. Bills payable are largely secured by bills discounted and time loans in place of certificates and United States bonds. (Cf.
daily statements.) In the course of the year loans have become a percentually larger proportion of total investments, although there has been a liquidation of war paper, of which the holdings were at no time heary.

## BANK X.

Bank X may be classed with Bank N, in that it has maintained relatively stable holdings of loans and discounts while its bills payable and rediscounts as compared with total loans and discounts have averaged less both in 1918 and in 1919 than those of any other bank except Bank N. In the early part of 1918 it has no bills payable or rediscounts to record, and during the year the only significant connection between bills payable and rediscounts on the one hand and withdrawals of United States deposits on the other is found in September, when the average reduction in the latter is close to the increase in the former. The percentage reduction in the daily average of United States deposits as compared with August is 59.9 per cent, while the increase in the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts is 73.7 per cent. (Cf. Table A.) In August, however, the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts had only amounted to 9.2 per cent of the daily average of total loans and discounts for that month, while United States deposits had constituted 12.5 per cent thereof. (Cf. Table B.) Even in this case the day-to-day changes do not show any measure of inverse correlation between decreases in United States deposits and increases in rediscounts. There was an early August rise in bills payable and rediscounts, a late August drop in United States deposits, and fairly steady items for both in September. In 1919, in months in which the daily average of United States deposits is reduced, the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts does increase, to be sure, but amounts involved are small and a day-to-day following of items shows that the correspondence is not very significant.

From July to October, inclusive, in 1918 the relatively large amounts of bills payable and rediscounts outstanding are found in connection with a high level of loans, but rediscounts had been increasing steadily from May to October, becoming proportionately larger in relation to the dail: average of total loans (see Table B), rising from 1.7 per cent of the daily average of loans in April to 16.1 per cent in September, and then dropping to 11.4 per cent in October. A possible explanation is that in these months when subscriptions on
the third and fourth Liberty loans were being received, unexpected withdrawals occasioned some part of the resort to borrowing. Thereafter a reduction in loans in October and November is accompanied by a marked drop in bills payable and rediscounts, followed by a complete disappearance of these items in December. In 1919, after July, the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts constitutes only a small percentage of the daily average of total loans and discounts (October excepted) (cf. Tablo B) although the daily average of loans and discounts is at a maximum in October and December. (Statistics not tabulated.)

## BANK $Z$.

Bank Z would also be classified with Bank N and Bank X in so far as relative stability of loans and discounts controls the grouping. But it happens that it has throughout borrowed heavily, while the ratio of the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts to the daily average of total loans and discounts is higher in 1919 than in 1918. Its holdings of United States securities, including certificates of indebtedness, are large, but bills payable and rediscounts do not contract as might be anticipated, at times when redemptions of United States certificates occur. (Cf. daily statements.) For the early months of 1918 withdrawals of United States deposits as shown by reductions in the daily averages are paralleled by increases in the daily average of bills parable and rediscounts and in May and June, 1919, this is also true. (Cf. Table A.) On the whole, however, day-to-day following of changes would indicate slight relation between the course of United States deposits and rediscounting operations and a much more intimate connection with changes in loans and discounts. As a matter of fact, daily averages of United States deposits are insignificant when compared with the daily averages of bills payable and rediscounts in 1919, becoming steadily smaller as the year progresses. Although amounts carried are larger in 1918, the disproportion is also great in the latter months of that year.
Since the heavy borrowing has not exaggerated the fluctuations in loans and discounts, which remain relatively steady in this case, and since there is a lack of evidence that United States securities have been shifted to any great extent to customers' loans, the data at hand would indicate that borrowing has been resorted to in order to carry a considerable part of ordinary loans. As the bank is one holding large numbers of bankers'
balances, it is entirely probable that withdrawals have induced resort to rediscounting. It is significant that the rate of increase of the loans and discounts of this bank has been much less than that of other banks, the average for 1919 even falling below that for 1917. (Cf. Chart X.)

BANK Y.
In Bank Y bills payable and rediscounts follow the course of loans and discounts more consistently than in any other case, Government security holdings having practically no effect upon the amounts of bills payable and rediscounts outstanding. In April, September, and December, 1918, to be sure, a reduction in the daily average of United States deposits is shown with an increase in the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts. (Cf. Table A.) But the bills payable and rediscounts are much larger absolute amounts than are explicable on the basis of requirements induced by Government calls, especially since United States security holdings are at no time excessive. Loans show a steady increase during the year, however, but the rate of increase of bills payable and rediscounts is even more rapid, the daily average amounting to 41.2 per cent of the daily average of total loans and discounts in December, 1918. (Cf. Table B.)

In 1919 the process is reversed. Loans and discounts are steadily reduced, with a minor increase in the daily average for October Daily averages of bills payable and rediscounts fall with them (cf. Table A) although they are still large at the end of the year, being 24.4 per cent of the daily average of total loans and discounts in December. (Cf. Table B.) United States securities held have also been steadily reduced during the year. (Cf. weekly returns to Federal Reserve Bank of New York.) In short, there is evidence of a sustained policy of contraction, following a very unusual expansion of loans, made possible by means of rediscounting.

## BANK D.

Bank $D$ is a bank with a high proportion of bills payable and rediscounts to total loans in both years. In both years, too, the daily averages of United States deposits for all months is much below the daily averages of bills payable and rediscounts. The reductions in June, 1918, in bills payable and rediscounts are probably due to redemptions in United States certificates held. The October drop is also coincident with reductions in United States securities owned, indicating redemptions
of outstanding certificates. (Cf. weekly reports to Federal Reserve Bank of New York.) In the months when bills payable and rediscounts were high, withdrawals of United States deposits occurred which may have resulted in slightly increasing the amounts of bills payable and rediscounts outstanding.

In 1919, following the attainment of maximum loan holdings in June, which coincided with increases in loans against United States securities, and resulted in the maintenance of a high proportion of bills payable and rediscounts to total loans and discounts, there was a gradual reduction of loans and a drop in bills payable and rediscounts facilitated by successive redemptions of certificate issues which reduced bills payable to a minimum in December. (Cf. Table A.) The result of this policy was that, with two exceptions, the ratio of the daily average of bills payable and rediscounts to the daily average of total loans and discounts was lower in December than for the other banks, although the January ratio had been exceeded in only two cases. (Cf. Table B.)

Incomplete data for 1917 bear out the statements based upon conditions in 1918 and 1919, as regards the characteristic rediscounting activities of the several banks. (Cf. Table C.) The influence of Government demands, however, is probably more patent, less complicated by a multiplicity of factors, than in the two later years. The effect of the payments on the first Liberty loan is apparent in the resort to rediscounts and bills payable which in all cases but one begins at the end of May. By August, certificate redemptions have led to a great reduction or disappearance of bills payable and rediscounts. Again at the end of the year, the second Liberty loan payments induce fresh resort to rediscounting.

## VARLATION OF INVESTMENTS.

From the start differences in policy are manifest in the widely varying ratios of investments in United States securities to loans and discounts. When these ratios are high, credit purchases have been the rule and subsequent withdrawals have led to the creation of heavy amounts of bills payable and rediscounts. Bank E from the start has large United States deposits, increasing as purchases of United States certificates pile up. Withdrawals are met by the creation of bills payable, with a drop at times of certificate redemptions. October and November show a sustained high level of rediscounting, with Liberty loan installments again being called for. Bank $N$, on the contrary, has financed its purchases of United

States securities without resort to rediscounting, and Bank X has confined its rediscounting to June and the early part of July. Bank C has invested heavily in certificates bought on credit as evidenced by the size of United States deposits and heavy rediscounts at times of call. Bank B, which is carrying very large United States deposits in the last two months of the year, has done only a moderate amount of rediscounting. Bank Z begins with a small but steadily increasing amount of rediscounts, which have become considerable by November. Bank $O$ pursues an uneven policy, with pronounced variations in the daily averages of bills payable and rediscounts from month to month.

The great rise in loans in November and December, especially in the case of Banks B, $C$, and $E$, all of which had evidently invested largely in United States securities as shown by the size of United States deposits, no doubt evidences an increase in loans to customers against United States securities following installment payments on the second Liberty loan.

In conclusion it may be said that the limited statistical survey which has been attempted emphasizes the need of further analysis of the causes underlying fluctuations in the loans and discounts of individual banks. During the period covered, many extraordinary influences were at work which produced extraordinary changes in the condition of all banks, individually and collectively. But these influences operated with very unequal degrees of intensity upon the several institutions studied. It would be interesting to pursue the inquiry over a longer period of time, for years when the fiscal needs of the Government have fallen into the background and conditions have become more normal. It would be instructive to see to what extent differences in lending policy, in the degree and the direction of changes in loans and discounts, tend to persist.

Such a study of the fluctuations in the loans and discounts of individual banks, in attempting to explain the reasons for individual variations, would consider the seasonal or nonseasonal nature of the businesses financed, try to determine whether the several bank managements had adopted a conscious policy in regard to the permissible degree of loan expansion and contraction, and finally take account of any fortuitous occurrences that may have been operative to produce changes.

## REQUESTS FOR REDISCOUNTS.

Such an investigation would also help to throw light on the reasons for and the legitimacy of requests for rediscounts. It is clear that the
proximate reasons assigned for making such requests do not necessarily constitute a fundamental explanation of them. During the period studied, for example, rediscounts were often the immediate result of Government withdrawals. But loans may have been previously increasing and cash holdings consequently reduced, even with Government calls in prospect. Or deposits belonging to banks and to individuals may have been drawn down to an unexpected extent, necessitating rediscounts despite a conservative loan policy which would ordinarily have obviated the necessity of an application for rediscounts.

The exclusive study of combined statements of condition is apt to give a too-emphatic impression of unanimity of policy in the expansion or contraction of loans on the part of the banks belonging to any system. As a matter of fact loan contraction in one or several instances may be offset by expansion in other cases. Or, a more likely situation, a pronounced change in the loan holdings of one bank may exert an undue influence upon the combined results. Again, difficulties arise in interpreting the rise and fall in rediscounts when totals are given for a group of banks. One bank may have been forced to rediscount for example, because of depleted reserves, due to a policy of loan expansion; another may have had to cover withdrawals of deposits, without having previously enlarged its loans, etc. But unless the combined statements bring such changes into relief, the real causes of an increase in rediscounts may either remain unknown or else be misstated.

## The Investment Trust as a Channel for Investment Abroad. ${ }^{1}$

## FRANCE.

Probably the foremost financial company in France to-day that at one time carried on exclusively an investment trust business is the Crédit Mobilier Français. This institution has since its foundation undergone several changes. After its reorganization in 1871, when the capital was increased to $80,000,000$ francs, it operated exclusively as an investment trust. The capital was reduced, however, in 1878 , to $40,000-$ 000 francs, and again in 1883 to $30,000,000$ francs. In 1902 the Office des Rentiers became associated with the Crédit Mobilier, and the new combination worked with a capital of $7,525,000$ francs. During the years subsequent to 1910 great success accompanied the transactions of the Crédit Mobilier. Several loans to

[^4]foreign Governments were floated by it, and the reorganization of foreign industrial corporations and banks was undertaken with advantage. The institution increased gradually its capital to $80,000,000$ francs, and is to-day principally engaged, apart from the financing of undertakings, in the flotation of loans.

Interest in American securities in France led to the creation of the Société Financière Franco-Américaine, which was founded in 1905 in Paris with a capital of $50,000,000$ francs. The company has been in liquidation since June, 1916. Concerning the organization and purposes of this company a few details would not seem to be out of place.

The company was founded in accordance with the laws of July 24, 1867, August 1, 1893, July 9, 1902, and November 16, 1903, for the purpose of engaging, on its own account, in partnership with other persons, or for the account of third parties, in operations covering personal property, and especially American securities. The company's func. tions included buying, subscribing, issuing, selling, and negotiating all public securities and personal property, French or foreign, especially American, whether transferable or not, wholly paid up or only in part; it was to receive on deposit such securities, performing all operations and services as might be required in respect to same; carrying out all commercial and financial operations previously indicated, and in general all operations required of a banking institution; affiliating with, by contributions, participations, loans, opening of credits, subscriptions, purchase of stocks or bonds, etc., any institution already established or to be created with an object similar to its own. The company was authorized to purchase or construct all buildings necessary for its installation.

The head office was fixer at Paris, with another office at New York as the seat of the New York committee. Branches and agencies could be established wherever considered necessary. The duration of the company was fixed at 50 years from the date of its definite establishment unless previously dissolved or extended.
The capital consists of $50,000,000$ francs, divided into 10,000 shares each of 5,000 francs. Each share has an equal claim on the assets of the company and to dividends distributed. The capital could be increased or decreased on the recommendation of the board of directors with the sanction of the stockholders.
The company was authorized to contract loans by the issue of bonds, or by other methods. The board of directors, without the ratification of the general meeting of the bondholders. was authorized to fix the amount of such loans, as well as the terms, method of issuing, and repayment thereof. The limit of such loans was fixed at twice the amount of the nominal capital of the company.
The company was administered by a board of directors composed of from 7 to 12 members, selected from the stockholders. These members were appointed and removable at a stockholders' meeting. Each director was obliged to own at least 10 shares of stock. The first board of directors was appointed for six years by the general constituent assembly. At the end of the first six years the whole board was to be renewed in its entirety. Subsequently the membership of the board was to be renewed so that the tenure of office of a director would not exceed six years. A president and vice president were elected by the board. The board was to hold meetings at least once a month. Certain decisions of the board had to be voted by a majority of three-quarters of the board. The board was given full powers without reservations to act in the name of the company and to carry out all necessary operations.

The directors residing in the United States, the "New York committee," represented the company in America. The relations between the board of directors and the New York committee, as well as the powers which were delegated to the directors who formed this committee, were determined by the board of directors. No operations in American securities could be made without the consent of the majority of the members of the New York committee. The board of directors could delegate all or part of its powers to expedite its business to one or several directors or attorneys taken from outside the board. The board determined and governed the functions of the directors and attorneys. It was to fix the salary to be given to the directors and attorneys, as well as all traveling expenses. The board was empowered to confer powers on whoever it might desire by special order and for a specific object.

A general meeting of stockholders was to be held in the semester following the closing of the annual budget. All stockholders owning one or more shares could participate. At least one-fourth of the capital subscribed had to be represented at this meeting. The general meeting was to be presided over by the chairman of the board of directors, or, if he was unable to do so, by a director designated by the board of directors.

## INVESTMENTS IN AMERICAN SECURITIES.

The primary object of the company was, as pointed out above, to invest in American securities and to profit by the difference in the rates of interest paid in the United States and in France. Prior to the decision to liquidate, the company had not been as successful as originally anticipated. When the stock of the company was floated, the high prices prevailing in the American markets did not offer opportunities for investment on favorable terms, and it was decided that the company would await a period of depression in the United States which would allow the purchase of securities at depreciated prices and thus permit of a higher rate of interest being obtained on the investments. When, however, such a period of depression occurred, the French market, in its turn, showed similar tendencies, with the result that the margin between the rates of interest in France and America was not large enough to warrant the purchase of securities in the latter country. The company was consequently obliged to abandon one of the most important items in its program, and its operations were greatly restricted for a considerable period.

Soon after the outbreak of war in August, 1914, the situation became even more acute, as the rates of interest in France continued to advance and eventually became higher than in the United States, thus eliminating the principal object for which the company was formed. It was, therefore, decided at a stockholders' meeting on June 29, 1916, to wind up the business of the company.

A few other companies having the character of investment trusts may be mentioned. The Banque des Fonds Publics et des Valeurs Industrielles was founded in Paris in 1877, with a capital of $3,000,000$ francs, but has been
in liquidation since 1909. An investment trust for railway securities is the Société Générale des Chemins de Fer Economiques, which was founded in 1880 through the cooperation of practically all the great banks of Paris. It has a capital of about $25,000,000$ francs invested in French, Belgian, and Italian railways. Another investment trust for railway obligations is the Compagnie des Chemins de Fer Departementaux, which was founded in 1881 and has a capital of $30,000,000$ francs. Probably the largest institution of this kind to day is the Société Parisienne pour l'Industrie des Chemins de Fer et des Tramways Electriques, with a capital of $50,000,000$ francs. The extent of its interests may be gathered from the investments in the following railways: Société d'Electricite de Paris, Atéliers et Constructions Electriques du Nord et de l'Est, Société d'Electricite du Hainaut, Métropolitain de Paris, Chemins de Fer Economiques du Nord, Compagnie Belge de Chemins de Fer Réunis, Tramways d'Astrachan, Tramways de Taschkent, Cairo Electric Railway and others. Other companies holding railway securities are: Omnium Lyonnais de Chemins de Fer et de Tramways, founded in Lyons in 1896, originally with a capital of $20,000,000$ francs, reduced in 1898 to $10,000,000$ francs, and controlling numerous street railways and subways; and the Société Française de Tramways Electriques et Chemins de Fer, with a capital of $3,000,000$ francs, invested in Hungarian, Russian and other street railways.

## COAL MINING INVESTMENTS.

There have been a number of French investment trusts investing in stocks of coal mines. Most of them had, however, only a rather brief existence, as, for example, the Société Générale de Crédit Minier et Industriel, with a capital of 3,000,000 francs; Société Française pour l'Industrie et les Mines; Société Omnium Française de Valeurs Minières et Industrielles, with a capital of $3,000,000$ francs. An investment trust which, like most of the other companies, is also a financial company, invests in gold mines, is the Anglo-French Exploration Co. This company was founded by English, French, and German capital. Its capital, amounting to about $1,000,000$ pounds, is invested in the following bonds and stocks: British Government bonds; East India Railway debentures; London County; National Railways of Mexico; Central Argentine Railway; Camp Bird (Ltd.); General Motor Cab Co.; Great Cobar (Ltd.); Simmer Deep (Ltd.) ; Nelson Steam Navigation Co.; Africander Proprietary Gold Mines; AngloFrench Navigation Coal Estates; Anglo-French Matabele Land Co.; Van Ryn Deep (Ltd.); Van

Boksburg Gold Mines; Rand Klip (Ltd.); Cordoba Copper Co.; City Deep (Ltd.); Kleinfon-tein Estates and Township; Anglo-French Land Co. of the Transvaal; Apex Mines; Brakpan Mines; Colcoath Mine (Ltd.); Crown Mines, Great Cobar (Ltd.); Great Fitzroy Mines; Mount Elliott (Ltd.); Mount Lyell Mining \& Railway Co.; Randfontein Deep; Vorspoed Diamond Mining Co.; Alaska Mexico Gold Mining Co.; and others.

## BELGIUM.

It may not be incorrect to say that the first investment trust ever created, long before such institutions were formed in Scotland, was the Société Générale de Belgique, which was founded in Brussels in August, 1822. The business of investment trusts is carried on nowadays by a great number of banks, which are, however, at the same time financing or promoting companies. Practically all such banks are interested to a greater or less degree in industrial and transportation undertakings.

The promotion of street railway undertakings in all parts of the world is made a speciality of the Belgian banks, and a large amount of capital is invested in such transportation systems. One of the older banks of this character is Crédit Général Liégeois, founded in 1865. It was changed in 1885 from a partnership to a corporation. Its capital is $30,000,000$ francs, and it holds stock in a large number of industrial enterprises and railway companies, including the Entreprise Générale de Travaux, which controls the Société Générale de Tramways et d'Applications d'Electricité; the latter possesses electrical plants in Russia, and is interested in the Société Nationale des Chemins de Fer et Tramways en Italie. Another investment trust and financial company is the Banque Liégeoise, founded in 1835. It has an authorized capital of $30,000,-$ 000 francs, and is interested in numerous tramway companies in Italy, Spain, Greece, and Russia, and electrical enterprises. A similar position is held by the Crédit Anversois, being a subsidiary of the Crédit Général Liégeois and founded in 1898 with a capital of $12,000,000$ francs. The present capitalis $35,000,000$ francs.

The largest institution, exclusively an investment trust and neither conducting a banking nor a financing business, is the Banque Belge de Chemins de Fer, founded in 1894 in Brussels by an international banking consortium, consisting of the Banque de Paris et des PaysBas, the Wiener Bankverein, Schweizerische Kreditanstalt, Société Générale des Chemins de Fer Economiques, Deutsche Bank, Dresdner Bank and other banks. It was created by the consortium purely for the purpose of pur-
chasing and selling and subscribing to stocks and securities of railroads and street railways. It has a capital of $25,000,000$ francs and holds a large amount of securities of American and other foreign railways.

## STREET RAILWAY INVESTMENTS.

Belgium, to a degree unsurpassed by any other country, has invested in street railways in all parts of the world, and has created for that purpose a number of investment trusts. A few of these trust companies may be mentioned: Compagnie Générale de Railways et d'Electricité, Brussels, 1904, capital $35,000,000$ francs; Fédération Française et Belge de Tramways, Brussels, 1898, capital 7,500,000 francs; Compagnie Générale Auxiliaire d'Enterprises Electriques, Brussels, 1909, capital 10,000,000 francs; Trust Franco-Belge de Tramways et d'Electricité, Brussels, 1898, in liquidation.
A company that is interested in securities of public utilities in the Argentine, Spain, Hungary, Italy, Rumania, Denmark, Belgium, Russia, and South America is the Société Financière des Transports et d'Entreprises Industrielles, of Brussels. It was established in 1898 by an international syndicate of bankers, and has paid average dividends, from 1904 to 1911, on a capital of $20,000,000$ francs of about 8 per cent per annum.

For purposes of investment in American railway securities, there was founded in 1911 an international investment trust in Brussels, the Société Financière de Valeurs Américaines. Among the founders were the Deutsche Bank; Warburg \& Co., of Hamburg; the Société Générale of Brussels; Banque de Bruxelles; Banque de Paris et des Pays-Bas; Société Générale pour Favoriser, etc., in Paris; Société Française de Banque et de Dépots; Banque Francaise pour le Commerce et l'Industrie; Kuhn, Loeb \& Co., New York, and others. The authorized capital amounted to $30,000,000$ francs, of which 20 per cent was paid in.

## SWITZERLAND.

A considerable number of institutions in the nature of investment trusts is found in Switzerland. The city of Geneva seems to be the home of most of the older companies. The Banque de Fonds was founded in 1885 and the Société Financière Franco-Suisse in 1892. The two companies acquired a large part of Turkish and Serbian loans and issued their own debenture bonds. The Banque de Fonds has been liquidated. The Société Financière Franco-Suisse has become affiliated with the Union Financière de Genève, a banking and
financial company, but has preserved the character of an investment trust. Its purpose was, originally, to acquire only Government bonds and securities guaranteed by Governments. In 1895 the company started, however, to make investments in municipal and railway bonds. But investments in railway securities may not exceed 25 per cent of the entire investments. Its present capital consists of $\$ 800,000$ in common shares. Outstanding, $\$ 5,500,000$ in 4 per cent bonds. The company owns an assortment of city and railroad bonds of Japan, Russia, Turkey, the Balkans, and North, Central, and South American countries. Average dividends paid on common shares from 1907 to 1917, about 12 per cent per annum. It may be well to quote articles 2,3 , and 4 of the company's statutes:
Article 2. The society has as its principal object the acquisition and realization (1) of funds guaranteed by States, cities or communities; (2) obligations issued by railroads enjoying an annual kilometric guaranty given by the State; (3) obligations of societies of landed credits, or of railroads holding legally constituted guaranties. At all times in this latter class the proportion can not be represented by more than one quarter of the placements of the society.
The society may carry on the usual operations, commercial or financial, which are the object of the normal activity of banking establishments, in so far as these operations are not in contradiction with the present statutes. The society may equally receive on deposit securities, either of public bodies or of private enterprises, and deliver certificates in multiplies or fractional parts, and make all the necessary operations which the possession of these securities may require for the purpose of safeguarding them.
Art. 3. The administration of the society will be at Geneva. Agencies in other cities can be created by decision of the council of administration. These agencies may be placed in banking establishments already existing.
Art. 4. The duration of the society is unlimited. It may at all times be liquidated by the general assembly of stockholders.

GENEVA COMBINATION.
In order to take advantage of a favorable opportunity for speculating in American stocks, several banking houses of Geneva founded in 1896, in cooperation with the banking firm of Iselin \& Co., of New York, the Société Financière Suisse Américaine, with a capital of 2,500,000 francs. The great success attained by this company is indicated by the dividends distributed during the years immediately following: 1897, 12 per cent; 1898, 20 per cent. In 1898 the company was liquidated after a payment of a dividend of 100 per cent and the redemption of its obligations. When in 1907 another occasion presented itself for advantageous buying of American stocks, the company was revived. Its capital was then $5,000,000$ francs, which was increased to $8,250,000$ francs in 1909.

One of the Swiss organizations, the Société Financière pour Entreprises Electriques aux

Etats-Unis, Geneva, is especially interested in electrical enterprises in the United States, and its council of administration is not exclusively limited to Swiss citizens, but also includes three persons in the United States. The investments are more of the public utility type than of any other, and are widely distributed throughout the United States, being electrical power companies in most instances. The powers of the society are contained in articles 2,3 , and 4 of the statutes, as follows:

Article 2. The society has for its objects to engage, in all countries, and more especially in the United States, for its own account, for the account of others, or in participation with others, in all operations, affairs or enterprises, commercial, financial or industrial, all enterprises of public utility, and in particular those pertaining to the furnishing of lighting and electrical power.
Art. 3. The administration will be at Geneva. There may be created agencies or branches in other countries by decision of the council of administration. A committee may be established in the United States.
Ant. 4. The duration of the society is unlimited. It may be dissolved at any time by decision of the general assembly in conformity with article 30 hereafter enumerated.

There are other companies interested in investments in American securities. In 1907 there was founded in Basel by a banking consortium the Schweizerische Gesellschaft für Anlagewerte, with a capital of $20,000,000$ francs. Investments are principally made in American industrials.

Speyer \& Co., in Basel, created in 1903, in cooperation with a few other banks, the Société Suisse pour les Valeurs de l'Amérique du Nord, with a capital of $5,000,000$ francs, doubled in 1907. Investments may only be made in American securities. In 1906 a company under the name of Omnium Electrique and with a capital of 800,000 francs, for the purpose of participating in electrical enterprises, was founded in Geneva. The capital was reduced in 1910 to 300,000 francs.
To mention a few other companies created for different purposes: Glückauf, A.-G. für Industrielle Unternehmungen, Zürich; Société Suisse d'Applications Industrielles, Geneva; Schweizerische Bank für Kapitalanlagen, ZürichEffekten Gesellschaft, A.-G., Winterthur; Société Financiére pour l'Industrie au Canada, Geneva; Société Financière Italo-Suisse, Société Anonyme, Geneva; Société Financière pour l'Industrie auMexique, Geneva; Société FrancoSuisse pour I'Industrie Electrique, Geneva.

Most of the great Swiss banks have founded as subsidiaries investment trust companies. This system has, however, at times been used for fraudulent purposes, for example by the Zentralbank in Bern. This bank founded investment trusts and procured capital from the public intent on speculation. There was founded in September, 1906, the Trustgesellschaft für

Industriewerte in Bern, and in March, 1907, another company, the Internationale Eisenbahnbank in Bern. Soon after the foundation of these two companies, the directors of the Zentralbank began to unload on the subsidiaries at high prices securities of which they wanted to dispose, with ruinous effects on the subsidiaries.

## GERMANY.

Investment trust companies have not obtained great importance in Germany. The absence of such companies for the purpose of investing in foreign securities is particularly noticeable. There was always plenty of opportunity for capital to invest in domestic enterprises. The German investment trusts are also financial and controlling companies, and they are closely affiliated with the banks.

The oldest German investment trust is the Aktiengesellschaft für Rheinisch-W estfälische Industrie, founded in 1871 by the A. Schaaffhausenscher Bankverein and a few other concerns, with a capital of $6,000,000$ marks. The capital was decreased in 1884 to $1,500,000$ marks. Its success may be gauged from the dividends distributed: 1905, 19 per cent; 1906, 40 per cent; 1907, 6 per cent; 1908, 4 per cent; 1909, 6 per cent; 1910, 6 per cent; 1911, 4 per cent; 1912, 4 per cent; 1913, 4 per cent.

Another company, founded in 1871 by the Barmer Verein and others for the purpose of the general development of industry, building, and banking, is the Bergisch-Märkische Industriegesellschaft. Originally it had a capital of $4,500,000$ marks, which was reduced during the years 1878-1885 to about $3,000,000$ marks. In 1897 the company became affiliated with the Deutsche Bank and its subsidiary, the Bergisch-Märkische Bank, and the capital was increased to $6,000,000$ marks. The company paid a dividend in 1910, 4 per cent; in 1911, $0 ; 1912,0 ; 1913,7$ per cent ; 1914, 6 per cent.

The Aktiengesellschaft für Rheinische-Westfalische Industrie and the Bergisch-Märkisehe Industriegesellschaft were for more than 20 years the only investment trusts in Germany. The years subsequent to 1890 witnesses a period of great financial activity and a number of new institutions in the nature of investment trusts were founded. Most of the companies devoted themselves to financing of new enterprises. One of the investment trusts is the Hannoverische Gesellschaft für Industrielle Unternehmungen, a creation of the Norddeutsche Bank of Hamburg and of the banking house of Ephraim Meyer \& Sons, of Hanover. Its capital is $5,000,000$ marks, and the following dividends were paid: 1910-11, 6 per cent; 1911-12, 6 \% T per cent; 1912-13, 6 per cent; 1913-14, 4 per scent; 1914-15, 4 per cent.

There may be mentioned the Bank für Industriebeteiligungen, founded in Berlin in 1901 with a capital of $1,000,000$ marks. Investments were principally made in Hungarian mining operations. The company was liquidated in 1903 with great loss to the investors. The Deutsche Industriegesellschaft, A. G.; of Regensburg, tounded in 1898, which has now a capital of $1,400,000$ marks, and the Aktiengesellschaft für Industrielle Unternehmungen of Blankenburg A. H., founded in 1906, which has a capital of $1,000,000 \mathrm{marks}$, are two other examples of investment trusts. But their success has not been striking.

## Election of Directors.

The following directors of Federal Reserve Banks have been elected for the three-vear term beginning Januar: 1, 1921:
District No. 1-Boston:
Class A.-Thomas P. Beal, Boston, Mass.
Class B.-.Philip R. Allen, East Walpole, Mass.
Class C.-Frederic H. Curtiss, Boston, Mass.
District No. 2-New York:
Class A.-R. H. Treman, Ithaca, N. Y.
Class B.-Richard H. Williams, Madison, N. J.
Class C.-W. L. Saunders, New York, N. Y.
District No. 3-Philadelphia:
Class A.—Joseph Wayne, jr., Philadelphia, Pa.
Class B.-Edwin S. Stuart, Philadelphia, Pa.
Class C.-Richard L. Austin, Philadelphia, Pa.
District No. 4-Cleveland:
Class A.-Robert Wardrop, Pittsburgh, Pa.
Class B.-Thomas A. Combs, Lexington, Ky.
Class C .
District No. 5-Richmond:
Class A.-L. E. Johnson, Alderson, W. Va.
Class B.-D. R. Coker, Hartsville, S. C.
Class C.-Caldwell Hardy, Richmond, Va.
District No. 6-A tlanta:
Class A.-P. R. Kittles, Sylvania, Ga.
Class B.-Leon C. Simon, New Orleans, La.
Class C.-Jos. A. McCord, Atlanta, Ga.
District No. 7 -Chicago
Class A.-E. I. Johnson, Waterloo, Iowa.
Class B.-A. R. Erskine, South Bend, Ind.
Class C.-James Simpson, Chicago, Ill.
District No. 8-St. Louis:
Class A.-John G. Lonsdale, St. Louis, Mo.
Class B.--Le Roy Percy, Greenville, Miss.
Class C.-John W. Boehne, Evansville, Ind.
District No. 9-Minneapolis:
Class A.--J. C. Bassett, Aberdeen, S. Dak
Class B.-N. B. Holter, Helena, Mont.
Class C.-John H. Rich, Minneapolis, Minn.
District No. 10-Kansas City:
Class A.-E. E. Mullaney, Hill City, Kans.
Class B.-Harry W. Gibson, Muskogee, Okla.
Class C.-Asa E. Ramsay, Kansas City, Mo.
District No. 11-Dallas:
Class A.-Ed. Hall, Bryan, Tex.
Class B.--J. J. Culbertson, Paris, Tex.
Class C.-W. F. Ramsey, Dallas, Tex.
District No. 12-San Francisco:
Class A.-John W. Baer, Pasadena, Calif.
Class B.-A. B. C. Dohrmann, San Francisco, Calil.
Class C.-John Perrin. San_ Francisco, Calif.

## Directors of Branch Banks.

Directors of branches of Federal Reserve Banks to serve for the year 1921 have been named as follows:

## BALTEMORE BRANCH

(Federal Rescrve Bank of Richmond.)
Manager.-M. M. Prentis.
Directors.-M. M. Prentis, Charles C. Homer, William Ingle, Waldo Newconf?, H. B. Wilcox,

BIRMINGHAM 7BRANOH
(Federal Reserve l3ank of Atlanta.)
Manager.-A. E. Walker.
Directors.-W. H. Krttior, Osear Wells, T. O. Smith, W. W. Crawford, John H. Frye.

## BUFFALO BRANCH,

(Federal Reserve Bank of New York.)
Manager.-Ray M. Gidney.
Directors.-F. L. Bartlett, R. M. Gidney, Clifford Hubbell, E. C. McDougal, H. T. Ramsdell, E. J. Barcalo, T. E. Lannin.

CINCLNNATI BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland.)
Manager.-L. W. Manning.
Directors.-Judson Harmon, Charles A. Hinsch, W. S. Rowe, L. W. Manning, Geo. D. Crabbs.

DENVER BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City.)
Manager.-C. A. Burkhardt.
Directors.-C. C. Parks, A. C. Foster, C. A. Burkhardt, John Evans, Alva B. Adams.
detrott branch.
(Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.)
Manager.-R. B. Locke.
Directors.--John Ballantyne, Emory W. Clark, Julius H. Haas, Chas. H. Hodges, R. B. Locke.

> EL PASO BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas.)
Manager.-W. C. Weiss.
Directors.-U. S. Stewart, A. F. Kerr, W. W. Turney, A. P. Coles, W. C. Weiss.

HELENA BRANCH,
(F'ederal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis.)
Manager.-O. A. Carlson,
Directors.-R. O. Kaufman, Chas. J. Kelly, H. W. Rowley, L. M. Ford, Thomas Marlow.

HOUSTON BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas.)
Manager.--E. F. Gossett.
Directors.-Frank Andrews, J. J. Davis, E. F. Gossett, G. M. Bryan, R. M. Farrar.

Jacksonvilee brangh.
(Federal Reserve bank of Atlanta.)
Manager.-Geo. R. De Saussure.
Directors.-John C. Cooper, E. W. Lane, Bion H. Barnett, Giles L. Wilson, Fulton Saussy.

LITTLE ROCK BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.)
Manager.--A. F. Bailey.
Directors. J. E. England, A. F. Bailey, Moorhead Wright, G. W. Rogers, ' ${ }^{( }$. A. Pratt.

LOS ANGELES BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco.)
Manager-C. J. Shepherd.
Directors.-A. J. Waters, I. B. Newton. H. M. Robinson, J. F. Sartori, C. J. Shepherd.

LOUISVILLE BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.)
Manager.-W. P. Kincheloe.
Directors.-Geo. W. Norton, W. C. Montgomery, W. P. Kincheloe, F. M. Sackett, E. L. Swearingen.

MEMPHIS BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.)
Manager.--John J. Heflin.
Directors.-R. Brinkley Snowden, John D. McDowell, John J. Heflin, T. K. Riddick, S. E. Ragland.

NASHVILLE BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank of A tlanta.)
Manager.-J. B. McNamara.
Directors.-W. H. Hartford, P. M. Davis, J. E. Caldwell, E. A. Lindsey, T. A. Embry.

NEW ORLEANS BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank oí Atlanta.)
Manager.-Marcus Walker.
Directors.-John E. Bouden, jr., P. H. Saunders, H. B. Lightcap, A. P. Bush, F. W. Foote, R. S. Hecht, Leon C. Simon.

OKLAHOMA CLTY BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City.)
Manager.-C. E. Daniel.
Directors.-Wm. Mee, E. K. Thurmond, Dorset Carter, T. P. Martin, jr., C. E. Daniel.

## OMAHA BRANCH.

(Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City.)
Manager.-L. H. Earhart.
Directors.-Luther Drake, Geo. E. Abboti, L. H. Earhart, P. L. Hall, R. O. Marnell.

PITTSBURGH BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland.)
Manager.-Geo. De Camp.
Directors.-Chas. W. Brown, James D. Gallery, Harrison Nesbit, R. B. Mellon, George De Camp.

## PORTLAND BRANCH.

(Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco.)
Manager.-F. Greenwood.
Directors.-E. Cookingham, J. C. Ainsworth, Nathan Strauss, F. Greenwood.

SALT LAKE CITY BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco.)
Manager.-R. B. Motherwell.
Directors.-L. H. Farnsworth, R. B. Motherwell, Chapin A. Day, G. G. Wright, Lafayette Hanchett.

SEATTLE BRANCH,
(Federal Reserve Bank of San Franciseo.)
Manager.-C. R. Shaw.
Directors.-M. F. Backus, M. A. Arnold, C. H. Clarke, C. R. Shaw.

SPOKANE BRANCH.
(Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco.)
Manager.-W. L. Partner.
Directors.-D. W. Twohy, R. L. Rutter, Peter McGregor, G. I. Toevs, W. I. Partner.

## State Banks and Trust Companies Admitted.

The following list shows the State banks and trust companies which have been admitted to membership in the Federal Reserve System during the month of December, 1920.

One thousand four hundred and eighty-seven State institutions are now members of the system.

|  | Capital. | Surplus. | Total resources. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District No. 1. |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts 'Trust Co., Boston, Mass. | \$1,000,000 | \$500,000 | 316,712, 551 |
| District No. 2. |  |  |  |
| Fidelity Trust Co., Newark, N. J | 3,000,000 | 630,634 | 31,818,766 |
| The Orleans County Trust Co., Albion, N. Y......................................... | 100,000 | 50,000 | 867,359 |
| District No. 4. |  |  |  |
| First Trust \& Savings Co., Cleveland, Ohio. | 1,250,000 | 1,000,000 | 32, 817, 744 |
| District No.6. |  |  |  |
| Central State Bank, Lakeland, Fla.... | 100,000 |  |  |
| Bank of Bowersville, Bowersville, Ga.The Farmers \& Merchants Bank, Hart- | 25,000 | 5,000 | 124, 212 |
| well, Ga. Olethorpe Countr Baniz Lexington | 100,000 | 12,000 | 494, 262 |
| Oglethorpe County Bank, Lexington, Ga. | 25,000 | 25,000 | 337, 130 |
| Bank of Monroe, Monroe, Ga | 300, 000 | 75,000 | 1,085, 669 |
| Plains Bank, Plains, Ga............... | 50,000 25,000 | 10,000 | 562,223 25,000 |
| District No.7. |  |  |  |
| State Bank of Early, Early, Iowa..... | 40,000 | 20,000 | 388,288 |
| Commercial Savings Bank, Farragut, Iowa.......................... | 40,000 | 10,000 |  |
| Farmers State Bank, Grand River, |  | 10,000 | 247,393 |
| Iowa. | 25,000 50,000 | 15,000 | 364, 848 |
| Schaller Savings Bank, Schaller, Iowa.. | 25,000 | 25,000 | 390, 188 |
| Farmers Savings Bank, Ute, Iowa. | 25,000 |  | 139,255 |
| Commercial State Bank, Constantine, Mich. | 25,000 | 5,000 | 348,061 |
| Sparta State Banix, Sparta, Mich....... | 30,000 | 8,000 | 525, 284 |
| District No. 8. |  |  |  |
| Bolivar County Bank, Rosedale, Miss.. | 150,000 |  | 547, 273 |
| District No. 9. |  |  |  |
| Farmers State Bank, Hayfield, Minn.. | 25,000 | 14,000 | 638,418 |
| Bank of Commerce Forsyth, Mont.... | 75,000 | 37,500 | 944,324 |
| Helena, Mont................... | 150,000 | 75,000 | 1,969,591 |
| Little Horn State Bank, W yola, Mont. | 25,000 | 6,750 | 117,364 |
| Mont ...................... | 40,000 | 15,000 | 491,471 |
| Bank of Boulder, Boulder, Mont | 50,000 | 25,000 | 649,005 |
| The Farmers State Bank, Worden, Mont | 25,000 | 5,000 | 248,080 |
| The Metais Bank \& Trust Co., Butte, Mont. | 300,000 | 200,000 | 6,566,581 |
| District No. 11. |  |  |  |
| First Guaranty State Bank, Cross Plains, Tex.. | 30,003 |  | 256, 165 |
| Del Rio Bank \& Trust Co., Del Rio, Tex. | 100,000 | 80,000 | 770,621 |
| Security Bank \& Trust Co., El Paso, Tex...................................... | 200,000 | 20,600 | 2,293,340 |
| Districl No. 12. |  |  |  |
| Guaranty Bank \& Trust Co., Seattle, Wash. | 250,000 | 49,500 | 1,209,574 |

## vOLUNTARY LIQUIDATIONS

The American Bank, Baltimore, Md.
Pan American Bank \& Trust Co., New Orleans, La.
Pan American Bank \& Trust Co. New
Stockgrowers Bank \& Trust Co., Pocatello, Idaho.
Union Commercial Bank, Mobile, Ala.

## hange of name.

Alliance Bank, Rochester, N. Y., to Lincoln-Alliance Bank. The Guardian Trust \& Savings Bank, Toledo, Ohio, to The Commerce The Hibernia Savings Bank, Portland, Oreg., to Hibernia Commercial \& Savings Bank.
Penn Counties Trust Co., Allentown, Pa., to Penn Trust Co.
The Liberty Bank, St. Louis, Mo., to The Liberty Central Trust Co. CONSOLIDATION.

The Citızens Savings \& Trust Co. and the First Trust \& Savings Co. of Cleveland, Ohio have consolidated and membership continued under the name of The Union Trust Co.

## CONVERSION

Commercial Bank \& Trust Co. to The Commercial National Bank \& Trust Co., Laurel, Miss.

## Fiduciary Powers Granted to National Banks.

The applications of the following banks for permission to act under section 11(k) of the Federal Reserve Act have been approved by the Board during the month of December, 1920

District No. 1.
Registrar of stocks and bonds:
The Baxter National Bank of Rutland, Rutland, Vt
DISTRICT No. 2.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics
estates, assignee, receiver, and commitee of estates of Nuna
The Peoples National Bank of Elizabeth, Elizabeth, N. J.
The Manufacturers National Bank of Troy, N. Y.
District No. 3.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, recejver, and committee of estates of lunatics:

The First National Bank of Hazleton, Hazleton, Pa.
The Lycoming National Bank of Williamsport, Williamsport, Pa.

## District No. 4

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics
of estates, receiver, and committee of estates of
The Ashland National Bank, Ashland, Ky.
Trustee and registrar of stocks and bonds:
The City National Bank of Canton, Canton, Obio.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guar-
dian of Fourth National Bank of Cadiz, Cadiz, Ohio.
District No. 6
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guar dian of estates assignee reciver, and committee of estates of lunaties Commercial National Bank \& Trust Co., Laurel, Miss.

## DISTRICT No. 7

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian ofestates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics

The First National Bank of El Paso, El Paso, Ill.
The Farmers National Bank of Shelbyville, Shelbyville, Ind.
The Swedish-American National Bank of Rockford, 111 .
The Waukegan National Bank, Waukegan, Ill.
The City National Bank of Battle Creek, Mich.
Trustee:
he City National Bank of Lansing, Lansing, Mich. District No. 10.

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian rustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guar
of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estat
The National Bank of Commerce, Hominy, Okla.
The First National Bank of Thermopolis, Thermopolis, Wyo.
The Nebraska City National Bank, Nebraska City, Nebr. District No. 11.

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, and receiver
The First National Bank of Albuquerque, N. Mex.
District No. 12.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics The First National Bank of Hoquiam, Hoquiam, Wash
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stoeks and bonds, guardian
The Metropolitan National Bank, Seattle, Wash.

## Federal Advisory Council.

The following have been elected as members of the Federal Advisory Council to serve during the year 1921:
District No. 1-Philip Stockton, Boston, Mass. District No. 2-Paul M. Warburg, New York City.
District No. 3-L. L. Rue, Philadelphia, Pa.
District No. 4-Corliss E. Sullivan, Cleveland, Ohio.
District No. 5-Joseph G. Brown, Raleigh, N.'C.
District No. 6-Edward L. Lane, Jacksonville, Fla.
District No. 7-John J. Mitchell, Chicago, Ill.
District No. 8-F. O. Watts, St. Louis, Mo.
District No. 9-C. T. Jaffray, Minneapolis, Minn.
District No. 10-E. F. Swinney, Kansas City, Mo.
District No. 11-R. L. Ball, San Antonio, Tex.
District No. 12-A. L. Mills, Portland, Oreg.

## Edge Act Corporation Organized.

On Wednesday, December 29, 1920, the Federal Reserve Board approved the articles of association and organization certificate of the Federal International Banking Co., a corporation organized under the provisions of section 25(a) of the Federal Reserve Act, commonly known as the "Edge Act." The capital of this corporation is $\$ 7,000,000$ and its home office is in New Orleans, La. It is incorporated for the purpose of engaging in the business of international or foreign banking or other international or foreign financial operations. Pending the issue of a final permit to commence business the corporation has authority to exercise only those powers which are incidental and preliminary to its organization.

## Export and Exchange Transactions with Russia.

The following statement for the press was issued on December 20, 1920:

The Secretary of the Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board announce that, with the approval of the Department of State and in order to give force and effect to the action of that Department in removing restrictions in the way of trade and communication with Soviet Russia, as announced by that Department on July 7, 1920, all rules and regulations restricting the exportation of coin, bullion, and currency to that part of Russia now under the control of the so-called Bolshevik Government, or restricting dealings or exchange transactions in Russian rubles, or restricting transfers of credit or exchange transactions with that part of Russia now under the control of the socalled Bolshevik Government, have been suspended, effective December 18, 1920, until further notice.

## Commercial Failures Reported.

Reflecting the changed business conditions and falling prices, the country's commercial mortality discloses a more marked tendency toward increase, the 1,025 failures reported to R. G. Dun \& Co. for three weeks of December largely exceeding the 400 defaults of the same period of 1919. During the latter period, however, the insolvencies were abnormally moderate. The statement for November, the most recent month for which complete statistics are available, shows 1,050 failures for $\$ 30,758,130$ of liabilities, against 551 defaults for $\$ 9,177,321$ in the corresponding month last year. The November insolvencies are the largest of any month since March, 1918, and the indebtedness is the heaviest on record for November. Separated according to Federal Reserve districts, the November returns reveal more failures in every instance than in that month of 1919, while only in the fourth district are the liabilities smaller.

Failures during November.

| District. | Number. |  | Liabilities. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1920 | 1919 | 1920 | 1919 |
| First. | 105 | 61 | \$3,486,558 | \$535,609 |
| Second | 281 | 99 | 10,776,972 | 1,548,918 |
| Third. | 47 | $\stackrel{29}{58}$ | 1,010,956 | 589,611 4.0712 |
| Fifth.. | 66 47 | $\stackrel{58}{26}$ | 1,113,724 | 4,071,586 |
| Sixth. | 90 | ${ }_{34}$ | 1,911,992 | 301,065 |
| Seventh | 125 | 74 | 3,647,520 | 434,048 |
| Eighth. | 58 | 28 | 829, 889 | 235, 393 |
| Ninth. | 37 | 6 | 1,235, 761 | 108,176 |
| Tenth. | 35 | 22 | 1,582,279 | 204,082 |
| Eleventh. | 50 | 28 | 839,548 | 253,516 |
| Twelfth | 109 | 86 | 3,138, 826 | 762,677 |
| Total | 1,050 | 551 | 30,758, 130 | 9,177,321 |

New National Bank Charters.
The Comptroller of the Currency reports the following increases and reductions in the number and capital of national banks during the period from November 27 to December 31, 1920, inclusive:


1 Includes two increases in capital paggregating $\$ 100,000$ incident to consolidations under act of Nov. $7,1918$.

## RULINGS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD.

Acceptances drawn by dealers engaged in the export and domestic sale of the same class of goods.
The Federal Reserve Board has received an inquiry as to whether bankers' acceptances, drawn by dealers engaged in both export and domestic trade under a certain form of contract with the accepting banks, are eligible for rediscount and purchase by Federal Reserve Banks under the Board's new regulations of the series of 1920 . The form of contract was prepared some time ago to comply with the requirements of an opinion of the Board's counsel dated April 1, 1918. In that opinion published on page 314 of the April, 1918, Bulletin and on page 438 of the May, 1918, Bulletin, it was suggested that drafts, drawn by a dealer purchasing the same class of goods both for export and domestic sale and accepted by a bank to finance the purchase and sale of the goods to be exported, might be considered eligible bankers' acceptances if the dealer's contract with the accepting bank, provided "(a) that he has entered into a contract for the export of the goods of a fixed amount; (b) that the total amount of drafts drawn by him under the credit opened to finance the export of such goods shall at no time exceed the aggregate amount of the import or expori transactions contracted for and in process of execution; (c) that the proceeds of drafts drawn against the accepting bank under this credit are to be used in connection with the export contracts referred to, and that the proceeds of the sale of the goods exported will be applied in payment of the acceptances unless the dealer has in the meantime placed the bank in funds to meet them at maturity or has secured such acceptances by shipping documents, warehouse receipts, or other similar document conveying or securing title to readily marketable staples."
This suggestion was further commented upon in an opinion published on page 439 of the May, 1918, Bulletin. As a result of these opinions the form of contract in question was submitted to and approved by the Federal Reserve Board containing the provisions suggested in the above quotation. This form is now used, the Board understands, by a number of concerns, engaged in both foreign and domestic trade, when arranging for acceptance credits with their banks.
In the cases under consideration the drafts are drawn to finance the purchase or production of goods to be exported, and the export shipment of the goods has not actually occurred at the time of acceptance. Under the Board's Regulations A and B, series of 1920, bankers' acceptances so drawn in export or
import transactions are eligible for rediscount or purchase by Federal Reserve Banks only when they comply with the requirements of Regulation A, section B, subdivision (b) (1) "that there be in existence a specific and bona fide contract providing for the exportation or importation of such goods at or within a specified and reasonable time, and that the customer agree that the accepting bank will be furnished in due course with shipping documents covering such goods or with exchange arising out of the transaction being financed by the credit."

It is apparent that a contract of the kind suggested in the opinion of April 1 does not comply with these requirements.

Under the terms of the Federal Reserve Act the Federal Reserve Board is vested with broad discretion in the matter of regulating the rediscounts and open market purchases of Federal Reserve Banks. The Board's ruling, incorporated in the published opinion of April 1, 1918, was made during the war and at a time when it was necessary to facilitate in every way the exportation of goods essential to the prosecution of the war. The opinion permitted the use of bankers' acceptances under circumstances which would not justify their use at the present time. The Board's regulations of the series of 1920, which have just been issued, supersede all previous rulings which are inconsistent with them and make acceptances drawn under the form of contract suggested in the opinion of April 1, 1918, ineligible for rediscount or purchase.

If dealers purchasing or producing the same class of goods both for export and domestic sale wish to finance their export transactions by means of eligible bankers' acceptances, it will be necessary that their contracts with the accepting banks shall contain different provisions than those suggested in the opinion of April 1, 1918. The Board now suggests that the contracts between such dealers and their accepting banks contain the following provisions, (a) that the dealer has entered into contracts providing for the exportation of goods of a specified amount within a specified and reasonable time; (b) that the total amount of drafts drawn by the dealer under credits opened to finance the exportation of such goods shall at no time exceed the aggregate amount of the export transactions contracted for and in process of execution; (c) that the proceeds of drafts drawn against the accepting bank under this credit will be used to consummate the export contracts referred to, that the dealer will furnish in due course to the accepting bank shipping documents covering such goods, and that the proceeds of the sale of the goods exported
will be applied in liquidation of the acceptance credit.
The furnishing of "exchange arising out of the transaction being financed by the credit" is intended as an alternative to the furnishing of shipping documents only in import transactions, so that this phrase, which appears in Regulation A, may be disregarded in considering export transactions.

Under the Regulations of 1920 acceptances drawn to finance the purchase or production of goods under contract for export are eligible for rediscount or purchase only when the customer definitely agrees that the accepting bank will be furnished in due course with shipping documents covering such goods. Such acceptances will no longer be eligible, therefore, if the customer is given the option to furnish warehouse receipts or similar documents covering goods not intended for export, and thus to change the nature of the acceptances by converting them from acceptances based upon export transactions into acceptances based upon domestic transactions.

Right of national banks to invest in the stock of international financial corporations.
The Federal Reserve Board recently has received a number of inquiries as to whether a national bank desiring to invest in the stock of corporations organized or to be organized under the provisions of the so-called Edge Act, which constitutes section 25 (a) of the Federal Reserve Act, must make application to the Federal Reserve Board for permission to purchase such stock, and if so, whether such applications must be filed prior to January 1 , 1921, in view of the provisions of the so-called McLean-Platt amendment to section 25. In order to correct certain misapprehensions which appear to have arisen in this connection, the Federal Reserve Board feels that it is advisable to set forth its interpretation of the pertinent provisions of section 25 and section 25 (a).

Section 25, as amended September 7, 1916, and September 17, 1919, makes provision for the investment by national banks in the stock of certain kinds of international financial corporations organized under the laws of the United States or of any State thereof. At the time of the passage of these amendments, however, there was no provision for the incorporation of international financial corporations under the laws of the United States. The Edge Act has now provided a means for the incorporation of such corporations under Federal law, thus enabling national banks to exercise to the full extent the rights which were given to them by the amendments to section 25 . In addition to making provision for the organization of international financial
corporations, the Edge Act, among other things, expressly provides:

Any national banking association may invest in the stock of any corporation organized under the provisions of this section, but the aggregate amount of stock held in all corporations engaged in business of the kind described in this section and in section 25 of the Federal Reserve Act as amended shall not exceed 10 per centum of the subscribing bank's capital and surplus.

It is evident, therefore, that this provision of section 25 (a) to some extent has modified the provisions of section 25 ; so far as the right of national banks to invest in the stock of corporations organized under the laws of the United States is concerned.
Section 25 and section 25(a) both contemplate two classes of international financial corporations, (1) international banking corporations engaged in granting ordinary short time commercial credits, and (2) international investment corporations engaged in granting long time credits and in issuing their own debentures secured by foreign securities. Section 25 authorizes a national bank with a capital and surplus of $\$ 1,000,000$ or more to make application to the Board for permission to invest not to exceed 10 per cent of its capital and surplus in the stock of one or more corporations organized under the laws of the United States or of any State thereof and principally engaged in international or foreign banking; and the McLean-Platt amendment of September 17, 1919, authorizes any national bank, irrespective of the amount of its capital and surplus, until January 1, 1921, to make application to the Board for permission to invest not to exceed 5 per cent of its capital and surplus in the stock of one or more corporations organized under Federal or State law and principally engaged in such phases of international financial operations (as distinguished from international banking operations) as may be necessary to facilitate exports from the United States. Section 25 (a), however, without qualification, authorizes any national bank to invest in the stock of international financial corporations organized under that section, whether engaged in international banking or in the international investment business, provided, only, that the aggregate amount of stock held in ali corporations engaged in the business of the kinds described in that section and section 25 does not exceed 10 per cent of the subscribing bank's capital and surplus.
It will be noted that the pertinent provision of section 25(a) contains no such restrictions upon the powers of national banks to invest in the stock of international financial corporations as are found in section 25, other than the provision with regard to the aggregate amount of stock which a national bank may hold in all such corporations. As the provision of section $25(\mathrm{a})$ is limited to corporations
organized under that section, this specific provision must be construed as superseding the more general restrictions in section 25 as to the capital and surplus requirements of the subscribing bank and as to the amount which may be invested, the time limit and the phases of the international financial operations of the corporations in whose stock the national bank desires to invest, so far as investments by national banks in corporations organized under the laws of the United States are concerned.
In view of these considerations it is clear that the January 1, 1921, limitation in the McLean-Platt amendment restricting the right of national banks to make application to the Board only until January 1, 1921, does not relate to the right of a national bank to invest in the stock of an Edge corporation, whether the Edge corporation is organized for the purpose of engaging in international banking operations or in an international investment business. Similarly, the provisions of the McLeanPlatt amendment which places a limitation of 5 per cent upon the amount which a national bank may invest in a corporation of the kind described therein, has been modified by virtue of the provisions of section 25 (a) to the extent that a national bank may now invest not more than 10 per cent of its capital and surplus in a corpora ion organized under the laws of the United States, irrespective of whether such corporation is organized to carry on a banking business or an investment business, provided that the aggregate amount of stock held in all corporations engaged in business of the kind described in section 25 (a) and in section 25, whether organized under the Edge Act or under State law, does not exceed 10 per cent of the subscribing bank's capital and surplus.
In brief, under the present provisions of sections 25 and 25 (a) the situation after January 1, 1921, will be as follows: Any national bank, irrespective of its capital and surplus, may invest in the stock of any corporation organized under the provisions of section 25 (a), regardless of whether such corporation is a foreign banking corporation or a foreign investment corporation issuing its own debentures; a national bank having a capital and surplus of $\$ 1,000,000$ or more may invest in the stock of a State incorporatè banking institution, but may not invest in the stock of a State incorporated investment corporation; a national bank having a capital and surplus of less than $\$ 1,000,000$ may not invest in the stock of a corporation organized under State law, whether that corporation is a banking corporation or an investment corporation; and the aggregate of the investments of any national bank in the stock of corporations engaged in】the buisness of the kind described in sections 25
and 25 (a) may not exceed 10 per cent of the subscribing bank's capital and surplus.

The Board has ruled that a national bank which desires to invest in the stock of corporations such as are described in section 25 and in section 25 (a) must make application to the Board for permission to subscribe to such stock, irrespective of whether the corporation is to be organized under section 25 (a) or under State law. The Board will not consider an application by a national bank to subscribe to the stock of a corporation to be organized under State law until the corporation has filed an agreement with the Board to restrict its operations as the Board may require, pursuant to the provisions of section 25, and will not consider an application to subscribe to the stock of a corporation to be organized under section 25 (a) until the corporation has submitted its title to the Board for approval and reservation for 30 days, pursuant to the Board's regulation K , series of 1920 , and the Board has approved this title.

In the case of an Edge corporation which is in the process of organization, the Board has ruled that while it will consider an application after the approval of its title the Board will approve the application only upon the condition that the national bank shall not be authorized to pay out any money in payment for such stock until the international financial corporation in which the national bank desires to invest shall have been duly incorporated under the provisions of section 25 (a) and shall have received from the Board a preliminary permit to exercise such of the powers conferred upon it by. that section as are incidental and preliminary to its organization.

Heretofore the Board has not required that these applications be in any particular form, but has accepted as an application a letter signed by an officer of the national bank requesting permission to invest in the stock of the international financial corporation. In view of the increasing number of national banks desirous of investing part of their capital and surplus in the stock of such corporations, the Board recently has prepared a form of application for use by national banks, and will hereafter require national banks desiring to purchase stock in international financial corporations to make application to the Board upon this form. The applications in the first instance must be forwarded to the Federal Reserve agent of the district in which the applying bank is located, who will in turn forward them to the Federal Reserve Board with his recommendation noted thereon. This form is suitable for use in making applications' to purchase stock either in Edge corporations or in corporations organized under State law.

## LAW DEPARTMENT.

Proposed bill to amend section 11 ( m ).
On December 30, 1920, Governor Harding of the Federal Reserve Board appeared by request before the Committee on Banking and Currency of the House of Representatives, to which had been referred the bill to extend the provisions of section 11 (m) of the Federal Reserve Act for a further period of one year until December 31, 1921. During the discussion of the bill it developed that there was some opposition on the part of the committee to extending the provisions of the section without any qualification as to the manner in which the member banks' customers may have acquired the United States bonds and notes used as security for the customers' paper rediscounted by Federal Reserve Banks in excess of the limitation of 10 per cent of the member bank's capital and surplus prescribed in section 13 upon the amount of the paper of any one borrower which a Federal Reserve Bank may rediscount for any member bank. Accordingly, at the request of the committee, the Board submitted a bill which would in effect extend the provisions of section 11 (m) until December 31, 1921, in so far as is necessary to authorize the Federal Reserve Board to permit the Federal Reserve Banks to rediscount the paper of any one borrower up to 20 per cent of the member bank's capital and surplus, provided, that such paper in excess of 10 per cent of the member bank's capital and surplus is secured by Liberty bonds or Victory notes of which the borrower is the original subscriber, or by United States certificates of indebtedness. This bill was introduced into the House of Representatives on December 31, 1920, by Hon. Louis T. McFadden, chairman of the Committee on Banking and Currency. The text of the bill as introduced is as follows:

AN ACT To amend section 11 of the act approved December 23, 1913, known as the Federal Reserve Act, as amended.
Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section 11 of the act approved December 23, 1913, known as the Federal Reserve Act, as amended, be further amended by striking out the whole of subsection (m), and by substituting therefor a subsection to read as follows:
"( m ) Upon the affirmative vote of not less than five of its members, the Federal Reserve Board shall have power to permit Federal reserve banks to discount for any mem-
ber bank notes, drafts, or bills of exchange bearing the signature or endorsement of any one borrower in excess of the amount permitted by section nine and section thirteen of this act, but in no case to exceed twenty per centum of the member bank's capital and surplus: Provided, however, That all' such notes, drafts, or bills of exchange discounted for any member bank in excess of the amount permitted under such sections shall be secured by not less than a like face amount of bonds or notes of the United States issued since April twenty-fourth, nineteen hundred and seventeen, for which the borrower shall in good faith prior to January 1, 1921, have paid or agreed to pay not less than the full face amount thereof, or certificates of indebtedness of the United States: Provided further, That the provisions of this subsection (m) shall not be operative after December thirty-first, nineteen hundred and twenty-one."

## Amendment to New Jersey banking laws.

An act enacted by the New Jersey Legislature and approved March 22, 1920 (Ch. 26, N. J. Laws of 1920), provides that the Federal Reserve Board shall have the right, if it deems necessary, to examine member banks and trust companies organized under the laws of New Jersey, and that the State authorities having supervision over such banks and trust companies may disclose to the Federal Reserve Board, or its examiners, all information with reference to the affairs of any New Jersey bank or trust company, which has become, or desires to become, a momber of the Federal Reserve System. The act reads, in part, as follows:

1. Any bank or trust company incorporated under the laws of this State which has or shall become a member of a Federal reserve bank, shall continue to be subject to the supervision and examinations required by laws of this State, except that the Federal Reserve Board shall have the right, if it deems necessary, to make examinations; and the authorities of this State having supervision over such bank or trust company may disclose to the Federal Reserve Board, or to examiners duly appointed by it, all information in reference to the affairs of any bank or trust company which has become, or desires to become, a member of a Federal Reserve Bank.

The New Jersey banking laws as amended by this act contain the substance of all the provisions of the act recommended by the Federal Reserve Board to bring about greater coordination in the powers of State and National banks and to promote uniformity in State and Federal banking laws, except that the State authorities are not authorized to accept examinations made by Federal authorities in lieu of those required by State law.

## RETAIL TRADE.

In the following tables is given a summary of the data obtained from representative department stores in each Federal Reserve district showing the activity of retail trade during the past several months. In districts Nos. 1,5, 9, 11, and 12 the data were received in (and averages computed from) actual amounts (dollars). In districts Nos. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 10 the material was received in the form of percentages, the averages for the cities and
districts computed from such percentages being weighted according to volume of business done during the calendar year 1919. For the month of November, the tables are based on reports from 26 stores in district No. 1, 14 in district No. 2, 41 in district No. 3, 14 in district No. 4, 24 in district No. 5, 11 in district No. 6, 7 in district No. 7,6 in district No. 8,10 in district No. 9.15 in district No. 10, 18 in district No. 11, and 27 in district No. 12. The number of stores varies somewhat, die to the inclusion of new stores from time to time in the reporting list.

Condition of retail trade in the twelve Federal Reserve districts.
[Percentage of increase.]

| District and city. | Comparison of net sales with those of corresponding period previous year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mav, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}\right.$ | July, 1020. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug., Sept. } \\ & 1920 . \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov., } \\ & 1020 . \end{aligned}$ | Jan. 1, 1920, to close of- |  |  |  | July 1, 1920, to end of- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mar., 1920. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & \text { 1920. } \end{aligned}$ | May, <br> 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | Aug., | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct., } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | Nov, 1920. |
| District No. 1 : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston.. | 38.9 | 16.8 | 19.4 | 27.8 | 16.3 | 11.6 | 16.0 |  | 0.1 | 15.0 | 30.7 | 26.1 | 24.7 | 25.3 | 16.3 | 24.1 | 15.9 | 9.7 | 11.0 |
| Outside. | 29.4 | 26.0 | 25.5 | 28.5 | 27.2 | 9.1 | 14.7 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 36.4 | 33.1 | 30.5 | 30.0 | 27.2 | 18.1 | 16.9 | 11.1 | 9.0 |
| District | 37.5 | 18.5 | 20.7 | 28.0 | 19.9 | 10.9 | 15.4 | . 6 | 11.4 | 31.6 | 27.5 | 25.8 | 26.2 | 19.9 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 10.1 | 10.4 |
| District No. 2: <br> N.Y.City and Brooklyn.. | 66.6 | 15.0 | 41.1 | 26.4 | 22.4 | 10.9 | 13.2 | 1.7 | 7.6 | 59.3 | 35.0 | 35.3 | 32.7 | 22.4 | 13.0 | 3.6 | 5.2 | 3.0 |
| Outside | 50.4 | 22.4 | 22.8 | 32.3 | 26.9 | 2 C .4 | 16.9 | 15.4 | 18.9 | 38.1 | 33.6 | 30.1 | 31.7 | 26.9 | 27.1 | 23.4 | 19.6 | 22.8 |
| District | 64.8 | 15.8 | 35.4 | 28.4 | 24.4 | 15.9 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 11.6 | 57.0 | 34.9 | 33.7 | 33.8 | 24.4 | 17.5 | 10.2 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| District No. 3: Philadelphia. Outside |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.5 |
| District. | 37.5 | 12.4 | 50.7 | 34.3 | 23.8 | 22.6 | 15.2 | 15.8 | 8.5 | 26.2 | 20.5 | 30.9 | 31.0 | 23.8 | 24.9 | 19.6 | 17.3 | 14.6 |
| District No. 4. | 45.5 | 18.4 | 31.3 | 31.5 | 29.9 | 25.7 | 24.6 | 20.8 | 26.1 | 38.2 | 33.6 | 32.1 | 34.6 | 29.9 | 27.3 | 25.9 | 23.9 | 24.8 |
| District No. 5 | 23.1 | . 9 | 11.0 | 21.4 | 15.7 | 20.9 | 7.5 | 12.4 | 13.1 | 12.1 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 15.7 | 21.1 | 14.1 | 13.6 | 13.5 |
| District No. 6 | 27.4 | 23.4 | 31.0 | 24.3 | 11.6 | 27.6 | 12.6 | 25.1 | 14.6 | 29.9 | 22.0 | 28.1 | 29.3 | 11.6 | 19.6 | 16.9 | 19.7 | 18.8 |
| District No. | 65.2 | 33.3 | 49.7 | 59.6 | 41.2 | 33.2 | 28.6 | ${ }_{8}^{8.3}$ | 17.6 | 57.4 | 43.5 | 49.6 | 58.7 | 41.2 | 29.6 | 35.5 | 32.6 | 24.2 |
| District No. 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 20.8 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 10.8 | ... |  |  |  |  | 18.0 | 16.8 | 16.5 | 13.8 |
| District No. ${ }^{\text {D }}$ - |  | 17.0 | 4.3 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 12.9 | 2.9 | 26.9 | 19.8 | 5.6 | 12.0 | 11.6 |  |  |  | 3.8 |
| District No. 10. | 24.6 | 19.6 | 10.9 | 12.9 | 14.1 | 9.9 | 7.8 | 11.9 16.0 | 19.2 | 26.9 | 24.9 | 21.2 | 17.9 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 11.1 | 5.2 | 12.9 |
| District No. 11. |  |  |  |  | 25.9 | 25.6 | 12.4 | 16.0 | 12.7 |  |  |  |  | 25.9 | 25.2 | 20.9 | 21.6 | 17.9 |
| Los Angeles. | 58.4 | 43.6 | 38.2 | 39.0 | 35.1 | 48.9 | 29.1 | 19.3 | 31.2 | 61.2 | 56.1 | 52.2 | 49.8 | 35.1 | 42.4 | 37.9 | 33.9 | 33:7 |
| San Francisco | 35.4 | 28.5 | 40.9 | 23.6 | 21.0 | 18.6 | 10.4 | 11.5 | 9.9 | 39.7 | 36.6 | 37.6 | 35.3 | 21.0 | 19.6 | 17.3 | 15.4 | 11.7 |
| Oakland. | 31.0 | 14.9 | 17.1 | 15.2 | 16.9 | 15.3 |  | 22.0 | 7.2 | 32.3 | 27.9 | 25.6 | 23.3 | 16.9 | 14.7 |  | 21.3 | 18.2 |
| Saciamento | 65.1 | 33.9 | 34.4 | 32. 1 | 20.1 | 3.1 |  | 9.9 |  | 60.9 | 47.5 | 39.4 | 38.3 | 20.1 | 13.3 |  | 16.1 |  |
| Seattle.. | 19.2 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 11.1 | . 2 | 18.0 | 14.5 | ${ }^{1} 14.6$ | 116.4 | 21.8 | 16.2 | 13.9 | 13.4 | . 2 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 17.3 | 19.2 |
| Spokane. | 19.8 | 10.9 | 48.8 | 62.8 | 22.7 | 12.7 |  | 4.6 | 5.6 | 26.8 | 23.1 | 29.1 | 35.7 | 22.7 | 16.8 |  | 9.4 | 8.4 |
| Salt Lake City ............ | 10.5 | 7.1 | 26.4 | 18.3 | 20.6 | 11.6 |  | 8.9 | 14.7 | 15.1 | 12.8 | 16.4 | 14.7 | 20.6 | 16.1 |  | 14.0 | 12.2 |
| District. | 37.8 | 13.8 | 31.2 | 27.8 | 21.2 | 21.7 | 14.5 | 8.2 | 11.3 | 41.0 | 36.9 | 34.7 | 33.2 | 21.2 | 21.4 | 19.8 | 16.8 | 14.8 |


| District and city. | Stocks at end of month compared with- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Same month previous year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Previous month. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mar. 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1920 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May. } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | July, 1920. | Aug., | Sept., | Oct. <br> 1920. | Nov. | Mar., <br> 1020 | Apr. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | June, 1920. | July, 1920. | Aug. | Sept., | Oct., | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov., } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ |
| District No. 1: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston.. | 42.3 | 45.2 | 44.5 | 43.8 | 32.1 | 26.3 | 20.7 | 9.5 | 7.1 | 9.9 | 4.0 | 13.4 | ${ }^{1} 5.6$ | 17.0 | 4.9 | 9.5 | 14.2 | 9.0 |
| Outside. | 44.9 | 43.2 | 43.8 | 36.3 | 30.4 | 26.4 | 21.7 | 15.9 | 10.6 | 11.9 | 2.8 | 11.8 | 15.1 | . 2 | 3.2 | 9.7 | 3.0 | 12.0 |
| District. | 42.8 | 44.7 | 44.3 | 41.4 | 31.5 | 26.4 | 21.0 | 11.6 | 8.2 | 10.2 | 3.7 | ${ }^{1} 2.9$ | ${ }^{1} 5.5$ | 14.7 | 4.3 | 9.5 | 11.8 | 4.9 |
| District No. 2: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brooklyn | 69.6 | 53.8 | 47.9 | 45.5 | 46.2 | 30.7 | 18.0 | 17.2 | 5.1 | 27.9 | 1.3 | 16.6 | 15.4 | 14.3 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 14.8 |
| Outside.................... | 60.6 | 51.6 | 51.7 | 38.4 | 30.9 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 15.6 | 3.8 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 11.7 | 17.7 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 9.3 | 1.4 | 17.0 |
| District. | 68.6 | 53.6 | 49.1 | 43.2 | 39.5 | 29.3 | 18.7 | 16.7 | 4.6 | 25.8 | 1.4 | ${ }^{1} 5.0$ | 16.1 | 1.5 | 6.8 | 6.9 | . 5 | ${ }^{1} 5.6$ |

Condition of retail trade in the twelve Federal Reserve districts-Continued.
[Percentage of increase.]


1 Decrease.

## FOREIGN TRADE INDEX.

There is presented below a series of indexes Total exports, after a continuous increase designed to reflect movements in foreign trade of the United States, with fluctuations due to price changes eliminated. The commodities chosen for these indexes are those for which prices are compiled by the Federal Reserve Board in the preparation of its international price index. The list includes 25 of the most important imports, the value of which in 1913 formed 47.7 per cent of the total import values, and 29 of the most important exports, the value of which in 1913 formed 56.3 per cent of the total export values. The list of the commodities is given in the July Bulletin. ${ }^{1}$ the imports of producers' goods.

Value of exports and imports of selected commodities at 1913 prices.
[In thousands of dollars; i. e., 000 omitted.]
[Monthly average values, $1913=100$.]

|  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw materials ( 12 commodities). |  | Producers' goods ( 10 com modities). |  | Consumers' goods (7commodities). |  | Grand total exports ( 29 commodities). |  | Raw materials ( 10 commodities). |  | Producers’ goods ( 12 commodities). |  | Consumers' goods (3 commodities). |  | Grand total imports ( 25 commodities). |  |
|  | V | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Index } \\ \text { numer. } \\ \text { ber. } \end{array}$ | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Value. | Index number. | Value. | Index num ber. | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Value. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Index } \\ \text { num- } \\ \text { bur. } \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 1913 . \\ \text { January. } \end{gathered}$ | 100,027 | 116.8 | 11,762 | 101.4 | 30,715 | 100.9 | 142,504 | 111.6 | 61,347 | 121.9 | 40.107 | 108.3 | 14.219 | 108.0 |  | 115.1 |
| February | 71,074 | 83.0 | 12, 266 | 105.8 | 30,790 | 101.2 | 114, 130 | 89.4 | 55,332 | 110.0 | 41,060 | 110.9 | 14,335 | 108.9 | 110, 727 | 110.1 |
| March. | 61,681 | 72.0 | 11,836 | 102.1 | 28,698 | 94.3 | 102,215 | 80.1 | 55,555 | 110.4 | 45,753 | 123.6 | 13,378 | 101.6 | 114,686 | 114.1 |
| April. | 71,446 | 83.0 | 14,128 | 121.8 | 28,708 | 94.3 | 114,282 | 89.5 | 52,271 | 103.9 | 42, 346 | 114.4 | 10,896 | 82.7 | 105, 513 | 104.9 |
| May... | 68,856 | 80.4 | 11,661 | 100.6 | 29,923 | ${ }_{9}^{98.3}$ | 110, 440 | 86.5 | 50,089 | 99.5 | 38, 409 | 103.7 | 7,718 | 58.6 6.7 | -96, 216 | 95.7 |
| June.. | -46,963 | 54.8 59.9 | 11,612 | 100.1 95.8 | 27,242 28,686 | 92.8 91.0 | 86,817 90,120 | 68.0 70.6 | 40,822 40,298 | 881.1 | 38,606 35,990 | 104.3 97.2 | 8,382 9,698 | 63.7 73.6 | 87,810 85,986 | 87.3 85.4 |
| August | 74,869 | 87.4 | 11,547 | 99.5 | 29,370 | 96.5 | 115, 786 | 90.7 | 42,470 | 84.4 | 37,385 | 101.0 | 11,078 | 84.1 | 90,933 | 90.4 |
| September.. | 103,614 | 120.9 | 10,622 | 91.6 | 32,190 | 105.8 | 146, 426 | 114.7 | 52,659 | 104.6 | 41,184 | 111.2 | 15,883 | 120.6 | 109, 726 | 109.2 |
| October-.. | 137, 772 | 160.9 | 12,608 | 108.7 | 34,612 | 113.8 | 184,992 | 144.9 | 44, 407 | 88.2 | 22,721 | 61.4 |  | 121.0 | 83,057 | 82.6 |
| November.. | 126,836 113,326 | 148.1 132.3 | 9,987 10,053 | 86.1 86.7 | 31,246 33,089 | 102.7 <br> 108.7 | 168,069 156,468 | 131.6 122.5 | 48,107 60,904 | 95.6 121.0 | 28,788 | 77.8 86.2 | -15,059 | 114.4 162.9 | 91,954 114,279 | 91.5 113.7 |
| Year.. | 1,027,789 | 100.0 | 139, 191 | 100.0 | 1365,269 | 100.0 | 1,532,249 | 100.0 | 604,261 | 100.0 | 444,278 | 100. | 158,021 | 100.0 | 1,206,560 | 100.0 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ |  | 2 |  | 159.0 |  |  | 159, 258 |  |  | 88.5 |  | 143.3 |  | 108.1 | 112,057 |  |
| February | 58,488 | 68.3 | 14,598 | 125.9 | 53,338 | 175.2 | 126, 424 | 99.0 | 47,774 | 88.9 | 66, 708 | 180.2 | 14, 230 | 109.6 | 128, 712 | 128.0 |
| March. | 57,659 | 67.3 | 16, 161 | 139.3 | 61,585 | 202.3 | 135, 405 | 106.1 | 54,947 | 109.2 | 82,546 | 223.0 | 25, 223 | 191.6 | 162, 716 | 161.8 |
| April.. | 65, 112 | 76.0 | 19, 356 | 166.9 | 80,639 | 264.9 192 | 165, 107 | 129.3 | 63,385 | 125.9 | 88,017 | 237.7 | 18,869 | 143.3 | 170, 271 | 1169.3 |
| May.. | 67,595 | 78.9 | 15,972 | 137.7 | 58,731 | 192.9 | 142, 298 | 117.4 | 81, 274 | 161.4 | 89, 890 | 242.8 | 24, 861 | 188.8 | 196,025 | 195.0 |
| Jume.. | -98,335 | 114.8 84.0 | 28,618 | 247.1 | 96,088 | 315.1 <br> 172.7 | 223,041 141,620 | 174.7 110.9 | 86,256 86,443 | 171.4 | 61,886 | 167.2 209.1 | ${ }_{29}^{18,512}$ | 140.6 224.0 | 166,654 193,336 | 165.7 192.3 |
| August. | 81, 250 | 94.9 | 19,574 | 168.8 | 49, 194 | 161.6 | 150, 018 | 117.5 | 85, 571 | 169.9 | 42, 132 | 113.8 | 20,953 | 159.1 | 148, 656 | 147.8 |
| September. | 70,285 | 82.1 | 19,359 | 166.9 | 43,342 | 142.4 | 132,986 | 104.1 | 122,524 | 245.3 | 70,033 | 189.2 | 25, 240 | 191.7 | 218,797 | 217.6 |
| October.. | 70,322 | 82.1 | 17, 182 | 148.1 | 45,844 | 150.6 | 133,348 | 104.4 | 99,114 | 196.8 | 74,736 | 201.9 | 20,386 | 154.8 | 194,236 | 193.2 |
| November. | 99,589 | 116.3 | 15,740 | 135.7 | 46, 473 | 152.7 | 161,802 | 126.7 | 98,690 | 196.1 | 79, 198 | 213.9 | 21,254. | 161.4 | 199, 142 | 198.1 |
| December | 89,585 | 104.6 | 13,208 | 113.9 | 43,563 | 143.1 | 146, 356 | 114.6 | 79, 965 | 158.9 | 71,886 | 194.2 | 21,521 | 163.4 | 173,372 | 172.4 |
| Year.. | 914,203 | 88.9 | 215,362 | 154.7 | 688,098 | 188.4 | 1,817,663 | 118.6 | 951,495 | 157.5 | 857,504 | 193.0 | 254,975 | 161.4 | 2,063,974 | 71.1 |
| 1920. January. | 93,141 | 108.7 | 15,647 | 134.9 | 35,406 | 116.3 | 144, 194 | 112.9 | 103,796 | 206.2 | 90,655 | 244.9 | 24,064 | 182.7 | 218,515 | 217.3 |
| February.. | 70,130 | 81.9 | 14,198 | 122.4 | 41,645 | 136.8 | 125, 973 | 98.7 | 87,086 | 173.0 | 107, 162 | 289.5 | 19,964 | 151.6 | 214, 212 | 213.1 |
| March. | 90,805 | 106.0 | 17,279 | 149.0 | 56,428 | 185.4 | 164,512 | 128.9 | 97,039 | 192.8 | 125,496 | 339.0 | 25,999 | 197.4 | 248, 534 | 247.2 |
| April | 68,048 | 79.4 | 17,063 | 147.1 | 51,689 | 169.8 | 136, 800 | 107.1 | 87, 588 | 174.0 | 97,187 | 262.5 | 29,076 | 220.8 | 213, 851 | 212.7 |
| May | 63,650 | 74.3 | 17,546 | 151.3 | 62,457 | 205.2 | 143,653 | 112.5 | 64,177 | 127.5 | 84, 134 | 227.2 | 14,887 | 113.1 | 163,198 | 162.3 |
| June. | 55, 200 | 64.5 | 14, 663 | 126.4 | 46, 113 | 151.5 | 115, 976 | 90.8 | 75, 225 | 149.5 | 95, 699 | 258.5 | 21, 463 | 163.0 | 192, 387 | 197.3 |
| July. | 66,924 | 78.1 | 19, 138 | 165.0 | 43,325 | 142.4 | 129,387 | 101.3 | 60, 942 | 121.0 | 93,910 | 253.7 | 24, 562 | 186.5 | 179,414 | 178.4 |
| August | 67,225 | 78.5 | 15, 708 | 135.4 | 28,594 | 94.0 | 111,527 | $87.3$ | 61,321 | 121.8 | 94, 866 | 256.2 | 22, 624 | 171.8 | 178, 811 | 177.8 |
| September.. | 70,699 101, | 118.7 | 13,883 17 | 119.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 28,599 \\ & 37,859 \end{aligned}$ | 94.0 124.4 | 113,181 157 | 88.6 123.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 51,38 \\ & 44,866 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{89.1} 1$ | 61, 163 48 68 | 165.2 131.5 | 17, ${ }^{17,626}$ | 130.8 133.8 | 129,777 111,162 | 129.1 110.6 |
| October..... | 101,708 95,148 | 118.7 | 17,649 14,390 | 152.2 | - $\begin{aligned} & 37,899 \\ & 33,996\end{aligned}$ | 124.4 | 157,216 143,534 | 123.1 <br> 112.4 | 44,866 43,612 | 89.1 86.6 | 48,683 | 131.5 165.9 | 17,613 | 133.8 111.0 | 111, 162 | 110.6 119.0 |

${ }^{1}$ An additional list of 11 commodities of imports is given in October Bulletin.

WHOLESALE PRICES ABROAD. ${ }^{1}$
Index numbers of wholesale prices (all commodities).
[1913=100.]

|  | United <br> States; <br> Federal <br> Reserve <br> Board (90 <br> quota- <br> tions). | United States; Bureau of Labor Statistics (328 quotations). | United <br> Kingdom: <br> Statist <br> ( 45 commodities). | France; <br> Bulletin de la Statistique Générale ( $45 \mathrm{com}-$ modities). | Italy; <br> Prof. <br> Bachi (40 $\underset{\text { ties). }}{\text { commodi }}$ | Sweden; Svensk <br> Handelstidning <br> (47 quotations). | Japan; <br> Bank of Japan for Tokyo (56 commodities). | Australia: Commonwealth Bureau Censusand Statistics (92 commodities). | Canada; Department of Labor (272 quotations). | Calcutta, India; Department of Statistics ( $75 \mathrm{com}-$ modities). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | $\pm 100$ | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| 1914. |  | 100 | 101 | 101 | 95 | 116 | 96 | ${ }^{3} 100$ | 101 | 4100 |
| 1915. |  | 101 | 126 | 137 | 133 | 145 | 97 | 141 | 110 |  |
| 1916. |  | 124 | 159 | 187 | 202 | 185 | 117 | 132 | 135 |  |
| 1917. |  | 174 | 206 | 262 | 299 | 244 | 149 | ${ }^{5155}$ | 177 |  |
| 1918. |  | 197 | 226 | 339 | 409 | 339 | 193 | 170 | 206 |  |
| 1919. |  | 215 | 242 | 357 | 364 | 330 | 235 | 180 | 217 |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { November } \end{array}$ | 219 | 230 | 271 | 405 | 436 | 308 | 280 | 199 | 227 |  |
| December. | 226 | 238 | 276 | 423 | 455 | 317 | 288 | 197 | 238 |  |
| $1920 .$ | 242 | 248 | 288 | 487 | 504 | 319 | 301 | 203 | 248 |  |
| February.. | 242 | 249 | 306 | 522 | 556 | 342 | 313 | 206 | 254 | 209 |
| March... | 248 | 253 | 307 | 555 | 619 | 354 | 321 | 209 | 258 | 198 |
| April...... | 263 | 265 | 313 | 588 | 679 | 354 | 300 | 217 | 261 | 200 |
| May.... | 264 | 272 | 305 | 550 | 659 | 361 | 271 | 225 | 263 | 210 |
| June. | 258 | 269 | 300 | 493 | 615 | 366 | 247 | 233 | 258 | 206 |
| July. | 250 | 262 | 299 | 496 | 613 | 363 | 239 | 234 | 256 | 209 |
| August. | 234 | 250 | 298 | 501 | 632 | 365 | 235 | 236 | 244 | 209 |
| September | 226 | ${ }_{2}^{242}$ | 292 | 526 | 660 | 362 <br> 346 | 230 | 230 | 241 | 208 |
| October. | 208 | 225 | 263 | 502 460 | 662 658 | 346 331 | ${ }_{221}^{226}$ | ${ }_{208}^{215}$ | ${ }_{225}^{234}$ | 206 |
| November. | 190 | 207 | 263 | 460 | 658 | 331 | 221 | 208 | 225 | 194 |

[^5] BulLETIN for January, 1920. In all cases, except that of the united states, the origmal basis upon which the index numbers have beencomputed has been shifted to the 1913 base. The monthly and yearly index numbers are therefore only approximate. The latest figures are received by cable and are subject to correction.

July 1,1913 , to June $30,1914=100$.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{July}, 1914=100$.
No material change is to be noted in conditions abroad during the past few weeks. Wholesale prices were definitely on the decline in most countries, but the reaction had not gone far enough either to relieve the ultimate consumer materially or to bring about an active resumption of business among traders. Production had been curtailed in many industries, resulting in partial or complete unemployment for large numbers of workers. At the same time inflation of bank credit had increased, probably in large measure because of the situation arising from the rapid decline in commodity values, but also because of Government financing and end of year requirements.

ENGLAND.
Prices in England declined very rapidly during November, the Statist index number standing at 282 at the end of October and 263 at the end of November. Practically all groups of commodities were affected, meats and domestic coal being the most important exceptions.

Developments of the past few weeks in practically all commodity lines have been of interest, but the situation in the wool and coal industries is of special significance because of continued Government control. During December free wool sales as well as Government auctions were held. At the Government sales

4 End of July, $1914=100$.
5 Last six months of 1917.
only. a small amount of trading occurred, offerings totaling 54,000 bales, while sales amounted to only 16,000 bales. According to reports, the upset price of the Government is too high to attract buyers. Sales at the free wool auctions have been considerably larger, but prices ruled lower than at the Government sales and were also well under November prices.

There has been much agitation recently over the disposition of the enormous quantity of carry-over wool held by the British Government. These large stocks constitute a serious problem to all members of the wool trade, growers in particular opposing a general revision of prices. The latest development is a recommendation on the part of the Australian wool growers (backed by their own Government) that the British Government terminate its wool contract with them on December 31 of this year and make an equal division of the cash, wool, and other assets resulting from its control of the wool business. The growers then plan to form a wool realization association with a capitalization of $£ 25,000,000$ for the marketing of the wool.

Prices of wool tops in December were very strikingly reduced from quotations of earlier months and piece goods was likewise lower. A large portion of the Yorkshire mills are running only 24 hours a week.

All regulations regarding the price of export and bunker coal were revoked on December 1. Domestic coal prices continue at the same level as during earlier months, the Government fixed price being still effective. Export coal prices, however, have begun to decline, and it is reported that stocks of export coal are accumulating in the Cardiff region. Production during recent weeks has been maintained at the high point of the year, while demand from abroad has been curtailed.

The following table shows the course of wholesale prices according to the Statist index number:

Statist index number of wholesale prices.
$[1913=100$.

| Date. | Vegetable foods. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ani- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { foods. } \end{gathered}$ | Sugar, coffee, tea. | Foodstuffs | Minerals. | Textiles. | Sun- | Mate rials. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 110 | 100 | 107 | 105 | 90 | 97 | 105 | 98 |
| 1915. | 155 | 125 | 130 | 137 | 109 | 111 | 131 | 119 |
| 1916. | 193 | 152 | 161 | 169 | 140 | 152 | 163 | 153 |
| 1917 | 252 | 192 | 213 | 218 | 152 | 228 | 212 | 198 |
| 1918. | 248 | 210 | 238 | 229 | 167 | 265 | 243 | 225 |
| 1919 | 252 | 215 | 275 | 238 | 190 | 271 | 268 | 243 |
| November | 266 | 226 | 332 | 258 | 226 | 325 | 292 | 280 |
| December.- | 270 | 228 | 336 | 260 | 234 | 334 | 296 | 286 |
| 1920: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February. | 297 | 237 | 415 | 286 | 267 | 362 | 329 | 318 |
| March. | 345 | 237 | 393 | 300 | 263 | 360 | 318 | 312 |
| April. | 346 | 265 | 392 | 315 | 263 | 354 | 321 | 311 |
| May. | 351 | 244 | 473 | 318 | 273 | 308 | 311 | 298 |
| June. | 359 | 244 | 496 | 325 | 269 | 308 | 282 | 285 |
| July. | 343 | 278 | 425 | 335 | ${ }_{281}^{276}$ | 298 | ${ }^{277}$ | 283 |
| August. | 317 | 295 | 404 | 319 | 281 | 298 | 278 | 285 |
| September. | 319 | 291 | ${ }_{257}^{334}$ | 308 | 283 | 236 | 279 | 282 |
| October-... | 334 308 | 290 293 | 257 238 | 302 291 | 276 | 261 212 | 268 253 | ${ }_{245}^{266}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 212 |  |  |

The condition of the Bank of England on November 24 was rather stronger than for the last week of October. Deposits were $£ 13,500,000$ lower than at the end of October, bank and currency note circulation was reduced, and gold holdings increased (due to receipts from France). During the next weeks, however, considerable strain was felt, especially at the first of December, because of the repayment of $£ 12,500,000$ of exchequer bonds which matured on that date and the distribution of $£ 50,000,000$ in interest on the war loan. This resulted in an increase in other deposits and a decrease in the ratio of reserve to liabilities from $11 \frac{7}{8}$ per cent on November 24 to $7 \frac{7}{8}$ per cent on December 1 , the lowest point reached since 1866 . During the next two weeks conditions improved slightly, but on December 22 and 29 the reserve ratio was again down to between 7 and 8 per cent. As a result of the December 1 payments by the Government, the floating debt was greatly increased. Treasury bills outstanding increased from $£ 1,097,000,000$ the last week in November to $£ 1,127,000,000$ the first week in December, and temporary advances from the bank and other departments increased from $£ 231,000,000$ to $£ 268,000,000$. The volume of currency notes was reduced during the month of November, but was again increased in December.

The suspension of payment by Farrow's Bank, which was capitalized at $£ 361,000$, was due to unsound management rather than to general financial conditions.

| 1920 | Deposit and note accounts. |  |  |  | Government floating debt. |  |  | Discount rates. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bank notes. 1 | Currency notes and certificates outstanding. | Deposits, public and | Coin and bullion. ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Treasury } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | Temporary advances. | Total floating debt. | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { months } \\ & \text { bank bills. } \end{aligned}$ | 6 months' trade bills. |
| End of- | 000 's. | 000 's. | 000 's. | 000 's. | 000 's. | 000 's. | 000's. | Per cent. | Per cent. |
| January. | £84,258 | £329,554 | £155, 272 | £128,434 | £1, 111,000 | £208,000 | £1,319,000 | $5 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{3} \frac{9}{2}}$ |  |
| February | 92,426 99 | 324,994 335,372 | 190,147 137,170 | 138,946 140,672 | $1,070,000$ $1,107,000$ | 188,000 | 1,258,000 | $5_{58}^{58}$ | ${ }_{6}^{63}$ |
| April. | 101,284 | 337,377 | 140, 381 | 141,018 | 1,048,000 | 249,000 | $1,297,000$ | ${ }_{6} 6_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{7}$ |
| May. | 103,614 | 348,316 | 117,784 | 140,955 | 1,062,000 | 221,000 | 1,283,000 | $6{ }^{3} \frac{3}{3} \frac{3}{2}$ | $7{ }^{2}$ |
| Jume. | 106, 658 | 357, 356 | 191, 715 | 146, 382 | 1,050,000 | 244,000 | $1,294,000$ | $6{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $7{ }^{7}$ |
| July... | 106, 869 | ${ }_{3561}^{361,911}$ | 133,796 | 151,734 | 1, 058,000 | 204,000 | $1,262,000$ | $6{ }_{6}{ }^{1} 18$ | $7{ }^{2}$ |
| August..er | 106,294 108,791 | ${ }_{353,795}^{356,012}$ | 115,955 127,167 | 151,529 | $1,067,000$ $1,139,000$ | 183,000 143,000 | $1,250,000$ $1,282,000$ | ${ }^{63 \frac{3}{3}}$ | 71 |
| October.. | 108, 839 | 355,872 | 136,977 | 151, 699 | 1,328,000 | 241,000 | ${ }_{1}^{1,269,} 000$ | ${ }_{6}^{6+\frac{1}{4}}$ | $7{ }^{7}$ |
| November | 109,218 | 349, 284 | 123,304 | 152, 613 | 1,097,000 | 231,000 | 1,328,000 | $6 \frac{1}{3}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Less notes in currency notes account.
${ }^{2}$ Held by the Bank of England and by the Treasury as note reserve.
London bank clearings constantly decreased had been reduced to $£ 676,000,000$. During during the successive weeks of November. the first two weeks of December the totals During the first week they amounted to were higher again, but declined in the third $£ 793,000,000$, while during the last week they week.

| London bankers' clearing-house returns. |
| :---: | :---: |
| [In thousands of pounds sterling.] |

Foreign trade during the month of November showed an increase of $£ 7,000,000$ in exports, a decrease of $£ 3,000,000$ in reexports, and of $£ 5,000,000$ in imports. As regards England's balance of trade, this is the most favorable showing yet obtained, and the total exports for the month are the largest in value of any month since the war with the single exception of July, 1920. The only unfavorable features of the report were the relatively small quantity of raw materials and the relatively large quantity of finished goods imported.

| Date. | Value of foreign trade. |  |  | Production (metric tons). |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ship } \\ & \text { tonnage } \\ & \text { under } \\ & \text { construc- } \\ & \text { tion (gross } \\ & \text { tons). } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. | Exports. | Reexports. | Coal. | Pig iron. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Steel } \\ \text { ingots and } \\ \text { castings. } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Monthly average, 1913. | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \prime_{s .} \\ & £ 39,061 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000 '_{s} \\ & £ 43,771 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0, \prime_{s} \\ £ 9,131 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 000 \text { 's. } \\ 24,336 \end{gathered}$ | $000 ' s .{ }_{869}$ | $000 ' s_{649}$ | 12,002,699 |
| January......................... | 183,498 | 105,880 | 25,464 | 222,657 | 676 | 766 |  |
| February | 170,514 | 85,964 | 22,604 | 19,435 | 656 | 811 |  |
| March.... | 176,648 | 103,699 | 27,031 | 19,505 | 710 | 854 | 3,394,425 |
| April.. | 167,154 166,816 | 106,252 119,319 | 20,407 20,260 | 2 ${ }_{2}^{17,131}$ | 655 738 | 779 <br> 848 |  |
| June. | 170,491 | 116,352 | 20, 124 | 19,048 | 726 | 745 | 3,578,000 |
| July... | 163,342 | 137,452 | 17,848 | ${ }^{2} 222,926$ | 750 | 800 |  |
| September | 152,692 | 114, 4503 | 13,351 | 18,885 | 741 | 884 | 3,731,000 |
| October... | 149,389 | 112,295 | 16,134 | $2{ }^{2} 14,044$ | 533 | 544 |  |
| November | 144,260 | 119,365 | 13,115 | 15,920 | 404 | 504 |  |

1 Average of four quarterly estimates. Figures following are estimates taken at the end of each quarter.
${ }_{2}$ Five weeks in the month.

|  | Average percentage increase in cost of living, ${ }^{1}$ base, July, 1914. | Per cent of tradetunion members unemployed (membership 1,636,012 at end of September). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |
| January. | 125 | 2.9 |
| February | 130 | 1.6 |
| March.... | 130 | 1.1 |
| April. | 132 | . 9 |
| May.... | 141 | 1.1 |
| June.... | 150 | 1.2 |
| July.... | 152 | 1.4 |
| August... | 155 | 1.6 |
| September | 161 | 2.2 |
| October. | 164 | 5.3 |
| November. | 176 | 3.7 |
| December.. | 169 |  |

Figures applymg to increase in cost of living are for the beginning of month and those for trade-union unemployment are for end of month.

The index number of the cost of living in England showed a decline during November for the first time since the post war boom began. During October the index increased from 164 per cent to 176 per cent above the average in July, 1914, mainly because of the removal of the bread subsidy. In November,
however, the effects of the decline in wholesale prices began to be felt in retail prices as well. Unemployment had reached considerable proportions in October, many people being thrown out of work as a result of the coal strike. During November and December conditions were further aggravated, establishments continuing on a part-time basis even after the strike was over. The ministry of labor estimates 60,000 members of trade-unions were out of work at the end of November; 415,000 individuals were registered in the insured trades as out of work at the same time.

## FRANOE.

Subscriptions to the French National 6 per cent loan closed on November 30, 1920. Receipts total about $27,000,000,000$ francs, of which $12,500,000,000$ francs were paid in the form of French Government securities of former loans. The large total is particularly welcome in view of the current needs of the French treasury. An examination of the state of the national finances has been taking place in the finance commissions of both the Senate and the Chamber of Deputies since the middle of November. The budget approved for the year 1920 provided (as has been previ-
ously noted in the Bulletin) for the following expenditures:


The budget for 1921 recently submitted by the Finance Minister, M. François Marsal, provides for the following items of expense:

|  | 000's of francs. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ordinary expenses. | 22,327, 409 |
| Extraordinary expenses. | 5, 420, 731 |
| Special expenses. | 16, 539, 100 |
| Total. | 44, 287, 240 |

The last item is made up principally of interest on a portion of the public debt ( 5 billion franes) and expenses in the devastated regions (10 billion francs). M. François-Marsal estimates that the Government's ordinary receipts during 1921 will be 19,735 million francs, and its extraordinary receipts 5,228 millions. This would seem to leave a deficit of almost 3 billion francs in the "ordinary" and "extraordinary" budgets, leaving the "special" budget out of consideration entirely. (This last is regarded
as eventually recoverable from Germany and will be met by a loan.) The commissions of finance of the Chamber and Senate have recently requested the Finance Minister to reduce the expenses contemplated for 1921 by about 3 billion francs in order that receipts and the expenses of the ordinary and extraordinary budget may balance. Both commissions emphasize the need for doing away with Government departments which are "useless and out of harmony with the exigencies of the present financial situation," and the commission from the Chamber of Deputies says that it wishes to obtain the consent of the ministers of the Army and the Navy for new and important economies. Work on the 1921 budget will not be finished by the first of the year and provisional credits will have to be voted for January and February.

The following table gives a survey of the French financial situation during November. The only important changes to be noted in the statement of the Bank of France are in note circulation, which decreased slightly, and in deposits which increased about 450 million francs. This latter increase is about equally divided between' overnment and private deposits.

French financial situalion.
[In francs.]


1 Includes 'Treasury and individual deposits. July 10, 1915, and Feb, 16, 1917. $\quad 1$ Not including about 1,978 million francs held abroad. 3 From indirect taxation and Government monopolies.
Wholesale prices in France continued to decline during the month of November. The wholesale price index number of the Statistique Générale dropped from 502 in October to 480 in November. Prices of meat and vegetables, which were still increasing in October, began to move downward in November, and sugar and cofiee reached further low levels during the month. The decline in meat prices was due to the fact that the Government imported and marketed frozen meat at fixed prices. Sugarbeet production in France was much larger this year than last, and lower prices have resulted. Production of refined sugar for the

1920-21 season is estimated at 244,260 tons, 70 per cent more than the estimated yield of the season af 1919-20.
Textile priceswere also much lower in November; Buenos Aires fine wool at Havre dropped from 9.80 francs per kilo the last of October to 8.90 francs the last of November. Cotton at Havre fell from 430 francs per 50 kilos the last of October to 298 francs the last of November.

Toward the last of November orders for textiles had become so rare that a reduction in working hours was necessary in the Lille district. There is also unemployment in the textile mills of the Vosges, but reports from the
region of Mulhouse, Alsace, show some improvement in the situation there. Silk prices also declined during November, and the Lyon market report: continued inactivity.

Metal prices rose slightly the first of the month and then dropped below October levels. They are not yet as low, however, as they were last year at this time.
The following table gives the group index numbers of the Statistique Générale:

|  | Group index numbers-France. [Bulletin de la Statisque Générale.] [1913=100.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ani- } \\ & \text { mal } \\ & \text { food. } \end{aligned}$ | Vegetable foods | Sugar, coffee, and cocoa. | Foods (20). | Min- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tex- } \\ & \text { tiles. } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Sun- } \\ & \text { dries. } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Raw } \\ & \text { mate- } \\ & \text { rials } \\ & \text { (25). } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1913 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914 | 103 | 103 | 106 | 104 | 98 | 109 | 99 | 101 |
| 1915. | 126 | 126 | 151 | 131 | 164 | 132 | 145 | 145 |
| 1916 | 162 | 170 | 164 | 167 | 232 | 180 | 199 | 206 |
| 1917. | 215 | 243 | 201 | 225. | 271 | 303 | 302 | 291 |
| 1918 | 286 | 298 | 231 | 281 | 283 | 460 | 420 | 387 |
| 1919. | 392 | 313 | 253 | 336 | 272 | 444 | 403 | 373 |
| 1919, end of November. | 424 | 351 | 271 | 369 | 323 | 620 | 415 | 435 |
| December | 432 | 380 | 278 | 375 | 357 | 649 | 419 | 454 |
| 1920: |  |  |  |  | 413 |  |  |  |
| February | 484 | 474 | 436 | 474 | 444 | 828 | 503 | 561 |
| March.. | 500 | 516 | 439 | 498 | 460 | 884 | 548 | 600 |
| April. | 522 | 511 | 429 | 506 | 498 | 953 | 587 | 646 |
| May.. | 480 | 480 | 424 | 472 | 459 | 841 | 601 | 614 |
| June. | 482 | 400 | 392 | 434 | 428 | 734 | 517 | 540 |
| July. | 501 | 370 | 405 | 432 | 469 | 746 | 500 | 548 |
| August... | 515 | 359 | 399 | 432 | 475 | 737 | 524 | 558 |
| September... | 531 | 412 | 544 | 487 469 | 468 | ${ }_{6} 715$ | 540 | 558 |
| October-..... | 519 | 421 390 | 422 | 469 441 | ${ }_{4} 453$ | 637 510 | 527 498 | 428 |

Recent dispatches from France say that retail as well as wholesale prices are declining. Evidently the decline was not widely felt in November, however, as the Statistique Générale's retail price index number for Paris showed an increase of 6 points for the month. This index includes 13 articles, weighted according to annual consumption of a workingman's family of four people. The base period is July, 1914.

Retail prices in Paris.
[July 1914=100.]

| Month. | $1914$ | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 | 1920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January . |  | 122 | 137 | 139 | 191 | 248 | 290 |
| February |  |  |  |  |  | 226 | 297 |
| March |  |  |  |  |  | 248 | 339 |
| April. |  | 116 | 135 | 147 | 218 | 257 | 358 |
| May. |  |  |  |  |  | 268 | 378 |
| June. |  |  |  |  |  | 263 | 369 |
| July... | 160 | 122 | 132 | 183 | 206 | 261 | 373 |
| August |  |  |  |  |  | 238 | 373 |
| September |  |  |  |  |  | 259 | 407 |
| October. |  | 120 | 138 | 184 | 237 | 283 | 420 |
| November |  |  |  |  |  | 280 | 426 |
| December |  |  |  |  |  | 285 |  |

Preliminary figures on French foreign trade for November, 1920, show a distinct decline in exports over previous months. Imports, however, are larger than they were last month. The following table gives a summary of the trade for this year:

Foreign trade of France. ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands of francs.]

|  |  | Imp | orts. |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food. | Raw materials. | Manufactured articles. | Total. | Food. | $\underset{\text { Raterials. }}{\text { Raw }}$ | Mantfactured articles. | Parcel post. | Total. |
| 1913 average 2 | 151,465 | 412,144 | 138, 169 | 701,778 | 69,908 | 154,841 | 301, 20 | 47,182 | 573,351 |
| 1919 average ${ }^{3}$ | 719,122 | 1,101,811 | 660, 610 | 2,481,543 | 80,805 | 161,401 | 440,314 | 43,577 | 726,097 |
| January. | 538,365 | 985,410 | 478,408 | 2,002,183 | 84,561 | 187,626 | 415,007 |  | 722,398 |
| February | 653,630 | 1,336,987 | 651,299 | 2,641,916 | 150,060 | 347, 480 | 767,423 | 58,866 | 1,323,829 |
| March. | 871,857 | 1,478,987 | 772,007 | 3,122,851 | 114,223 | 349,521 | 834,031 | 39,884 | 1,337,659 |
| April. | 675,799 | 1,398,592 | 813,216 | 2,887,607 | 125,678 | 353,344 | 844,901 | 52,987 | 1,376, 910 |
| May ${ }^{\text {June }}$ | 547,825 | $1,193,960$ $1,302,867$ | 644,911 726856 | $2,386,696$ $2,588,674$ | $\xrightarrow{103,355}$ | 348,361 421,735 | 726,654 $1,100,931$ | $\begin{array}{r}31,658 \\ 69 \\ \hline 862\end{array}$ | 1, 210, 028 |
| June ${ }^{\text {July }}$ | 558,951 | 1,302,867 | 726,856 | 2,588, 674 | 216,849 | 421,735 | 1,100,931 | 69,862 | 1,809,377 |
| August 6 | 723,749 | 1,171,091 | 905,613 | 2,800,453 | 210,888 | 440,482 | 1,631,883 | 116,255 | 2,399,508 |
| September | 608,822 | 1, 294, 160 | 724, 894 | 2,627, 876 | 229, 892 | 446, 131 | 1, 363,469 | 112,081 | 2,151,573 |
| October. | 667, 709 | 1, 243, 294 | 684, 442 | 2,595,445 | 262, 808 | 337, 464 | 1,597, 808 | 134, 472 | 2,332,552 |
| November ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 549,834 | 1,389,928 | 732.416 | 2,672,000 | 200,388 | 405,858 | 1,136,356 | 140,996 | 1,883,598 |

## Not including gold, silver, or the reexport trade.

Calculated in 1913 value units.
Calculated in 1918 value units.

- January-June, 1920 , figures are calaulated in 1918 valuo units. French foreign trade figures are originally recorded in quantity units only, and the value of the trade is calculated by applying official value units to the quantities imported and exported. Normaily the monthly statements of trade appear computed at the rates of the year provious, and only at the end of the year is the trade evaluated at the prices prevailing during that year. Because of the disturbed price conditions in France this year, however, it was not until July that the 1919 price units were lecided upon and applied.
${ }^{5}$ Monthly French foreign trade figures are published only in cumulative form, and as the value rates used were changed in July it is impossible to give separate figures for that month
ted in 1919 value unit.
Figures subject to revision.


## ITALY.

The Italian situation is still marked by falling exchange rates and continued inflation of bank credit, but wholesale prices have begun to decline. Exchange rates which began their second spectacular decline of the year in the month of July continued to depreciate throughout November and December, lire being worth only 3.38 cents in New York on December 27.

Wholesale prices, which stood at 662 in October (as compared with 100 in 1913), had declined to 658 in November. This decline is so slight as to be almost immaterial except for the fact that it indicates that the peak of the present upward movement has been passed. Increases occurred during the month in prices of foodstuffs (probably because of the removal of Covernment subsidies of one sort and another), but prices of textiles and minerals declined.

| up index numbers-Ite <br> Prof. Bachi. [1913=100.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cereals } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { meats. } \end{aligned}$ | Other foodstuff. | Textiles. | Minerals and metals. | Other goods. | Date. | Cereals and meats. | Other foodstuffs. | Textiles. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minerals } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { met }+\mathrm{ls} . \end{gathered}$ | Other goods. |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914.. | 102 | 84 | 96 | 100 | 96 | January..... | 363 | 396 | 777 | 671 | 418 |
| 1915. | 132 | -93 | 113 | 207 380 | 133 | February... | 365 | 399 | 840 | 857 | 443 |
| 1916. | 156 | 135 | 184 | 380 | 197 | March...... | 381 | 418 | 962 | 996 | 489 |
| 1917. | 215 | 171 | 326 | 596 | 256 | April...... | 395 | 494 | 1,064 | 1,076 | 535 |
| 1918. | 315 | 229 | 475 | 750 | 391 | мау...... | 441 | 499 | , 840 | 1,088 | 525 |
| 1919.. | 316 | 340 | 427 | 414 | 360 | June... | 445 | 511 | 742 | 917 | 534 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | July ....... | 434 | 508 | 759 | 903 | 542 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  | August.... | 445 | 510 | 794 | 957 | 540 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | September.. | 459 | 520 | 837 | 1,040 | 541 |
| November. | 328 | 371 | ${ }_{6}^{633}$ | 568 |  | October... | 446 | 502 | 810 | 1,092 | 572 |
| December. | 338 | 373 | 658 | 584 | 405 | November | 475 | 535 | 763 | 1,077 | 585 |

Bank statistics are not available for more recent date than September 30, but in view of the situation as disclosed by foreign exchange rates and prices, it is unlikely that conditions have improved very materially since then. On that date the note circulation of the three banks of issue stood at almost 19,000 million lire as compared with something under 3,000 million lire before the war. Between January and September, 1920, the increase in this item amounted to more than 20 per cent. During 1920 gold and silver holdings of the banks remained practically stationary, but holdings of foreign securities increased from a value of 868 million lire to 1,063 million lire. Deposits of these same banks increased from 2,376 million lire on January 31 to 2,444 million lire September 30. April, June, and September saw the most rapid increases in this item, while the totals were somewhat reduced during May and July. The ratio of reserves to note circulation after deducting 20 per cent required for demand liabilities was 9.87 per cent on September 30.

The floating debt of Italy on June 30 was about as large as her note circulation. The latter item amounted to something under 18 billion lire on that date, while treasury bills were in circulation to the amount of 15 billion lire. In addition, there were over 2 billion lire in State notes in circulation, while of the total bank note circulation of about 18 billion lire 8 billion lire had been issued for the account of the State.

Banks of issue.-Aggregate note circulation, deposits, and reserves for Banca d'Italia, Banco di Napoli, and Banco di Sicilia.
[In millions of lire.]

| Date. | Circulation. | Deposits and demand liabilities. | Reserve. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gold. | Silver. | Foreign holdings. | Total. |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 31. | 15,634 | 2,376 | 1,038 | 115 | 868 | 2,021 |
| Feb. 29. | 15,448 | 2,224 | 1,038 | 115 | 894 | 2,047 |
| Mar. 31. | 15,932 | 2,296 | 1,028 | 115 | 910 | 2,063 |
| Apr. 30. | 16,429 | 2,377 | 1,038 | 115 | 882 | 2,035 |
| May 31. | 16,861 | 2,264 | 1,038 | 115 | 912 | 2,065 |
| June 30. | 17,817 | 2,379 | 1,038 | 115 | 957 | 2,110 |
| July 31. | 17,899 | 2, 196 | 1,039 | 115 | 954 | 2,113 |
| Aug. 31 | 17,955 | 2,276 | 1,039 | 115 | 1,018 | 2,171 |
| Sept. 30. | 18,912 | 2,494 | 1,039 | 115 | 1,063 | 2,216 |

Total public debt on that date amounted to 72,574 million lire as compared with 53,622 at the same date in 1919. Of this sum 43,500 millions was perpetual debt, while 29,200 millions consisted of long-term treasury certificates, railway certificates, credits opened in the United States, bonds placed in foreign countries, etc.

The principal revenues in the first two months of the current fiscal year (July and August) amounted to 1,479 million lire, against 1,083 millions for July and August, 1919. Revenues from Government monopolies were 200 millions over those for the same period of the preceding year, which were 80 millions more than those for July-August, 1918. The vield from taxes on consumption shows in the first two months
of the current fiscal year an increase of 12 million lire over the same period of the preceding year, but a decrease of 12.5 millions as compared with 1918.

October 18 was the date on which the first installment of the 1920 quota of the tax on capital was due. Official reports are not yet available showing the amount collected. The tax is levied on all fortunes of 50,000 lire or more, at a progressive rate, graduating from 4.50 per cent to 50 per cent. The payment is extended over a period of 20 years (or 10 years for those estates of which three-fifths or more consist of personal property), a discount of 6 per cent a year being granted those who pay before their annual installment falls due. According to the provisional figures obtained in October the number of persons assessed is 361,000 , or 1 per cent of the total population; the total wealth assessed, 70 billion lire; the annual yield of the tax, 450 millions; the number of persons having fortunes of 1 million lire or more, 6,000 , and their aggregate fortunes, about 14 billion lire. These figures are only tentative, and it is thought that with the enforcement of the law regarding the registering of bearer bonds the number of individuals subject to the tax will be found to be much larger.

Italian foreign trade, January-July, 1919 and 1920, (excluding gold and silver).
[Based on 1919 value units.]
[In millions of lire.]

| Month. | Import: |  | Exports. |  | Excess of imports over exports. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1920 | 1919 | 1920 | 1919 | 1920 | 1919 |
| January.. | 1,002 | 1,061 | 497 | 260 | 505 | 801 |
| February. | 1,141 | 1,368 | 616 | 301 | 525 | 1,067 |
| March. | 1,431 | 1,656 | 683 | 367 | 748 | 1,289 |
| April. | 1,363 | 1,651 | 679 | 350 | 684 | 1,301 |
| May.. | 1,401 | 1,364 | 662 | 358 | 739 | 1,006 |
| June. | 2,076 | 1,431 | 752 | 484 | 1,324 | 947 |
| July. | 1,040 | 1,555 | 521 | 432 | 519 | 1,123 |
| Total. | 9,454 | 10,085 | 4,411 | 2,551 | 5,043 | 7,534 |

With foreign exchange rates depreciated even more than domestic values, conditions during 1920 have been favorable for Italian export trade. Total exports for the first 7 months of the year show an increase of 73 per cent in values over those of the same period in 1919. This is presumably a true increase, since the figures for both years are based upon values in 1919. Exports for June were at the highest level of the year, the July exports showing a considerable decline. Italian imports are still far larger than exports, the un-
favorable balance amounting to 5 billion lire during the first seven months of the year. Total imports, however, have been slightly lower during 1920 than they were in 1919, and the unfavorable balance is considerably smaller.
On October 10, the Commissioner of Food Supply estimated that the budgetary deficit this year on account of the bread subsidy might exceed 7 billion lire. About a month later a bill for financing the bread subsidy was proposed by the Government. This bill provides that the receipts from certain taxes (such as the income tax) shall be applied to the deficit on this account and that the price of wheat to the regional consortia be raised to the national requisition price (domestic grain price). It is estimated that the latter regulation will increase the price of bread from 1 lire per kilogram to $1.30-1.40$ lire per kilogram. These provisions will yield something over $4 \frac{1}{2}$ billion lire, it is estimated, but even so the total cost of the subsidy will not be met.

The crop situation as a whole seems to be somewhat less favorable than last year. Except in the case of maize, potatoes, and beets, 1920 crops are estimated as lower than 1919.

Estimales of production of agricultural products in Italy, 1919 and 1920.
[In quintals.]


The tendency toward the consolidation of individual financial and industrial undertakings which has been characteristic of German economic development in the last few years, has been of particular interest in the last few months. In the chemical industry agreements were made between dye manufacturers and nitrogen concerns. Three great oil mills united under Dutch influence, and a starch combination was formed. The most striking development of this sort which occurred in the industrial field during November was the absorption by the Rhine-Elb Union (the "electromining trust" organized by Hugo Stinnes) of the Siemens Electrical interests. This union now produces coal and electric power, as well as iron ore, semifinished and finished iron and steel products. Its capitalization amounts to 603 million marks (according to figures pub-
lished in the Frankfurter Zeitung) and its employees number 200,000.

German financial situation.
[In marks.]

|  | Reichsbank statistics. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reserve. |  | Note circulation. | Deposits. |
|  | Gold. | Reichsund Darlehns-kassenschcine. |  |  |
| 1913 average. | $000,000 ' s$. $1,067.6$ | 000,000's. | $\begin{array}{r} 000,000 ' s . \\ 1,958 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 000,000 ' s . \\ 668 \end{gathered}$ |
| End of- |  |  |  |  |
| May. | 1,092 | 15, 1907 | 50,017 | 17,024 |
| June. | 1,092 | 17,252 | 53,975 | 23,414 |
| July. | 1,092 | 17, 874 | 55,969 | 17,282 |
| August. | 1,092 | 18,686 | 58,401 | 15, 772 |
| September. | 1,092 | 19,861 | 61,735 | 20,054 |
| October.. | 1,092 | 21,341 | 63,596 | 17,945 |
| November. | 1,092 | 20,363 | 164,284 | 17,340 |
|  | Situation of the Government. |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Receipts } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { taxes and } \\ & \text { Govern- } \\ & \text { ment } \\ & \text { monop- } \\ & \text { olies. } \end{aligned}$ | Floating debt. | 3 per cent imperial loan. ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { per cent } \\ & \text { war } \\ & \text { loan. }{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1913 average. | $000,000 ' s$. 265 | 000,000's. | 75.90 |  |
| End of- |  |  |  |  |
| April. | 2,072 |  | 74.50 | 98.75 |
| May.. | 2,599 |  | 67.50 | 98.70 |
| June. | 3,227 | 141, 987 | 62.90 | 98.30 |
| July. | 3,739 | 148, 750 | 60.64 | 98.50 |
| August, | 3,635 |  | 60.80 | 98.70 |
| September | 4,126 | 156,825 | 62.25 | 99.90 |
| October... | 5,121 | 161,920 | 62.25 | 99.00 |
| November |  | 165,918 | 72.30 | 98.80 |

${ }^{1}$ In addition there were in circulation about 12.5 billions of Darlehnskassenscheine.
${ }_{2}$ Quotations of the Berlin Bourse.
Among the German banks similar amalgamations have been proceeding for several years. The Deutsche Bank has made the greatest strides in this direction. In 1913 its capital was 200 million marks. In 1914 it absorbed the Bergisch-Märkische Bank and increased its capital 50 million marks; in 1917 it took over the Schlesischer Bankverein of Breslau and the Norddeutsche Creditanstalt of Königsberg and raised its capital to 275 million marks; and in 1919 it annexed the Elberfelder Bankverein. Early in the current year it severed connections with the latter bank, but in November it continued its policy of expansion by raising its capital to 400 million marks, and took over the Hannoversche Bank, the Braunschweigische Privatbank, and the Privatbank of Gotha, and became a controlling interest in the Württembergische Vereinsbank. The four other large banks which have been pursuing this same
policy are the Disconto- esellschaft, the Dresdner Bank, the Commerz-und-Disconto Bank, and the Nationalbank für Deutschland. The last-named bank has been particularly active in recent years in uniting industrial with financial groups. Recent dispatches from Frankfurt say that it took over the Holstenbank in Neumünster in November and that it is preparing to take over the Hanover banking house of Bernhard Caspari, which has many close industrial connections.

The tableopposite gives a brief summary of the German financial situation in November. The Reichsbank holdings of Reichs-und Darlehnskassenscheine decreased slightly in November, but its note circulation, after declining during the first two weeks of the month, has reached another high point. Deposits show a slight decrease as compared with the last week in October.

The fiscal situation of the German Government is still the object of great concern in the Reichstag. A bill has been introduced providing for the early collection in 1921 of the emergency war levies, so that the floating debt may be materially reduced by the middle of next year.

Gernan exchange fluctuations were chaotic throughout November. The value of important foreign currencies as expressed in German marks rose steadily until the third week in November, when a sharp drop occurred. The movement was again reversed, however, in the last week of the month. The following table shows the recent trend of Berlin exchange on London, New York, Paris, and Switzerland:

| Marks to the. | Berlin exchange on- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | London. | New York. | Paris. | Switzerland. |
|  | Pound sterling. | Dollar. | Franc. | Franc. |
| Par | 20.40 | 4. 20 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| Oct. 27.......... | 249.75 | 72. 555 | 4. 525 | 11.239 |
| Nov. 3. | 269.70 | 77.295 | 4.8950 | 12. 1475 |
| Nov. 10. | 286.70 | 85.163 | 4.9950 | 13.0765 |
| Nov. 18. | 242.25 | 69.305 | 4.3955 | 10. 9890 |
| Nov. 24. | 239.75 | 68.430 | 4.2200 | 10.7390 |
| Dec. 1. | 242.00 | 69.18 | 4.2100 | 10.8400 |
| Dec. 8. | 254. 70 | 74.05 | 4.3700 | 11. 5100 |

These violent fluctuations in exchange have a chaotic effect on German economic life. When the value of the mark is lowered it is almost impossible to import goods from abroad, and when it becomes high German manufacturers are unable to find foreign purchasers.

The following table gives wholesale prices in Germany for several important commodities:

Wholesale prices in Germany.

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1913 \\ \text { average. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { First } \\ \text { quarter, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | Second quarter, 1920. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Third } \\ \text { quarter, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { October, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | November, 1920. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat, Berlin. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . marks per 100 kilos. . | 119.9 |  |  | ${ }^{2} 155.5$ | 2155.5 | 2155.5 |
| Rye, Berlin . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . do... | 116.4 |  |  | ${ }^{2} 141.5$ | ${ }^{2} 141.5$ | 2141.5 |
| Oats, Berlin.................................................... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . do. | 116.2 |  |  | ${ }^{2} 136.5$ | 2136.5 | 2136.5 |
| Barley, Breslau................................................................... do... | 115.6 |  |  | 2135.0 | 2135.0 | 2135.0 |
| Wool, South German, washed A-B................................ . . . . | 3.2 |  |  | 83 | 110 | 110 |
| Raw silk, 11/13, Crefeld.............................................................. do... | 442.4 | 2,633 | 1,683 | 866 | 1,050 | 1,125 |
| Cotton, raw, Bremen............................................................ do.... | 11.29 | 562 | 644 | 47 | 46 | 41.88 |
| Cottonyarn, 36's. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . per kilo ${ }^{3} .$. | 2.14 | 144 | ${ }^{6} 116$ | 77 | 85.50 | 90 |
|  | 11.9 |  | ${ }^{6} 15$ | 16 | 24.50 | 21.03 |
| Pig iron, Hamburg. ..................................................marks per ton.. | 77.50 | 1,512 | 1,769 | 1,660 | 1,660 | 1,660 |
| Medium plates....................................................marks per ton ${ }^{3}$.. | 110 | 3,828 | 4,927 | 4,298 | 4,060 | 3,360 |
| Copper, refined, Hamburg...................................... . marks per $100 \mathrm{kilos}^{3}$.. | 148.9 | 2,978 | 1,490 | 1,422 | 1,962.5 | 1,968.8 |
| Lead, donble refined, Hamburg. ................................................. do. | 37.4 | 1,319 | 622 | 556 | 731.2 | 855 |
| Zinc, smelted, Hamburg. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . do. | 50.2 | 1,281 | 677 | 710 | 915 | 892.5 |
| Tin, Banca or Straits, Hamburg . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . do.... | 218.7 | 11,300 | 6,350 | 4,874 | 6, 131.3 | 6,725 |
| Bituminous coal (Nut I and II), Rhenish-Westphalian Syndicate. marks per ton.- | 113.13 | 167 213 | 236 | 238 300.2 | ${ }_{3}^{238}$ | ${ }_{300}^{238}$ |
| Foundry coke, Rhenish-Westphalian Syndicate..............................do.... | 19.75 | 213 | 296 | 300.2 | 390.2 | 300.2 |

${ }^{1}$ Statistisches Jahrbuch für das Deutsche Reich.
2 Price fixed by the Government.
${ }_{3}$ Prices from the Frankfurter Zeitung.
The trend of the uncontrolled prices in Germany this year has been divided into three distinct movements. During January and February and in some cases as late as April prices rose. From that time on, until June and July, there were sharp price declines. Raw silk prices fell from 3,500 marks per kilo in March to 850 marks in July and August. Lead prices fell from 1,750 marks per 100 kilos in February to 375 in June and other prices declined similarly. During the month of August prices increased again, and there was a fairly steady rise after that time in the price of most commodities not controlled by the Government.

In the second half of November, however, this third movement was reversed in the case of some important commodities. Prices for nonferrous metals, raw cotton, and cotton yarn declined slightly during the last of the month. In the first week of December prices of raw silk and organzine, hides and leather, and some vegetable prices also decreased. Coal prices have remained unchanged, since the Government has refused to allow the coal syndicates to increase their prices since May. Wages of coal miners have been increased several times during that period, and the coal operators protest that they can not continue to produce coal at the present prices.

The question of coal production has been before the German public in the last month. On November 10 a subcommittee on the socialization of coal mines reported to the Reichstag. It recommended a plan not for the taking over of the coal mines by the Government, but for the consolidation of all coal production in the hands of a gigantic trust. If this scheme is adopted, shares in
${ }^{5}$ Applies to raw silk 12 to 14 instead of 11 to 13.
5 January quotation only.

- June quotation only.
the organization will be issued in small denominations so that they may be purchased by the miners. No action has as yet been taken on this plan of reorganization.

The Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce of the United States has recently published the following statement in regard to German foreign trade in 1919 and the first five months of 1920:

German foreign trade.

|  | Imports. | Exports. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January............ | Marks. 397,000, 000 | Marks. <br> 161,000,000 |
| February | 408,000,000 | 195,000,000 |
| March. | 440,000,000 | 292,000,000 |
| April. | 626,000, 000 | 270,000,000 |
| May. | 1,468,000,000 | 251,000,000 |
| June. | 2,688,000,000 | 406,000,000 |
| July. | 3, 538,000,000 | 570,000,000 |
| August | $3,817,000,000$ | 735,000,000 |
| September | 4, 191,000,000 | 790,000,000 |
| October. | 5, 179,000,000 | 1,089,000,000 |
| November | 4, 446,000,000 | 1,284,000,000 |
| December | 5,178,000,000 | 4,014,000,000 |
| Total January-May | 3,339,000,000 | 1,169,000,000 |
| Total for the year... | $32,376,000,000$ | 10,057,000,000 |
|  |  |  |
| January... | 6,560,000,000 | 3,219,000,000 |
| February | 5,932,000,000 | 4,262,000,000 |
| March. | 5, 683,000,000 | 4,216,000,000 |
| April. | 4, 768,000,000 | 5, 344, 000, 000 |
| May. | 5,537,000,000 | 6,647,000,000 |
| Total January-May . | 28,480,000,000 | 23,688, 000,000 |

"The reversal of the trade balance in April and May of 1920 is explained in part by the fact that the goods exported in those two months were free from the ausfuhrabgaben, or export duties. The manufacturers and the merchants naturally made haste to fill current orders as soon as possible in order to obtain the benefit of the exemption. It should be
noted also that considerable quantities of goods purchased or ordered by foreign visitors at the Leipzig Fair were shipped in April and May.
"The favorable balance of trade for the two months has been greeted in some quarters as a good omen, but it is pointed out by the "Handelsberichte" of October 28, 1920, that the favorable balance is only apparent, not real. It seems to be due to the method of valuation rather than to an actual increase of exports. In Germany declared values are registered for all exports, but the value of most of the imports is estimated officially on the basis of average prices prevailing during the year. For the several months of 1920 the imports have been valued on the basis of prices prevailing in 1919, the resulting figure of imports being much too low in comparison with the exports, as the mark had depreciated in 1920. The exports were stated in the low marks of 1920, the imports in the higher marks of 1919.
"Arrangements are now boing made to improve the method of valuation so that a real trade balance may be shown by the figures of trade. It is probable that declarations of value will be made compulsory for all categories of imports.
"It should also be remembered, when the value of the exports is under consideration, that the total includes goods delivered to the allied powers as compensation under the treaty of peace. Such goods can not properly be considered articles of commerce. The deliveries of coal alone represent a value of $792,000,000 \mathrm{marks}$ for the first five months of 1920 , the value being estimated on the basis of domesticprices."

## JAPAN.

The accompanying table shows the condition of the Bank of Japan during the past seven years. Among the items the increase in the advances on foreign bills between 1916 and 1918 is perhaps the most striking, indicating as it does the remarkable activity of the bank in connection with foreign trade. Advances on foreign bills at the end of 1913 amounted to only 44 million yen, whereas at the end of 1918 they were approximately 10 times as great, amounting to 444 million yen. During 1919 and 1920 there has been a decided falling off in this item, especially in the interval between December 31, 1919, and June 30, 1920. Gold embargoes abroad made it necessary for the bank to assist exporters in financing foreign trade during the war period. These advances were made through the exchange banks by means of note issues on the part of the Bank of Japan.

During the war period the item "Private loans and discounts" increased at a far less rapid pace than advances on foreign bills. On December 31, 1913, they amounted to 80 million yen, while at the end of 1918 they were 142 million yen. However, since June 30, 1919, this item has increased very strikingly, while advances on foreign bills have declined, with the result that private loans and discounts on June 30, 1920, were far larger than advances on foreign bills on that date. The increase in loans and discounts is an interesting indication of the fact that during the war, while business conditions were easy, the connections between the Bank of apan and the private banks was not so close as during the last year, when conditions have been far more critical within the country.

Another group of items which showed very striking increases during the war were deposits at overseas agencies and coin, bullion, and notes. During the war the Bank of Japan accumulated large holdings of gold. Part of this specio was shipped to Japan, but at the same time very large portions were kept abroad. With the rapid increase in foreign trade it was necessary for the bank to keep increasingly large deposits abroad.

The second table shows the condition of the Bank of Japan as regards certain important items at monthly intervals during 1919 and 1920. This tableshows that while specie reserves have been steadily increasing during 1920, the note issue has been on the decline. The decline during 1920 in the branches and agencies account (including overseas agencies account) indicates that specie has been shipped to Japan from abroad. The freedom of the movement of gold probably explains in part at least the decrease in the item "advances on foreign bills." It is also affected by the fact that recently the city banks have had large sums available for the use of the exchange banks. At the same time the volume of foreign trade has been steadily on the decline.

The decrease in loans and discounts items since July probably indicates that the stringency which existed in the earlier months of the year has been somewhat relieved.

Foreign trade during the month of November showed an excess of imports over exports. The total imports amounted to 112 million yen and the total exports to 105 million yen. During the first seven months of 1920 the foreign trade of Japan showed an adverse balance, but between August and October exports were larger than imports. The fact that November trade again shows an unfavorable balance indicates that foreign demand is abnormally light.

Statement of the Bank of Japan, 1915-1920 (slightly summarized).
[In thousands of yen.]


Important items of the statement of the Bank of Japan.
[In thousands of yen.]


| Group index numbers-Calcutta, India, Department of Statistics. [End of July, 1914=100.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date. |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Manu- } \\ \text { face- } \\ \text { tured } \\ \text { articles. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Metals. | Hides and skms. | Cotton manu-factures. | Raw | Jute manu-factures. | Other teytiles. | Oils. | Raw jute. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oul } \\ & \text { seeds. } \end{aligned}$ | Tea. | Sugar. | Pulses. | Cereals. | Othr r foods |
| End of July, 1914 <br> August, 1918. | 100 | 100 | 100 317 | 100 83 | 100 | 100 240 | 100 328 | 100 240 | 100 | 100 89 | 100 96 | 100 | 100 95 | 179 | 100 | $\begin{array}{r}100 \\ \hline 119\end{array}$ |
| January 1920. | 118 | 225 | 226 | 253 |  | 214 | 181 | 153 | 159 | 125 | 200 | 96 | 377 | 207 | 167 | 204 |
| February.... | 118 | 217 | 215 | 233 | ${ }_{364}^{356}$ | 185 | 164 | 158 | 155 | 123 | 190 | 92 | 363 | 191 | 158 | 199 |
| March. | 127 | 218 | 222 | 211 | 351 | 179 | 150 | 159 | 135 | 118 | 166 | 87 | 321 | 160 | 151 | 192 |
| April | 114 | 201 | 219 | 209 | 357 | 158 | 170 | 161 | 116 | 119 | 163 | 90 | 377 | 159 | 156 | 185 |
| May. | 128 | 215 | 248 | 160 | 365 | 135 | 142 | 164 | 123 | 120 | 169 | 90 | 511 | 150 | 157 | 183 |
| June-. | 131 | 233 | 244 | 116 | 364 | 144 | 147 | 164 | 119 | 83 | 171 | 73 | 482 | 149 | 156 | 180 |
| July.. | 139 | 235 | 249 | 100 | 364 | 132 | 151 | 168 | 119 | 89 | 169 | 74 | 503 | 159 | 151 | 188 |
| August. | 142 | 235 | 257 | 99 | 360 | 139 | 163 | 168 | 115 | 91 | 167 | 72 | 477 | 160 | 154 | 185 |
| September | 158 | 237 | 245 | 105 | 347 | 154 | 163 | 164 | 115 | 105 | 179 | 65 | 456 | 170 | 154 | 186 |
| October. | 154 | 282 | 245 | 96 | 343 | 142 | 136 | 164 | 132 | 104 | 184 | 64 | 392 | 169 | 155 | 178 |
| November.......... | 161 | 246 | 243 | 89 | 341 | 133 | 118 | 164 | 118 | 90 | 163 | 62 | 348 | 168 | 150 | 173 |

1 Includes pulses.
Group index numbers-Sweden, Svensk Handelstidning.
[1913=100.]

| Date. | Vegetable rood. | Ammal | Raw materials for agriculture. | Coal. | Metals. | Building material. | Paper pulp. | Hides and leather. | Tevtiles. | Oils. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913-14.. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $1914{ }^{1}$ | 136 | 101 | 114 | 123 | 109 | 104 |  | 118 | 103 | 111 |
| 1915. | 151 | 140 | 151 | 177 | 166 | 118 | 116 | 158 | 116 | 120 |
| 1916. | 152 | 182 | 180 | 266 | 272 | 165 | 233 | 229 | 166 | 149 |
| 1917. | 181 | 205 | 198 | 551 | 405 | 215 | 267 | 205 | 247 | 212 |
| 1918. | 221 | 419 | 304 | 856 | 398 | 275 | 300 | 195 |  |  |
| 1919... | 261 | 409 | 340 | 804 | 259 | 286 | 308 | 211 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1919}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| November.. | 230 | 361 | 317 | 840 | 22.7 | $2 \times 10$ | 316 | 228 | $32 \times$ | 204 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 248 | 328 | 317 | 864 | 248 | 295 | 388 | 258 | 353 | 204 |
| February. | 273 | 305 | 319 | 936 | 259 | 371 | 476 | 269 | 380 | 226 |
| March. | 270 | 304 | 318 | 960 | 291 | 367 | 682 | 268 | 380 | 475 |
| April.. | 265 | 284 | 320 | 1,008 | 283 | 367 | 767 | 263 | 368 | 275 |
| May... | 269 | 283 | 320 | 1,069 | 324 | 367 | 788 | 252 | 374 | 275 |
| June... | 250 | 273 | 311 | 1.252 | 318 | 381 | 778 | 212 | 368 | 303 |
| July... | 252 | 277 | 312 | 1,252 | 293 | 388 | 767 | 202 | 336 | 303 |
| August. | 271 | 307 | 310 | 1,117 | 286 | 388 | 756 | 191 | 328 | 322 |
| September.. | $\stackrel{273}{ }$ | 312 | 308 | 1,085 | ${ }_{27} 7$ | 388 | 753 | 180 | 310 | 340 |
| October..... | 258 264 | 306 200 | 309 303 | 1,026 | ${ }_{253}$ | 390 387 | 740 609 | 166 | 250 | 340 |
| November. | 264 | 290 | 303 | 910 | 253 | 387 | 609 | 161 | 233 | 332 |

1 Average for six months ending Dec. 31, 1914.
Group index numbers-Australian Commonwealth-Bureau of Census and Statistics. [July, 1914=100.]

| Date. | Metals and coal. | Textiles, leather, etc. | Agricultural products. | Dairy products. | Groceries and tobacco. | Meat. | Building materials. | Chemicals. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Juy, 1914... | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $1915 . .$. | 117 | 93 | 202 | 127 | 110 | 150 | 116 | 149 |
| 1916. | 154 | 131 | 113 | 124 | 127 | 155 | 136 | 172 |
| 1917. | 213 | 297 | 110 | 116 | 131 | 155 | 194 | 243 |
| 1918. | 220 | 232 | 135 | 121 | 138 | 147 | 245 | 315 |
| 1919.......... | 193 | 217 | 186 | 137 | 147 | 145 | 261 | 282 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| November. | 184 | 254 | 238 | 142 | 151 | 132 | 278 | 267 |
| December. | 186 | 259 | 224 | 142 | 156 | 132 | 281 | 266 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 189 | 273 | 227 | 143 | 156 | 147 | 282 | 268 |
| February.. | 192 | 283 | 226 | 149 | 161 | 149 | 287 | 272 |
| March.... | 205 | 291 | 226 | 162 | 160 | 126 | 298 | 280 |
| April.... | 205 | 277 | 234 | 169 | 192 | 160 | 298 | 280 |
| May........ | 214 | 265 | ${ }_{2}^{252}$ | 177 | 197 | 170 | 307 | 297 |
| June........ | 214 | 260 | 261 | 187 | 195 | 208 | 307 | 297 |
| July.... | 211 | 252 | 244 | 188 | 193 | 261 | 307 | 283 |
| August. | 209 | 251 | 238 | 189 | 193 | 284 | 312 | 282 |
| September. | 211 | 222 | 231 | 209 | 196 | 273 | 295 | 276 |
| October. | 222 | 220 | 208 | 214 | 196 | 226 | 289 | 276 |
| November .. | 222 |  | 206 | 212 | 195 | 211 | 281 | 255 |

${ }^{1}$ Mutilation in table.

Group index numbers-Canadian Department of Labor. ${ }^{1}$
[1913-100.]

| Date. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grains } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { fodder. } \end{aligned}$ | Animals and meats. | Dairy products | Fruits and vege- tables. | Other foods. | Textiles. | Hides, leather, etc. | Metals. | Implements. | Building materials lumber. | Fuel and lighting. | Drugs and chemicals. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913.. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 114 | 107 | 100 | 99 | 104 | 102 | 105 | 96 | 101 | 100 | 94 | 106 |
| 1915. | 136 | 104 | 105 | 93 | 121 | 114 | 110 | 128 | 106 | 97 | 92 | 160 |
| 1916 | 142 | 121 | 119 | 130 | 136 | 148 | 143 | 167 | 128 | 100 | 113 | 222 |
| 1917. | 206 | 161 | 149 | 233 | 180 | 201 | 168 | 217 | 174 | 118 | 163 | 236 |
| 1918... | 231 | 197 | 168 | 214 | 213 | 273 | 169 | 229 | 213 | 147 | 188 | 250 |
| 1919... | 226 | 199 | 192 | 206 | 222 | 285 | 213 | 173 | 228 | 171 | 201 | 205 |
| 1919. | 240 | 176 | 221 | 240 | 230 | 298 | 252 | 171 | 232 | 194 | 201 | 181 |
| December.... | 251 | 182 | 230 | 240 | 232 | 306 | 231 | 181 | 232 | 224 | 209 | 189 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ... | 269 | 195 | 228 | 265 | 245 | 316 | 237 | 191 | 235 | 232 | 212 | 190 |
| February. | 275 | 195 | 216 | 290 | 251 | 321 | 245 | 199 | 231 | 243 | 215 | 189 |
| March... | 280 | 198 | 206 | 295 | 254 | 322 | 222 | 219 | 237 | 268 | 215 | 194 |
| April.. | 291 | 200 | 196 | 316 | 264 | 366 | 239 | 214 | 237 | 268 | 245 | 201 |
| May... | 301 | 207 | 189 | 358 | 275 | 323 | 215 | 213 | 237 | 294 | 257 | 203 |
| I une.. | 302 | 206 | 183 | 338 | 274 | 314 | 186 | 207 | 238 | 295 | 279 | 206 |
| July, | 292 | 211 | 194. | 295 | 283 | 30.5 | 183 | 209 | 242 | 282 | 294 | 218 |
| August | 271 | 204 | 198 | 142 | 277 | 300 | 173 | 209 | 243 | 285 | 298 | 218 |
| Septemher. | 254 | 202 | 202 | 190 | 261 | 296 | 169 | 207 | 259 | 273 | 296 | 217 |
| October.- | 229 | 194 | 207 | 177 | 249 | 292 | 156 | 203 | 259 | 265 | 211 | 211 |
| November.... | 201 | 184 | 209 | 203 | 236 | 273 | 153 | 195 | 259 | 265 | 270 | 205 |

1 Unimportant groups omitted.
Group index numbers-United States, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
$[1913=100$.

| Date. | $\underset{\text { products. }}{\text { Farm }}$ | Food, etc. | Cloths and clothing. | Fuel and lighting. | Metalsand metal products. | Lumber and building material. | Chemicals and drugs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { House } \\ & \text { furnishing } \\ & \text { goods. } \end{aligned}$ | Miscellaneous. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 103 | 102 | 98 | 96 | 88 | 98 | 101 | 99 | 98 |
| 1915. | 106 | 105 | 99 | 92 | 94 | 94 | 109 | 99 | 99 |
| 1916. | 119 | 124 | 123 | 114 | 142 | 100 | 157 | 115 | 117 |
| 1917. | 189 | 178 | 181 | 175 | 208 | 124 | 198 | 145 | 153 |
| 1918. | 219 | 191 | 240 | 163 | 181 | 152 | 221 | 195 | 192 |
| 1919............ | 234 | 214 | 270 | 173 | 161 | 195 | 178 | 246 | 217 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| November.. | 240 | 219 | 325 | 179 | 164 | 236 | 176 | 299 | 220 |
| December.... | 244 | 234 | 335 | 181 | 169 | 253 | 179 | 303 | 220 |
| January.......... |  | 253 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February... | 237 | 244 | 356 | 187 | 189 | 300 | 197 | 329 | ${ }_{227}^{227}$ |
| March. | 239 | 246 | 355 | 192 | 192 | 325 | 205 | 329 | 230 |
| April. | 246 | 270 | 353 | 213 | 195 | 341 | 212 | 331 | 238 |
| May. | 244 | 287 | 347 | 235 | 193 | 341 | 215 | 339 | 246 |
| June...... | 243 | 279 | 335 | 246 | 190 | 337 | 218 | 362 | 247 |
| July. | 236 | 268 | 317 | 252 | 191 | 333 | 217 | 362 | 243 |
| August... | 222 | 235 | 300 | 267 | 193 | 328 | 216 | 363 | 240 |
| September. | 210 | 222 | 278 | 284 | 192 | 318 | 222 | 371 | 239 |
| October.... | 182 | 204 195 | ${ }_{234}^{257}$ | ${ }_{2}^{282}$ | 184 | 313 274 | ${ }_{2}^{216}$ | 371 | 229 |
| November. | 165 | 195 | 234 | 258 | 170 | 274 | 207 | 369 | 230 |

WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES.

The Board's index number of wholesale prices showed another material decline in November. The number stood at 208 for October and 190 for November, a decline of 8.5 per cent. Another marked downward movement characterized the index of prices of imported goods, the number registering 127
for November as compared with 142 in October and 246 in May. Export goods likewise declined some 18 points during the month.

Price declines, however, were not limited to goods entering into foreign trade, practically all commodities being considerably reduced, except coal, petroleum, gasoline, cement, brick, newsprint, which were unchanged, and certain foodstuffs which normally advance during the winter.

Index numbers of wholesale prices in United States-Federal Reserve Board.
[Average price for $1913=100$.]

| Date. | Goods produced. | Imported. | Exported. | Consumed. | Raw materials. | Producers' goods. | Consumers goods. | All. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average for the year 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January................ | 197 | 168 168 | 200 192 | 195 | 195 | 192 | 196 | 195 |
| March............. | 193 | 163 | 194 | 191 | 196 | 185 | 188 | 191 |
| April...... | 198 | 165 | 194 | 196 | 201 | 181 | 197 | 196 |
| May.... | 204 | 172 | 211 | 201 | 209 | 184 | 202 | 202 |
| June....... | 204 | 180 | 214 | 202 | 208 | 192 | 202 | 203 |
| July...... | 214 | 176 | 224 | 211 | 217 | 200 | 211 | 21 |
| August...... | 221 | 174 | 219 | 218 | 217 | 206 | 224 | 218 |
| September.......... | 215 | 170 | 212 | ${ }_{212}$ | 211 | 203 | 216 | 211 |
| October.. | 215 | 174 | 226 | 211 | 213 | 207 | 214 | 212 |
| November.......... | 222 | 179 | 242 | 217 | 220 | 213 | 219 | 219 |
| December.......... | 231 | 203 | 245 | 225 | 229 | 223 | 225 | 226 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.......... | 244 | 212 | 255 | 240 | 245 | 236 | 240 | 242 |
| February.......... | 244 | 216 | 252 | 242 | 242 | 247 | 240 | 242 |
| March.... | 250 | 218 | 256 | 247 | 246 | 263 | 241 | 248 |
| April...... | 265 | 242 | 264 | 263 | 263 | 274 | 257 | 263 |
| May...... | 266 | 246 | ${ }_{26}^{262}$ | 264 | 263 | 274 | 261 | ${ }^{264}$ |
| June...... | 260 | 226 | 256 | 257 | 258 | 265 | 255 | 258 |
| July..... | 253 | 208 | 248 | 249 | 249 | 251 | 250 | 250 |
| August... | 238 | 182 | 229 | 234 | 237 | 235 | 229 | 234 |
| September. | 231 | 164 | 211 | 227 | 233 | 225 | 218 | 226 |
| October. | ${ }^{213}$ | 142 | 181 | 211 | 211 | 209 | 203 | 28 |
| November. | 195 | 127 | 163 | 193 | 192 | 190 | 187 | 190 |




Index numbers of wholesale prices in the United States for principal classes of commodities.
[Buteau of Labor Statistics.]
[Average price for 1913=100.]

| Year and month. | Raw materials. |  |  |  |  | Producers' goods. | Consumers'goods. | All commodities (Bureau of Labor Statisticsindex number). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Farm } \\ & \text { products. } \end{aligned}$ | Animal products. | Forest products. | Mineral products. | Total raw materials. |  |  |  |
| July, 1914. | 102 | 106 | 97 | 91 | 99 | 93 | 103 | 100 |
| November, 1914 | 98 | 103 | 96 | 89 | 97 | 92 | 103 |  |
| November, 1915. | 104 | 101 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 109 | 105 | 103 |
| November, 1916.. | 166 | 127 | 98 | 157 | 139 | 156 | 143 | 144 |
| November, 1917. | 239 | 187 | 129 | 160 | 183 | 181 | 182 | 183 |
| November, 1918... | 234 | 210 | 150 | 185 | 199 | 203 | 219 | 206 |
| November, 1919. | 276 | 212 | 239 | 183 | 226 | 216 | 236 | 230 |
| January, 1920 | 291 | 213 | 273 | 190 | 239 | 245 | 259 | 248 |
| February, 1920. | 278 | 206 | 315 | 194 | 240 | 246 | 256 | 248 |
| March, 1920.. | 288 | 200 | 348 | 197 | 247 | 246 | 263 | 253 |
| April, $1920 .$. | 304 | 196 | 367 | 224 | 260 | 263 | 280 | 265 |
| May, 1920. | 314 | 179 | 367 | 234 | 260 | 271 | 285 | 272 |
| June, 1920 | 301 | 186 | 363 | 245 | 261 | 262 | 279 | 268 |
| July, 1920 | 287 | 184 | 359 | 256 | 258 | 251 | 272 | 263 |
| August, 1920. | 259 | 181 | 351 | 265 | 251 | 238 | 250 | 250 |
| September, 1920 | 232 | 186 | 344 | 277 | 248 | 224 | 240 | 242 |
| October, 1920 | 191 | 172 | 339 | 272 | 230 | 209 | 224 | 225 |
| November, 1920 | 170 | 15 \% | 289 | 246 | 205 | 194 | 214 | 207 |

In order to give a more concrete illustration of actual 1920 , to November, 1920, compared with like figures for price movements, there are also presented in the following table monthly actual and relative figures for certain comNovember of previous years. The actual average monthly prices shown in the table have been abstracted from the modities of a basic character, covering the period January, records of the United States Bureau of Iabor Statistics.

Average monthly wholesale prices of commodities.
[Average price for $1913=100$.]

| Year and month. | Corn No. 3, Chicago. |  | Cotton, middling, New Crleans. |  | Wheat, No. 1, northern spring, Minneapolis. |  | Wheat, No. 2, red winter, Chicago. |  | Cattle, steers, good to choice, Chicago. |  | Hides, packers, heavy native steers, Chicago. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average price per bushel. | Relaprice. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per bushel. | Relative price. | Average price per bushel. | Relaprice. | Average price per 100 pounds. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ |
| July, 191 | \$0.7044 | 114 | \$0.1331 | 105 | \$0.8971 | 103 | \$0.8210 | 83 | \$9.2188 | 108 | \$0.1938 | 105 |
| November, 1914 | . 6806 | 111 | . 0742 | 58 | 1.1594 | 133 | 1.1486 | 116 | 9.4063 | 111 | 2175 | 118 |
| November, 1915. | . 6495 | 106 | . 1155 | 91 | 1.0182 | 117 | 1.1250 | 114 | 8.8450 | $10+$ | . 2625 | 143 |
| November, 1916 | . 9663 | 157 | . 1960 | 154 | 1.9300 | 221 | 1.8116 | 184 | 10.3500 | 122 | . 3150 | 171 |
| November, 1917 | 2. 0238 | 329 | . 2804 | 221 | 2.1700 | 248 | 2.1700 | 220 | 14.3875 | 169 | . 3525 | 192 |
| November, 1918 | 1. 2675 | 206 | . 3007 | 237 | 2. 22206 | 254 | ${ }^{2} 22375$ | 227 | 18.1563 | 213 | .2900 | 158 |
| November, 1919 | 1. 4875 | 242 | . 3963 | 312 | 2.8250 | 323 | 2.2881 | 232 | 17.5000 | 206 | . 4688 | 255 |
| January, 1920 | 1. 4750 | 240 | . 4035 | 318 | 2.9313 | 336 | 2.6338 | 267 | 15.9375 | 187 | . 4000 | 218 |
| February, 1920 | 1.4525 | 229 | . 3944 | 311 | 2.6875 | 308 | 2. 4900 | 252 | 14.9688 | 176 | . 4025 | 219 |
| March, 1920. | 1.5515 | 252 | . 4060 | 320 | 2.7550 | 315 | 2.5000 | 253 | 14.4000 | 169 | . 3640 | 198 |
| $\Lambda$ pril, 1920 | 1.6913 | 275 | . 4144 | 326 | 3.0063 | 344 | 2.7725 | 281 | 13.9063 | 163 | . 3613 | 196 |
| May, 1920 | 1.9825 | 322 | . 4038 | 318 | 3.0750 | 352 | 2.9750 | 302 | 12.6000 | 148 | . 3538 | 192 |
| June, 1920 | 1.8390 | 299 | . 4030 | 317 | 2.9000 | ${ }_{3}^{332}$ | ${ }^{2.8950}$ | 294 | 15.0313 | 177 | . 3410 | 185 |
| July, 1920 |  | 250 | . 3950 | 311 | 2.8313 | 324 | 2.8050 | 284 | 15. 3813 | 181 | 2944 | 160 |
| August, 1920 | 1.5310 | 249 | . 3380 | 266 | 2.5500 | 292 | 2.4735 | 251 | 15.3500 | 180 | . 2850 | 155 |
| September, 192 | 1.2938 | 210 | . 2706 | 213 | 2. 4903 | 285 | 2. 4919 | 253 | 15. 2500 | 179 | . 2840 | 154 |
| October, 1920. November, 192 | .8778 <br> .8003 | 143 | . 2088 | $\begin{aligned} & 164 \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | 2.1063 1.7528 | ${ }_{201}^{241}$ | 2.2047 2.0570 | 224 | 14.6875 14.5750 | 173 | . 23250 | 139 126 |
| Year and month. | Hogs, light, Chicago. |  | Wool, Ohio, $\frac{1}{4}-\frac{3}{8}$ grades, scoured. |  | Hemlock, NewYork. |  | Yellow pine, flooring, New York. |  | Coal, anthracite, stove, New York, tidewater. |  | Coal, bituminous, run of mine, Cincinnati. |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { price per } \\ 100 \\ \text { pounds. } \end{gathered}$ | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | A verage M feet. | Relative price. | Average price per M feet. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | $\Lambda$ verage price per long ton. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | Average price per tort ton. | Rela. tive price. |
| July, 1914. | \$8.7563 | 104 | \$0.4444 | 94 | \$24.5000 | 101 | \$42.0000 | 94 | \$4. 9726 | 98 | \$2. 2000 | 100 |
| November, 1914. | 7.4813 |  | . 4722 | 100 | 24.2500 | 100 | ${ }^{42.0000}$ | 94 | 5. 1912 | 103 | 2. 2000 | 100 |
| November, 1915 | ${ }_{6}^{6.6450}$ | 79 | . 6143 | 130 | 21.2500 | 88 | 38.0000 | 85 | 5.1766 | 102 | 2.2000 3 | 100 |
| November, 1916 | 9.4063 | 111 | . 6857 | 146 | 23.7500 30 | 98 126 | 40.0000 57.0000 | 90 128 128 | 5.6946 6.1469 | 113 | 3.7500 3.7500 | 170 |
| November, 1917 | 17.3500 | 205 | 1.3571 | 288 | 30.5000 | 126 | 57.0000 | 128 | 6.1469 | 121 | 3.7500 | 170 |
| November, 1918 | 17.7063 14.1438 | 209 167 |  |  |  |  | 63.0000 100.0000 | 141 | 7.8071 8.4273 | 154 | 4.1000 4.1000 | 186 186 |
| November, 1919 | $\begin{array}{r}14.1438 \\ 15.1250 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 167 179 | 1.2545 1.2364 | 266 | 44.0000 53.0000 | - 182 | 100.0000 112.0000 | 224 251 | 8.4273 8.4291 |  | 4.1000 4.1000 |  |
| January, 1920. | 15.1250 14.9813 | 179 177 | 1.2364 | 263 | 53.0000 57.0000 | - 229 | 112.0000 139.0000 | 312 | 8.4291 8.4118 | ${ }_{166}^{167}$ | 4.1000 4.1000 | 186 186 |
| March, 1920. | 15.5000 | 183 | 1.2364 | 263 | 57.0000 | 235 | 139.0000 | 312 | 8.4109 | 166 | 4.1000 | 185 |
| April, 1920. | 15. 7125 | 186 | 1.2000 | 255 | 57.0000 | 235 | 160.0000 | 359 | 8. 4368 | 167 | 5.5000 | 250 |
| May, 1920. | 14.7550 | 175 | 1.1636 | 247 | 57.0000 | 235 | 160.0000 | 359 | 8.9964 | 178 | 6.0000 | 273 |
| June, 1920. | 15.3500 | 182 | 1.0000 | 212 | 57.0000 | 235 | 160.0000 | 359 | 9.3672 | 185 | 6.0000 | 273 |
| July, 1920 | 15.8875 | 188 | . 9091 | 193 | 57.0000 | 235 | 160.0000 | 359 | 9.4580 | 187 | 6.0000 | 273 |
| August, 1920 | 15.7350 | 186 | . 87274 | 185 | 57.0000 | 235 | ${ }^{157.0000}$ | 352 | 9.6087 | 190 | 6. 0000 | ${ }_{323}^{273}$ |
| September, 19 | 17.0688 14.7875 | 202 175 | . 72373 | 178 | 57.0000 57.0000 | 235 | 157.0000 152.0000 | 352 | 10.4363 10.4732 | 207 | 7.1000 7.1000 | ${ }_{323}$ |
| November, 1920 | 12.1400 | 144 | . 6909 | 147 | 57.0000 | 235 | 124.5000 | 279 | 10.5417 | 208 | 7.1000 | 323 |

Average monthly wholesale prices of commodities-Continued.

| Year and month. | Coal, Pocahontas, Norfolk. |  | Coke, Connellsville. |  | Copper, ingot, electrolytic, New York. |  | Lead, pig, desilverized, New York. |  | Petroleum, crude, Pennsylvania, at wells. |  | Pig iron, basic. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average price per long ton. | Relative price. | Average price per short ton. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rela- } \\ \text { tive } \\ \text { price. } \end{gathered}$ | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | A verage price per barrel. | Relative price. | A verage price per long ton. | Relative price. |
| July, 1914. | \$3.0000 | 100 | \$1.8750 | 77 | \$0.1340 | 85 | \$0.0390 | 89 | \$1.7500 | 71 | \$13.0000 | 88 |
| November, 1914 | 3. 0000 | 100 | 1. 5500 | 64 | . 1125 | 72 | . 0350 | 80 | 1.4500 | 59 | 12.4800 | 85 |
| November, 1915 | 2.8500 | 95 | 2.3750 | 97 | . 1788 | 114 | . 0490 | 111 | 1. 8000 | 73 | 15. 7500 | 107 |
| November, 1916. | 6.0000 | 200 | 5. 7500 | 236 | . 2863 | 182 | . 0700 | 159 | 2. 6000 | 106 | 25. 1000 | 171 |
| November, 1917. | 4.4120 | 147 | 6.0000 | 246 | .2350 | 149 | . 0613 | 139 | 3. 5000 | 143 | 33.0000 | 224 |
| November, 1918 | 4. 6320 | 154 | 6.0000 | 246 | . 2600 | 165 | . 0805 | 183 | 4.0000 | 163 | 33.0000 | 224 |
| November, 1919 | 4.6320 | 154 | 5. 9375 | 243 | . 2038 | 130 | . 0676 | 154 | 4. 4375 | 181 | 28.3125 | 193 |
| January, 1920 | 4. 6322 | 154 | 6.0000 | 246 | . 1931 | 123 | . 0872 | 198 | 5. 0625 | 207 | 37. 7500 | 255 |
| February, 1920 | 4. 6320 | 154 | 6. 0000 | 246 | . 1906 | 121 | . 0881 | 200 | 5. 5125 | 225 | 42.2500 | 287 |
| March, 9920. | 4. 6320 | 154 | 6. 0000 | 246 | . 1858 | 118 | . 0923 | 210 | 6. 1000 | 249 | 41. 6000 | 283 |
| April, 1920 | 6. 4880 | 216 | 10. 5000 | 430 | . 1919 | 122 | . 0896 | 204 | 6. 1000 | 249 | 42.5000 | 289 |
| May, 1920 | 6. 4800 | 216 | 12.0000 | 492 | . 1906 | 121 | . 0856 | 195 | 6. 1000 | 249 | 43.2500 | 294 |
| Jume, 1920 | 6. 4880 | 216 | 14. 3000 | 586 | . 1900 | 121 | . 0848 | 193 | 6. 1000 | 249 | 44.0000 | 299 |
| July, 1920 | 6. 4800 | 216 | 14.3750 | 589 | . 1900 | 121 | . 0860 | 195 | 6. 1000 | 249 | 45. 7500 | 311 |
| August, 1920 | 6.4800 7.2800 | 216 | ${ }^{15.5500}$ | 637 | . 1860 | 121 | . 0898 | 204 | 6. 1000 | 249 | 48.1000 | ${ }_{3} 32$ |
| September, 19 | 7.2800 7.2800 | $\stackrel{243}{243}$ | 15.3125 14.3125 | 628 587 | .1869 .1675 .185 | 119 106 | . 081616 | 185 166 | 6. 1000 | 249 249 | 48.5000 43.7500 | 330 298 |
| November, 192 | 7.2800 | 243 | 14.8500 | ${ }_{363}$ | . 1455 | 92 | . 0628 | 143 | 6. 1000 | 249 | 36.5000 | 248 |
| Year and month. | Cotton yarns, northern cones, 10/1. |  | Leather, sole, hemlock'No. í. |  | Steel billets, Bessemer, Pittsburgh. |  | Steel plates, tank, Pittsburgh. |  | Steel rails. open hearth, Pittsburgh. |  | Worsted yarns, 2-32's crosshred. |  |
|  | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. |
| July, 1914.. | \$0.2150 | 97 | \$0.3050 | 108 | \$19.0000 | 74 | \$0.0113 | 76 | \$30.0000 | 100 | \$0.6500 | 84 |
| November, 1914 | . 15550 | 70 |  |  | 19.2500 | 75 | . 0110 | 74 | 30.0000 | 100 | ${ }^{6300}$ | 81 |
| November, 1915 | . 2050 | 93 | . 3200 | 113 | 26. 5000 | 103 | . 0150 | 101 | ${ }^{30.0000}$ | 100 | . 8500 | 119 |
| November, 1916 | . 3325 | 150 | . 4900 | 174 | 52.0000 | 202 | . 0375 | 253 | 35.0000 | 117 | 1.2000 | 154 |
| November, 1917 | . 4700 | 212 | . 4800 | 170 | 47.5000 | 184 | . 0325 | 220 | 40.0000 | 133 | 1.9000 | 245 |
| November, 1918 | . 5927 | 268 | - 4900 | 174 | 47.5000 | 184 | . 0325 | 220 | 57.0000 | 190 | 2. 1500 | 277 |
| November, 1919 | . 6648 | 300 | . 5700 | 202 | 41.3750 | 160 | . 0265 | 179 | 47. 0000 | 157 | 2. 2000 | 283 |
| January, 1920. | . 7271 | 329 | . 5600 | 199 | 48.0000 | 186 | . 0274 | 185 | 50.7500 | 169 | 2. 2500 | 290 |
| February, 1920 | . 7465 | 337 | . 5700 | 202 | 55.2500 | 214 | . 0350 | 236 | 54. 5000 | 182 | 2. 2500 | 290 |
| March, 1920 | . 7549 | 341 | . 5700 | 202 | 60.0000 | 233 | . 0365 | 247 | 54.5000 | 182 | 2.2000 | 283 |
| April, 1920 | . 7784 | 352 | . 5700 | 202 | 60.0000 | 233 | . 0375 | 253 | 54.5000 | 182 | 2.2000 | 283 |
| May, 1920 | . 7672 | 347 | . 5700 | 202 | 60.0000 | 233 | . 0375 | 253 | 54.5000 | 182 | 2.0000 | 258 |
| June, 1920 | . 7299 | 330 | . 5700 | 202 | 60.0000 | 233 | . 0355 | 240 | 54.5000 | 182 | 2.0000 | 258 |
| July, 1920. | . 7009 | 317 | . 5700 | 202 | 62.5000 | 242 | .0338 | 228 | 54.5000 | 182 | 1.7500 | 225 |
| August, 1920. | - 63429 | 285 <br> 245 <br> 1 | $\begin{array}{r}5500 \\ -5100 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 195 | 61.0000 58.7500 | 237 | . 0325 | 220 | 54.5000 54.5000 | 182 | 1.7500 | ${ }^{225}$ |
| Oepteber, 1920 | . .4343 | ${ }_{196}^{245}$ | . 49000 | 174 | 58.7500 55.0000 | $\stackrel{228}{228}$ | . 0325 | 220 | 54.5000 54.5000 | ${ }_{182}^{182}$ | 1.6000 1.5000 | ${ }_{193}^{206}$ |
| November, 1920 | - 3695 | 167 | .4700 | 167 | 49.7000 | 193 | . 0281 | 190 | 54.5000 | 182 | 1.3000 | 167 |
| Year and month. | Beef, carcass, good native steers, Chicago. |  | Coffee, Rio, No. 7. |  | Flour, wheat, standard patents (1918, standard war), Minneapolis. |  | Hams, smoked,Chicago. |  | Illuminating oil,$150^{\circ}$ fire test, New York. |  | Sugar, granulated, <br> New York. |  |
|  | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per barrel. | Relative price. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A verage } \\ & \text { price per } \\ & \text { pound. } \end{aligned}$ | Relative price. | Average price per gallon. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. |
| July, 1914 | \$0. 1350 | 104 | \$0.0882 | 79 | \$4. 5938 | 100 | \$0.1769 | 106 | \$0.1200 | 97 | \$0.0420 | 98 |
| November, 1914 | . 1438 | 111 | . 0638 | 57 | 5. 8813 | 128 | . 1663 | 100 | . 1200 | 97 | . 0493 | 115 |
| November, 1915 | . 1375 | 106 | . 0750 | 67 | 5. 5000 | 120 | . 1625 | 98 | .1200 | 97 | . 0568 | 133 |
| November, 1916 | . 1375 | 106 | . 0950 | 85 | 9. 8250 | 214 | . 2031 | 122 | . 1200 | 97 | . 0735 | 172 |
| November, 1917 | . 1900 | 147 | . 0794 | 71 | 10. 2250 | 223 | . 2900 | 174 | . 1300 | 105 | . 0818 | 192 |
| November, 1918 | . 2450 | 189 | . 1069 | 96 | 10.2100 | 223 | . 3541 | 213 | . 1750 | 142 | . 0882 | 207 |
| November, 1919 | . 2350 | 181 | . 1697 | 152 | 12.9500 | 283 | . 2859 | 172 | . 2200 | 178 | . 0882 | 207 |
| January, 1920 | . 2320 | 179 | . 1628 | 146 | 14.4438 | 315 | . 2944 | 177 | . 2240 | 182 | . 1537 | 360 |
| February, 1920 | . 2125 | 164 | . 1488 | 133 | 13.5375 | 295 | . 3056 | 184 | . 2400 | 195 | . 1495 | 350 |
| March, 1920 | . 2050 | 158 | . 1500 | 135 | 13.1650 | 287 | . 3155 | 190 | 2500 | 203 | . 1372 | 321 |
| April, 1920. | . 2090 | 161 | . 1514 | 136 | 14.2813 | 312 | . 3313 | 199 | . 26000 | ${ }_{211} 21$ | . 1919 | 449 |
| May, 1920 | . 1950 | 151 | . 1559 | 140 | 15.0313 | 328 | . 3556 | 214 | . 2600 | 211 | . 2247 | 526 |
| June, 1920. | . 2225 | 172 | . 1498 | 135 | 14.1600 | 309 | . 3650 | ${ }_{227}^{220}$ | . 2600 | 211 | . 2120 | 497 |
| July, 1920 | . 2550 | 197 | . 1306 | 117 | 13. 6688 | 298 | . 3769 | 227 | . 2600 | 211 | . 1910 | 447 |
| August, 1920 | . 2550 | 197 | . 0936 | 84 | 12. 2350 | 267 | . 3725 | 224 | . 2600 | 211 | . 1490 | 349 |
| September, 1920 | . 2650 | 201 | . 0819 | 74 | 12.5938 | 275 | . 3634 | 219 | . 2750 | 223 | . 1426 | 334 |
| October, 1920 | . 2520 | 195 | . 0759 | 68 | 11. 2063 | 244 | . 3575 | 215 | . 2900 | 235 | . 1078 | 252 |
| November, 1920 | . 2400 | 185 | . 0746 | 67 | 9.2950 | 203 | . 3065 | 184 | .2900 | 235 | . 0962 | 225 |

## DISCOUNT AND INTEREST RATES

In the following table are presented actual discount and interest rates prevailing during the 30-day period ending December 15, 1920, in the various cities in which the several Federal Reserve Banks and their branches are located. A will be found in the September, 1918, and October, 1918, Federal Reserve Bulletins. Quotations for new types of paper will be added from time to time as deemed of interest.

No marked tendencies in rates are again on the whole exhibited during the period under review. Changes are relatively infrequent and are scattered although a tendency toward a decrease of rates appears in some cases. No significant changes are noted in general for any single type of paper. Present rates continue higher in almost all centers than rates during the same period in 1919.

Discount and interest rates prevailing in various centers during 30-day period ending Dec. 15, 1920.

| District | City. | Prime commercial paper. |  |  |  | Interbank loans. | Bankers' acceptances, 60 to 90 days. |  | Collateral loans--stock exchange or other current. |  |  | Cattle loans. | Secured by warehouse receipts, etc. | Ordinary loans to customers secured by Liberty bonds and certificates of indebtedness. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Customers. |  | Open market. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 30 to 90 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { to } 6 \\ & \text { months. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { to } 90 \\ \text { days. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4 to } 6 \\ & \text { months. } \end{aligned}$ |  | Indorsed. | Unindorsed. | Demand. | 3 months. | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { to } 6 \\ \text { months. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | Boston.. |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { H. L. } & C . \\ 8 & 6 \frac{1}{2} \\ 8 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{8}^{H K}{ }_{7 \frac{1}{2}}^{L} .$ | $\underset{8}{H}{ }_{7 \frac{3}{4}}^{L} . \quad \underset{8}{C}$ | ${ }_{7}^{7 r}{ }_{6} \text { L. }{ }_{6}^{c}$ | ${ }_{6 \frac{1}{2}}{ }_{6} \text { L. } G$ | H. L. C. ${ }^{6 \frac{1}{3}} 6 \frac{1}{8} \quad 6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { H. L. C. } \\ 8 \end{array}$ |  |  | H. L. C. | H. L. C. | $\begin{array}{ll} H . & L . \\ 7 & C_{6} \\ 6 \end{array}$ |
| No. 2 | New Yo | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 6-7 \\ 7 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | ${ }^{8} 8660$ |  |  | $7 \frac{7}{2}$ 6 $6-7$ <br> 8 6  | 7t 6 6-61 | 8664 | $\begin{array}{lll}9 & 4 & 6-7 \\ 8 & 6 & 6-7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llr}7 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 4 \frac{4}{2} & 6\end{array}$ |
| No. 3 | Philadelphi | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | $\dddot{9} 7{ }^{7}$ |  | ${ }^{6} 666$ |  |  | 6 5 5 <br> 6 6  | ${ }_{6}^{7} 5151 \%$ | 6 6 $\cdots$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 6 & 6 & & \cdots\end{array}$ |
| No. 4 | Cleveland. | 76 | $7{ }^{7} 67$ |  |  | ${ }^{6} 66$ |  | 63 63 ${ }^{\text {a }}$.... | $7{ }^{7} 6{ }^{6}$ | $7{ }^{7} 68$ | $7{ }^{7} 6$ |  | 76 | ${ }^{6} 5{ }^{5 \frac{3}{4}} 6$ |
|  | Pittsburgh | ${ }^{6} 6^{6}{ }^{6}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}6 \\ 7 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | ${ }_{7}^{8} 888$ | 888 | $\begin{array}{lll}6 \\ 7 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | ${ }^{6 \frac{3}{3}} 6 \frac{1}{51}{ }^{61}$ | $6 \frac{1}{3} 6 \frac{1}{2}$ 63 | ${ }^{6} \mathbf{6} \quad 6$ | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 6 \\ 7 & 7\end{array}$ |  | ${ }^{6} 6{ }^{6}{ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{6}{ }^{6}$ |
| No. 5 | Cincinnati. | ${ }^{7} \mathrm{C}_{6}^{6} 6{ }^{6-6 \frac{1}{2}}$ | 7 6  <br> 6 6  <br> 6   | 7 63 6 64 | $7 \quad 6 \quad 6 \frac{1}{2} 7$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}7 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |  | $6 \frac{3}{3} 64$ | $\begin{array}{lll}71 \\ 78 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | 7 7 7 <br> 6 6  | 7 |  | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ $6 \frac{1}{2}$ 7 <br> 6   | ${ }_{6}^{61} 6$ |
| No. 5 | Richmond Baltimore. | ${ }_{6}^{6} 686$ | ${ }_{6}^{6} 6$ |  |  | 6 6 <br> 6 6 <br>  6 | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |  | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 6 7  <br> 6 6  | ${ }_{6}{ }_{6} 6$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |
| No. 6 | Atlanta... | 87 | 87 | $8_{8} 7$ | 877 | 77 | 878 | 87 | 87 | 877 | 87 |  | $8{ }_{8} 9$ | 87 |
|  | Birmingham |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $8 \frac{1}{2} 8 \quad 8$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 8 & 8\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 \\ 8 & 7 \\ 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 8\end{array}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8} 6$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 7-8\end{array}$ | 867 |
|  | Jacksonville | 8 6 71 <br> 8 6 $72-8$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 7 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 \frac{1}{2} 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 8787 | 8 7 71 <br> 8 $7 \frac{1}{2}$ 8 | 8 7 71 <br> 8 6 72 | (18rr | $\begin{array}{rrrr}8 & 6 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 71-8\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 7 & 71 \\ 8 & 6 & 8 \\ 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 71 \\ 8 & 6 & 6.74\end{array}$ |
|  | New Orlean Nashville.. | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 71 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 7 \\ 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}88 & 6 & 71-8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}88 & 6 & 7 \frac{12}{8} \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & \end{array}$ | 8 6 $6-7 \frac{12}{2}$ <br> 7 6  |
| No. 7 | Chicago. | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 \\ 7\end{array}$ | $7{ }_{7}^{7} 68$ |  |  | 87 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | 77 | $7 \begin{array}{lll}7 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | 76 |
| No. 8 | Detroit. | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 \frac{1}{2} & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 6 & 7 \\ 77_{12} & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7\end{array}$ | 888 | 888 | $\begin{array}{ccc}7 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7 \frac{1}{2} \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}6 \frac{1}{2} & 64 & 68 \\ 7 & 7 & 7 \\ 7\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7 \\ 8 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 61 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7\end{array}$ | 7 61  <br> 8 $6 \frac{1}{2}$ 7 <br>  6 7 |  |  | 76 76 |
|  | Louisville | $8{ }^{2}$ | $8^{2} 6$ | 88 | 888 | $6{ }^{2}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2} 6 \frac{1}{4} \quad 61$ | 61 $6 \frac{1}{2}$ 年 $6 \frac{1}{4}$ | 666 | $\begin{array}{llll} & 6 & 62 & 6\end{array}$ | 66 |  | $6{ }_{6} \cdots$ | 68 |
|  | Little Rock | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87 | 878 |  |  |  | 8 |
| No. 9 | Mrmneapolis. | ${ }^{7 \frac{1}{2}} 7 \frac{73}{6}{ }^{7}$ |  |  | $8{ }_{8} 17 \times 1$ | ${ }^{7 \frac{1}{2} 7^{3}} \quad 7$ |  | $77_{1} 710$. | ${ }_{8}^{8} 78 \cdots$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 \frac{1}{2} & 8\end{array}$ | ${ }_{81}^{8 \frac{1}{2}} 88$ |  | $8{ }^{-717}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 7 \\ 7 \frac{7}{7} & \cdots\end{array}$ |
| No. 10 | Kansas City | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 \\ 9 & 7 & 7 \\ 81\end{array}$ | 8 6 7 <br> 9 7  <br> 8   |  | 88 | ${ }_{81}^{81} 7$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 7-8 \\ 9 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 7-8 \\ 9 & 7 & 8\end{array}$ | 87 | $8{ }^{8} 76$ | ${ }^{8} 866$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}9 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 7\end{array}$ | 9 7  <br> 8 6 7 |  |  | ${ }_{8}^{81} 8$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{8} 9$ | $\begin{array}{lll}9 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | ${ }_{10}^{10} 78$ |  |  |
| No. 11 | Dallas | 8 6 | ${ }^{8} 86$ | ${ }_{8} 8_{8}^{8} 8$ | 888 | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & 63\end{array}$ |  |  | 886 | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7\end{array}$ | $8{ }^{8} 683$ | ${ }^{8} 87^{2} \quad 70$ |  | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & \\ 8 & 7 & 71\end{array}$ |
|  | El Paso. | 1078 | 1078 | 88 | 8 | 978 |  |  |  | 1088 | 1088 | 1088 |  | 1068 |
|  | Houston.. |  | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 7 \\ 7\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 61\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 7 63 <br> 8 6 <br> 8 7 | 87 | 87 | 8 6  <br> 7 6 7 <br> 18   |
| No. 12 | San Franci Portland. |  | 7 6  <br> 8 6  <br> 1   <br> 1   | $\begin{array}{lll} 8 & 6 & 8 \\ 81 & 7 \frac{1}{2} & 8 \end{array}$ |  | 7 6  <br> 7 6  <br> 7   | $\begin{array}{ll}6 \frac{6}{6} & 67 \\ 6 \frac{3}{2} & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 646 \\ 64 \\ 64 & 64 \\ 64 \end{array}$ | 8 6 61 <br> 8 6 7 <br>    | 8 6 61 <br> 8 6  <br> 8   | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 67 \\ 8 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & 63 \\ 8 & 6 & 7^{7}\end{array}$ |
|  | Seattle... | 867 | $\begin{array}{ll}8 & 6 \\ 8 & 7 \\ 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 81 & 7 \frac{1}{2} & 8 \\ 8 & 7 & 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 814 \\ 8 & 8 & 8 \\ 8 & 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & \\ 8 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}88 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7 \\ 8 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 7 & 8\end{array}$ |  |  | 8 6 7 <br> 8 $6 \frac{1}{2}$ 8 |
|  | Spokane | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 7\end{array}$ | 887 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ccc}7 & 61 \\ 8 \\ 8\end{array}$ |  |  | 87 | 878 | 878 |  |  | $8{ }^{8} 7$ |
|  | Sait Lake Angeles. | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | 8 <br> 8 <br> 8 | 82 8 8 8 | $8^{8 \frac{1}{4}} \mathbf{8} 808$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 \\ 8 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 5 & 6\end{array}$ | $6 \frac{1}{61} 61$ | 61 | 8 8 8 | 8 7 <br> 8 7 | 9 8 <br> 8 6 |  |  | 8 8 8 |

${ }^{1}$ Rates for demand paper secured by prime bankers' acceptances, high, 7, low, 6, customary, 6 .
${ }^{2}$ No report.

## PHYSICAL VOLUME OF TRADE.

In continuation of tables in the December (1920) Federal Reserve Bulletin there are presented in the following tables certain data relative to the physical volume of trade. The January, 1919, issue contains a description of the methods employed in the compilation of the data and the construction of the accompanying index numbers. Additional material will be presented from time to time as reliable figures are obtained.

Receipts of live stock at 15 western markets during November showed an increase of about 11 per cent over October, but continued to remain below the receipts for the corresponding month of 1919 . This increase was due largely to an increase in the receipts of hogs. Shipments decreased, both when compared with last month and the same month a year ago. Stocker and feeder movements showed a seasonal decrease, but continued lighter than during the same period a year ago. Receipts of grain at 17 interior centers during November showed a decrease over the October figure, but were only slightly above the receipts for November, 1919. Receipts of flour at these centers decreased slightly during November, and fell off considerably from the same month a year ago. Cotton sight receipts during November showed a seasonal increase, but were considerably less than receipts during November, 1919. American spinners' takings during November fell to a new low figure, being only about one-eighth of the takings during the same month a year ago.

The railroad net ton mileage after a considerable falling off during September showed an increase during October, almost reaching the year's high figure, which was recorded during August. The tonnage of vessels cleared during November showed a considerable decrease when compared with October, 1920, but a very large increase over November, 1919.

Both receipts and shipments of lumber at Chicago and St. Louis during December showed a very slight increase over November, 1920, but were considerably below the receipts and shipments for December, 1919. The production of southern pine, Douglas fir, and North Carolina pine decreased considerably during November when compared with October, 1920, and November, 1919. A large decrease was noted in western pine and eastern white pine when compared with October, 1920, and the production of the latter was considerably greater than for November, 1919. California shipments of citrus fruits during November showed a slight increase, but were only about one-half the amount of the shipments for Novem-
ber, 1919. Shipments of deciduous fruits showed a considerable decrease during November from the October shipments, but were still slightly above the figure for November, 1919. Receipts of raw sugar at North Atlantic ports during December showed a very large increase and were considerably above receipts for November, 1919. Meltings also showed a very large increase, and were slightly above meltings for the same month last year, while stocks of raw sugar at these ports at the close of December showed a very slight increase over stocks at the close of November, but a large increase over the stocks held at the close of November, 1919
The production of bituminous coal during November showed a very slight increase over October, but was almost three times the production figure for November, 1919, during which month a strike occurred in this industry. The production of anthracite coal showed a slight decrease, both when compared with October, 1920, and November, 1919. Crude petroleum production during November decreased when compared with the high production figure for October, 1920, but was considerably greater than the production during November, 1919. Production of pig iron during December showed a decrease of about 8 per cent when compared with November, but was slightly above the production for December, 1919, while steel ingot production during December showed a falling off of about 12 per cent when compared with November. The unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation at the close of December showed a decline and were also slightly below the unfilled orders at the close of December, 1919.

Wool consumption during November decreased 28 per cent when compared with October, 1920, and 54 per cent when compared with November, 1919 . The percentage of idle woolen machinery on December 1 reflected a further decline in activity in the industry, for an increase was shown for each principal class. Cotton consumption during November showed a further decline, the figure for November being 17 per cent less than for October, 1920, and 32 per cent less than for November, 1919. The number of cotton spindles active during November also showed a further large decrease, both when compared with last month and the same month a year ago. The imports of raw silk continued to decline, being 14 per cent less than the October figure and 73 per cent less than for November, 1919.

Livestock movements.
[Bureau of Markets.]

|  | Receipts. |  |  |  |  | Shipments. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cattle and calves, 59 markets. | Hogs, 59 markets. | Sheep, 59 markets. | Horses and mules, 43 markets. | Total, all kinds. | Cattle and calves, 54 markets. | Hogs, 54 markets. | Sheep, 54 markets. | Horses and mules, 43 markets. | Total, all kinds. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { November... } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 2,672,364 \end{gathered}$ | Head. $3,718,906$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 2,750,900 \end{gathered}$ | Head. $140,092$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ \mathbf{9 , 2 8 2 , 2 6 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 1,374,492 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Head. } \\ & 1,308,095 \end{aligned}$ | Head. $1,597,007$ | Head. 134, 679 | Head. $4,414,273$ |
| January..... | 1,868,723 | 5, 275,412 | 1,560,051 | 138,541 | 8,842,727 | 752,605 | 1,665, 274 | 669,458 | 138, 145 | 3,225, 482 |
| February. | 1, 468, 370 | 3, 423,992 | 1,387, 111 | 108,056 | 6,387, 529 | 591,691 | 1,287, 169 | 572, 634 | 110, 827 | 2,562,321 |
| March. | 1, 803, 073 | 3, 963, 245 | 1,255, 490 | 82,584 | 7,104,392 | 570, 323 | 1,399, 485 | 483, 550 | 87, 896 | 2,541, 254 |
| April. | 1, 542,150 | 3,030, 801 | 1, 441,072 | 48,036 | 6,062,059 | 593,362 | 1,119, 205 | 724, 718 | 47,998 | 2,485, 283 |
| May. | 1, 766, 394 | 4, 234,022 | 1,421,009 | 40,901 | 7,462, 326 | 771,865 | 1,374,902 | 769,718 | 40,021 | 2,956, 506 |
| June | 1,870, 121 | 3,741,202 | 1, 592,450 | 32, 199 | 7, 235,972 | 789,953 | 1,295,936 | 768, 172 | 33, 539 | 2, 887, 600 |
| July. | 1,657, 743 | 2, 837,685 | 2,000,758 | 35, 668 | 6,531, 854 | 721, 328 | 1, 0955,470 | 1,015,612 | 37, 152 | 2, 869,562 |
| August | 1,952,086 | 2,516,240 | 2, 561,661 | 73, 423 | 7, 103, 410 | 869, 849 | 953, 088 | 1,459, 150 | 69,971 | 3,352,058 |
| September | 2,279,345 | 2, 435,589 | 2, 826,693 | 57, 468 | 7,599,095 | 1,079, 170 | 931,261 | 1,581, 680 | 60,414 | 3,652,525 |
| October. | 2, 196, 939 | 2, 826, 277 | 2, 945, 709 | 38,657 | 8,007,582 | 1,159,459 | 1,064, 175 | 1,932,083 | 37, 994 | 4,193,711 |
| November. | 2,403,990 | 3, 862,243 | 2,419,596 | 22, 477 | 8,708,306 | 1,148, 861 | 1,394,347 | 1,474, 299 | 22, 963 | 4,040,470 |

${ }^{1}$ St. Louis no longer considered as having a publie stock yard.

Receipts and shipments of live stock at 15 western markets.
[Chicago, Kansas City, Oklahoma City, Omaha, East St. Louis, St. Joseph, St. Paul, Sioux City, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Denver, Fort Worth Indianapolis, Louisville, Wichita.]
RECEIPTS.
[Monthly average, 1911-1913 $=100$.]

|  | Cattle and calves. |  | Hogs. |  | Sheep. |  | Horses and mules. |  | Total, all kinds. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. |
| November, 1919. | 2,038,786 | 202 | 2,659, 251 | 121 | 1,742,668 | 128 | 83,838 | 182 | 6,524,543 | 141 |
| $1920 .$ | 1,400,031 | 139 | 3,912,449 | 178 | 1,035,591 | 76 | 90,022 | 196 | 6, 438,093 | 139 |
| February. | 1, 0688,092 | 1111 | . $2,440,154$ | 115 | 1,948,116 | 72 | 75,488 | 170 | 4,531, 850 | 102 |
| March.. | 1, 203,499 | 119 | 2,910,909 | 132 | 900, 299 | 66 | 56,880 | 124 | 5,071,587 | 110 |
| April.. | 1,040,903 | 103 | 2,150, 281 | 98 | 928, 191 | 68 | 31, 235 | 68 | 4, 150, 610 | 90 |
| May.. | 1, 209,656 | 120 | 3,128, 249 | 142 | 796, 160 | 58 | 21,889 | 54 | 5, 158, 954 | 112 |
| June.. | 1,290,265 | 128 | 2,746, 390 | 125 | 1,006,528 | 74 | 21,056 | 46 | 5,064,239 | 110 |
| July.... | 1,188,019 | 118 | 2,115,639 | $\stackrel{96}{83}$ | 1,301, 458 | 95 | 26,257 | 57 | 4,631,373 | 100 |
| August. | 1,459,565 | 145 | 1,818,245 | 83 | 1,688,719 | 124 | 55,371 | 120 | 5, 021,900 | 109 |
| September | 1,736,009 | 172 | 1,597,622 | 73 | 1, 893,312 | 139 | 38,950 | 85 | 5,265,893 | 114 |
| October. | 1,628,564 | 162 | 1,836,748 | 84 | 1, 865,330 | 136 | 24,716 | 54 | 5,355, 358 | 116 |
| November. | 1,781, 261 | 177 | 2,624, 185 | 119 | 1,542,477 | 113 | 12,149 | 26 | 5,960,072 | 129 |

SHIPMENTS.

| November, 1919. | 993,148 | 244 | 788,107 | 163 | 945,992 | 188 | 78,710 | 192 | 2,805,957 | 195 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1920}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 548,841 | 135 | 1,026, 763 | 212 | 403, 382 | 80 | 89,990 | 219 | 2,068,976 | 144 |
| February | 427,608 | 119 | 814,253 | 174 | 334,012 | 69 | 78,540 | 198 | 1, 654,413 | 119 |
| March. | 418,310 | 103 | 923,526 | 191 | 298,878 | 59 | 61,625 | 150 | 1,702,339 | 119 |
| April. | 414,967 | 102 | 712,087 | 147 | 373,381 | 74 | 31,348 | 76 | 1,531,783 | 107 |
| May. | 515,062 | 127 | 822,907 | 170 | 316,002 | 63 | 24,037 | 59 | 1,678,008 | 117 |
| June.. | 528,273 | 130 | 797,946 | 165 | 399,613 | 79 | 22,363 | 55 | 1,748,195 | 122 |
| July. | 508,199 | 125 | 737,923 | 152 | 644,557 | 128 | 27,728 | 68 | 1,918,407 | 134 |
| August.... | 640, 295 | 157 | 627, 670 | 130 | 899, 342 | 179 | 52,163 | 127 | 2,219,470 | 155 |
| September | 819,371 | 202 | 540, 812 | 112 | 1,027,510 | 204 | 40,890 | 100 | 2,428,583 | 169 |
| October. | 866,327 | 213 | 584, 742 | 121 | 1,192,912 | 237 | 24,051 | 59 | 2,668,032 | 186 |
| Novemb | 810,284 | 199 | 784,468 | 162 | 952,159 | 189 | 12,782 | 31 | 2,559,693 | 178 |

Shipments of stockers and feeders from 35 markets.

|  | Cattle and calves. | Hogs. | Sheep. | Total, all kinds. |  | Cattle and calves. | Hogs. | Sheep. | Total, all kinds. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| November, 1919 | 712,582 | 88,994 | 859, 162 | 1,660,738 | May. | 291,895 | 66,144 | 252, 221 | 610,260 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  | June | 270,053 217,292 | 42,156 | ${ }^{2262} \times 696$ | 538, 905 |
| January. | 346,430 | 80,719 | 300,449 | 727,598 | August. | 279,402 | 34,479 | 567, 430 | 881,311 |
| February | 237, 939 | 82,981 | 140,219 | 461,139 | September | 474, 852 | 44,483 | 789,773 | 1,309, 108 |
| March. | 240, 121 | 104,962 | 135,246 | 480,329 | October. | 573, 136 | 59, 155 | 1,055, 370 | 1,687,661 |
| April............ | 242, 996 | 72, 834 | 267,664 | 583,494 | November | 547,116 | 52,777 | 855,545 | 1,455,438 |

Exports of certain meat products.
[Department of Commerce.]
|Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Beef, canned. |  | Beef, fresh. |  | Beef, pickled, and other cured. |  | Bacon. |  | Hams and shoulders, cured. |  | Lard. |  | Pickled pork. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pounds. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Rela. tive. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { November, } \\ 1919 . . . . . . . \end{gathered}$ | 1,393,238 | 210 | 15,694,002 | 1,265 | 2,997,652 | 112 | 65, 288; 694 | 390 | 16,844,285 | 113 | 42, 106,339 | 96 | 4, 934,696 | 111 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 1,081,643 | 163 | 22,872,223 | 1,844 | 1,670,500 | 83 | 77,501,002 | 463 | 13, 905,923 | 93 | 38,823,902 | 88 | 4, 251,187 | 96 |
| February. | 735, 132 | 115 | 13,010,793 | 1,085 | 1,631,457 | 63 | 75, 891, 195 | 469 | 24, 217, 706 | 168 | 36,644,906 | 86 | 3, 710,308 | 87 |
| March. | 847,397 | 128 | 6,036, 166 | 487 | 2,290, 835 | 86 | 75,002,410 | 448 | 31,088, 859 | 208 | 69, 429,785 | 158 | 3, 160, 456 | 71 |
| April. | 1,606,737 | 243 | 17,687, 306 | 1,426 | 2,241, 460 | 84 | 24, 356, 349 | 145 |  | 105 |  | ${ }^{93}$ | $\frac{2,784,535}{3}$ | $\stackrel{63}{86}$ |
| May.. | 5, 976,493 6, 787622 | - 902 | 4, 304,038 12 226,669 | - 1.017 | $3,056,449$ $2,563,702$ | 114 | $5,412,388$ $60,730,935$ | 301 |  | 120 | $55,544,483$ $45,069,517$ | 126 | $3,816,157$ $3,962,649$ | 86 90 |
| Jume.. | 6, $5,287,838$ 1,2182 | 1, ${ }^{\text {, }} 788$ | $12,526,669$ $5,506,812$ | 1,019 | 2,$563 ; 702$ 1,$973 ; 004$ | 96 74 | $60,730,935$ $31,562,761$ | 363 188 | $21,277,089$ $8,385,089$ | 143 56 | 45, 069,517 $47,061,422$ | 102 | 3,926,247 | ${ }^{90}$ |
| August. | 1,231,070 | 186 | ${ }^{5}$, 343,552 | 28. | 2, 152,982 | 81 | 23, 333,156 | 139 | 9,360, 469 | ${ }_{63}$ | 31,020,802 | 71 | 2,257,511 | 51 |
| September | 244,261 | 37 | 1,964,543 | 158 | 1,613,657 | 60 | 41,371,561 | 247 | 8,997, 124 | 60 | 46,326,353 | 105 | 3, 279, 902 | 74 |
| October. | 207,503 | 31 | 522,251 | 42 | 1,995,039 | 75 | 49, 838,768 | 298 | 8,787, 853 | 59 | 54, 173,979 | 123 | 3,549,456 | - |
| November.... | 282,761 | 43 | 3,091,895 | 249 | 1,678,091 | 63 | 57,934,259 | 346 | 11,197,880 | 75 | 57,316,309 | 130 | 2,605,431 | 59 |

Receipts of grain and flour at 17 interior centers.
[Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Duluth, Indianapolis, Kansas City, Little Rock, Louisville, Memphis, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis, Spokane, Toledo, Wichita; receipts of flour not available for Cleveland, Detroit, Indianapolis, Louisville, Omaha, Spokane, Toledo, and Wichita.]
[Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]
[Monthly average, $1911-1913=100$.

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Total grain and flour. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { November, } \\ 1919 . . . . . . \end{gathered}$ | 35,729,832 |  | 14,606, 137 | 65 | 17,699,925 |  | ,579,579 |  | , 582,873 |  | 4,198,346 |  | 3,541,957. | 181 | 90, 137, 153 | 104 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January } \end{array}$ | 25, 074, 624 |  | 24, 139, 094 |  | 20,925,941 |  | 4,378, 610 |  | 3,298,544 |  | 77, 816,813 |  | 2,298,692 |  | 88, 160,927 | 102 |
| February | 18,115,324 |  | 26, 051,855 |  | 20, 575,654 |  | 3, 263, 686 |  | 2,470,622 |  | 70, 477, 141 |  | 2, 059, 421 |  | 79, 744, 536 | 95 |
| March.. | 18,007, 798 |  | 24, 306, 196 |  | $19,149,624$ |  | $3,548,739$ |  | $2,928,440$ |  | $67,940,797$ |  | 1,617,544 |  | $75,219,745$ | 87 |
| April. | 15,260, 236 |  | 11, 326,509 |  | $12,952,593$ |  | $2,914,553$ |  | 2,245,881 | 31 | $44,699,772$ |  | 888,423 |  | $48,697,676$ | 56 |
| May. | 20,510,063 |  | 12, 107, 950 |  | $16,724,389$ |  | $3,758,507$ |  | 2, 690, 076 |  | 55, 790, 985 |  | 1,913, 075 |  | 64, 399, 823 | 74 |
| June. | 21, 020,640 |  | 27, 251,166 | 121 | $14,260,053$ |  | $3,177,770$ |  | 2, 721, 367 |  | 68, 430, 996 |  | 2, 113, 979 |  | $80,057,876$ | 92 |
| July | $29,714,399$ |  | 20, 824, 268 | 93 | $18,734,180$ |  | $3,096,026$ |  | 2, 659,921 |  | $75,028,794$ |  | $2,052,110$ |  | $84,263,289$ | 97 114 |
| August...... | 43, 039,021 |  | 9, 840,320 |  | $30,728,748$ |  | 3, 191, 103 |  | $3,007,508$ |  | $89,806,700$ |  | $1,949,339$ |  | $98,578,726$ | 114 |
| September... | $46,181,275$ |  | 20, 696,955 |  | $31,031,569$ |  | $5,571,428$ |  | $6,630,056$ |  | $110,111,283$ |  | $1,843,954$ |  | $\mid 118,409,076$ | 137 |
| October..... | $45,403,825$ |  | $19,064,508$ |  | $21,235,162$ |  | 4, 455, 979 |  | $5,795,028$ |  | 95, 954, 502 |  | $2,137,639$ | 109 | 105,573,878 | 122 |
| November.. | $\|39,272,827\|$ |  | [11,407,224 |  | 15,282,651 |  | $[3,706,653$ |  | 6,618,362 | 92 | 76,287,717 |  | 2,054,262 |  | 85,531,896 | 99 |

${ }_{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{3}{2}$ bushels to barrel.
Shipments of grain and flour at 14 interior centers.
Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Duluth, Kansas City, Little Rock, Louisville, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis, Toledo, Wichita; shipments of flour not availahle for Cleveland, Detroit, Lonisville, Omaha, Toledo, and Wichita.]

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel.
Receipts of grain and flour at nine seaboard centers.
[Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, San Francisco, Portland (Oreg.), Seattle, Tacoma; receipts of flour not available fer Seattle and Tacoma.]
[Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]
[Monthly average, 1911-19131=100.]

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Total grain andfour. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive- } \end{aligned}$ | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela- tive. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela- tive. | Bushels. | Relative. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { November, } \\ 1919 . . . . . . \end{gathered}$ | 9, 152,534 | 73 | 438,147 | 12 | 3,998, 525 |  | 1,391, 024 | 979 | 851,651 |  | 5, 831, 881 |  | 1,552,796 |  | 2, 819,463 | 83 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 4,898, 690 | 40 | 1,244,393 | 36 | 2,331,246 |  | 3,211,668 |  | 1, 315, 291 |  | 13,001,288 |  | 1,102,606 |  | 17,963,015 | 68 |
| March: | 6,486,745 | 51 | $1,203,649$ | 34 | 3,646,727 |  | 4,119, 986 | 2,900 | 1, 300, 871 | 78 | 16, 757, 978 |  | 1,752, 860 |  | 24, 645, 848 | 90 |
| April. | 5,441, 434 | 43 | 1,317,555 | 37 | 1,546,590 |  | 3,440, 350 | 2, 421 | , 685, 054 | 41 | $12,430,083$ |  | 843, 1816 |  | 16,228,605 | 59 |
| Maye. | - $10,621,723$ | 84 106 | 767,332 $1,878,334$ | 22 | 2, ${ }_{3} 1942,271$ |  | 5, 117, 806 | 3,602 4,579 | , 556,764 |  | 19,445, 896 |  | 1, 301, 211 |  | 25, 301,346 | 92 |
| July. | 18,710, 633 | 149 | 3,305, 542 | ${ }_{93}$ | 3,499, 101 |  | 5,048, 019 | ${ }_{3}^{4}, 553$ |  |  | 32,661,378 |  | 1,660,849 |  | 40, 135,198 | 146 |
| August. | 28,098,022 | 223 | 1,576,842 | 44 | 2,671,365 |  | 3,407, 799 | 2,398 | 2,289, 791 |  | 38,043, 819 |  | $1,390,077$ |  | 44, 299, 166 | 162 |
| September. | 31,693,246 | 252 | 1,456, 958 | 41 | 3,069, 700 |  | 4, 133, 465 |  | 1,815,227 |  | 42, 168, 596 |  | 1,422,872 |  | 48,571,520 | 177 |
| October... | - ${ }^{29,028,410,202}$ | 194 | 1, $2,401,181$ |  | 1,828,515 |  | $5,436,354$ |  |  |  | $40,696,100$ $34,736,838$ |  | $1,463,830$ <br> 3683 |  |  | 173 |
| November | 24,410,356 | 194 | 2,401, 181 |  | 1,874,271 |  | 3,329,710 | 2,344 | 2,721,320 |  | 34,736,838 |  | 3,683,380 |  | 51,312,048 | 187 |

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel.

Stocks of grain at eight seaboard centers at close of month.
[Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, Neu port News, Galveston, San Francisco.] [Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]
[Bushels.]


Note.-Figures for San Franciscoinchude also stocks at Port Costa and Stockton.
Cotlon.
[New Orleans Cotton Exchange.]
[Crop years 1911-1913=100.]


California shipments of citrus and deciduous fruits.
[October, 1920 on, California Fruit News and Bureau of Markets.]
$[1911-1913=100$.

|  |  | Oranges. |  | Lemons. |  | Total citrus fruits. |  | Total deciduous fruits. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. |
| November, 1919. |  | 3,257 | 133 | 442 | 109 | 3,699 | 130 | 2,141 |
| January. | 1920. | 2,457 | 100 | 630 | 156 | 3,087 | 108 | 123 |
| February. |  | 2,683 | 114 | 852 | 218 | 3,535 | 128 | 139 |
| March. |  | 4,715 | 193 | 651 | 161 | 5,366 | 188 | 155 |
| April... |  | 3,720 | 152 | 508 | 125 | 4,228 | 148 | 22 |
| M8y.... |  | 5,048 | 206 | 1,353 | 334 | 6,401 | 225 | 24 |
| June.... |  | 3,294 | 135 | 1,576 | 389 | 4, 870 | 171 | 1,263 |
| July.. |  | 2,822 | 115 | 664 | 164 | 3,486 | 122 | 3,179 |
| August. |  | 1,707 | 70 58 | 751 | 185 | 2,458 | 86 | 7,239 |
| September. |  | 1,409 | 58 | 464 | 115 | 1,873 | ${ }_{59}^{66}$ | 9,021 11,880 |
| October.... |  | 752 | 31 | 925 | $\underline{228}$ | 1,677 | 59 69 | 11,880 2,792 |
| November. |  | 1,602 | 66 | 377 | 93 | 1,979 | 69 | 2,792 |

Sugar.
[Data for ports of New York, Boston, Philadelphia.] [Weekly Statistical Sugar Trade Journal.]
[Tons of 2,240 pounds. Monthly average 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Receipts. |  | Meltings. |  | Raw stocks at close of month. |  |  | Receipts. |  | Meltings. |  | Raw stocks at close of month. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. |  | Tons. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Tons. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Tons. | Rela- <br> tive. |
| November, 1919 | 154,674 | 84 | 177,000 | 96 | 40,855 | 24 | 1920. |  | 138 |  | 156 |  | 35 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  | June.. | 301, 318 | 164 | 319,000 | 174 | 42,699 | 25 |
| January.. | 208,554 | 113 | 181,000 | 99 | 37,986 | 22 | July. | 386, 328 | 210 | 325,000 | 177 | 104,027 | 60 |
| February. | 316,667 | 178 | 269,000 | 152 | 85,653 | 50 | August... | 308,313 | 168 | 287,000 | 156 | 125,340 | 73 |
| March. | 335,532 | 182 | ${ }_{3373} 3000$ | 182 | 88, 185 | 51 | September. | 109, 3102 | 59 | 164,000 | 89 | 70,642 | 41 |
| April.. | 310,580 | 169 | 307,000 | 167 | 91,765 | 53 | October... | 109,335 | 59 | 118,000 | 64 98 | 61,977 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | November. | 186,274 | 101 | 179,000 | 98 | 69,251 | 40 |

Naval stores.
[Data for Savannah, Jacksonville, and Pensacola.]
[Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cittes.]
[In barrels.]

|  | Spirits of turpentine. |  | Rosin. |  |  | Spirits of turpentine. |  | Rosin. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. | Reccipts. | Stocks at close of month. |  | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. |
| November, 1919.. | 18,757 | 28,741 | 77,125 | 204,281 | 1920. |  |  |  |  |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  | July.. | 39,158 | 30,906 | 117,088 | 108,656 135,979 |
| January ...... | 8,300 | 24,910 | 47,874 | 165,927 | August.... | 33,997 | 27,963 | 111,497 | 144, 109 |
| February..... | 3,762 | 17,900 | 29,303 | 140,559 | September | 32,162 | 44,396 | 97,797 | 176,612 |
| March...... | 1,876 7,644 | 4,819 3,996 | 14,660 27,029 | 103,443 98,517 | October.... | 30,260 23,893 | 49,885 49,209 | 88,766 83,177 | 195,837 247,253 |
| May........ | 23,473 | 6,174 | 68,163 | 78,113 |  |  |  |  | 247,253 |

Lumber.
[From reports of manufacturers' associations.]
[M feet.]

|  | Southern pine. |  |  | Western pine. |  |  | Douglas fir. |  |  | Eastern white pine. |  |  | North Carolina pine. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments. | Num ber of mills | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Produc- } \\ & \text { tion. } \end{aligned}$ | Shipments. | Number of mills. | Produc- | Sbipments. | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments. | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments. |
| November, 1919... | 202 | 391,347 | 344, 717 | 51 | 110,525 | 117,472 | 126 | 324,511 | 241,301 | 11 | 2,786 | 21,596 | 31 | 24,925 | 26,926 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January } . . . \end{array}$ | 202 | 386,481 | 404,706 | 53 | 69,895 | 144,180 | 128 | 327,568 | 344,568 | 21 | 38,007 | 63,614 | 25 | 24,678 | 26,283 |
| February. | 203 | 383,239 | 369,047 | 51 | 85,583 | 147,180 | 124 | 332,511 | 295,934 | 21 | 32,551 | 59,687 | 15 | 15,534 | 15,202 |
| March... | 205 | 436,944 | 424,775 | 50 | 130,425 | 156,211 | 123 | 342,948 | 329,012 | 21 | 43,771 | 61,620 | 24 | 29,633 | 29,886 |
| April. | 205 | 438,056 | 359,461 | 51 | 167,165 | 133,114 | 126 | 359,651 | 274,597 | 21 | ${ }^{46,222}$ | ${ }^{61,757}$ | 13 | 13,659 | 10,613 |
| May. | 205 | 430,271 | 347,404 | 51 | 183,621 | 132,181 | 124 | 424,687 | 383,346 | 20 | 12,731 | 26,323 | 14 | 15,992 | 18,657 |
| June. | 204 | 385,293 | 287,487 | 52 | 197,461 | 125,770 | 127 | 343,801 | 271,815 | 20 | 25,771 | 41,557 | 12 | 14,259 | 10,481 |
| July.. | 207 | 385,842 | ${ }^{331,273}$ | 49 | 177, 262 | 103,500 | 127 | 242,612 | 225, 666 | 20 | 37,459 | 49,668 | 20 | ${ }^{20,756}$ | 15,217 |
| August. | 204 | 383,540 | 337,677 | 50 | 171,143 | 123,344 | 127 | 366,433 | 322,908 | 20 | 46,149 | 55,991 | 19 | 19,511 | 14,130 |
| September | 204 | 376,566 | 378, 195 | 49 | 164,312 | 98,806 | 127 | 299, 277 | 238,965 | 20 | 48,962 | 45, 445 | 20 | 21,887 | ${ }^{16,043}$ |
| October. | 206 | 344,427 | 329, 751 | 49 | 146,424 | 69,936 | 120 | 355,614 | 299,704 | 19 | 40,124 | 30,928 | 24 | 19,487 | 14,877 |
| November | 203 | 315,343 | 320, 756 | 52 | 107,846 | 60,259 | 123 | 263,452 | 212,226 | 19 | 20,295 | 19,751 | 24 | 14,617 | 12,929 |

Receipts and shipments of lumber at Chicago and St. Louis.
[Chicago Board of Trade and Merchants' Exchange of St. Louis.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Receipts. |  | Shipments. |  |  | Receipts. |  | Shipments. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M feet. | Relative. | M feet. | Relative. |  | M feet. | Relative. | M feet. | Relative. |
| December, 1919. | 445,226 | 96 | 245,477 | 97 | June 1920. |  |  |  |  |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  | July. | 399,615 | 86 | 184,767 | 73 |
| January...... | 403,604 | 87 | 219,783 | 87 | August | 370, 352 | 80 | 220,368 | 87 |
| February.... | 421,692 | 94 | 224,286 | 117 | September | 375,456 | 81 | 242,857 | 96 |
| April....... | -236, 975 | 108 | 2961,933 | $\begin{array}{r}117 \\ 52 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | October.... | 398,333 342,971 | 86 74 | 220,116 190,282 | 87 |
| May... | 313,447 | 67 | 195,965 | 77 | December | 351,695 | 76 | 192,072 | 76 |

Coal and coke.
[U. S. Geological Survey.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

${ }^{1}$ Revised figures.
Crude petroleum.
[U. S. Geological Survey.]
[Barrels of 42 gallons each.]

|  | Produced. |  | Stocks at end of month (barrels). |  | Produced. |  | Stocks at end of month (barrels). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barrels. | Relative |  |  | Barrels. | Relative. |  |
| November, 1919.. | 32,114,000 | 168 | 131,601,000 | 1920. |  |  |  |
| 1920. |  |  |  | July... | 38,548,000 | ${ }_{201}^{195}$ | 126, 1688,000 |
| January.... | 33,980,000 | 177 | 127, 164,000 | August......... | 39,397, 000 | 206 | 129,043,000 |
| February. | $33,212,000$ $36,461,000$ | 179 | 126,339,000 | September. | ${ }^{37}, 889,000$ | 198 | 128,788,000 |
| April | 36,283,000 | 189 | 124,991,000 | November. | $39,024,000$ 39,090 | 204 | 131,694,000 |
| May.. | 36,931,000 | 193 | 124, 689,000 |  | - |  | - |

Total output of oil refineries in United States.
[Bureau of Mines.]

|  |  | Crude oil run (barrels.) | Gasoline (gallons). | Kerosene (gallons). | Gas and fuel (gallons). | Lubricating (gallons). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct. 31, 1919. |  | 33,682, 968 | 363, 456, 747 | 227,104,346 | 680, 158,446 | 78,658,410 |
|  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. |  | 30, 815, 160 | 336, 719,157 | 195,956, 392 | 617, 555, 156 | $75,878,635$ |
| February |  | 29, 208, 723 | 322, 588,697 | 194, 523, 334 | 589, 684, 857 | 74, 243,073 |
| March. |  | 33,592,004 | 367, 137, 678 | 191, 110, 175 | 686,945,963 | 81, 818,973 |
| April. |  | $32,852,010$ | 355, 597, 451 | 184, 469,017 | 643,088, 785 | 85, 568, 064 |
| May. |  | 34, 578,282 | 381, 079,291 | 180, 877,089 | 707, 198, 355 | 89, 252,410 |
| June. |  | 34, 906,078 | 415, 158,911 | 173,581,000 | 689,878, 081 | 94, 964, 222 |
| July.. |  | 37, 024,052 | 423, 419, 770 | 172, 213,511 | 751, 193, 898 | 92, 369,504 |
| August. |  | 39, 757, 770 | 444, 141, 422 | 189, 1010,459 | 834,322, 503 | 91, 078, 569 |
| September |  | 40,549,316 | 453, 881,096 | 199, 140, 024 | $836,700,086$ | 86, 230, 371 |
| October. |  | 40,687,250 | 465, 787, 745 | 213,742,156 | $823,114,603$ | 93,229,723 |

STOCKS AT CLOSE OF MONTH.


Iron and steel.
[Great Lakes iron-ore movements, Marine Review; pig-iron production, Iron Age; steel-ingot production, American Iron and Steel Institute.] [Monthly average, 1911-1913=100; iron ore, monthly average, May-November, 1911-1913=100.]


Imports of pig tin.
[Department of Commerce.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Pounds. | Relative. |  | Pounds. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| November, 1919. | 15, 233, 671 | 168 | 1920. |  |  |
| 1920. |  |  | July.. | 17,584, 167 | ${ }_{193}^{124}$ |
| January.. | 8,772,953 | 97 | August. | 11,195,937 | 123 |
| February. | ${ }^{13,925,843}$ | 158 | September. | 9,596,819 | 106 74 |
| April... | $11,980,019$ $\mathbf{1 0 , 3 4 5}, 130$ | 1134 | November... | $6,741,331$ $9,550,535$ | $\begin{array}{r}74 \\ \hline 105\end{array}$ |
| May ............ | -9,102,341 | 100 |  | , 610, |  |

Raw stocks of hides and skins.
[Bureau of Markets; July, 1920, on, Bureau of the Census.]
[In pieces.]

[Silk, Department of Commerce; cotton and idle wool machinery, Bureau of the Census; wool consumption, Burean of Markets.]
[Cotton, monthly average crop, years $1912-1914=100 ;$ silk, monthly average, $1911-1913=100$.]

|  | Cotton consumption. |  | Cotton spindles active during month | Wool consumption (pounds). | Percentage of idle woolen machinery on first of month to total reported. |  |  |  |  |  | Imports of raw silk. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Looms. |  | Sets of cards. | Combs. | Spinning spindles. |  |  |  |
|  | Bales. | Relative, |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wider } \\ \text { than } 50 \\ \text { inch reed } \\ \text { space. } \end{gathered}$ | Under 50-inch reed space. | Woolen. | Worsted. | Pounds. | Relative. |
| November, 1919. | 491,250 | 109 |  | 34,499,755 | 52,428,854 | 14.8 | 18.2 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 4,841,407 | 237 |
| January... | 591,725 | 132 | 34,739,071 | 63,059,862 | 14.5 | 18.5 | 8.8 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 4,855,989 | 237 |
| February | 516,594 | 119 | 34,668,643 | 55,247,652 | 12.2 | 17.6 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 71.9 | 3,696, 121 | 187 |
| April..... | 567,839 | 126 | 34,346, 737 | 57,887, 832 | 13.1 | 16.9 | 9.6 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 2,227,857 | 109 |
| May... | 541,080 | 120 | 34,066, 236 | 50,649,381 | 15.2 | 18.2 | 10.6 | 6.7 | 11.5 | 7.0 | 2,505,798 | 122 |
| June... | 555,521 | 124 | 34,503,754 | 40,679,920 | 26.8 | 22.4 | 21.1 | 15.9 | 23.1 | 14.2 | 3,221,177 | 157 |
| July.... | 525,405 | 117 | 34,666, 842 | 32,372,064 | 42.5 | 32.3 | 38.0 | 35.0 | 42.0 | 32.7 | 2,581,920 | 126 |
| August... | 483,193 | 107 | 34,471,515 | 32,849,956 | 49.5 | 29.9 | 39.6 | ${ }^{33.4}$ | 45.5 | 37.6 | $2,690,690$ | 132 |
| September | 457,647 | 102 | 34.040, 806 | 30,928,337 | 51.8 | 34.8 | 39.6 | 37.3 | 44.6 | 38.0 | 1,968,801 | 96 |
| October-. | 399,837 332 | 89 | $\begin{array}{r}33,669,804 \\ 31654 \\ \hline 126\end{array}$ | $33,703,523$ $24,150,141$ | 49.0 46.9 | 34.9 37.7 | 38.3 39.5 | 26.3 <br> 32.8 | 43.2 42.8 | 26.0 34.8 | 1,531,850 | 75 65 |
| November...... | 332,057 | 74 | 31,654,126 | 24,150,141 | 46.9 51.2 | 37.7 44.8 | 39.5 50.3 | 32.8 41.4 | 42.8 51.7 | 34.8 42.7 | 1,319,995 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.7 |  |  |  |

Production of wood pulp and paper.
[Federal Trade Commission.]
[Net tons.]

|  | Wood pulp. | News- print. | Book. | Paper board. | Wrapping. | Fine. |  | Wood pulp. | Newsprint. | Book. | Paper | Wrapping. | Fine. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 84,085 | 182,940 | 63,394 | 32,468 | May......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| November, 1919 | 324,488 | 116, 003 | 84,085 | 182,940 | 63,394 | 32,460 | June. | 337,115 | 130,380 | 94,957 | 215, 131 | 72,987 | 31, ${ }^{31,121}$ |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  | July. | 312,334 | 129, 853 | 95,526 | 218, 771 | 73,487 | 34,078 |
| January. | 302,541 | 129,663 | 96,419 | 211,934 | 70,109 | 32,886 | August | 305,965 | 128,818 | 94,424 | 215, 633 | 75, 226 | 33,122 |
| February. | 266,191 | 114, 235 | 85, 532 | 176,855 | 61,574 | 29,202 | Soptember | 293,913 | 121,005 | 94, 142 | 218,743 | 70,917 | 34,207 |
| March. | 327,143 | 127,847 | 95, 851 | 207, 863 | 68,403 |  | Oclober. | 319,877 | 124,818 | 93,849 | 196,604 | 73,100 |  |
| April. | 350, 194 | 128, 269 | 95, 251 | 199, 395 | 75, 347 | 33,493 | Novembe | 326,041 | 122,993 | 89,564 | 133,818 | 65,920 | 31,208 |

Sale of revenue stamps for manufactures of tobacco in the United States (excluding Porto Rico and Philippine Islands).
[Commissioner of Internal Revenue.]

|  | Cigars. |  | Cigarettes. | Manufactured tobacco. |  | Cigars. |  | Cigarettes. | Manufactured tobacco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large. | Small. | Small. |  |  | Large. | Small. | Small. |  |
| November, 1919 | Number. 655, 421, 893 | Number. 5fi, 080, 813 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number. } \\ 4,768,598,203 \end{gathered}$ | Pounds. <br> 32,965,088 | 1920. May_...... | Number. 676,227, 828 | Number. $59,943,280$ | Number. $3,953,345,380$ | Pounds. $34,875,839$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | June. | 708,112,284 | 32,735,587 | 4,088, 834,583 | 34,231,058 |
| $1920 .$ |  |  |  |  | July.. | 678,751,956 | 51,766, 100 | 3, $053,336,563$ | 30,988, 646 |
| January. | 663, 634, 243 | 58, 837,900 | 4,528,760, 833 | 33, 608, 313 | August. | 672,020, 289 | 48,171, 240 | 3,569, 397, 443 | 32, 138,941 |
| February | 593, 832,200 | 43, 358, 500 | 3,536,117,847 | 31, 531,460 | Sentember. | 678,640,116 | 50, 175, 580 | 3, 557, 482,503 | 32,094, 569 |
| March. | 753,239,958 | $55,052,100$ | 4,373,778,917 | 38,422,481 | October. | 704, 799,089 | 60,882,760 | $3,840,334,806$ | $27,123,774$ |
| April | 663,577,579 | 56, 548, 853 | 3,756,989,397 | 34,327,970 | November | 668,060,015 | 57,026,500 | 3,529,200,006 | 18,513,654 |

Output of locomotives and cars.
[Locomotives, United States Railroad Administration; February on, reports from individual producers; cars, Railway Car Manufacturers Association.]


Vessels built in United States, including those for foreign nations, and offcially numbered by the Bureau of Navigation. [Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Number. | Gross tonnage. | Relative. |  | Number. | Gross tonnage | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| November, 1919. | 143 | 347, 051 | 1,436 | 1920. |  |  |  |
| 1920. |  |  |  | July.. | -173 | 217,239 | 1,105 |
| January. | 115 | 253, 680 | 1,050 | August.. | -178 | 259, 210 | 1,073 |
| February. | 140 | 267, 231 |  | September | 135 |  | 1,084 |
| March.- | 170 | 279, 709 | 1,157 | October... | 120 | 227, 162 | 940 |
| April.. | 164 184 | 251,442 185,145 | 1,040 | November | 119 | 213,966 | 885 |
| May.. |  | 185, 145 |  |  |  |  |  |

Tonnage of vessels cleared in the foreign trade.
[Department of Commerce.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Net tonnage. |  |  | Relative. | Per-centage American to total. | Relative. |  | Net tonnage. |  |  | Relative. | Per-centage American tototal. | Rela- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American. | Foreign. | Total. |  |  |  |  | American. | Foreign. | Total. |  |  |  |
| November, 1919. | 2,251,871 | 1,910,489 | 4, 162,360 | 107 | 54.1 | 214 | June 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  | June.... | 3,199,274 | $3,141,913$ $3,616,052$ | $6,341,187$ $6,918,590$ | 163 178 188 | 50.5 47.7 | 200 189 |
| January. | 1,933,385 | 1,949,798 | 3,883, 183 | 100 | 49.8 | 197 | August | 3,616, 267 | 3, 929,602 | 7,545, 869 | 194 | 47.9 | 190 |
| February. | 1, 702,407 | 1, 628,212 | 3,330,619 | 89 | 51.1 | 202 | September. | 3,421, 331 | 3,513,599 | $6,935,130$ | 178 | 49.3 | 195 |
|  | 2,504,038 | 2,040,538 | $\xrightarrow{4,080,569} 4$ | 105 | 50.0 56.1 | 192 | October.... | $3,500,312$ $3,302,367$ | $3,756,512$ $2,868,294$ | $7,256,824$ $6,170,661$ | 187 159 | 48.2 53.5 | ${ }_{212}^{191}$ |
| May.... | 2,729,790 | 2, 436, 247 | 5,166, 037 | 133 | 52.8 | 209 | - | 3,302,36 | 2,80, 204 | 0,10,001 |  | 53.5 |  |

Net ton-miles, revenue and nonrevenue:
[United States Railroad Administration; March, 1920, ón, Interstate Commerce Commission.]

| October, 1919.. | 40, 343, 750,000 | 1920. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  | May.. June. | $37,884,967,000$ $38,179,565,000$ |
| January | 34,769,722,000 | July. | 40, 435,508, 000 |
| February | 32,758,789,000 | August | 42,706, 835, 000 |
| March. | 37,990,993,000 | September | 40,999, 843,000 |
| April. | 28,490,595,000 | October. | 42,562,685,000 |

Commerce of canals at Sault Ste. Marie.
[Monthly average, May-November, 1911-1913 $=100$.]
EASTBOUND.

|  | Grain other than wheat. |  | Wheat. |  | Flour. |  | Iron ore. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. |
| November, 1919 December, 1919. | $\begin{aligned} & 8,654,903 \\ & 4,183,727 \end{aligned}$ | 97 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,388,391 \\ 7,807,045 \end{array}$ | 90 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,402,260 \\ 430,090 \end{array}$ | 121 | $3,299,532$ 40,880 | 56 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,201,881 \\ 409,576 \end{array}$ | 60 |
| April............. | 6,008,000 |  | 4,274,611 |  |  |  | 162,630 |  |  |  |
| May... | 11,904,942 | 134 | 13,497, 995 | 70 | 658,910 | 57 | 6, 683,820 | 113 | 7, 483, 836 | 107 |
| June.. | 3, 076,986 | ${ }_{35}^{35}$ | 5,976, 125 | 31 | 1,082,521 | 93 | 8,707,350 | 146 | 9, 153,884 | 131 |
| July... | 3, 133,419 | 35 | 7.838,470 | 41 | 1,171,250 | 101 | 9, 235,086 | 156 | 9,749,701 | 139 |
| August... | $2,315,909$ $3,102,770$ | 26 <br> 35 <br> 8 | $7,512,510$ $11,824,488$ | 39 60 | $1,038,221$ 621,010 | 89 53 | 8, 784, 821 | 148 | $9,278,071$ 9,290129 | 132 133 |
| September | $3,102,770$ $7,198,311$ | 35 81 | $11,024,488$ $\mathbf{2 8 , 4 7 0}, 696$ | $\begin{array}{r}60 \\ 148 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 621,010 $1,142,991$ | ${ }_{98}^{53}$ | $8,721,412$ $8,656,823$ | 147 146 | $9,290,129$ $9,876,641$ | 133 141 |
| November | 9,921,968 | 111 | 37, 236, 311 | 193 | 1,317,800 | 114 | 5, 553, 173 | 94 | 7,065, 488 | 101 |
| December | 4,967,830 |  | 27,025,281 |  | 444,830 |  | 137,564 |  | 1,111,527 |  |

WESTBOUND.


## BANK DEBITS DURING NOVEMBER-DECEMBER.

Aggregate debits to individual account, as reported by banks in 151 important clearing house centers, fluctuated between 8,112 millions for the week ending December 1 and 9,821 millions for the week ending December 22, which saw the collection of a large amount of checks in payment of the December 15 installment of income and excess profits taxes. The comparatively low total for the week ending December 29 is due to the fact that it includes Christmas Day, a legal holiday throughout the country. On the basis of daily averages, the most recent week, with its large volume of checks used in shopping and for holiday bonuses, shows even larger figures than the preceding week, the respective averages being 1,700 and 1,637 millions.

A comparison with average figures for the corresponding five weeks in 1919 shows a reduction for New York City banks from 5,376 to 4,684 millions, or of about 692 millions, or 13 per cent, while for the other reporting centers the reduction was from 4,495 to 4,170 millions, amounting to 325 millions, or 7 per cent. The lower figures for New York City are due to a number of causes, including the new arrangements which reduce the volume of checks in payment for stock exchange transactions, while outside of New York the dominant factor in causing the smaller volume of debits is to be found in the lower level of prices prevailing during the review period as compared with the closing weeks of the preceding year.

Debits to individual accounts at clearing-house banks. SUMMARY BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve district. | Number of centers included | $\begin{gathered} 1920 \\ \text { Week ending - } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1919 \\ \text { Week ending } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec. 1. | Dec. 8. | Dec. 15. | Dec. 22. | Dec. 29. | Dec. 3. | Dec. 10. | Dec. 17. | Dec. 24. | Dec. 31. |
| Boston. | ${ }_{7}^{13}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 424,841 \\ 4 \\ 9558 \end{array}$ | 410,923 |  | 5 501,014 |  | 5 ${ }_{5}^{506,278}$ | 5 480,698 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 541,096 \\ 5770 \end{array}$ | 509,013 |
| New York. | 11 | 4, 2588,091 | 4,525, 76 | 5,132,517 | 5, 399, 147 | 4, 8 418, 9 , 958 | 5, 188, 338 | 5,203, 3 , 396 | 5,944, 988 | 5,740,489 | 5,530, 189 |
| Philadelphia | 13 | 400,860 | 404,435 <br> 355,804 <br> 180 | - 486,929 | ${ }_{417}^{481,723}$ | 418,498 | 389,563 | 399, 703 | 496,030 | 432,089 | 409,248 |
| Richmond | 7 | 166, 108 | 189,027 | 189, 900 | 187, 682 | 157, 142 | 190,949 | 196,189 | 215,175 | 196, 557 | 398,007 180,825 |
| Atlanta. | 15 | 216, 630 | 217,908 | 209, 082 | 228, 450 | 190, 365 | 272, 349 | 273,537 | 293,870 | 277,098 | 236,855 |
| Chicago. | 22 | 1,016, 353 | 1,014,228 | 1,108, 322 | 1,172,768 | 945,248 | 1,041,919 | 1,014,661 | 1,223,778 | 1,189,581 | 1,005, 826 |
| St. Louis. |  | 206, 312 | 201, 897 | 218,492 | 231,154 | 184, 953 | 242,906 | 232, 753 | 274, 129 | 253, 535 | 229,282 |
| Minneapoli | 11 | 162,473 | 180, 564 | 177, 863 | 163,227 | 132,211 | 172, 184 | 176, 347 | 183,277 | 181, 741 | 161,807 |
| Kansas City | 15 | 274, 860 | 285,067 | 285,013 | 284,820 | 241, 251 | 304,887 | 304,442 | 336, 126 | 329, 404 | 286, 581 |
| Dallas. | 12 | 141, 043 | 158, 953 | 156,047 | 164, 146 | 139,439 | 164, 145 | 164, 665 | 173,393 | 169, 831 | 169, 136 |
| San Franc | 20 | 496, 382 | 532,273 | 581,755 | 589,336 | 484,528 | 525, 822 | 523,945 | 616, 165 | 548,043 | 492, 678 |
| Total. | 151 | 8,111,960 | 8,476,839 | 9,360, 093 | 9,821, 388 | 8,499,457 | 9,373, 611 | 9,317,273 | 10,811,241 | 10, 242,038 | 9,609, 447 |

Note.-Figures for the following centers while shown in the body of the statement, are not included in the summary, complete data for these conters not being available for each week under review: Manchester, N. H.; Sioux Falls, S. Dak.; Huntington, W. Va.; Moline, Ill.; Washington, D. C.; Pittsburgh, Pa.; Reading, Pa.; Harrisburg, Pa.; Springield, Ill.; Atchison, Kans.; Shreveport, La

Debits to individual accounts at clearing-house banks-Continued.
DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve district. | $\begin{gathered} 1920 \\ \text { Week ending- } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1919 \\ & \text { Week ending } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 1. | Dec. 8. | Dec. 15. | Dec. 22. | Dec. 29. | Dec. 3. | Dec. 10. | Dec. 17. | Dec. 24. | Dec. 31. |
| District No. 1-Boston:          <br> Bangor 3,242 4,918 3,678 4,279 3,748 2,926 3,299 3,359 3,072 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. | 275,931 | 261,079 | 306,849 | 318,977 | 256,944 | 348,011 | 321,572 | 407,148 | 363,354 | 342,182 |
| Fall Riv | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 5, } \\ 24,488 \\ \hline 4 .\end{array}$ | 6,287 23,908 | 7,550 24,889 | 8,375 27,189 | 6,493 21,107 | ${ }_{24,058}^{10,166}$ | 9,916 21,503 | 12,493 27,527 | ${ }_{21}^{11,987}$ | 9,864 |
| Holyoke | - 3,622 | 3,588 | 3,592 | 3, 3 ,951 | 3,062 | 24,945 2,95 | $\begin{array}{r}21, \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 27,527 4,097 | ren 3,917 | 21, 3 8869 |
| Lowell. | 5,142 | 5,170 | 5,089 | 6,154 | 4,509 | 5,969 | 5,498 | 7,826 | 8,705 | 6,822 |
| Manchester | 4,462 | 6,699 | 6,258 | 4,900 | 4,143 |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Bedfor | 5,122 | 5,897 | 6,932 | 6,859 | 4,719 | 9,787 | 9,445 | 12,359 | 9,041 | 7,603 |
| New Haven | 17,845 | 18,551 | 18,927 | 21,313 | 15,434 | 16,503 | 17,558 | 19,489 | 18,444 | 16,130 |
| Portland. | 8,594 | 10,198 | 9,248 | 9,731 | 7,176 | 8,889 | 7,736 | 8,857 | 6,013 | 7,206 |
| Providenc | 36,303 | 33,563 | 37,973 | 44,771 | 32,434 | 36,918 | 38,201 | 51,310 | 46,916 | 49,463 |
| Springfield | 15,027 | 14,419 | 14,361 | 17,818 | 14,056 | 17,213 | 17,006 | 18,029 | 19,149 | 17,077 |
| Waterbury | 6,909 | 6,961 16,384 | 7,289 18,395 | 10,889 | 6,952 14,721 | 6,740 16,153 | 8,949 16,270 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 9, } \\ \text { 22, } 204 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8,159 $\mathbf{2 1 , 2 8 6}$ | 6,903 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albany... | 19,006 | 22,787 | 17,749 | 25,134 | 20,208 | 19,324 | 19,267 | 26,105 | 23,555 | 19,860 |
| Binghamt | 3,583 | 3,975 | 4,147 | 5,036 | 3,638 | 3,698 | 3,882 | 4,385 | 4,007 | 3,582 |
| Buffalo. | 64,394 | 66,263 | 74,086 | 79,088 | 62,361 | 66,619 | 60,403 | 73,321 | 67,011 | 67,075 |
| New York | 4,121,721 | 4,377,423 | 4,979,311 | 5,226,247 | 4,713,837 | 5,046, 831 | 5,068,752 | 5,784,012 | 5,590,623 | 5,388,508 |
| Passaic. | 4, ${ }_{\text {4, }}^{691}$ | -4,630 | -5,382 | 5 5, 143 | 3,967 | 5,169 | 5, 435 | 6,729 | 6, 622 | 5,356 |
| Rocheste | 28,871 | 34, 644 | 34, 270 | 38,112 | 33, 959 | 31,657 | 30,742 | 33,796 | 31, 124 | 29,046 |
| Syracuse.... | 15,825 | 16,038 | 17,572 | 19,687 | 12, 988 | 15,032 | 14,885 | 16,634 | 17,547 | 16,762 |
| istrict No. 3-Philadelphia: |  | 3,317 | 3,939 | 3,762 | 3,681 | 3,155 | 3,151 | 3,319 | 3,106 | 2,812 |
| Chester. | 4,585 | 4,778 | 5,355 | 5,949 | 4,355 | 4,989 | 4,878 | 5,213 | 5,137 | 3,976 |
| Harrisburg | 2,000 |  |  |  | 5,994 | 3,900 | 3,935 | 4,871 | 3,347 | 3,500 |
| Johnstown | 4,998 | 4,944 | 5,966 | 6,229 | 5,597 | 3,089 | 3,015 | 2,999 | 3,189 | 2,694 |
| Lancaster | 5,396 | 4,974 | 5,573 | 5,587 | 4,005 | 4,872 | 5,046 | 5,479 | 5,301 | 4,786 |
| Philadelphi | 330, 573 | 334,332 | 367,788 | 392,833 | 344,958 | 323,616 | 332,631 | 420, 652 | 360, 100 | 345,200 |
| Reading. | 3,148 |  |  |  | 6,575 | 4,223 | 4,410 | 5, 697 | 4,355 | 4,433 |
| Scranton | 14,288 | 14,872 | 15,586 | 17,167 | 15,016 | 13,295 | 14, 225 | 12, 130 | 15,356 | 12,426 |
| Trenton. | 11,472 | 11,500 | 11,843 | 18,032 | 11,117 | 11,191 | 12,118 | 13, 444 | 12,572 | 12,440 |
| Wilkes-Barre | 8,359 | 7,900 | 9,631 | 8,944 | 9,154 | 7,510 | 7,671 | 8,993 | 7,124 | 8,473 |
| Williamsport | 3,672 | 4, 868 | 6,190 | 5, 824 | 4,384 | 3,366 | 3,484 | 4,068 | 4,065 | 3,504 |
| Wilmington | 10,086 | 8,608 | 10,556 | 12,172 | 12,621 | 10,730 | 9,867 | 14,728 | 11,809 | 9,257 |
| District No.4-Cleveland: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,617 | 5,003 | 4,330 | 3,680 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21,817 | 27,320 | 28,074 | 22,364 |
| Cincimmati | 57,977 | 57,306 | 71,323 | 70,907 | 69, 720 | 56,151 | 56, 127 | 83, 193 | 61, 295 | 77,276 |
| Cleveland. | 151,807 | 160,169 | 174,879 | 192,633 | 165,053 | 177, 779 | 154,746 | 203,261 | 175,453 | 186,198 |
| Columbus | 29,082 | 31,302 | 34,323 | 36,234 | 32,350 | 29,292 | 2S,659 | 32,736 | 27,562 | 31, 210 |
| Dayton | 11,874 | 11,136 | 7,572 | 11,783 | 10,817 | 12,842 | 11,631 | 12,756 | 10,918 | 11,674 |
| Erie. | 7,317 | 7,948 | 8,138 | 8,664 | 7,056 | 6,571 | 6,534 | 8,187 | 8,015 | 5,864 |
| Greènsburg | 7,243 | 5,088 | 6,128 | 6,940 | 5,819 | 5,878 | 4,200 | 5,893 | 4,672 | 1,675 |
| Lexington | 4,103 | 4,167 | 4,575 | 4,516 | 4,049 | 4,806 | 8,238 | 11,876 | 10,967 | 7,093 |
| Oil City. | 3,318 | 4,111 | 4, 891 | 3,933 | 2,754 | 1,722 | 2,837 | 3,992 | 2,898 | 2,464 |
| Pittsburg | 204, 255 | 201,018 | 234,825 |  | 220,437 | 169,551 | 173, 742 | 189, 718 | 211,964 | 195,539 |
| Springfiel | 2, 853 | 3,581 | 3,909 | 3,974 | 3,196 | 2,914 | 3,663 | 3,947 | 3,574 | 4,212 |
| Wheledo... | 27,950 <br> 10,550 | 30,412 | 28,532 | 33,895 | 25,907 | 30, 53.4 | 28,191 | $\begin{array}{r}34,795 \\ 8848 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 29,893 | 27, 327 |
| Yheorling. | 17, 1785 | 9,536 14,228 | 11,710 15 | -10,665 | 9,052 | 10, 40.5 | 8,748 11526 | 8,848 | -6,275 | 7,751 <br> 869 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baltimore. | 95,794 | 110,435 | 112,972 | 115,380 | 97,068 | 100, 162 | 99,921 | 120, 953 | 106, 626 | 103,898 |
| Charleston. | 7,800 | 5,203 | 6,409 | 6,459 | 5,593 | 12,486 | 11,137 | 10,489 | 10,701 | 10,701 |
| Charlotte | 6,643 | 6,886 | 6,753 | 6,866 | 5,660 | 8,625 | 9,088 | 8,237 | 9,423 | 7,929 |
| Columbia | 4,967 | 5,696 | 5,448 | 5,354 | 4, 436 | 9,140 | 9,958 | 8,162 | 8,385 | 7,246 |
| Nuntingt | 6,565 $\mathbf{1 7 , 0 6 4}$ | 6,282 23,017 | $\begin{array}{r}6,156 \\ \hline 19,959\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raleigh. | 4,800 | 4,900 | 5,000 | 5,050 | 14, ${ }^{1230}$ | ${ }^{6,100}$ | 5,800 | ${ }_{5}^{23,800}$ | 4,800 | 5,800 |
| Richmond. | 29,040 | 32,890 | 33, 359 | 31, 651 | 24,891 | 32,333 | 37, 703 | 38, 113 | 33,877 | 28,308 |
| $\xrightarrow[\text { Washington }]{\text { District }}$ No. 6 - ${ }^{\text {atianta }}$ : | 33,119 | 37,615 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta.......... | 23,517 |  |  |  |  |  | 34,882 | 39,949 | 36,090 | 32,309 |
| Augusta, | 6,279 | 6,749 | 6,933 | 7,202 | 5,079 | 11,883 | 12,149 | 12,184 | 11,616 | 8,895 |
| Birmingham | 17,391 | 17,112 | 17,048 | 20,039 | 14,258 | 15,297 | 14,918 | 16, 186 | 18,328 | 14,452 |
| Chattanooga. | 9,458 | 11, 276 | 10,944 | 11,911 | 8,602 | 12,436 | 12,864 | 13,373 | 13,176 | 10,485 |
| Jacksonville | 11,044 | 14, 131 | 12,610 | 13,844 | 10,393 | 12, 867 | 13, 615 | 12,373 | 12, 618 | 12,581 |
| Knoxville. | 8,795 | 7,110 | 6,427 | 7,271 | 5,417 | 7,141 | 5,877 | 7,371 | 6,627 | 6,313 |
| Macon. | 4,041 | 4,697 | 5,254 | 5,758 | 3,898 | 7,977 | 9,849 | 8,790 | 9,742 | 6,315 |
| Mobile... | 7,950 | 7,787 | 7,143 | 8,024 | 6,439 | 9,134 | 8,644 | 8,570 | 7,819 | 7,363 |
| Montgome | - ${ }^{7}$, 960 | 4,152 | 4,440 | 4,526 | 2,972 | 6,018 | 5, 800 | 5,672 | 5,665 | 5,330 |
| New Orleans | 79,878 | 75,504 | 66,162 | 21,203 76606 | ${ }_{74} 9$ | ${ }_{96}^{23,116}$ | ${ }_{96}^{25,327}$ | - 206,718 | +90,463 | ${ }^{21,905}$ |
| Pensacola. | 1,664 | 1,867 | 1,948 | 1,952 | 1,377 | 2,166 | 2,448 | 2,494 | 2,003 | 1,939 |
| Savannah | 13,924 | 12,454 | 14,315 | 13,511 | 10,646 | 23,874 | 22, 233 | 26, 117 | 27,452 | 21,275 |
| Tampa. | 5,652 | 6,593 | 6,079 | 6,143 | 4,266 | 6,442 | 6,375 | 5,900 | 6,552 | 4,935 |
| Vicksburg. | 1,870 | 1,716 | 1,583 | 1.552 | 1.343 | 2,407 | 1,934 | 2,058 | 1,913 | 1,716 |
| Bay City... | 3,770 | 3,153 | 3,643 | 3,884 | 2,765 | 3,315 | 3,427 | 4,550 | 4,052 | 2,945 |
| Bloomington | 2,593 | 2,534 | 2,531 | 2,539 | 1,902 | 2,922 | 2,858 | 2,695 | 2,385 | 2,302 |
| Cedar Rayid | - 9 , 736 | 10,896 | 10,670 | 12, 219 | 8 8,940 | 7,789 | 9,467 | 7,857 | 9,177 | 7,967 |
| Chicago. | 669,598 | 672,181 | 745,514 | 767,708 | 642,934 | 682,468 | 666,999 | 797,632 | 843,992 | 683, 870 |
| Daventort |  | 8,204 3,285 | 7,007 8,393 | 7,238 | 6, 289 | 8 8,868 | 7,770 | 7,560 | 6,726 $\mathbf{3}, 089$ | 6,594 |
| Decatur. | $\begin{array}{r}2,941 \\ 14,516 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,285 17,034 | 3,3,39 17 $\mathbf{1 7} 306$ | 3,556 16,820 | 2,495 15,066 | 4,231 18,115 | 3,608 19,336 | 4,196 19,552 | 3,089 21,768 | $\begin{array}{r}3,584 \\ 18,038 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Detroit. | 126, 428 | 119,008 | 131, 656 | 166, 277 | 102,300 | 138,855 | 125, 214 | 197,770 | 117, 194 | 113, 823 |
| Dubuque. | 3,312 | 3,471 | 3,098 | 3,412 | 2,629 | 2,715 | 2,620 | 2,575 | 3,069 | 2,519 |

Debits to individual accounts at clearing-house banks-Continued.
DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve district. | 1920 <br> Week ending- |  |  |  |  | 1919 <br> Week ending- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 1. | Dec. 8. | Dec. 15. | Dec. 22. | Dec. 29. | Dec. 3. | Dec. 10. | Dec. 17. | Dec. 24. | Dec. 31. |
| Distriet No. 7-Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flint.................... | 4,281 | 5,540 | 4,429 | 5,810 | 4,050 | 10,789 | 8,859 | 6,851 | 9,997 | 8,876 |
| Fort Wayne | 6,571 | 7,092 | 8,070 | 8,506 | 7,057 | 6,984 | 7,259 | 8,480 | 7,977 | 6,940 |
| Grand Rapids | 22, 623 | 21,050 | 19,976 | 19,826 | 20,729 | 18,934 | 18,599 | 18,874 | 18,347 | 17,717 |
| Indianapolis. | 29,497 | 32,326 | 40,807 | 38,054 | 31, 414 | 180,999 4,295 | 33,234 | 37,806 4,414 | 35,008 4,439 | 31, 292 |
| Jackson. | 3,148 4,942 | 3,636 5,376 | 3,484 $\mathbf{6 , 0 1 2}$ | 4,118 5,682 | 3,160 4,636 | 4,295 4,151 | 4,052 4,241 | 4,414 4,820 | 4,439 4,592 | 3,721 4,652 |
| Lansing | 4,610 | 5,027 | 4,877 | 5,147 | 3,113 | 5,296 | 6,357 | 6,385 | 6,353 | 6,015 |
| Milwankee | 66,910 | 59,825 | 63,200 | 68,505 | 59,221 | 56,869 | 55,502 | 55,502 | 58,560 | 50,970 |
| Moline | 1,912 | 3,055 | 2,248 | 3,376 | 2,346 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peoria | 8,845 | 9,096 | 9,076 | 9,172 | 7,341 | 10,842 | 10,062 | 11,634 | 9,140 | 8,650 |
| Rockford | 5,769 | 5,785 | 5,313 | 6,308 | 5,187 | 5,165 | 4,951 | 5, 608 | 5,985 | 5,237 |
| Sioux City | 11, 853 | 12,731 | 11,403 | 11,538 | 7,791 | 12,255 | 13,193 | 12,141 | 10,383 | 12,659 |
| South Bend | 3,147 | 3,429 | 3,711 | 3,384 | 3,752 | 3,194 | 3,818 | 3,228 | 3,829 | 4,065 |
| Springfield | 2,374 | 4,859 |  |  | 3,202 | 3,501 | 4,868 | 4,687 | 4,322 | 5,211 |
| Waterloo. | 2,960 | 3,549 | 3,146 | 3,065 | 2,477 | 2,868 | 3,235 | 3,648 | 3,519 | 3,390 |
| District No. 8-St. Louis: Evansville. | 4,355 | 5,097 | 5,476 | 5,267 | 4,617 | 4,415 | 5,311 | 5,104 | 6,231 | 5,387 |
| Little Rock | 10,098 | 11, 168 | 12,606 | 13, 525 | 10,704 | 11,381 | 10,961 | 11,663 | 9,802 | 8,121 |
| Louisville. | 27,712 | 24,776 | 28,067 | 30,919 | 19,379 | 40,477 | 33,191 | 41,348 | 30,971 | 34,460 |
| Memphis. | 27, 293 | 28,209 | 28,908 | 29,075 | 22,734 | 40,709 | 43, 275 | 43,699 | 47,694 | 39, 180 |
| St. Louis. | 136, 854 | 132, 647 | 143, 435 | 152,368 | 127,519 | 145,924 | 140,015 | 172,315 | 158,837 | 142, 134 |
| District No. 9-Minneapolis: | 1,642 | 1,801 | 1,504 | 1,585 | 2,781 | 1, 809 | 1,904 | 1,925 | 1,537 | 1,409 |
| Billings. | 2,834 | 3,276 | 1.990 | 2,021 | 2,170 | 2,931 | 2,160 | 2,210 | 2,361 | 2,280 |
| Duluth | 28,794 | 33, 265 | 31,654 | 26,778 | 24,384 | 15,229 | 18,727 | 19,632 | 19,035 | 14, 246 |
| Fargo. | 3,363 | 3,183 | 2,871 | 2,634 | 2,000 | 2,855 | 3,014 | 3,421 | 3,077 | 2,766 |
| Grand For | 1,524 | 2,003 | 1,746 | 1,456 | 1,030 | 2,152 | 2,371 | 1,913 | 1,889 | 1,669 |
| Great $F$ alls | 3,255 | 3,136 | 2,519 | 2,738 | 2,352 | 3,290 | 2,887 | 2,983 | 2,392 | 2,095 |
| Helena. | 2,874 | 2,977 | 2,626 | 2,972 | 2,271 | 2,572 | 2,839 | 2,701 | 2,749 | 2,437 |
| Minneapo | 80,991 | 94, 444 | 90, 972 | 83, 822 | 66.527 | 96, 357 | 97,358 | 100,939 | 102,334 | 93, 795 |
| St. Panl. | 33,797 | 32, 416 | 39,005 | 35,414 | 25,925 | 41,943 | 41,927 | 44,044 | 43,000 | 38,058 |
| Sioux Fall | 5,500 | 5,400 | 5,000 | 4,509 | 4,100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Superior | 2,285 | 2,524 | 2,545 | 2,427 | 1,942 | 1,918 | 1,844 | 2,079 | ?,040 | 1,765 |
| Winona. | 1,114 | 1,539 | 1,431 | 1,380 | 829 | 1,128 | 1,316 | 1,430 | 1,327 | 1,287 |
| District No. 10-Kansas City: Atchison | 434 | 405 |  |  |  | 390 | 453 | 640 | 686 | 514 |
| Bartlesville | 3,568 | 3,038 | 3,627 | 3,142 | 3,429 | 3,899 | 2,884 | 2,948 | 4,213 | 3,463 |
| Cheyenne | 1,807 | 2,268 | 2,413 | 2,001 | 1,440 | 1,477 | 2,083 | 2,682 | 1,928 | 2,335 |
| Colorado Springs | 3,881 | 2,775 | 3,351 | 2, 806 | 2,220 | 2,818 | 3,186 | 3,174 | 2,977 | 3,209 |
| Denver. | 38,719 | 46,966 | 44,187 | 45,846 | 39, 543 | 50, 274 | 43,034 | 52,063 | 42,945 | 39,099 |
| Joplin. | 2,650 | 2,863 | 3,211 | 2,848 | 2,279 | 3,512 | 3,364 | 4,050 | 3,395 | 2.993 |
| Kansas City, Kans | 4,386 | 4,320 | 4,528 | 4,484 | 4,656 | 3,483 | 3,558 | 3,234 | 3,938 | 3,734 |
| Kansas City, Mo.. | 78,035 | 81,368 | 78,536 | 85, 002 | 72, 145 | 92, 434 | 100,01? | 110,395 | 109,616 | 90,756 |
| Muskogee. | 6,522 | 5,747 | 6.388 | 5, 848 | 5,353 | 5, 823 | 5, 840 | 5,458 | 7,143 | 6,099 |
| Oklahoma City | 26,383 | 26,018 | 22,596 | 22,858 | 20,565 | 17, 221 | 17,585 | 18,008 | 17,758 | 16,580 |
| Omaha... | 46,523 | 48, 183 | 46,510 | 46,301 | 33,691 | 62,173 | 57, 107 | 62,534 | 65,217 | 50, 639 |
| Pueblo. | 3,925 | 4,943 | 5,072 | 6,319 | +,384 | 5,738 | 5,217 | 7,016 | 6,396 | 5,055 |
| St. Joseph | 14, 449 | 14,342 | 18, 110 | 17,531 | 12,983 | 15,925 | 21,954 | 20,386 | 22,019 | 13, 282 |
| Topeka. | 3,562 | 3,686 | 3,774 | 2,526 | 2, 768 | 5, 888 | 5,551 | 4,593 | 5,084 | 6, 113 |
| Tulsa. | 31, 127 | 27,626 | 32,835 | 27,083 | 27,345 | 21,639 | 20, 837 | 28,025 | 23,560 | 32,333 |
| Wichita. | 11,323 | 10,915 | 9,875 | 9,565 | 8,450 | 12,583 | 12, 230 | 11,560 | 13,215 | 10,851 |
| District No. 11-Dallas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albuquerqu | 1,890 | 2,129 | 1,713 | 1,978 | 1,574 | 1,741 | 1,959 | 1,936 | 1,656 | 1,581 |
| Austin. | 1,350 3,352 | 3,960 4,622 | 1,894 4,413 | 1,663 4,625 | 2, ${ }^{1} \mathbf{3}, 101$ | 1,253 12,359 | 4,581 5.024 | 1,280 4,894 | 4, 637 5,350 | 3,997 4,133 |
| Dallas. | 39,553 | 43,444 | 39, 764 | 42,095 | 34,123 | 46,655 | 49,191 | 52, 192 | 51,024 | 54,135 |
| El Paso | 9,347 | 11,531 | 10,645 | 10,990 | 8,670 | 9,303 | 9,250 | 9,804 | 9,731 | 8,230 |
| Fort Wort | 26.951 | 24, 718 | 24,980 | 24,877 | 24, 187 | 26,922 | 26, 443 | 31,018 | 26,355 | 33, 841 |
| Galveston | 10,300 | 13, 271 | 23,347 | 24, 824 | 23,011 | 4,030 | 11,457 | 9,836 | 10.595 | 10,727 |
| Houston. | 32,392 | 38,584 | 30,872 | 35,479 | 29,130 | 41,492 | 39,944 | 43, 749 | 13,536 | 37,595 |
| San Antonio | 6,405 | 8,554 | 7,948 | 8,096 | 6,085 | 8,427 | 9,042 | 9,121 | 8,826 | 8,032 |
| Shreveport | 8,032 | 8,955 |  | 8,687 | 7,838 | 8,040 | 8,540 | 7,275 | 7,952 | 7,067 |
| Texarkana | 1, 711 | 1,884 | 2,903 | 1,800 | 1,650 | 1,561 | 1,517 | 1,608 | 2,399 | 1,816 |
| Tueson. | 1,590 | 1,560 | 1,491 | 1,394 | 1,684 | 1,615 | 1,751 | 1,560 | 1,346 | 1,349 |
| Waco.................... | 4,172 | 4,696 | 4,077 | 4,325 | 3,574 | 5,787 | 4,506 | 4,395 | 4,376 | 3,700 |
| District Berkeley.................. | 2,534 | 3,408 | 2,985 | 2,994 | 2,279 | 2,946 | 2,983 | 3,001 | 2,538 | 2,227 |
| Boise... | 2,672 | 2,987 | 2,949 | 3,539 | 2,503 | 3,474 | 3,783 | 3,713 | 3,471 | 3,966 |
| Fresno. | 14,857 | 19, 140 | 13,852 | 10,988 | 11,564 | 13,175 | 9,431 | 11,440 | 9,977 | 9,892 |
| Long Beach | 6, 213 | 6,167 | 5,622 | 5,637 | 4,299 | 4,541 | 5,043 | 5,016 | 4,734 | 4,70t |
| Los Angeles | 95,932 | 103,085 | 116,332 | 120,913 | 104,672 | 91,606 | .88,750 | 103,592 | 95, 241 | 84,790 |
| Oakland. | 19,456 | 23,311 | 21,946 | 24,429 | 22,900 | 19,792 | 21, 347 | 2?, 654 | 19,455 | 16,359 |
| Ogden. | 4,894 | 5,964 | 5,437 | 8,185 | 4,738 | 4,609 | 4,692 | 6,759 | 5,657 | 5,071 |
| Pasadena | 4,876 | 6,065 | 6, 258 | 6, 254 | 4,552 | 5,385 | 4,950 | 4,900 | 4,669 | 4,057 |
| Portland. | 36, 201 | 40,505 | 46, 154 | 41,513 | 37,837 | 39,343 | 39, 139 | 51,409 | 48, 241 | 45,303 |
| Reno... | 2, 730 | 3,156 | 3,013 | 3,026 | 2,528 | 3,032 | 2,554 | 3,014 | 2,765 | 2,804 |
| Sacramento. | 1.8,833 | 8,133 | 22, 187 | 15, 133 | 14, 720 | 23,497 | 20,592 | 20, 199 | 13, 717 | 15,924 |
| Salt Lake City | 12,052 | 20,498 | 18,566 | 26, 992 | 16,643 | 20,031. | 22,208 | 27, 849 | 22,031 | 19,363 |
| San Diego. | 7, ${ }^{\text {, }} 319$ | 8,797 | 9,966 | 7,422 | 6,038 | 6,340 | 7,475 | 7,346 | 7,062 | 7,286 |
| San Francis | 198, 196 | 207, 208 | 226, 194 | 238,885 | 190,658 | 193, 668 | 202,088 | 242, 184 | 219,373 | 189,300 |
| San Jose | 5,344 | 5,338 | .5,975 | 4,677 | 3,587 | 6,126 | 5, 743 | 7,110 | 6,624 | 5,453 |
| Seattle.. | 35, 415 | 36,455 | 41,024 | 36, 870 | 29,420 | 53, 117 | 48, 109 | 60, 117 | 49,828 | 45,260 |
| Spokane | 11,340 | 13,011 | 12,747 | 12,991 | 11,607 | 15,681 | 13,107 | 13, 610 | 13,273 | 11,888 |
| Stockton | 6,048 | 6, 165 | 6,304 | 5,585 | 4,484 | 7,013 | 7,609 | 7,150 | 5,546 | 5,592 |
| Tacoma. | 8,413 | 9,745 | 11,324 | 10,463 | 7,538 | 9,361 | 10,454 | 11, 865 | 10,030 | 9,460 |
| Yakima. | 3,027 | 3,335 | 2,920 | 2,840 | 1,961 | 3,085 | 3,888 | 3,237 | 3,811 | 3,999 |

## DISCOUNT AND OPEN-MARKET OPERATIONS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS DURING NOVEMBER, 1920.

Discount and open-market operations of the Federal Reserve Banks during November and October, 1920 and 1919, are shown in summary form for the entire system in the table below. Detailed figures for each Federal Reserve Bank for the most recent month are given on pages 109 to 111.

Total discount and open-market operations of Federal Reserve Banks in November and October, 1920 and 1919.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 1920 |  | 1919 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | November. | October. | November. | October. |
| Total discount and open-market purchases | 8,713,909 | 8,013,263 | 7,812,081 | 8,468,032 |
| Discounts, total................. | 7,882, 933 | 7,548, 456 | $7,414,498$ | 8,060,318 |
| Secured by Government war obligations. | 4,349,752 | 4,305,307 | 6,761,542 | 7,348, 942 |
| Otherwisesecured and unsecured, total. | 3, 533,181 | 3,243,149 | 652,956 | 711,376 |
| Commercial n. e. s., agriculturaland livestock paper. | 3, 504,703 | $3,213,743$ | 628,979 | 694,040 |
| Trade acceptances. | 15,200 | 19,150 | 21,924 | 16,064 |
| Bankers' acceptances | 13,278 | 10,256 | 2,053 | 1,272 |
| $t$ verage maturity (in days)... | 12.17 | 13.26 | 11.36 | 9.54 |
| A verage rate (365-day basis), per cent. | 6.45 | 6.40 | 4.53 | 4.19 |
| Open-market operations: <br> Bills purchased, total. | 231, 840 | 281, 832 | 340,696 | 335, 262 |
| Bankers'acceptances, total. | 221,670 | 269, 284 | 335,545 | 329,864 |
| In the domestic trade............. | 52,619 | 66, 244 | 82,850 | 81,819 |
| In the foreign trade | 169,051 | 203, 040 | 252,695 | 248,045 |
| Trade acceptances, total. | 1,008 | 1,670 | 3,687 | 4,989 |
| In the domestic trade............... | 250 | 735 | $\begin{array}{r}790 \\ \hline 897\end{array}$ | 1,938 |
| In the foreign trade | 758 | 935 | 2,897 | 3,051 |
| Dollar exchange....... | 9, 162 | 10,878 | 1,464 | 409 |
| Average maturity (in days)...- | 35.11 | 35.51 | 55.55 | 48.36 |
| Average rate (365-day basis), per cent. | 6.05 | 6.05 | 4.47 | 4.26 |
| United States securities purchased: |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds. |  | 48 |  |  |
| Certificates of indebtedness. | 599, 136 | 182,927 | 56,887 | 72,452 |

Discount operations in November aggregated 7,883 millions, or 335 millions more than the month before and 469 millions more than in November of the past year. The figures in the table are exclusive of bills discounted for other Federal Reserve Banks, which totaled 293 millions in November and 393 millions in October of this year, and 58 millions in November and 127 millions in October, 1919. While discount operations for the system were larger in November than in October for the system as a whole, two of the twelve Federal Reserve Banks, those of Philadelphia and of Kansas City, report materially smaller figures than the month before, and two other banks, those of Minneapolis and of San Francisco, report substantially unchanged totals for the two months.

Increased volume is shown for discounts of both paper secured by Government war obligations and of paper not so secured, the former increasing frcm 4,305 to 4,350 millions, and the latter from 3,243 to 3,533 millions. Discounts of trade acceptances declined from 19 to 15 millions; while those of bankers' acceptances increased from 10 to 13 millions. All other discounts, composed of commercial paper not otherwise specified and of agricultural and livestock paper, show by far the largest increase, aggregating 3,505 millions, compared with 3,214 millions in October and 629 millions in November of last year.

Average maturity of paper discounted in November was 12.17 days, compared with 13.26 days the month hefore, while the average rate of discount shows a slight increase, from 6.4 per cent in October to 6.45 per cent in November, as compared with 4.53 per cent in November of the year before.

Total bills purchased in November were 50 millions less than in October and 109 millions less than in November, 1919, this decrease being due largely to the development of a demand for acceptances by outside investors. Of the total of 232 millions of bills purchased in November, 222 millions were bankers' acceptances, 1 million was trade acceptances, and 9 millions were dollar exchange. Of the bankers' acceptances purchased, about 53 millions were bills drawn in the domestic trade and 169 millions bills drawn in the foreign trade, while of the trade acceptances about 75 per cent were bills in the foreign trade. The average maturity of purchased bills was 35.11 days, marking a slight decline from the 35.51 days shown for the month before and a decided reduction from the 55.55 days shown for November, 1919. The average rate charged on purchased acceptances was 6.05 per cent in November as in October, which may be compared with 4.47 per cent, the average for November of the preceding year.

During the month under review 33 banks were added to the membership of the system, the total number of member banks increasing from 9,558 at the end of October to 9,591 at the end of November, while the number of banks accommodated through discount of paper increased from 4,952 in October to 5,275 in November, constituting 55 per cent of the total membership, as against 51.8 per cont the month before. The number of member banks in each district at the end of October and of November and the number and percentage accomodated during each of the two months are shown in the table following.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Member banks in district. |  | Member banks accommodated. |  | Percentage accommodated. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nov. 30. | Oct. 31 | Nov. | Oct. | Nov. | Oct. |
| Boston. | 434 | 434 | 219 | 217 | 50.5 | 50.0 |
| New York | 781 | 780 | 316 | 306 | 40.5 | 39.2 |
| Philadelphia | 700 | 697 | 325 | 310 | 46.4 | 44.5 |
| Cleveland.. | 871 | 869 | 239 | 248 | 27.4 | 28.5 |
| Richmond | 612 | 610 | 343 | 340 | 56.0 | 55.7 |
| Atlanta.. | 453 | 449 | 335 | 323 | 74.0 | 71.9 |
| Chicago. | 1,409 | 1,404 | 1,026 | 848 | 72.8 | 60.4 |
| St. Louis. | 571 | 569 | 299 | 310 | 52.5 | 54.5 |
| Minneapolis. | 999 | 997 | 587 | 508 | 58.8 | 51.0 |
| Kansas City. | 1,086 | 1,084 | 658 | 614 | 60.6 | 56.6 |
| Dallas.... | 846 | 844 | 524 | 542 | 61.9 | 64.2 |
| San Francisco. | 829 | 821 | 404 | 386 | 48.7 | 47.0 |
| Total | 9,591 | 9,558 | 5,275 | 4,952 | 55.0 | 51.8 |

Federal Reserve Bank holdings of discounted and purchased paper, by classes, at the end of November and of October, 1920 and 1919, are shown in detail on page 112 and are summarized for the system as a whole in the table following.
Among the principal changes between the end of October and November in holdings of discounted paper the following are to be noted: A further decrease of 12 millions in paper secured by Government war obligations, as well as a decrease of 54 millions in other discounts held. Of the different classes of the latter, all except bankers' acceptances and agricultural paper show smaller totals for the most recent month. The total for commercial paper not otherwise specified declined by 55 millions; that for agricultural paper increased by 5 millions; that for live-stock paper declined a little more than 3 millions; that of discounted trade acceptances, by 2 millions; while the holdings of discounted bankers' acceptances increased by more than a million.
Holdings of bills purchased in open market totaled 241 millions at the end of November, compared with 299 millions at the end of October of this year and 502 millions at the end of November, 1919. Bankers' acceptances
on hand totaled 239 millions, compared with 296 millions the month before. Of the most recent total 64.3 per cent were acceptances of member banks, 14 per cent of nonmember State banks and trust companies, 10.9 per cent of private banks, and 10.8 per cent of foreign bank branches and agencies. Of the total of 2 millions of trade acceptances held at the end of November, comparable with 3 millions held the month before, about threefourths were acceptances in the foreign trade and one-fourth acceptances in the domestic trade.

Summary of discounted and purchased paper held by the Fed-- eral Reserve Banks at the end of November and of October, 1920 and 1919. ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands of dollars. 1

|  | 1920, end of - |  | 1919, end of- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | November. | October. | November. | October. |
| Discounted paper, total... | 2, 735,400 | 2.801 .297 | 2,214,139 | 2,128,547 |
| Secured by Govern- ment war obliga- |  |  |  |  |
| tions................ | 1, 192, 425 | 1,203,905 | 1,736,145 | 1,681,082 |
| Otherwise, secured and unsecured, total | 1,542,975 | 1,597,392 | 477,994 | 65 |
| Commercial paper, |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculturai | 1,267,625 | 1,322,049 | 395,701 | 374,758 |
| paper........... | 136,315 | 131, 528 | 27,023 | 28,447 |
| Live-stock paper.. | 105,246 | 109, 121 | 25,527 | 27,028 |
| Tradeacceptances. | 20,961 | 23,155 | 27,694 | 16,261 |
| Bankers' accept- |  | 11,539 | 2,049 | 971 |
| Purchased paper, total..... | 240,622 | 299,487 | 501,910 | 394,355 |
| Bankers' acceptances, total.............. | 238,516 | 296,070 | 495,330 | 387,617 |
| Member banks... | 153,302 | 194,908 | 347, 852 | 271, 701 |
| Nonmember trust companies. | 1,167 | 1,869 | 6,446 | 8,021 |
| Nonmember State banks. | 32,335 | 37,767 | 48,798 | 36,707 |
| Private bankers... | 26,010 | 33,662 | 55,876 | 42,677 |
| Foreign bank | 20,010 |  |  |  |
| branches and agencies. | 25,702 | 27, 864 | 36,358 | 28,511 |
| Trade acceptances, |  |  |  |  |
| total........ | 2,106 | 3,417 | 6,580 | 6,738 |
| Domestic. | 515 | 644 | 1,646 | 1,740 |
| Foreign............ | 1,591 | 2,773 | 4,934 | 4,998 |

${ }^{1}$ For discounted paper the figures are for the last Friday of each month; or purchased paper for the last day of each month.

Total discount and open-market operations of each Federal Reserve Bank during November, 1920.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bills discounted for member banks. | Bills boughtin openmarket. | United States securities purchased. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bonds and Victory notes. | Certificates of indebtedness. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { November, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { November, } \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ |
| Boston. | \$456, 159, 667 | \$27, 199, 822 |  | \$70,310,500 | \$553, 669, 989 | \$492,416,062 |
| New York. | $\begin{array}{r}5,088,376,344 \\ \hline 554 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 142,999,511 |  | 153,006,500 | 5,384, 382,355 | 4,654,764, 138 |
| Philadelphi | $354,670,887$ <br> 232 <br> 252,576 | 13, $2,425,149$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}145,051,500 \\ 90,000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 302, 464, <br> 335 <br> 977,725 | $689,812,281$ $314,402,869$ |
| Richmond. | 241, 258,989 | 3,387, 676 |  | 8,000,000 | 252,646,665 | 268,086, 174 |
| Atlanta. | 239, 295, 849 | 1,710,730 |  |  | 241,006, 579 | 179,499,782 |
| Chicago. | 517, 863,068 | 14, 136, 438 |  | 60, 147, 500 | 592, 147,006 | 484, 7129,360 |
| St. Louis. | 178, 178,627 | 572,563 |  | 1,165,000 | 179, 916, 190 | 186, 711, 100 |
| Minneapolis. | 82,688, 430 | 537,923 |  | -105,500 | 83,331, 853 | 99,631,532 |
| KansasCity. | 140,572, 768 | 969,742 |  | 107, 500 | 141, 650,010 |  |
| San Francis | $124,051,664$ $227,463,741$ | 2954,000 $23,663,349$ |  | 71,242,000 | $124,346,664$ $322,369,090$ | $77,093,833$ $\mathbf{2 1 6 , 9 9 9}, 208$ |
| Total, November, 1920.. | 7,882,932,610 | 231,840,396 |  | 599, 136,000 | 8,713,909, 006 |  |
| Total, November, 1919.. | 7,414,498,375 | 340,695, 505 | \$350 | 56,887,000 |  | 7,812,081,230 |
| Total, 11 months ending Nov. 30, 1920 | 75, 859, 213,779 | 2,964,536, 202 | 288,600 | 7,038,579,000 | 85, 862,617, 581 |  |
| Total, 11 months ending Nov. 30, 1919 | 71,883, 097, 139 | 2,424, 468,909 | 1,752,375 | 3,977, 801,500 | 8б, | $178,287,120,923$ |

${ }^{1}$ Includes $\$ 1,000$ of municipal warrants.
Average daily amount of earning assets held by each Federal Reserve Bank during November, 1920, earnings from each class of earning assets, and annual rates of earnings on basis of November, 1920, returns.

| Federal Reserve Bank. |  |  | A verage daily holdings of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Discounted } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Purchased } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ |  | United States securities. | tes <br> es. | Total. |
| Boston. |  |  | $\$ 174,366,000$ <br> 938, 687, 471 |  | \$27, 604, 681 <br> $88,756,828$ |  | \$25, 852, 702 | 702 \$ |  |
| New York. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 958,087, ~ 971 \\ & 171,018,186 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 73,838, ${ }^{3}$ | 133 1,1 | $1,101,282,732$ |
| Cleveland.. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27,642,650 |  | 274, 063,783 |
| Richmond. |  |  | 114,444, 206 |  | $5,542,336$$\mathbf{2 , 2 0 3 , 7 2 3}$ |  | 13, 761,467 | 467 | 133,748,009$156,540,378$ |
| Atlanta. |  |  | 138,553 |  |  |  | $15,782,942$ <br> $46,385,550$ |  |  |
| Chicago. |  |  | 466, 218,818 |  | 35,540,301 |  |  | 550 | 156,540, 378 |
| St. Louis |  |  | $118,932,832$$84,373,000$ |  | $1,596,326$$1,431,000$ |  | $18,518,667$$8,604,000$ |  | 139,047, 825 |
| Minneapois. |  |  |  |  | $94,408,000$$138,071,782$ |  |  |  |
| Kansas City. |  |  | 114, 200, 227 |  |  | 2,179, 038 |  | 21,692,517 |  |
| Dallas....... |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 77, } \\ \text { 164, } 148,071 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 556,833 \\ 55,155,140 \end{array}$ |  | $12,312,583$$17,049,567$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 90,335,487 \\ 236,353,702 \end{array}$ |
| San Francisco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, November, 1920 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,771,838,607 \\ & 2,145,630,641 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 278,447,903 \\ & 455,056,830 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 319,891,244 \\ & 307,115,136 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,370,177,754 \\ & 2,907,802,607 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total, November, 1919 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank. | Earaings from- |  |  |  |  | Annual rates of earnings on- |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dis- } \\ & \text { counted } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pur- } \\ & \text { chased } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | United States securities. | Total. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dis- } \\ & \text { counted } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | Pur- chased bills. | United States securities | Total. |
|  |  |  |  | \$1,130, 726 |  | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. |
| Boston... | \$9946, 231 | \$138,658 | \$45,837 |  |  | 6.62 | 6.13 <br> 6.03 | ${ }_{2}^{2.43}$ |  |
| Philadelphia | 4,933, 812 | - 104,677 | 146, 685 | 5,539,504 |  | 5. 79 |  | 2.12 | 5.205.93 |
| Cleveland.. | 1,119,903 | 176, 826 | 46, 490 | 1,343, 219 |  | 6.51 | 6.11 5.82 | 2.05 |  |
| -Richmond. | 553,469 | 27,573 | 22,679 |  |  | 5.90 | 6.07 | 2.01 | 5.515.74 |
| Atlanta.. | 722,229 | 11,855 | 26,915 | 760,999$2,803,984$ |  | 6.15 |  | 2.012.10 |  |
| Chicago. | 2,548,916 | 175,414 | 79,654 |  |  | 6.67 | 6.02 |  | 6.24 |
| St. Louis | 662,460 | 8,023 | 32,015 | $\begin{array}{r}702,504 \\ 478,737 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 6.79 | 6.12 <br> 6.07 | $\stackrel{2.11}{2.01}$ | 6.15 |
| Minneapolis. | 457,370 | 7,137 | 14,230 |  |  | 6. 60 |  |  | 6. 17 |
| Kansas City. | 591,104 | 11,083 | 37,656 | 639,843 <br> 412,360 |  | 6.31 | 6.216.406.06 | 2.122.092 | 5. 65 |
| Dallas. | 388,190 | 2,971 | 21,199 |  |  | 6.11 |  |  | 5.575.72 |
| San Francisco <br> Total, November, 1920 <br> Total, November, $1919 .$. | 804,971 | 274,006 | 29,415 | 1,108,392 |  | 5.98 | 6.06 | 2.11 |  |
|  | 14,560,645 | 1,377,088 | 569,892 | 16,507 | ,625 | 6. 41 | 6.03 | 2.17 | 5. 98 |
|  | 7,755,942 | 1,621,165 | 561,215 | 9,938 | , 322 | 4.40 | 4.33 | 2.22 | 4.16 |

Bills discounted during the month of November, 1920, distributed by classes; also average rates and maturities of bills discounted by each Federal Reserve Bank.

| Federal ReserveBank. | Customers' paper secured by Government war obligations. | Member banks' collateral notes. |  | Trade acceptances. | Bankers' acceptances. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commercial, } \\ \text { ne. s. S., } \\ \text { agricultural } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { live-stock } \\ \text { paper. } \end{gathered}$ | Total. | $\begin{gathered} \text { A verage } \\ \text { maturity } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { days. } \end{gathered}$ | Average (365-day basis). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Secured by Government war obligations. | Otherwise secured. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. |
| New York | 82,697,393 | 2,371,229,964 |  | 12,792,486 | $296,018,828$ | 2,625,637 | 5,088, 376,344 | 6.30 |  |
| Philadelphi | 14, 144.714 | 2, $227,857,782$ |  | 88,114 | 200,000 | , 112,380, 277 | - $354,670,887$ | 12.92 | 5. 61 |
| Cleveland. | 5, 306, 560 | 173, 526,760 | \$261, 540 | 1,671,354 | 4, 186, 655 | 47, 399, 707 | 232,352,576 | 14.57 | 5. 87 |
| Richmond | 3,370,759 | 198, 828,845 | 1,448, 600 | 1,071,301 |  | 36, 539,484 | 241,258,989 | 15.25 | 5.98 |
| Atlanta. | 6,927,471 | 149,091,865 | 1,410, 147 | 1,055,587 | 261,737 | 80,549, 042 | 239,295,849 | 22.97 | 6.65 |
| Chicago. | 14,307, 168 | 270, 520,893 | 1,444, 913 | 3,474,989 | 932,284 | 227, 182, 821 | 517, 863,068 | 36.78 | 6.71 |
| St. Louis. | 5.927, 286 | 103, 818,408 | 715, 500 | 913, 120 | 346,011 | 66, 458,302 | 178, 178, 627 | 25.29 | 6.60 |
| Minneapolis | 1,980, 592 | $\stackrel{22,482,790}{ }$ | 8.474.864 | 211,089 |  | 39, 539,095 | 82,688,430 | 36.47 | 6.78 |
| Kansas City | 4,671,365 | $84,869,300$ | 436,000 | 1, 0498,863 | 10,507 | 49,535, 733 | 140,572,768 | 37.67 | 7.41 |
| Dallas. | 1,945,436 | 90, 271,826 | 1,991, 557 | 758,335 |  | 29,084,510 | 124, 051,664 | 28.94 | 5.98 |
| San Francisco | 4,033,274 | 150, 957,239 | 1,740,179 | 1,939,261 | 3 1,322,020 | 67,471,768 | 227, 463, 741 | 25.26 | 5.98 |
| Total, November, 1920.... | 161,923,318 | 4,187,828, 432 | 17,923,300 | 15.198, 486 | 13,278, 042 | 3,486,780,032 | 7,882,932,610 | 12.17 | 6.45 |
| ber, 1919.... | 327, 559, 642 | 6,433, 982, 115 | 15, 674, 637 | 21, 923,920 | 2,052,898 | 613,305, 163 | 7,414, 498,375 | 11.36 | 4.53 |

1 Includes $\$ 12,647$ in the foreign trade. $\quad 2$ Includes $\$ 80,000$ in dollar exchange bills. $\quad{ }^{3}$ Includes $\$ 7,954$ in dollar exchange bills.
Bankers' and trade acceptances in the foreign and domestic trade and dollar exchange bills purchased during November, 1920 also average rates and maturities of total bills purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollar } \\ \text { exchange } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ | Total bills purchased. | Average maturity indays. day | Average rate (30s-day).basis. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Domestic. | Foreign. | Total. | Domestic. | Foreign. | Total. |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. |  |  | \$26,780,886 |  |  |  |  | \$27, 199, 822 | 31.15 | Per cent. |
| New York | 30,983,988 | 103,679,533 | 134,663,521 | 8250,000 | \$705,805 | \$955,805 | 7,380,185 | 142,999,511 | 27.67 | 5.41 |
| Pbiladelphia | 288, 997 | 2,053, 496 | 2,342, 493 |  |  |  | 400,000 | 2,742, 493 | 65.25 | 6.09 |
| Cleveland. | 2, 860,845 | 10,231, 738 | 13,094,583 |  |  |  | 530,566 | 13,625,149 | 49.47 | 6.02 |
| Richmond | $1{ }^{470,953}$ | ${ }^{2,916,723}$ | $3,387,676$ <br> 1,710 |  |  |  |  | $3,387,676$ 1 1710 | 41.82 576 | 6.08 7.10 |
| Chicago. | 2,977,666 | 11,131,692 | 14, 103,358 |  |  |  | 27,080 | 14, 136,438 | 67.18 | 6.23 |
| St. Louis. | -333, 198 | 239, 365 | -572,563 |  |  |  |  | - 572,563 | 48.02 | 6.16 |
| Minneapoli | 287,923 | 250, 000 | 537, 923 |  |  |  |  | 537,923 | 78.15 | 6.08 |
| Kansas City |  | 969,742 | 969,742 |  |  |  |  | 969,742 | 51. 85 | 6.91 |
| Dallas...... | $\begin{array}{r} 295,000 \\ 4,415,674 \end{array}$ | 18,790,485 | $\begin{aligned} & 239,000 \\ & 206,159 \end{aligned}$ |  | 52,000 | 52,000 | 405,189 | 295,000 23,663,348 | 44.81 49.03 | 6.08 6.02 |
| Total, November, 1920. Total, November, 1919. | $\begin{aligned} & 52,928,510 \\ & 82,849,782 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168,742,124 \\ & 252,695,143 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 221,670,634 \\ & 335,544,925 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250,000 \\ & 789,594 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 757,805 \\ 2,897,336 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}, 007,805 \\ & 3,686,930 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,161,956 \\ & 1,463,650 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 231,840,395 \\ & 340,695,505 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.11 \\ & 55.55 \end{aligned}$ | 6.05 4.47 |

Bills discounted during three months ending Nov. 30, 1920, distributed by rates; also average rates and maturities of bills discounted by each Federal Reserve Bank.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | 5 per cent. |  | $5 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent. |  | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. |  | $5^{3}$ per cent. |  | 6 per cent. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount. | Dis- count. | Amount. | Dis. | Amount. | Dis- count. | Amount. | Dis- count. | Amount. | Dis- count. |
| Boston. |  |  |  |  | \$304,409, 720 | \$307,096 |  |  | \$621,549,675 | 8723,743 |
| New York |  |  |  |  | 3,911,397,671 | 1,698,237 |  |  | 3,363, 659,309 | 6,261,527 |
| Philadelphi | \$82,016,700 | \$147,255 | 82,341,500 | \$4,465 | 655, 719,453 | 1,516,353 | \$13,966,000 | \$84,376 | 394, 012,932 | 618,349 |
| Cleveland. |  |  |  |  | $73,484,220$ 415 537 | 99,693 72,381 | 359,595, 686 | 603,490 8 8 | 134,186,688 | 792,997 |
| Atlanta. | 36,324,103 | 73,557 | 736, 500 | 1,456 | 375,457,000 | 838,880 | ${ }_{3} 9,849,500$ | $\stackrel{8}{9,505}$ | 167,036,492 | $1,833,983$ $1,166,535$ |
| Chicago.. |  |  |  |  | 208,917,000 | 474, 495 | 58,655,500 | 143,515 | 606, 879,293 | 1,774,338 |
| St. Louis | 37,410,500 | 59,466 | 255,011 | 518 | 312,404, 809 | 633,784 |  |  | 243, 564,045 | 1,821,044 |
| Minneapolis |  |  |  |  | 32,635,000 | 71,341 |  |  | 68,371,412 | 205,107 |
| Kansas City | 21,849,500 | 42,823 | 397,000 | 792 | 79,976,659 | 194,481 | 14,418,600 | 32,946 | 346, 004, 567 | 2,522,566 |
| Dallas... | 30,491,511 | 61,962 | 823,000 | 1,596 | $223,230,648$ $57,080,265$ | 520,674 108,980 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,153,000 \\ 44,239,500 \end{array}$ | 13,887 52,969 | $100,546,460$ $598,570,970$ | 1,044,590 |
| Total. | 208,092,314 | 385,063 | 4,553,011 | 8,827 | 6,276,249,945 | 6,536,395 | 510,399,786 | 889,098 | 7, 356, 463,781 | 21,455,986 |

Bills discounted during three months ending Nov. 30, 1920, distributed by rates; also average rates and maturities of bills discounted by each Federal Reserve Bank-Continued.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | 612 per cent. |  | 7 per cent. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Super- } \\ \text { rate, } \\ \text { discount. } \end{gathered}$ | Total. |  | Average maturity in days. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount. | Discount. | Amount. | Discount. |  | Amount. | Discount. |  |  |
| Boston |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. |
| New York. |  |  | 7,139,998,613 | 9,684,322 |  | 14,415,055,593 | 17,644,086 | 12.93 | 6.45 |
| Philadelphia |  |  |  |  |  | 1,148,056,585 | 2,310,798 | 13.14 | 5. 59 |
| Cleveland. |  |  |  |  |  | -567,266,594 | 1,496,180 | 16.42 | 5.86 |
| Richmond |  |  |  |  |  | 763,141, 438 | 1,914,774 | 15. 32 | 5. 98 |
| Atlanta. |  |  | 750,510,517 | 649,448 | \$137,198 | 673, 914,112 | 2, 876,579 | 24.72 | 6. 30 |
| Chicago. |  |  | 752, 523,107 | 9,092,233 |  | 1,626,974,900 | 11,484,581 | 38.27 | 6.73 |
| St. Louis. Minneapolis | 8925,576 | 86,304 | 137,491,851 | 1,578,377 | 168,495 | $593,634,365$ $239,423,839$ | $2,683,307$ $1,861,109$ | 26.48 41.71 | 6.23 6.80 |
| Kansas City. | ,2,576 | 8,80ı | 137,491,801 | 1,38,30 | 546,638 | 462,646,326 | 1,340,246 | 41.71 37.10 | 6.80 7.10 |
| Dallas. |  |  |  |  | 39,142 | 361, 244,619 | $1,681,851$ | 28.68 | 5. 93 |
| San Francisco. |  |  |  |  |  | 699,890, 735 | 2,853,156 | 24.91 | 5. 97 |
| Total | 925,576 | 6,304 | 8,373,274,663 | 22,580, 656 | 891,473 | 22,729,959,076 | 52,753, 802 | 13.21 | 6.41 |

Acceptances purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank during the three months ending Nov. 30, 1920, distributed by rates of discount; also average rates and maturities of acceptances purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank.


Acceptances purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank during the three months ending Nov. 30, 1920, distributed by rates of discount; also average rates and maturities of acceptances purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank-Continued.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | 7 per cent. |  | 7\% per cent. |  | Total. |  | Average maturity in days. | Average rate (365-daybasis) basis) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount. | Discount. | Amount. | Discount. | Amount. | Discount. |  |  |
| Boston. |  |  |  |  | \$88, 258,565 | \$453,266 | 30.43 | Per cent. 6. 16 |
| New York | \$3,663, 802 | \$33,930 | \$22,500 | \$305 | 400, 012,543 | 1,788,886 | 27.30 | 5.98 |
| Philadelphia |  |  |  |  | 13,010,472 | 142,514 | 65.55 | 6.10 |
| Cleveland. |  |  |  | .......... | 62,206,731 | 519,724 | 50.53 | 6. 03 |
| Richmond. |  |  |  |  | 11,020,930 | 85,156 | 46.36 | 6.08 |
| Atlanta. | 1,710,730 | 19,213 |  |  | 6,709,918 | 55,769 | 47.41 | 6. 40 |
| St. Louis. |  |  |  |  | $73,804,403$ $4,019,501$ | 755,054 26,183 | 60.97 | 6.12 |
| Minneapolis. |  |  |  |  | 1, 573,236 | 19,850 | 38.39 75.70 | 6.19 |
| Kansas City. | 668,782 | 6,173 |  |  | 5,905, 333 | 57,211 | 55.06 | 6.42 |
| Dallas....... |  |  |  |  | 2, 222, 500 | 14, 031 | 37.88 | 6.08 |
| San Francisco. |  |  |  |  | 102, 916,729 | 872,773 | 51.20 | 6.05 |
| Total. | 6,043,314 | 59,316 | 22,500 | 305 | 771,660,861 | 4,790,417 | 37.13 | 6.05 |

Discounted bills, including member banks' collateral notes, held by each Federal Reserve Bank on the last Friday in November, 1920, distributed by classes.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agricul- } \\ & \text { tural } \\ & \text { paper. } \end{aligned}$ | Livestock paper. | Customers' paper secured by Government war obligations. | Member ban lateral | nks' colotes. | Trade acceptances. | Bankers' acceptances. | All other (commercial paper n. e. s.). | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Secured by Government war obligations. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other- } \\ & \text { wise } \\ & \text { secured. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. |  | 119 | 21,264 | 57,024 |  | 190 | 23 | 93,545 | 172,165 |
| New York | 103 |  | 133, 651 | 331,376 |  | 2,840 | 7,831 | 425,986 | 901,787 |
| Philadelphia | 292 | 5 | 38,423 | 83,190 |  | 271 | 150 | 49,290 | 171,621 |
| Cleveland.. | 621 | 156 | 9,216 | 86,351 | 131 | 1,544 | - 648 | 109,205 | 207, 872 |
| Richmond | 8,369 | 112 | 6,158 | 44,884 | 645 | 1,890 |  | 53,441 | 115,499 |
| Atlanta. | 17,844 | 1,126 | 14,163 | 55,332 | 191 | 1,953 | 373 | 46,044 | 137,026 |
| Chicago. | 41,430 |  | 21,265 | 128,216 | 555 | 4,896 | 895 | 281,200 | 478,457 |
| St. Louis. | 3,250 | 2,615 | 10, 102 | 39,723 | 145 | 1,215 | 991 | 62,128 | 120,169 |
| Minneapolis | 18,657 | 45,137 | 5,657 | 6,055 | 4,132 | 203 |  | 721 | 80,562 |
| Kansas City | 13,364 | 30,593 | 10,180 | 16,389 | 67 | 1,771 | 29 | 41,271 | 113,664 |
| Dallas.. | 13,931 | 14,715 | 2,958 | 18,028 | 739 | 604 | 59 | 26,106 | 77, 140 |
| San Francisco | 18,454 | 10,668 | 5,909 | 46,911 | 694 | 3,584 | 1,829 | 71,389 | 159,438 |
| Total, 1920 | 136,315 | 105, 246 | 278,946 | 913,479 | 7,299 | 20,961 | 12,828 | 1,260,326 | 2,735,400 |
| Total, 1919 | 27,023 | 25,527 | 356, 519 | 1,379,626 | 5,717 | 27,694 | 2,049 | 389,984 | 2,214,139 |

Acceptances purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank and held on Nov. 30, 1920, distributed by classes of accepting institutions.
[In thousands of dollars.]

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Federal Reserve Bank.} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Bankers'acceptances.} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Trade acceptances.} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Grand} <br>
\hline \& Member banks. \& Nonmem-companies. \&  \& Private banks. \& Foreign bank branches and agencies. \& Total. \& Domestic. \& Foreign. \& Total. \& <br>
\hline Boston. \& 18,659 \& 150 \& 2,360 \& 669 \& 1,032 \& 22,870 \& \& \& \& 22,870 <br>
\hline New York \& 44,336 \& 575 \& 11,223 \& 11,330 \& 9,986 \& 77,450 \& 510 \& 1,123 \& 1,633 \& 79,083 <br>
\hline Philadelphia \& 8,211 \& 200 \& 2,888 \& 2,252 \& 1,487 \& 15,038 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Cleveland. \& 16,457 \& 88 \& 4,675 \& 3,925 \& 4,233 \& 29,378 \& \& \& \& 29,378 <br>
\hline Richmond. \& 5,651 \& \& \& \& \& 5,651 \& \& \& \& 5,651 <br>
\hline Atlanta. \& 2,467 \& \& \& \& \& 2,467 \& \& \& \& 2,467 <br>
\hline Chicago. \& 21,204 \& \& 3,323 \& 1,616 \& 293 \& 26,436 \& \& \& \& 26,436 <br>
\hline St. Louis. \& 1,045 \& \& 310 \& 62 \& \& 1,417 \& \& \& \& 1,417 <br>
\hline Minneapolis. \& 1,483 \& \& \& \& \& 1,483 \& \& \& \& 1,483 <br>
\hline Kansas City. \& 1,732 \& \& \& \& \& 1,732 \& \& \& \& 1,732 <br>
\hline San Francisco. \& 31,842 \& 154 \& 7,556 \& 6,156 \& 8,67i \& 54,379 \& 5 \& 468 \& 473 \& 1,215
54,852 <br>
\hline Total: \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Nov. 30, 1920. \& 153,302 \& 1,167 \& ${ }^{1} 32,335$ \& 26,010 \& 25,702 \& 238,516 \& 515 \& 1,591 \& 2,106 \& 240,622 <br>
\hline Oct. 31, 1920. \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 194,908 \\
& 347,852
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 1,869
6,446 \& 1

8
48,798
48 \& 33,682 \& 27,864 \& ${ }_{\text {296, }}^{2970}$ \& ${ }_{6}^{644}$ \& 2,773 \& 3,417 \& 299,487 <br>
\hline Nov. 30,

Nov. 30,1919 \& | 347,852 |
| :--- |
| 310,069 | \& 6,446

2,028 \& 48,798
10,703 \&  \& 36,358
19,818 \& 495,330
370,489 \& 1,646
4,016 \& 4,934
5,019 \& 6,580
9,035 \& 501,910
379,524 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Includes $\$ 2,134,000$ acceptances of corporations organized under Edge Act.
2 Includes $\$ 2,174,000$ acceptances of corporations organized under Edge Act.

## OPERATIONS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE CLEARING SYSTEM FROM NOV. 16 TO

 DEC. 15, 1920.

[^6]
## CHANGES IN CONDITION OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

Loan operations of the Federal Reserve Banks during the last five weeks of the year, as measured by the amounts of discounted bills held at the close of each week, show a slight reduction, the December 30 total of 2,719.1 millions being 16.3 millions below the November 26 total. The large reduction in the holdings of discounted bills shown in the December 17 statement reflects largely the week's heavy fiscal operations, including redemption of the principal and semiannual interest coupons of about 700 millions of tax certificates issued at the beginning of the year, payment of semiannual interest on first Liberty bonds and Victory notes, issuance of two new series of tax certificates aggregating about 590 millions and the handling of the last quarterly installment of income and excess profits taxes due on the 15th of the month.

Redemption of large amounts of tax certificates enabled member banks to reduce temporarily their indebtedness to the Federal Reserve Banks, with the consequence that Federal Reserve Bank holdings of bills secured by Government war obligations show a decrease for the week of 10.3 millions and those of other discounted bills a decrease of 109.8 millions. Payment by the banks for newly issued certificates was made chiefly in the customary manner, i. e., by crediting the Government account. Borrowings on a large scale were resumed, however, soon afterwards, as the members' deposit accounts came to be debited with large a mounts of checks and drafts issued in payment of taxes and as the Government began to call for funds placed to its credit by member banks to which allotments of the last certificate issues had been made. As a consequence, the amount of discounted bills held by Federal Reserve Banks shows an increase of about 135 millions for the week ending December 23 , followed by a reduction of 12.6 millions in these holdings during the last week of the year. As against a reduction for the five weeks of 16.3 millions in total discounts held, the amount of paper secured by Government war obligations shows a reduction for the period of 51.4 millions, with the result that the proportion of war paper to total discounts shows a further decline for the period from nearly 44 to 42 per cent.

In the following exhibit is given a summary of the weekly changes of the principal asset and liability items of the Federal Reserve Banks for the five weeks under review:

Principal asset and liability items of the 12 Federal Reserve Banks combined on Fridays, Nov. 26 to Dec. 17, and on Thursdays, Dec. 23 and 30, 1920.
[In millions of dollars.]

|  | Nov. 26. | Dec. 3. | Dec. 10. | Dec. 17. | Dec. $23 .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 30 . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 2,195 | 2,198 | 2,212 | 2,222 | 2,237 | 2,249 |
| Gold | 2,024 | 2,023 | 2,035 | 2,042 | 2,056 | 2,059 |
| Bills discounted: Total. | 2,735 | 2,777 | 2,717 | 2,597 | 2,732 |  |
| Secured by Govern- | , 735 | 2,7\% | 2,71 | 2, | 2,732 | , |
| tions.............. | 1,192 | 1,161 | 1,169 | 1,159 | 1,177 | 1,141 |
| All other........... | 1,543 | 1,616 | 1,548 | 1,438 | 1,555 | 1,578 |
| Bills bought in open market. | 248 | 243 | 245 | 235 | 241 | 256 |
| Certi cates of indebtedness. | 294 | 287 | 328 | 366 | 281 | 261 |
| Total earning assets | 3,304 | 3,334 | 3,317 | 3,224 | 3,281 | 3,263 |
| Government deposits. | 16 | 61 | , 28 | - 53 | 26 | -28 |
| Members' reserve deposits.. | 1,712 | 1,764 | 1,759 | 1,739 | 1,721 | 1,749 |
| Net deposits............... | 1,624 | 1,667 | 1,662 | 1,543 | 1,549 | 1,604 |
| Federal Reserve notes in circulation | 3,326 | 3,312 | 3,312 | 3,344 | 3,405 | 3,345 |
| Federal Reserve Bank notes in circulation... | 215 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve percentages. | 44.4 | 44.1 | 44.5 | 45.5 | 45.1 | 45.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Of the total holdings of paper secured by Government war obligations an increasing share, viz, 56.8 per cent on December 30 as against 52.8 per cent on November 26, was composed of paper secured by Liberty bonds, the end-of-year holdings of this class of paper, 648.3 millions, being about 18 millions in excess of the total reported five weeks earlier. Paper secured by Victory notes constituted between 25.5 and 26.7 per cent of the total of war paper held, the December 30 holdings, 304.7 millions, being 13.5 millions below the November 26 total. Holdings of paper secured by Treasury certificates show continuous reduction during the five weeks from 243.9 to 188 millions, or from 20.5 to 16.5 per cent of the entire amount of war paper held.
Notwithstanding the considerable fluctuations in the holdings of 15-day paper-between a high of $1,694.5$ millions on December 3 and a low of $1,504.2$ millions two weeks later-the proportion of the shortest term paper to discounts of all maturities remained fairly constant at about 60 per cent. Holdings of $30-$ day and 60-day paper show a moderate decline, nearly offset by an increase of 90 -day paper, while holdings of six-month agricultural and live stock paper increased from 51 to 63.5 millions.

Holdings of acceptances purchased in open market fluctuated between 234.6 millions on December 17 and 255.7 millions at the close
of the year. Changes in the amounts of Treasury certificates held are due to purchases early in December of about 40 millions of tax certificates from New York member banks in anticipation of their maturity on December 15 , also to variations in the amounts of special certificates held by the Federal Reserve Banks to cover advances to the Government pending collection of funds from depository institutions. On December 17 the banks held 93 millions of such certificates, while on the fol lowing Thursday the amount had been reduced to 18 millions, all held by the New York Reserve Bank.
Continued reduction in the volume of rediscounting is noted, the December 30 holdings of paper under discount for other Federal Reserve Banks by the Boston, Philadelphia, and Cleveland banks, 115.3 millions, being 38.8 millions below the total shown five weeks before. The latter bank reports on December 30 a total of 81.6 millions of paper held under discount for other Federal Reserve Banks, as against 117.8 millions held for its own members, compared with 112.1 and 95.8 millions, respectively, five weeks earlier. Discounts held by the Boston bank for other Federal Reserve Banks declined during the same period from 27.2 to 16.6 millions, while discounts for its own members increased in the meantime from 144.9 to about 162 millions. The list of accommodated banks included at the close of the year besides the three southern banks also the Federal Reserve Banks of Minneapolis and Kansas City, the New York and St. Louis banks no longer figuring in this list. Holdings of acceptances purchased from other Federal Reserve Banks declined from 14.4 to 6.9 millions, this total being composed of bank acceptances purchased by the San Francisco bank from the New York bank. The contingent liability of the Federal Reserve Banks on account of bank acceptances held for account of foreign correspondents remained unchanged at 16.2 millions.

Changes in the several classes of deposits were within moderate limits, while the "float" carried by the Federal Reserve Banks shows much larger fluctuations between about 127 millions on November 26 and 287.9 millions on December 17, the Friday following the date of the last income-tax installment. Net deposits, in consequence, show wider variations from week to week than reserve deposits, the low figure of $1,542.6$ millions of net deposits on December 17 being 124.7 millions below the high figure of two weeks before. Federal Reserve note circulation declined by about 13.7 millions during the first two weeks but shows the usual upward course during the two weeks preceding Christmas, though the increase during these two weeks, 93.1 millions, falls far below the corresponding increase of 150.2 millions in 1919. Federal Reserve Bank note circulation shows an increase for the period of 2.4 millions.

All of the earmarked gold formerly held by the Bank of England for account of the Federal Reserve Banks has been received in this country and the account "Gold with foreign agencies" includes at present only 3.3 millions, the amount of gold held earmarked for Federal Reserve account by the Bank of France. Total gold reserves, in consequence of further net gold imports, chiefly from Great Britain, show a gain from $2,023.9$ to $2,059.3$ millions, while total cash reserves show an even larger increase from 2,195.3 to $2,249.2$ millions.

The banks' reserve ratio after some slight variation during the first two weeks rose from 44.5 per cent on December 10 to 45.5 per cent on the following Friday, this being the highest percentage for the year. On December 23, mainly because of the large increase in note circulation, the ratio declined to 45.1 per cent, while on the Thursday following, because of the reduction in note liabilities, the ratio went up to 45.4 per cent, or only slightly below the high point shown two weeks previous.

Resources and tiabilities of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays, Dec. 3 to 1\%, and on Thursdays, Dec. 23 and 30, 1920.

RESOURCES.
[In thousands of dollars.]


Resources and liabilities of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays, Dec. 3 to 17, and on Thursdays, Dec. 23 and 30, 1920-Continued.

RESOURCES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{gathered} \text { At- } \\ \text { lanta } \end{gathered}$ | Chicago. | St. <br> Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { San } \\ & \text { Fran- } \\ & \text { cisco. } \end{aligned}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 3. | 238,856, 1 | 1,117,194 | 210, 304 | 255,000 | 131, 875 | 153,677 | 535, 666 | 141,944 | 89, 984 | 135,938 | 85, 591 | 237, 76313 | 3, 333,792 |
| Dec. 10 | ${ }_{210}^{231,80211}$ | 1,086, 238 | ${ }_{213}^{212,733}$ | 251,957 | ${ }_{131}^{129,082}$ | 156,144 | 539, 118 | 139, 472 | ${ }_{93}^{90,828}$ | 137,442 | 87, 7843 | 243, 9909 | 3, 216,749 |
| Dec. 23 | 223,275 1 | 1,084,220 | 2120, 705 | 242, 617 | 133,974 | 154, 836 | 546; 658 | 134, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 87,962 | 138,026 | 86,737 | 227,0463 | 3,281,039 |
| Dec. $30 . .$. | 220,324 1 | 1,075,351 | 218, 637 | 250,557 | 131, 633 | 154, 430 | 545,932 | 132, 599 | 91, 678 | 134,799 | 81, 411 | 225, 676 | 3,263,027 |
| Bank premises: | 2,456 | 4, 211 | 680 | 1583 | 1,334 | 625 | ,345 | 891 | 631 | 915 | 1,554 | 231 | 17,456 |
| Dec. 10. | 2,551 | 4,211 | 681 | 1,586 | 1,421 | 625 | 2,345 | 891 | 646 | 916 | 1,554 | 231 | 17, 658 |
| Dec. 17 | 2,595 | 4,216 | 681 | 1,624. | 1,421 | 629 | 2,379 | 891 | 646 | 1,018 | 1,621 | 231 | 17,952 |
| Dec. 23. | 2,652 | 4,345 | 681 | 1,642 | 1,421 | 629 | 2,379 | 891 | 648 | 1,021 | 1,628 | 231 | 18,168 |
| Dec. 30 | 2, 697 | 4,377 | 681 | 1,649 | 1,447 | 629 | 2,3i9 | 891 | 658 | 1,041 | 1,639 | 362 | 18,450 |
| Uncollected items and other deductions from gross deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 52,892 | 152,651 | 61,167. | 72,492 | 64,767 | 28, 344 | 93,469 | 39,816 | 23,943 | 56,600 | 45, 423 | 42,959 | 734,523 |
| Dec. 10 | 48,635 | 125,827 | 56,372 | 63,433 | 58, 193 | 27, 749 | 83, 354 | 38, 859 | 22, 633 | 53,408 | 43,513 | 43,496 | 666,505 |
| Dec. 17 | 78,285 | 188,520 | 74,076 | 88,857 | 76,522 | 36,678 | 121,196 | 43, 854 | 24,533 | 58,425 | 47, (118 | 64,088 | 902,042 |
| Dec. 23 | 58,362 | 159,126 | 63,271 | 72,772 | 62,198 | 31, 691 | 102,840 | 42, 442 | 22,507 | 58,085 | 42, 169 | 45,542 | 761,005 |
| Dec. $30 \ldots \ldots$................ | 57,596 | 139,020 | 66,789 | 73, 367 | 58,487 | 34,597 | 90,431 | 40,000 | 20,359 | 53,618. | 40, 355 | 42,608 | 717,227 |
| 5 per centredemption fund against Federal Reserve Bank notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 3 . | 1,072 | 2,649 | 1,300 | 1,139 | 451 | 527 | 1,782 | 623 | 487 | 916 | 586 | 665 | 12,197 |
| Dec. 10. | 1,072 | 2,665 | 1,300 | 1,140 | 451 | 476 | 949 | 623 | 544 | 916 | 585 | 665 | 11,387 |
| Dec. 17. | 1,072 | 2,684 | 1,300 | 1,139 | 451 | 616 | 2,092 | 623 | 386 | 916 | 586 | 665 | 12,530 |
| Dec. 23. | 1,072 | 2,727 | 1,300 | 1,239 | 601 | 588 | 1,897 | 623 | 438 | 916 | 586 | 665 | 12,652 |
| Dee. 30. | 1,072 | 2,766 | 1,300 | 1,239 | 601 | 614 | 1,820 | 623 | 550 | 916 | 586 | 665 | 12,752 |
| All other resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 10. | ${ }_{936}^{998}$ | ${ }_{1} 942$ | ${ }_{938} 9$ | 744 | ${ }_{377} 6$ | 207 | 1.023 | 489 | 177 | 306 | ${ }^{1,316}$ | 567 | 8,332 |
| Dec. 17. | 448 | 1,341 | 982 | 327 | 759 | 202 | 1,051 | 824 | 171 | 387 | 1,294 | 644 | 88,430 |
| Dec. 23. | 436 | 1,620 | 1,042 | 310 | 821 | 238 | 846 | 661 | 225 | 393 | 1,202 | 623 | 8,417 |
| Dec. 30.. | 521 | 1,584 | 1,055 | 318 | 1,177 | 304 | 939 | 623 | 298 | 374 | 1,061 | 644 | 8,898 |
| Total resources: Dec. 3 | 495,154 1 |  |  | 607, 224 |  | 270,889 | 944, 923 | 265,991 | 167,937 | 271,147 | 183,415 | 460,285 | 6,303,879 |
| Dec. 10 | 489,5161 | $1,819,523$ | 484,162 | 605,778 | 281,170 | 271,933 | 937,177 | 261,296 | 166,476 | 268, 267 | 183,293 | 464,447 | 6, 233,038 |
| Dec. 17. | 503, 8731 | 1, 843, 886 | 490, 809 | 633,081 | 302,356 | 278,947 | 958,268 | 268, 521 | 168,786 | 277,596 | 181,645 | 479,495 | 6,387,263 |
| Dec. 23. | 500,152 1 | 1, 864,978 | 489,210 | 619,657 | 281,252 | 276,101 | 955,929 | 265,144 | 161,452 | 269,936 | 181,037 | 453,1876 | , 318,035 |
| Dec. 30........................ | 501,368 | 1, 833, 340 | 490, 719 | 614,456 | 281,870 | 276,665 | 949,834 | 263,640 | 162,247 | 265,022 | 172, 684 | 457, 672 | 6,269,517 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes bills discounted for other Federal Reserve Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 3. | 24,749 |  | 16,184 | 111,757 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152,690 |
| Dec. 10 | 17,796. |  | 18,666 | 88,920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 125,382 |
| Dec. 17. | 15,298. |  | 19,796 | 75, 860 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 110,954 |
| Dec. ${ }^{23 .}$ | 19,926. |  | 21,779 | 78,489 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120,194 |
| Dec. $30 . . . . . . . .1$ | 16,575 |  | 17,109 | 81,573 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115,257 |
| ${ }^{2}$ Includes bankers' acceptances bought from other Federal Reserve Banks without their in- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dorsement: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 3. |  |  | 2,421 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,917 | 9,338 |
| Dec. 17 |  |  | 1,006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,917 <br> 6,917 | 7,923 |
| Dec. 23. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,917 | 6,917 |
| Dec. 30. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,917 | 6,917 |

LIABILITIES.

| Capital paid in: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec. 3. | 7, 669 | 26,245 | 8, 493 | 10, 352 | 5,277 | 4,015 | 13, 894 | 4,360 | 3,398 | 4,457 | 4,085 | 6,895 | 99, 140 |
| Dec. 10. | 7,718 | 26, 185 | 8,493 | 10,352 | 5,285 | 4,023 | 13,901 | 4,364 | 3,398 | 4,460 | 4,091 | 6,904 | 99, 174 |
| Dec. 17. | 7,718 | 26, 261 | 8,485 | 10, 352 | 5,285 | 4,033 | 13,907 | 4,364 | 3,400 | 4,456 | 4,091 | 6, 923 | 99,275 |
| Dec. 23 | 7,718 | 26, 376 | 8,485 | 10, 354 | 5,283 | 4,049 | 13, 911 | 4,364 | 3,437 | 4,456 | 4,098 | 6,927 | 99, 458 |
| Dec. 30 | 7, 718 | 26,376 | 8,485 | 10,654 | 5,269 | 4,053 | 13,913 | 4,364 | 3,457 | 4,456 | 4,098 | 6,927 | 99,770 |
| Surplus fund: <br> Dec. 3 | 12,351 |  |  | 13,712 | 8,067 | 7,050 |  | 5,884 | 178 | 8,395 | 152 | 11,662 | 745 |
| Dec. 10 | 12, 351 | 51, 308 | 13,069 | 13,712 | 8,067 | 7,050 | 23, 917 | 5,884 | 5,178 | 8,395 | 4,152 | 11,662 | 164,745 |
| Dec. 17 | 12, 351 | 51, 308 | 13, 069 | 13,712 | 8,067 | 7,050 | 23,917 | 5,884 | 5,178 | 8,395 | 4,152 | 11, 662 | 164,745 |
| Dec. 23 | 12,351 | 51,308 | 13, 069 | 13,712 | 8,067 | 7,050 | 23, 917 | 5,884 | 5,178 | 8,395 | 4,152 | 11,662 | 164,745 |
| Dec. $30 . . . . . . .$. | 12,351 | 51, 308 | 13,069 | 13,712 | 8,067 | 7,050 | 23,917 | 5,884 | 5,178 | 8,395 | 4,152 | 11, 662 | 164,745 |
| Government deposits: <br> Dec. 3. | 3,228 | 22,143 | 7,090 | 2,635 | 2,793 | 902 | 7,248 | 3,321 | 2,663 | 3,412 | 1,990 | 3,263 | 60,688 |
| Dec. 10 | 1, 137 | 8,981 | 1,482 | 1,709 | 2, 150 | 1,312 | 1,702 | 1,880 | 1,205 | 2,478 | 1,599 | 2,759 | 28,394 |
| Dec. 17. | 608 | 723 | 3,053 | 169 | 18, 234 | 4,644 | 1773 | 2,580 | 4,472 | 9,810 | 1,709 | 6,398 | 53,173 |
| Dec. 23 | 1, 898 | 941 | 836 | 1,899 | 2,365 | 1,731 | 4,496 | 1,716 | 865 | 2,116 | 2,510 | 4,676 | 26,049 |
| Dec. 30 | 2,453 | 2,260 | 2,271 | 4,305 | 365 | 795 | 3,816 | 2,154 | 1,878 | 1,155 | 1,798 | 4,389 | 27,639 |
| Due to nembers-reserve account: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 3. | 113, 993 | 696, 393 | 109, 218 | 147, 258 | 57, 564 | 45,749 47 | 240, 163 | 65, 643 | 42,499 <br> 44 | 78,777 <br> 77 <br> 901 | 47,419 49 | 118, 746 | 1, 763,822 |
| Dec. 10. | 115,328 117,120 | 683,805 | 109,089 103,116 | 147,637 152,346 | 59,428 58,828 | 47,674 45,635 | 239,815 230,634 | 64,075 63,293 | $44,723$. 44,710 | 77,901 76,067 | 49,176 48,285 | 1120, 316 | 1,758,967 |
| Dec. 23. | 109,505 | 696,124 | 103, 650 | 136,354 | 54,919 | 48, 830 | 236,104 | 64,692 | 42, 483 | 77, 653 | 47,112 | 105,965 | 1, 721,391 |
| Dec. 30. | 116,600 | 693, 474 | 106,675 | 145,617 | 56,710 | 48,3451 | 240,241 | 65,660 | 43, 882 | 74,521 | 44,593 | 112, 661 | 1, 748,979 |

Resources and liabilities of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays, Dec. 3 to 17, and on Thursdays, Dec. 23 and 30, 1920-Continued.

LIABILITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]


Maturities of bills discounted and bought, also of Treasury certificates of indebtedness held by the 12 Federal Rescrve Banks combined.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Within 15 days. | 16 to 30 days. | $\begin{gathered} 31 \text { to } 60 \\ \text { days. } \end{gathered}$ | 61 to 90 days. | Over 90 days. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bills discounted: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 3.. | 1,694,523 | 262,847 | 498,665 | 269,328 | 51,438 | 2,776,801 |
| Dec. 10 | 1, 644, 746 | 264, 308 | 483,727 | 268, 111 | 55,947 | 2,716,839 |
| Dec. 23. | 1,504,172 | 291, 146 | 461,966 405,606 | 278,583 328,397 | 60,882 69,225 | $\stackrel{\text { 2,596, }}{2,739}$ |
| Dec. 30. | 1,632,885 | 280, 406 | 430, 676 | 311,619 | 63,548 | 2,719, 134 |
| Bills bought: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 3 .. | 78,942 | 57,390 | 89,841 | 16,882 |  | 243,055 |
| Dec. 10. | 82,072 | 60,706 | 86,765 | 15, 147 |  | 244,690 |
| Dec. 17. | 70,370 | 61,770 | 85,226 | 17,243 |  | 234, 609 |
| Dec. 23. | 80, 304 | 63,995 | 75,119 | 21,749 |  | 241,167 |
| Dec. 30. | 87,030 | 64,745 | 76,805 | 27, 122 |  | 255,702 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 10 | 60,382 | 10,216 | 14,398 | 11,499 | 231, 799 | 328, 294 |
| Dec. 17. | 117,908 | 3,133 | 4,500 | 8,886 | 231,128 | 365,555 |
| Dec. 23. | 30,910 | 3,120 | 41,950 | 8,953 | 196,320 | 281,253 |
| Dec. 30. | 10,924 | 3,446 | 4,499 | 9,492 | 232,902 | 261,263 |

FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES.
Federal Reserve agents' accounts at close of business on Fridays, Dec. 3 to Dec. 17, and on Thursdays, Dec. 23 and 30, 1920
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New <br> York. | Philadelphia. | Cleve- | Rich- | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. | Minneapolis. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kansas } \\ & \text { City. } \end{aligned}$ | Dallas. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { San } \\ & \text { Fran- } \\ & \text { cisco. } \end{aligned}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| resources. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve notes on hand:Dec. | 101,500 | 162,500 | 35,680 | 32,200 |  | 63,070 | 128,360 |  | 10,650 | 6,290 | 14,690 |  | 596,989 |
| Dec 10 | 113,640 | 163,500 | 37,680 | 31,900 | 26,149 | 62,735 | 121,320 | 17,620 | 10,290 | 6,130 | 15,280 |  | 606,244 |
| Dec. 17. | 113,440 | 157, 200 | 32,180 | 29,960 | 24,469 | 62,595 | 128,360 | 25,980 | 9,840 | 5,530. | 15,000 | 6,000 | 610,554 |
| Dec. 23 | 113,640 | 145, 500 | 27,180 | 20,420 | 22,069 | 59, 055 | 119, 840 | 23,980 | 8,650 | 2,820 | 12,871 | 33,880 | 589,905 |
| Dec. 30 | 118,840 | 168,000 | 23,380 | 31,080 | 25,579 | 60,390 | 123,360 | 23,780 | 9,440 | 3,820. | 14,170. | 36,540 | 638,379 |
| Federal Reserve notes outstand- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 3.. | 307, 829 | 1,000,046 | 286,204 | 367,953 | 151,771 | 177,092 | 633, 302 | 154,834 | 83,698 | 113, 584 | 88,124 | 300,512 | 3,664,949 |
| Dec. 10 | 305, 632 | $1,002,794$ | 287, 902 | 365, 712 | 154,083 | 176,532 | 640, 839 | 155,898 |  | 114,770 | 86,115 | 303,776 | 3,677,562 |
| Dec. 17. | 304,16311 | 1, 005 , 464 | 288,970 | 370, 134 | 157,478 | 176,410 | 635, 931 | 154,484 | 83,160 | 117, 364 | 85, 274 | ${ }_{310}^{303,923}$ | 3,682,755 |
| Dec. 23 | 307, 16311 | 1,031, 777 | 291,852 | 383,788 | 160, 842 | 181, 164 | 641, 040 | 157,502 | 83,701 | 119,756 | 86, 392 | 310,905 | $3,755,246$ $3,738,880$ |
| Dec. 30.. | 305,5031 | 1, 330,354 | 293,624 | 379,751 | 161,252 | 179,946 | 634,429 | 155, 722 | 81,836 | 118,605 | 84,714 | 313,144 | 3,738, 880 |
| Collateral security for Federal Reserve notes outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gond |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold and gold certificatesDec. 3 |  | 209, 608. |  | 22,775. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,331 |  | 267,726 |
| Dec. 10 | 5, 600 | 209, 608. |  | 22,775). |  | 3,500 |  | 7,560 | 13,052 |  | 5,331 |  | 267, 426 |
| Dec. 17. | 5,600 | 209, 608 |  | 22,775 |  | 3,500. |  | 7,560 | 13,052 |  | 4,331 |  | 266,426 |
| Dec. 23 | 5,600 | 209,6n8 |  | 22,775 |  | 3,500 |  | 7,560 | 13,052 |  | 4,331 |  | 266,426 |
| Dec. 30 | 5,600 | 209, 608. |  | 22,775 |  | 3,500 |  | 6,060 | 13,052 |  | 4,331 |  | 264,926 |
| Gold redemp | 27,34 | 13,628 |  | 18,940 | 2,366 | 2,482 | 8,882 | 4,209 | 1,125 | 2,318 |  |  |  |
| Dec. 10 | 22,78 | 12,592 | 16,105 | 19,399 | 2,938 | 3,207 | 8,340 | 4,292 | 1,176 | 3,28 | 5,230 | 17,474 | 116,821 |
| Dec. 17 | 25,616 | 11,157 | 16,674 | 20,401 | 3,273 | 3,705 | 8,432 | 3,679. | 1,377 | 2,277 | 6,110 | 15,374 | 118,075 |
| Dec. 23. | 22,816 | 10,519 | 14,555 | 19,955 | 1,758 | 2,979 | $8,985$. | 4,056 | 1,728 | 3,039 | 5,097 | 13,869 | 109,356 |
| Dec. 30.. | 19,757 | 9,967 | 17,527 | 19,919 | 2,917 | 3,336 | 10,410 | 4,077 | 1,653 | 3,888 | 5,919 | 19,226 | 118,596 |
| Gold settlement fund-Federal Reserve Board- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 3 | 95,000 | 25,000 | 114,389 | 110,000 | 42,500 | 54,500 | 174,145 | 36,631 | 11,200 | 36,360 | 17,234 | 85,635 | 802,594 |
| Dec. 10. | 105,000 | 15,000 | 114,389 | 120,000 | 49,000 | 55, 800 | 183,144 | 36,031 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 17 | 110,000 110,000 | 25,000 15,000 | 115,389 | ${ }_{135,000}^{135}$ | ${ }_{46,000}^{46,000}$ | 53,800 56,100 | 203, 144 199,144 | 36,031 38,031 | 11,200 11,200 | 37,360 37 | 13,234 13,234 | -99,066 | 885,224 877,710 |
| Dec. 30.. | 110,000 | 35, 000 | 115,389 | 135,000 | 51,000 | 54,000 | 188,144 | 39,531 | 11, 200 | 37,360 | 16,234 | 99, 834 | 892,692 |
| Elizible paper- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 3. | 179,58 | 751,810 | 153,407 | 216,238 | 106 | 116, | 450,275 | 106 | 58,321 | 74,906 | 60, 209 | 195, 344 | 2,470,745 |
| Dec. 10 | 172,247 | 765,594 | 157,408 | 203, 538 | 102, 145 | 114,025 | 449,355 | 108,015 | 58,081 | 75,127 | 60.320 | 201, 14 | 2,466,999 |
| Dec. 17 | ${ }_{168}^{162,947}$ | 759,699 | 156,907 | 191, 958 | 108,205 | 115,405 | 424,355 | 107,214 | 57, 531 | 77,727 <br> 7935 <br> 1 | 61,599 63 | 189,48 195.78 | 2, 413,030 |
| Dec. 23 | 168,747 | 796,050 | 161,908 | ${ }^{206,058}$ | 113,084 |  |  | 106,854 | 55,931 | 77,357 | 63,730 58,230 |  | 2, $2,462,666$ |
| Excessamou | 170, 146 | 775,779 | 160,708 | 202,057 | 107,335 | 119, 110 | 435,875 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 3. | 14, 101 | 266, 113 | 5,085 | 13,765 | 6,723 | 21, 237 | 40,997 | 16.650 | 8,255 | 39, 175 |  |  |  |
| Dec. 10. | 13, 880 | 214,299 149,278 | 13,428 6,095 | 12,295 8,150 | 11,994 4,565 | 25,820 21,847 | 35,653 45 485 | 13,443, | 15,453 | 40,526 | 15,344 8,857 | 19,470 9,623 | $\begin{aligned} & 431,605 \\ & 333,6 \geq 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Dec. 23. | 32,410 | 176,671 | 7,708 | 10,759 | 4,966 | 17,180 | 68,970 | 9,784 | 8,225 | 36, 816 | 10, 728 | 6,037 | 390, 254 |
| Dec. 30. | 27,929 | 207, 707 | 7,661 | 22, 493 | 9,840 | 16, 263 | 65,544 | 9,152 | 13,302 | 35,574 | 10,902 | 3,972 | 430,339 |
| Total resources: Dec. 3. | 731,259 | 2,428,705 | 613,173 | 781871 | 334694 | 438491 | 1,435,961 | 343,938 | 186,301 | 272,633 | 203,341 | 615,617 | 8,385,984 |
| Dec. 10 | 738,784 | 2,383,387 | 626,912 | 775, 619 | 346,309 | 441,619 | 1,438,651 | 342, 859 | 192,761 | 276, 196 | 202,854 | 627,022 | 8,392, 973 |
| Dec. 17 | 736,615 | 2,317.406 | 616,215 | 778, 378 | 343,990 | 437, 262 | 1,446, 007 | 346,778 | 189,474 | $279,701$ | $\begin{aligned} & 194,405 \\ & 196,383 \end{aligned}$ | $623,46$ | 8,309,700 |
| Dec. 23 | 760, 376 | 2, ${ }_{2,486,525}$ | 618,592 | 798,755 813,075 | 348 357,923 | 438,563 | 1 1470,818 | ${ }_{344}^{348} 768$ | 184, ${ }_{18,47}$ | $\xrightarrow{279,148}$ | 196,383 194,500 | 661,727 666,800 | $8,490,651$ $8,546,478$ |

Federal Reserve agents' accounts at close of business on Fridays, Dec. 3 to 17, and on Thursdays, Dec. 23 and 30, 1920Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]


## CONDITION OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES.

Changes in condition of reporting member banks for the five-week period from November 19 to December 24 were so much influenced by fiscal operations of the Treasury on December 15 that the developments of the first three weeks must be considered separately from those of the last two weeks. For the three weeks ending December 10 the banks show liquidation of 91 millions of Treasury certificates and of 147 millions of "all other loans and investments," composed largely of commercial loans and discounts, accompanied by a decline of 126 millions in net demand deposits. December 15 was the day when the last installment of the income and excess
profits tax fell due and when the Treasury redeemed about 700 millions and issued about 590 millions of tax certificates. These occurrences are reflected in the next bank statement in aggregate increases of 125 millions in United States security holdings and of 96 millions in the other classes of loans and investments, accompanied by a decline of 52 millions in net demand deposits and of 112 millions in borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks. The last week of the period saw considerable liquidation of United States security holdings and of loans, together with a reduction in demand deposits and the resumption of borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks on a large scale.

Principal resources and liabilities of the reporting banks on each Friday from November 19 to December 24 are shown in the following table:

Resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities on Fridays from Nov. 19 to Dec. 24, 1920.
[In millions of dollars.]


For the entire five-week period ending December 24 reporting member banks show increases of 19 millions in holdings of Tnited States bonds, including circulation and Liberty bonds, and of 7 millions in holdings of Victory notes. Treasury certificates held showed a continuous decline for three weeks, followed by an increase for the week ending December 17 and a reduction for the following week, at the end of which they stood at 311 millions, as against 345 millions five weeks earlier. Loans secured by Covernment war obligations show moderate fluctuations from week to week, the total on the latest Friday, 889 millions, being 5 millions below the November 19 amount. Loans secured by corporate stocks and bonds, on the other hand, show an almost uninterrupted expansion amounting to 83 millions for the five weeks, the expansion for the New York

City members alone being even larger than this total. All other loans and investments, including commercial loans and discounts, followed a course described in the opening paragraph and stood on December 24 at 11,261 millions, or 169 millions below the figure shown five weeks earlier. As a consequence of these changes, total loans and investments of reporting banks show a reduction of 102 millions for the period under review.

Covernment deposits dropped from 173 millions on November 19 to but 17 millions on December 10, but rose to 400 millions on the following Friday, declining again to 344 millions on December 24 . Other demand deposits (net) show a continuous decline, except for the week of December 10, and stood at the end of the five weeks at 10,654 millions, 338 millions below the amount at the beginning of the period. Time deposits grew from 2,786 millions on November 19 to 2,822 millions on December 3; then, largely as the result of withdrawals of Christmas savings accounts, they declined to 2,789 millions on December 24 .

Member-bank borrowings from the Federal Reserve Banks show a rise from 2,119 millions on November 19 to 2,210 millions on December 3 , followed by a decline of 178 millions for the following two weeks, the aggregate on December 17, the Friday after the income tax payments by the public and the issue and redemption of large volumes of Treasury certificates, being 2,032 millions. The last week of the review period saw a resumption of borrowings from the Federal Reserve Banks, the amount on December 24, 2,174 millions, being 55 millions larger than the figure shown five weeks earlier. This increase in borrowings, together with the reduction of 102 millions in total loans and investments, accounts for the rise in the ratio of accommodation to total loans and investments from 12.6 to 13 per cent.

Reserve balances of reporting members with the Federal Reserve Banks show considerable variations from week to week, but stood at the end of the five-week period only about 10 millions below the figure at its beginning. Cash in vault, after minor fluctuations for the first three weeks of the period, shows the effect of the Christmas demand by a drop of 25 millions for the week ending December 24, a week for which the Federal Reserve Banks show an increase of 60 millions in Federal Reserve note circulation. The amount of cash in vault on December 24 was 356 millions, compared with 378 millions five weeks earlier.

Principal resource and liability items of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities, as at close of business on Fridays from Nov. 26 to Dec. 17, 1920. 1. ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Jouis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26........... | 48 | 115 | 59 | 93 | 80 | 46 | 108 | 35 | 38 | 83 | 51 | 68 | 824 |
| Dec. 3... | 48 | 114 | 59 | 93 | 80 | 46 | 108 | 35 | 38 | 83 | 51 | 68 | 823 |
| Dec. 10. | 48 | 114 | 59 | 93 | 50 | 46 | 108 | 35 | 38 | 83 | 51 | 68 | 823 |
| Dec. 17 | 48 | 114 | 59 | 93 | 80 | 46 | 108 | 35 | 38 | 83 | 51 | 69 | 824 |
| Dec. 24. | 48 | 114 | 59 | 93. | 80 | 46 | 108 | 35 | 38 | 83 | 51 | 69 | 824 |
| United States bonds to secure circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26................ | 12,610 | 47,459 | 11,347 | 42, 440 | 28,958 | 14,580 | 21,548 | 16,222 | 7,571 | 14,751 | 19,573 | 32,648 | 269,707 |
| Dec. 3. | 12,610 | 46,729 | 11,347 | 42, 464 | 29,008 | 14, 630 | 21,548 | 16,222 | 7,571 | 14, 751 | 19,573 | 32,648 | 269,101 |
| Dec. 10 | 12,711 | 46,791 | 11,347 | 42, 464 | 29,008 | 14, 630 | 21,548 | 16,221 | 7,571 | 14,751 | 19,573 | 32,649 | 269,264 |
| Dec. 17. | 12,711 | 46,966 | 11, 347 | 42,513 | 29,008 | 14,670 | 21,547 | 16,121 | 7,571 | 14,751 | 19,581 | 32,648 | 269,434 |
| Dec. 24. | 12,711 | 46,791 | 11,347 | 42,515 | 29,118 | 14,630 | 21,548 | 15,921 | 7,571 | 14,751 | 19,581 | 32,648 | 269,132 |
| Other United States bonds, including Liberty bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19,677 | 251,455 | 30,280 | 61,813 | 33,463 | 27,950 | 53,624 | 13,670 | 10,106 | 23, 446 | 22,490 | 66,479 | 614,453 |
| Dec. 3 | 20,375 | 252,879 | 30,460 | 61,873 | 33,916 | 27,986 | 53,691 | 13,810 | 9,942 | 23,206 | 22, 650 | 63,145 | 613,983 |
| Dec. 10 | 20,235 | 250, 746 | 30,538 | 62,152 | 33,623 | 27,909 | 56,201 | 14,030 | 10,005 | 23,523 | 22,761 | 65,482 | 617,205 |
| Dec. 17 | 20,603 | 249, 535 | 32,671 | 61,786 | 33,595 | 27,921 | 60,288 | 14,576 | 9,971 | 23,078 | 22,156 | 65,891 | 622,071 |
| Dec. 24. | 20,922 | 253,730 | 32,355 | 62,665 | 33,644 | 27,975 | 65,163 | 14,168 | 9,887 | 24,808 | 21,812 | 67, 213 | 634,342 |
| United States Victory notes: Nov. 26 | 5,750 | 429 | 9,411 | 19,488 | 6,713 | 3,959 | 37,307 | 2,741 | 1,006 | 5,204 | 3,167 | 14,906 | 194,081 |
| Dec. 3 | 5,866 | 85,999 | 9,637 | 20, 158 | 6,775 | 3,949 | 36,454 | 2,723 | 1,020 | 5,388 | 3,174 | 15,136 | 196,279 |
| Dec. 10 | 5,843 | 87,498 | 9,469 | 20,165 | 6,728 | 4,125 | 36,451 | 2,706 | 1,021 | 5,203 | 3,156 | 15,204 | 197, 569 |
| Dec. 17. | 5,861 | 89,316 | 11, 177 | 20, 310 | 6,905 | 4,174 | 33,069 | 2,816 | 1,018 | 5,326 | 2,785 | 15,096 | 197, 853 |
| Dec. 24. | 5,850 | 90,614 | 12,140 | 20,363 | 6,884 | 4,161 | 31, 799 | 2,836 | 1,021 | 5,538 | 2,725 | 18,162 | 202,093 |
| United States certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26. | 18,369 | 152,744 | 16,250 | 15,523 | 7,896 | 6,733 | 50,331 | 4,439 | 1,688 | 6,937 | 5,434 | 26, 835 | 313,179 |
| Dec. 3. | 14,975 | 127,310 | 15,452 | 13,882 | 7,175 | 6,771 | 47,176 | 3,652 | 1,683 | 6,828 | 5,431 | 25,810 | 276,145 |
| Dec. 10. | 14,718 | 105,030 | 14,252 | 13,256 | 7,277 | 6,727 | 49,034 | 3,631 | 1,682 | 6,862 | 5,252 | 25, 856 | 253, 577 |
| Dec. 17 | 27,020 | 197, 449 | 20,224 | 27,918 | 8,496 | 3,173 | 39,229 | 6,214 | 3,207 | 8,378 | 4,058 | 28,120 | 373,486 |
| Dec. 24.................. | 19,931 | 160,799 | 15,101 | 24,138 | 7,699 | 3,333 | 35, 863 | 4,452 | 2,022 | 7,472 | 3,748 | 26,263 | 310,821 |
| Total United States securlties owned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26. | 56,406 | 536,087 | 67,288 | 139, 264 | 77,030 | 53,222 | 162, 810 | 37,072 | 20,371 | 50,338 | 50,664 | 140, 868 | 1,391,420 |
| Dec. 3 | 53, 826 | 512,917 | 66,896 | 138,377 | 76,874 | 53,336 | 158, 869 | 36,407 | 20,216 | 50, 173 | 50,828 | 136,739 | 1,355,458 |
| Dec. 10. | 53, 507 | 490, 065 | 65, 606 | 138,037 | 76,636 | 53,391 | 163, 234 | 36,588 | 20, 279 | 50,339 | 50, 742 | 139,191 | 1,337,615 |
| Dec. 17. | 66,195 | 583, 266 | 75,419 | 152, 527 | 78, 004 | 49,938 | 154, 133 | 39,727 | 21,767 | 51, 533 | 48,580 | 141,755 | 1,462,844 |
| Dee. 24. | 59,414 | 551,934 | 70,943 | 149, 681 | 77,345 | 50,099 | 154, 373 | 37,377 | 20,501 | 52,569 | 47,866 | 144,286 | 1,416,388 |
| Loans secured by Government war obligations, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26. | 47,090 | 425, 081 | 75, 184 | 70,859 | 30,605 | 30,989 | 101, 104 | 32,646 | 16, 504 | 31,165 | 10,427 | 33,963 | 905,677 |
| Dec. 3. | 47,037 | 427,243 | 74,205 | 69,051 | 28,994 | 30, 886 | 100,359 | 32,034 | 16,461 | 30, 199 | 10,318 | 34,091 | 900, 878 |
| Dec. 10. | 48, 179 | 428, 733 | 75,203 | 69,335 | 28, 589 | 30,548. | 93, 354 | 32,399 | 15,761 | 29, 832 | 10,231 | 34,401 | 896,565 |
| Dee. 17. | 47,756 | 427,797 | 75, 649 | 70,395 | 28,695 | 29,722 | 96,938 | 32,708 | 15,664 | 30, 127 | 10,433 | 35, 333 | 901,217 |
| Dec. 24................- | 50, 105 | 420,514 | 77,368 | 69,593. | 28, 424 | 30,708 | 92, 272 | 29,318 | 15, 628 | 29,736 | 10,298 | 34,684 | 888,648 |
| Loans secured by stocks and bonds other than United |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26. | 194,219 | 1,275,116 | 211,233 | 324, 489 | 112, 434 | 63,2451 | 446.290 | 128,900 | 32,560 | 79,491 | 37,985 | 148,827 | 3, 054,789 |
| Dec. 3. | 193,980 | 1,283, 742 | 202,294 | 328, 906 | 111,460 | 61,943 | 439, 652 | 128,045 | 34, 514 | 79,000 | 38,510 | 148,036 | 3, 050,082 |
| Dec. 10. | 193,890 | 1,286, 104 | 201,499. | 332, 317 | 115,690 | 60,820 | 446,461 | 127, 530 | 35,135 | 78,226 | 38,598 | 147,996 | 3,064,266 |
| Dec. 17. | 196,029 | 1,313,960 | 205,268 | 332,954 | 113,310 | 61,419, | 448,163 | 125,558 | 36,456 | 79, 633 | 38,712 | 152,138 | 3,103,600 |
| Dec. 24. | 200, 216 | 1., 330,510 | 207, 115 | 330,905 | 113,479 | 59, 801. | 452,530 | 126,775 | 36,388 | 80,330 | 38,505 | 150,018 | 3,126,572 |
| All other loans and invest- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ments, including rediscounts with Federal Re- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| serve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26 | 804,550 | 4,018,781 | 581,186 | 977,810 | 388, 023 | 410,536 | 1,757,693 | 386,120 | 297, 431 | 507,677 | 258,508 | 991,811 | ,380,126 |
| Dec. | 799,918 | 3,991,070 | 572,847 | 977, 886 | 387,776 | 411,284 | 1,740,578 | 384,362 | 292,733 | 506,752 | 256,244 | ,002,317 | 11, 323,767 |
| Dec. 10. | 798,563 | 3,996, 104 | 566,711 | 966,070 | 384,932 | 399, 974 | 1,737,925 | 381,993 | 287, 986 | 501, 355 | 253,902 | ,007,584 | 11, 283,099 |
| Dec. 17. | 806,027 | 4,001,031 | 569,156 | 981,122 | 388,592 | 413, 347 | 1,751,164 | 386, 136 | 282,341 | 499, 756 | 254,744 | ,002,149 | 11, 335, 565 |
| Dec. 24............... | 802,958 | 3, 968, 055 | 565,042 | 985, 150 | 391,445 | 404, 777 | 1,755,137 | 383,284 | 281,074 | 492, 488 | 251,507 | 979,627 | 11,260,544 |
| Totalloans and investments, including rediscounts with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26 | 1, 102, 265 | 6, 255, 065 | 934,891 | 1,512,422 | 608,152 | 557,992 | 2,467, 897 | 584,738 | 366,866 | 668, 671 | 357,584 | , 315,469 | 16,732,012 |
| Dec. 3. | 1,094, 761 | 6,214,972 | 916,242 | 1,514, 220 | 605,104 | 557,449 | 2, 439,458 | 580,848 | 363, 924 | 666,124 | 355,900 | , 321, 183 | 16, 630,185 |
| Dec. 10. | 1,094,139 | 6,201,006 | 909,019 | 1,505,759 | 605,847. | 544, 733 | 2, 440, 974 | 578,510 | 359, 161 | 659,752 | 353,473 | , 329,172 | 16,581,545 |
| Dec. 17. | 1,116,007 | 6,326,054 | 925, 492 | 1,536,998 | 608,601 | 554, 426 | 2, 450,398 | 584, 129 | 356, 228 | 661,049 | 352,469 | ,331,375 | 16, 803,226 |
| Dec. 24................. | 1,112, 693 | 6,271, 013 | 920,468 | 1,535,329 | 610,693 | 545,385 | 2, 454,312 | 576, 754 | 353, 591 | 655, 123 | 348,176 | ,308,615 | 16,692,152 |
| Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26. | 77,686 | 603,187 | 66,412 | 97,974 | 34,222 | 30,126 | 177,201 | 38,934 | 20,179 | 39,419 | 21,993 | 79,613 | 1,286,946 |
| Dec. 3. | 78,398 | 635,798 | 67,211 | 99,715 | 35,099 | 28,246 | 178, 695 | 41,376 | 18,519 | 45,629 | 23,443 | 79,952 | 1,332,081 |
| Dec. 10. | 79, 633 | 624,911 | 67,900 | 100,159 | 35,752 | 32,372 | 179, 675 | 40,923 | 20,163 | 43,760 | 23,999 | 81,020 | 1,330,267 |
| Dec. 17. | 79,750 | 621,055 | 61, 324 | 102,282 | 35,724 | 28,095 | 168,037 | 37,918 | 20,305 | 42,366 | 22,880 | 77,495 | 1,297,231 |
| Cash in vault: | 76,866 | 636,255 | 67,317 | 97, 684 | 34,877 | 28,538 | 177,104 | 42,911 | 20,973 | 49,554 | 23,600 | 78,129 | 1,333,808 |
| Nov. 26... | 26,170 | 132.913 | 20, 110 | 34,403, | 19,294 | 13, 842 | 66,867 | 9.581 | 9,763 | 15,153 | 12,250 | 27,759 | 388,105 |
| Dec. 3. | 27,011 | 125, 817 | 20,580 | 37, 279 | 17,970 | 13,113 | 65, 689 | 9,345 | 8,243 | 13,963 | 11,066 | 25,811 | 375,887 |
| Dec. 10. | 26,280 | 126,150 | 21, 390 | 33, 810 | 18, 313 | 16,335 | 62, 914 | 9,459 11 | 8,910 | 14,844 | 12, 205 | 25,586 | 376,196 |
| Dec. 17. | 27, 840 | 123,000 | 22,442 | 39,177 | 18,145 | 14,529 | 64,069 | 11, 328 | 8,218 | 14, 798 | 11,088 | 26,557 | 381,191 |
| Dec. 24................... | 26,278, | 122,296. | 19,196 | 31,819 | 16,919 | 12,841 | 61,089 | 8,229 | 8,245 | 13,728 | 11,301 | 24, 169 | 356,110 |

Principal resource and liability items of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities, as at close of business on Fridays from Nov. 26 to Dec. 17, 1920-Contd.

1. ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Rich- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At- } \\ & \text { lanta } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net demand deposits on which reserve is computed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26................. | 782, 234 | ,883,600 | 679,899 | 921,620 | 330,956 | 240,582 | 1,309,283 | 303,632 | 194,807 | 394,911 | 217,448 | 633,150 | 10,892,122 |
| Dec. 3. | 775,521 | , 836,695 | 675,626 | 939,869 | 332,729 | 240,275 | 1,301,712 | 303,560 | 192,796 | 394, 868 | 215,975 | 627, 852 | 10, 837,478 |
| Dec. 10. | 774, 810 | 4,859,728 | 674,531 | ${ }_{914}^{927,626}$ | 335, 696 | 239,511 | 1,309, 830 | 309,110 | 188,106 | ${ }^{395}$ | 214,555 | ${ }_{631}^{626,322}$ | 10, 865 5,582 |
| Dec. 17. | 780,564 | 4,887,166 | 655, 6 , 179 | 914,296 881 | 329,667 32,293 | 232,442 | 1,287, 119 | 306,216 306595 | 185,954 | ${ }_{393}^{387,976}$ | 215, 278 | 631,527 <br> 621 | 10,814,454 |
| Time deposits: |  | , 824,940 |  | 881,283 |  |  | 1,26, 642 |  |  |  |  |  | ,653,558 |
| Nov. 26... | 159,661 | 449,474 | 39,755 | 381,544 | 107,963 | 150, 133 | 640,078 | 130,781 | 68,542 | 99,066 | 57,693 | 526,433 | 2,811,123 |
| Dec. 3. | 158, 630. | 444, 210 | 39, 360 | 389, 371 | 108, 317 | 150,539 | 643, 865 | 131,165 | 70,456 | 99, 645 | 58,017 | 528, 665 | 2, 822, 240 |
| Dec. 17 | 158, 538 | ${ }_{420}^{431,152}$ | ${ }_{37}{ }^{38}$, 238 | ${ }^{3875}$-399 | 108, 710 | 152,088 | 644,536 | ${ }_{130} 130,777$ | 70, 364 | 100,037 ${ }^{99}$ | 58,108 | 529,487 | 2,809,474 |
| Dec. 24. | 156, 284 | 422,771 | 35,516 | 383, 291 | 109, 377 | 148, 197 | 643,114 | 139, 239 | 70,411 | 99,597 | 57,776 | 531,076 | 2, 790,197 $\mathbf{2}, 788,649$ |
| Government deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 25 | 6,763 | 38,208 | 5,769 | 12, 630 | 1,564 | 871 | 9,516 | 3,247 | 1,411 | 1,084 | 873 | 5,863 | 87,799 |
| Dec. 3. | 346 | 7,604 | 1,151 | 2, 479 |  | 229 | 1,832 |  |  | 218 | 15 | 1,115 |  |
| Dec. 10 | 1,346 | 7,559 | 1,151 | 2,479 | 412 | 104 | 1,832 | 649 | 237 | 218 | 155 | 1,126 | 17, 298 |
| Dec. 17. | 33,108 | 202,671 | 32,000 | 47,555 | 9,551 | 3,534 | 33,993 | 10,365 | 5,379 | 6,427 | 2,112 | 13, 273 | 399,968 |
| Bills payable with | 28,050 | 171,957 | 27,195 | 44,949 | 8,137 | 3,220 | 28,034 | 8,802 | 4,567 | 5,184 | 1,794 | 12,124 | 344,013 |
| Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured States by war |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { tions- }}$ Nov. 26. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. $3 .$. | 29,568 | 283,427 | 46,977 | 24, 390 | 30, 341 | ${ }_{32,662}$ | 79,243 | 22,747 | 5,911 | 20,171 | 17,741 | 29,640 | 623,018 |
| Dec. 10 | 30,882 | 269,483 | 47,609 | 36, 341 | 30, 348 | 33, 383 | 84, 135 | 21,950 | 6,186 | 19,595 | 17,582 | 32,909 | 630,403 |
| Dec. 17 | 31, 130 | 309,971 | 44, 756 | 31, 100 | 29,560 | 31, 288 | 66,110 | 21,973 | 7,984 | 19,925 | 13,177 | 28,511 | 635,485 |
| Dec. 24. | 32,716 | 302,349 | 46,682 | 39,760 | 30,561 | 30,562 | 69,738 | 23,557 | 5,319 | 21, 161 | 12,992 | 30,053 | 645,450 |
| All other- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 | 069 |
| Dec. 3. |  |  |  | 36 |  | 1,105 | 200 |  | 774 | 25 |  | 315 | 2,455 |
| Dec. 10 |  |  |  | 36 | 400 | 937 | 850 |  | 767 | 185 |  | 744 | 3,919 |
| Dec. 17. |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 3,165 |  | 847 | 25 |  | 174 | 4,289 |
| Dec. 24. |  |  |  | 36 |  | 57 | 4,560 |  | 1,243 | 670 |  | 130 | 6,696 |
| Bills rediscounted with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured States by war United obliga |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26 | 16,373 | 132,104 | 36,833 | 8,298 | 5,225 | 10,784 | 17,487 | 8,700 | 2,447 | 9,108 | 2,146 | 4,635 | 254, 140 |
| Dec. 3. | 18,018 | 131,154 | 37,370 | 7,925 | 4,085 | 10,662 | 17,004 | 8,795 | 2,685 | 8,394 | 2,162 | 5,657 | 253,911 |
| Dec. 10 | 18,120 | 127, 383 | 37,890 | 10, 254 | 3,421 | $9,998$ | 18, 287 | ${ }^{9}, 119$ | 2,127 | 88,140 |  |  | 252,412 |
| Dec. 17. | 17,377 | 125,558 123,176 | 35,999 38,578 | 11, 512 | 3,622 3,489 | 10,399 10,365 | 20,117 21,435 | 8,427 <br> 7,635 | 2,469 2,230 | 8,141 7,725 | 2,323 2,075 | 6,600 6,454 | 252,284 |
| All other- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{D}$ | 74,173 <br> 77 <br> 137 | - 5197,640 | 34,649 28,460 | 40,331 42,280 | 39,524 39 | 71, 711 | ${ }_{255}^{265,876}$ | 62,672 60,158 | 61, 57 | 71, 7276 | ${ }_{25}^{25,959}$ | 72,309 <br> 79 <br> 8.463 |  |
| Dee. 10 | 74,977 | 491,728 | 25,493 | 38, 500 | 36,028 | 67,784 | 241,127 | 53,044 | 48,497 | 70,065 | 26,441 | 83,747 | 1,257,431 |
| Dec. 17 | 69,748 | 379, 278 | 32,112 | 41,725 | 40,004 | 67,739 | 241,780 | 50, 212 | 45,250 | 71, 244 | 25,756 | 75,073 | 1,139,921 |
| Dec. 24 | 88, 181 | 450,506 | 32,114 | 53, 161 | 43,589 | 68,821 | 264,754 | 47, 291 | 48,231 | 71, 119 | 25,037 | 75,819 | 1,268,623 |

2. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES.

| Number of reporting banks: |  | 72 | 44 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 51 | 13 | 11 | 19 | 8 | 15 | 287 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nev. 26 | $\stackrel{24}{24}$ | 72 72 | 44 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 51 | 13 | 11 | 19 | 8 | 15 | 287 |
| Dec. 10. | 24 | 72 | 44 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 51 | 13 | 11 | 19 | 8 | 15 | 287 |
| Dec. 17. | 24 | 72 | 44 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 51 | 13 | 11 | 19 | 8 | 15 | 287 |
| Dec. 24. | 24 | 72 | 44 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 51 | 13 | 11 | 19 | 8 | 15 | 287 |
| United States bonds to secure circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,281 | 37,862 | 7,337 | 3,671 | 2,776 | 3,100 | 1,439 | 9,592 | 2,791 | 4,276 | 4,560 | 16,650 | 96,335 |
| Dec. 3. | 2,281 | 37,862 | 7,337 | 3,671 | 2,776 | 3,100 | 1,439 | 9,592 | 2,791 | 4,276 | 4,560 | 16,650 | 96,335 |
| Dec. 10. | 2,382 | 37,924 | 7,337 | 3,671 | 2,776 | 3, 100 | 1, 438 | 9,592 | 2,791 | 4,276 | 4,560 | 16, 650 | 96, 497 |
| Dec. 17 | 2,382 | 38,099 | 7,337 | 3,671 | 2,776 | 3, 100 | 1, 438 | 9,492 | 2,791 | 4,276 | 4,560 | 16,650 | 96, 572 |
| Dec. 21. | 2,382 | 38,099 | 7,337 | 3,671 | 2,776 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 9,292 | 2,791 | 4,276 | 4,560 | 16,650 | 96, 372 |
| Other United States bonds, including Liberty bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26 | 6,640 | 218, 358 | 23,159 | 7,777 | 4,685 | 1,506 | 17,707 | 5,403 | 1,977 | 8,095 | 6, 438 | 40, 951 | 342,696 |
| Dec. 3. | 6, 716 | 219,653 | 23,377 | 7,658 | 4,686 | 1,506 | 17, 687 | 5,494 | 1,866 | 8,095 | 6,643 | 37, 371 | 340, 752 |
| Dec. 10. | 6, 713 | 217,871 | 23, 454 | 7, 433 | 4,557 | 1,506 | 17, 415 | 5,678 | 1,930 | 8,260 | 6,647 | 39, 736 | 341, 200 |
| Dee. 17 | 6, 749 | 216,256 | 25, 596 | 7,468 | 4,457 | 1,503 | 18, 291 | 6,373 | 1,907 | 8, 123 | 6,702 | 39,579 | 343, 004 |
| Dec. 21. | 6,772 | 219, 700 | 24,870 | 6,406 | 4,356 | 1,504 | 20,214 | 5,824 | 1,933 | 9,858 | 6,722 | 41,319. | 349, 478 |
| United States Victory notes: <br> Nov. 26 | 372 | 74,806 | 6,772 | 2,338 | 153 | 165 | 12,039 | 532 | 221 | 2,578 | 859 | 6, 159 | 106, 994 |
| Dec. 3. | 392 | 76,401 | 6,995 | 2,337 | 153 | 162 | 12, 110 | 523 | 216 | 2,676 | 869 | 6, 111 | 108,945 |
| Dec. 10 | 370 | 77,871 | 6,825 | 2,320 | 153 | 162 | 12, 127 | 536 | 216 | 2,598 | 869 | 6,223 | 110,270 |
| Dec. 17 | 387 | 79,587 | 7,843 | 2,334 | 153 | 162 | 12,910 | 645 | 216 | 2,760 | 869 | 6, 116 | 113,982 |
| Dec. 24. | 366 | 81,305 | 9,023 | 1,626 | 153 | 162 | 12,999 | 680 | 216 | 3,068 | 869 | 8,386 | 119,353 |

Principal resource and liability items of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities, as at close of business on Fridays from Nov. 26 to Dec. 17, 1920—Contd.
2. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | At- Anta. | Chicago. | St. <br> Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov.26.............. | 8,834 | 137,221 | 14,548 | 1,701 | 466 | 502 | 18,059 | 3,228 | 312 | 1,677 | 1,587 | 16,360 | 204,495 |
| Dec. 3. | 6,822 | 112, 368 | 13,798 | 1,726 | 462 | 502 | 16, 165 | 2,628 | 325 | 1,628 | 1,579 | 15,363 | 173,366 |
| Dec. 10 | 6,944 | 94, 93 | 12, 611 | 1,747 | 442 | 502 | 16,053 | 2,609 | 344 | 1,641 | 1,413 | 15,415 | 153,794 |
| Dec. 17. | 14,953 | 184,554 148,900 | 18,341 13,697 | 4,597 <br> 2,649 | 497 381 | 415 | 11,721 | 5,473 <br> 3,864 | 1,966 | 3,764 2,920 | $\stackrel{2,259}{2,045}$ | 17,325 | 265, 865 |
| Dec. 24... | 8,456 | 148,900 | 13,697 | 2,649 | 381 | 415 | 11,095 | 3,864 |  | 2,920 | 2,045 | 15,988 | 211, 190 |
| lies owned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26 | 18,127 | 468, 247 | 51,816 | 15,487 | 8,080 | 5,273 | 49,244 | 18,755 | 5,301 | 16,626 | 13,444 | 80, 120 | 750,520 |
| Dec. 3. | 16,211 16,409 | 446, 284 <br> 427 <br> 18 | 51, 507 | 15, ${ }^{159}$ | 8,077 | 5,270 | 47,401 | ${ }_{18}^{18,237}$ | 5,198 | 16,675 | 13,651 | 75,495 | 719, 398 |
| Dec. 11. | 164,471 | 518, 496 | ${ }_{59} 5117$ | 18,070 |  | 5,180 | 44,360 | ${ }_{21,983}^{18,}$ | 6,880 | 18,923 | 14,390 | 78,024 | 701,761 819,423 |
| Dec. 24. | 17,976 | 488,004 | 54,927 | 14,352 | 7,666 | 5,181 | 45,746 | 19, 660 | 5,720 | 20, 122 | 14, 196 | 82,843 | 776,393 |
| Loans secured by Government war obligations, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26. | 39,368 | 397 | 72 | 18,926 | 7,806 | 6, 170. | 69,244 | 19,323 | 9,836 | 12,429 | 1,991 | 16,130 | 1,076 |
| Dec. 3 | 39, | 399 | 71 | 18,757 |  | 6,059 | 69, 927 | 18,526 | 10,0 | 11,762 | 1,933 | 16,400 | 70, 853 |
| Dec. 17. | $\stackrel{40,371}{40,086}$ | 400, 334 | 72, 735 | 19,658 | 7,427 | 6, 167 6,015 | -62,954 | 17,728 | $\xrightarrow{9,677}$ | 11, 420 | $\stackrel{2}{2,122}$ | 16,046 17,909 | 667,077 670,566 |
| Dec. 24. | 42,247 | 393, 187 | 74,550 | 18,887 | 7,312 | 5,624 | 61,814 | 16,807 | 9,851 | 11,183 | 2,235 | 17,788 |  |
| Loans secured by stocks and bonds other than United |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States securities: | 149,783 | 1,123,224 | 191,338 | 114,845 | 14,996 | 8,831 | 334, 531 | 88,4 | 17,559 | 32,9 | 9, | 69,675 | 2,155,752 |
| Dec. 3 | 149,484 | 1,134,291 | 182,617 | 114,936 | 14,928 | 7,184 | 327,911 | 88, 252 | 18,420 | 32,632 | 9,60 | 68,635 | 2,148, 899 |
| Dec. 10 | 149, 3391 | 1, 134, 602 | 182,081 | 117, 665 | 15,066 | 7,223 | 334, 673 | 87, 833 | 18,695 | 32,000 | 9,611 | 68,212 | 2,157,060 |
| Dec. 17. | 151,493 | 1,160,352 | 186,026 | 118, 596 | 15,014 | 7,311 | 336, 284 |  | 19,542 | 33, 315 | 9,856 | 69, 996 | 2, 196, 670 |
| Dec. 24. | 155,083 | 1,177,291 | 187,748 | 118,754 | 15,057 | 7,119 | 338, 987 | 88,744 | 20, 120 | 34,726 | 9,364 | 69,157 | 2,222,150 |
| All other loans and investments, including redisdiscounts with Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve Bank: | 581 | 3,539,739 | 510,627 | 307,002 | 73,605 | 71,6 | ,037, 274 | 255,028 | 143,513 | 177, 847 | 66,939 | 484,937 | 24 |
| Dec. 3. | 577, 5 | 515,362 | 502,38 | 310, 104 | 74, 255 | 72, | ,021,271 | 255,744 | 140,113 | 177, 219 | 66,061 | 493,532 | 7,206,272 |
| Dec. 10 | 576, 878 | 3,522, 374 | 496, 213 | 309,517 | 73,273 | 72,0191 | , 021,229 | 253,599 | 137,064 | 175, 651 | 66,085 | 496,701 | 7,200,603 |
| Dec. 17 | 584,60 | 3,528,9 | 500 | 313,029 | 72,840 | 71,3661 | ,032, 208 | 257, | 134, 710 | 173, | 66,940. | 496,950 | 7,232,702 |
| Dec. 24. | 582,958 | 3,499, 478 | 494,870 | 315,460 | 74,996 | 70,8831 | 1,043,887 | 254, 847 | 132,950 | 169, 640 | 66,557 | 482,692 | 7,189,218 |
| Total loans and investments, including rediscounts with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank | 788 | 528,888 | 825,956 | 458, 260 |  | 91,972 | 490, 293 | 381,528 | 176, 209 | 239,830 | 91,994 |  |  |
| Dec. 3. | 782,521 | $5,495,509$ | 807, 775 | 459, 189 | 104,72 | 91, | ,466,510 | 380,759 | 173, 756 | 238,288 | 91, 258 |  |  |
| Dec. 10 | 783,057 | 5,485, 497 | 800, 732 | 461,445 | 103,694 | 90,6791 | , 465, 8 | 378,835 | 170,796 | 235, | 91,261 | 658,983 | ,726,501 |
| Dec. 17 | 800,659 | 5, 608,139 | 818,485 | 469,353 | 103,024 | 89,872 | , 478, 447 | 385,685 | 170, 809 | 237,055 | 93,308 | 664,525 | 10,919,361 |
| Dec. 24 | 798,264 | 5,557,960 | 812,095 | 467,453 | 105,031 | 88, 8071 | 1,490,434 | 380,058 | 168,641 | 235, 671 | 92, 352 | 652,480 | 10,849,246 |
| Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26............. | 61,666 | 561, 804 | 59,729 | 27,438 | 4,739 | 5,610 | 127, 106 | 29,034 | 9,218 | 12,458 | 5,441 | 36,827 | 941,070 |
| Dec. 3. | 61,940 | 591,941 | 60,8 | 27,610 | 5,771 | 4,555 | 128,464 | 30,419 | 7,338 | 17,018 | 6,132 | 35,943 | 978, 015 |
| Dec. 10 | 64,0 | 586,096 | 61,9 | 26,7 | 5,574 | 4,703 | 126, 533 | 29,637 | 9,550 | 15, | 6,881 | 37, 262 | 974,729 |
| Dec. 17 | ${ }_{64,013}$ | 581, 400 | 55, 193 | 27,378 | 6,812 | 3,983 | 119, 309 | 27,733 | ${ }_{10}^{9,568}$ | 13,349 | 6,390 | 35, 488 | ${ }_{980}^{950,616}$ |
| Cash in vault | 61,430 | 596,035 | 59,93 | 24,944 | 6,383 | 4,663 | 125,750 | 31,946 | 10,815 | 17,907 | 6,350 | 34,800 | 980,962 |
| Nov. 26. | 16,290 | 116,409 | 16,658 | 9,617 | 2,007 | 2,379 | 39,109 | 5,002 | 2,814 | 3,791 | 2,035 | 10,874 | 226,985 |
| Dec. 3. | 16,850 | 110,402 | 16,715 | 9,252 | 1,620 | 2,158 | 37,716 | 4,779 | 2,642 | 3,590 | 1,845 | 9,919 | 217,488 |
| Dec. 10. | 16,475 | 111,549 | 17,831 | 8 ,733 | 1,787 | 2,238 | 36,466 | 4,735 | 2,892 | 3,913 | 2,135 |  | 218, 635 |
| Dec. 17. | 17,756 | 108, 767 | 18,342 | 9,240 | 1,745 | 2,817 | 37, 231 | 6, 479 | 2, 629 | 3,920 | 2,041 | 10,749 | 221,716 |
| Dec. 24. | 16,348 | 108, 869 | 15,886 | 8,298 | 1,846 | 2,038 | 36,753 | 4,590 | 2,532 | 3,476 | 1,836 | 9,354 | 211,826 |
| Net demand deposits on which reserve is computed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26. | 600,297 | 4,399,615 | 593,214 | 229,442 | 52,480 | 37,709 | 909,917 | 214,508 | 83,408 | 134, 764 | 61,757 | 304,030 | 7,621,141 |
| Dec. 3. | 594,320 | 4,356,109 | 588,252 | 233, 337 | 54,024 | 37,334 | 905,160 | 214,200 | 82,910 | 139,463 | 61,384 | 298,820 | 7,565,313 |
| Dec. 10 | 596,960 | 4,383,062 | 587,960 | 233, 030 | 55,030 | 37,549 | 912,125 | 217, 150 | 87,667 | 140,528, | 60,699 | 293,999 | 7,605,759 |
| Dec. 17. | 604,072 | 4, 404, 852 | 568, 410 | 225, 472 | 53,538 | 37, 121 | 895, 199 | 215,733 | 87,801 | 136,651 | 61,531 <br> 59 <br> 175 | 299,668 | $7,590,048$ |
| Time deposi | 577,076 | 4,352, 152 | 566,742 | 218,606 | 51,049 | 35,793 | 886,160 | 218,212 | -84,381 | 138,952 | 59,175 | 293,044 | 7,481,342 |
| Nov. 26. | 57,717 | 310,181 | 28,962 | 184,718 | 22,387 | 21,687 | 298,592 | 78,699 | 26,335 | 11,174 | 6,451 | 243, 505 | 1,290,408 |
| Dec. 3. | -56,936 | 30, 116 | 28,551 | 188, 151 | 22,546 | 21, 864 | 300, 899 | 78,946 | 28,503 | 11,291 | 6,540 | 245,080 | 1,294, 373 |
| Dec. 10. | 56,777 | ${ }_{279}^{291,785}$ | 27, 878 | 187, 575 | 22,591 | 21,966 | 302, 603 | 78,950 | 28,483 | 11,394 | 6,677 | 246,082 | 1,282,701 |
| Dec. 17. | 56,666 | 279,913 | 26,357 | 188,442 | 21,584 | 22,324 | 303, 931 | 78,750 |  | 11,301 |  | 246,775 | 1,271,266 |
| Dovernment der | 55,115 | 282,652 | 25,738 | 187,422 | 21,731 | 21,688 | 304, 036 | 78,441 | 28,531 | 11,068 | 6,523 | 247,608 | 1,270,553 |
| Nov. 26. | 5,348 | 36,526 | 5,294 | 3.342 | 157 | 37 | 5,382 | 2,793. | 639 | 812 | 808 | 5,344 | 66,483 |
| Dec. 3. | 1,070 | 7,270 | 1,056 | 627 | ${ }^{36}$ |  | 1,016 | 608 | 122 | 164 | 14 | 1,028 | 13,154 |
| Dec. 10 | 1,070 | 7,270 | 1,056 | , | 析 | 8 | 1,016 | 559 | 122 | 164 | 149 | 1.028 | 13,105 |
| Dec. 17. | 22,733 | 197, 641 | 30,795 | 13,756 | 1,286 | 75 | 17,566 | 8,978 | 4,177 | 4,887 | 1,960 | 11,111 | 314,965 |
| Dec. 24. | 19,298 | 167, 575 | 26,172 | 12,287 | 1,146 | 70 | 14,081 | 7,625 | 3,551 | 3,876 | 1,666 | 10,188 | 267, 535 |
| Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obliga-tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26. | 25,184 | 265, 205 | 45,852 | 2,530 | 7,777 |  | 25,682 | 13,119 | 383 | 10,008 | 2,776 | 18,518 | 418, 494 |
| Dec. 3. | 23,953 | 248, 621 | 43,995 | 1,530 | 6,655 | 1,250 | 22,687 | 13,335 | 455 | 10,871 | 3,877 | 19,014 | 396, 243 |
| Dec. 10 | 24, 866 | 238, 136 | 43, 950 | 2,730 | 6,693 | 1,250 | 23,064 | 12,640\| | 545 | 8,846 | 4,127 | 23, 551 | 390, 398 |
| Dec. 17 | 27,843 27,674 | 279,473 270,837 | 41,310 <br> 42,166 | 3,080 | 7,112 | 1,221 | $\underset{16,546}{16,174}$ | 13,164 14,686 | 1,186 | 10,662 11,307 | 2,909 3,658 | 20,476 21,542 | 424,610 418,524 |

Principal resource and liability items of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities, as at close of business on Fridays from Nov. 26 to Dec. 17, 1920-Contd. 2. MEMBER BANKS LN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At. } \\ & \text { lanta. } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank-Contd. All other- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Гec. 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 10. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500 |  |  |  |  |  | 500 |
| Dec. 17. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,815 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,815 |
| Rills rediscounted with Fed- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States war obliga-tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26............ | 15,951 | 129, 322 | 36,689 | 2,430 |  | 1,819 | 9,694 | 4,266 | 1,596 | 4,528 | 181 | 2,733 | 208, 649 |
| 「ec. 3. | 17,526 | 188,253 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,883 | 4, 287 | 163 | 3,952 | 210,537 |
| Dec. 11. | 17,6:8 | 124,410 | 37,747 | 2, ${ }^{1} 71$ |  | 1,619 | 10,094 | 4, 605 | 1,711 | 4,044 | 305 | 3,590 | 207,924 |
| Dec. 17. | 16,954 | 122, 873 | 25,881 | 1, $8^{7} 8$ |  | 1,505 | 11,043 | 4,075 | 1,811 | 4, 147 | 289 | 4,712 | 205, 128 |
| ${ }^{\text {Dec. } 24 .}$ | 18,332 | 120, 551 | 38,460 | 1,270 |  | 1,199 | 12,612 | 3,345 | 1,837 | 3,812 | 261 | 4,586 | 206,265 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 3.. | 75,428 | 487, 805 | 26, 674 | 32, 414 | 6,754 | 14, 245 | 170, 670 | 39, 726 | 41,216 | 35,221 | 8,770 | 47,477 | 986,400 |
| Dec. 10. | 73, 242 | 460,366 | 23,257 | 30,540 |  | 12,282 | 160,210 |  |  |  |  | 51,006 | 927, 877 |
| Dec. 17. | 67,824 86080 | 350,571 | ${ }_{29,739}^{29,}$ | 34, 816 | 6,488 | 11, 11.55 | 165,097 | 32,955 | 30,225 | 32, 882 | 10,188 | 43,762 42,691 | 816,442 |
| Dec. 24. | 86,080 | 419,277 | 29,373 | 44,202 | 8,451 | 11,814 | 186, 254 | 30,276 | 32,912 | 33, 629 | 9,311 | 42,691 | 934,270 |

3. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BRANCH CITIES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Now } \\ \text { York } \\ \text { district. }{ }^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cleve- } \\ \text { land } \\ \text { district. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rich- } \\ & \text { mond } \\ & \text { distruct. } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta district. ${ }^{4}$ | Chicago district. 5 | St. Louis district. ${ }^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kansas } \\ \text { City } \\ \text { district. } \end{gathered}$ | Dallas district. ${ }^{8}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { San Fran- } \\ \text { cisco } \\ \text { district. } \end{gathered}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numker of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26.......... | 11 | 40 | 18 | ${ }_{23}^{23}$ | 12 | 18 | 29 | 13 | 44 | 208 |
| Dec. 10 | 11 | 40 | 18 | 23 | 12 | 18 | 29 | 13 | 44 | 208 |
| Dec. 17. | 11 | 40 | 18 | 23 | 12 | 18 | 29 | 13 | 45 | 209 |
| Dec. 24. | 11 | 40 | 18 | 23 | 12 | 18 | 29 | 13 | 45 | 209 |
| United States bonds to secure circulation: <br> Nov. 26 | 1,599 | 25,249 | 5,608 | 7,030 | 1,905 | 5,280 | 5,398 | 7,108 | 13,185 | 72,362 |
| Dec. 3 | 1,599 | 25,273 | $5,6 \cap 8$ | 7,030 | 1,905 | 5,280 | 5,398 | 7,108 | 13,185 | 72,?86 |
| Dec. 10 | 1,599 | 25, 273 | 5,6^8 | 7,020 | 1,905 | 5,279 | 5,398 | 7,108 | 13,185 | 72,385 |
| Dec. 17, | 1,599 1,599 | 25, 273 | $5,6 \cap 8$ | 7,070 | 1,905 | 5,279 | 5,398 | 7,116 | 12,185 | 72,433 |
|  | 1,599 | 25,275 | 5,608 | 7,030 | 1,905 | 5,279 | 5,398 | 7,116 | 13,185 | 72,395 |
| Other United States bonds, including Lirerty tonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26............................. | 12,028 | 42,619 | 9,088 | 22,333 | 18,812 | 7,766 | 9,062 | 7,251 | 22,081 | 151,050 |
| Dec. 3. | 12, 103 | 42,595 | 9,214 | 22,364 | 18,993 | 7,777 | 8,885 | 7,263 | 22,361 | 151,555 |
| Dec. 10. | 12,047 <br> 12,218 <br> 12, | 43,146 43,209 | 9,115 9,172 | 22,266 22,290 | 21,062 24,075 | 7,852 | 8,962 8,676 | 7,278 $\mathbf{6}, 701$ | 22,3.8 | 154,056 156,899 |
| Dec. 24 | 12,860 | 44,390 | 9,369 | 22,292 | 27,070 | 7,844 | 8,921 | 6, 138 | 22,485 | 161,369 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 3. | 2,265 | 14,458 | 2,688 | 2,588 | 18,604 | 2,101 | 1,289 | 1,176 | 8,577 | 53,746 |
| Dec. 10 | 2,282 | 14,?87 | 2,689 | 2,775 | 18,605 | 2,071 | 1,215 | 1,177 | 8,518 | 53,719 |
| Dec. 17. | 2,351 | 14,694 | 2,685 | 2, 816 | 14,462 | 2,063 | 1,204 | ${ }^{826}$ | 8,516 | 49,617 |
| United States certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26...... | 9,026 | 10,291 | 1, 183 | 5,909 | 24,102 | 1,043 | 3,387 | 2,064 | 9,176 | 66.011 |
| Dec. 3. | 8,945 | 8,823 | 777 | 5,947 | 23, 053 | ${ }_{867}^{867}$ | 3,396 | 2,049 | 9,060 |  |
| Dec. 10 | 6, ${ }_{6}^{6,165}$ | 8,298 19,089 | 777 2,795 | 5,903 2,985 | 24,683 19,796 | 867 578 5 | 3,406 2,551 | 2, 21 1,370 | 9,070 9,459 | ${ }_{64}^{61,110} 68$ |
| Dec. 17 | 6,875 | 19,089 16,593 | 2,795 2,700 | $\stackrel{2,285}{2,416}$ | 19,796 17,755 | ${ }_{4278}^{578}$ | 2,551 2,557 | 1,370 1,311 | 9,469 9,207 | 64,824 59,84 |
|  | 24,925 | 92,160 | 18,459 | 37,867 | 63,425 | 16,199 | 19,048 | 17,599 | 52,671 | 342,353 |
| Dec. 3. | 24,912 | 91, 159 | 18,287 | 37,929 | 62,.555 | 16,025 | 18,968 | 17,596 | 53,183 | 340, 614 |
| Dec. 10. | 22,093 | 91,044 | 18179 | 37,974 | 66,225 | 16,069 | 18,981 | 17,594 | 53,111 | 341,270 |
| 1)ec. 17. | 23,043 | 102,265 | 20, 260 | 34,561 | 60,238 | 15,644 | 17,829 | 16,013 | 53,994 | 342, 847 |
| Dec. $24 . \ldots \ldots$...................... | 23, 199 | 101,622 | 20,345 | 34,545 | 59,745 | 15,598 | 17,978 | 15,338 | 53,648 | 342,018 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26. | 10,916 11,052 | 38,805 38,956 | 9,400 8,193 | 18,533 18,709 | 15,758 14 14,505 | 11,886 12,056 | 12,057 | 3,039 3,168 | 16,854 16,427 | 137,248 134,668 |
| Dec. 10. | 11,200 | -38,656 | 8 8,004 | 18,265 | 14,643 | 12,066 | 11,587 | 3,060 | 17,093 | 134,574 |
| Dec. 17. | 11,112 | 38,938 | 8 8,137 | 17,689 | 15, 382 | 13,631 | 11,754 | 3,041 | 16, 213 | 135,897 |
| Dec. 24. | 10,967 | 38,853 | 8,277 | 18,364 | 14,759 | 11,225 | 11,478 | 3,057 | 15,719 | 132,699 |

Principal resource and liability items of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities, as at close of business on Fridays from Nov. 26 to Dec. 17, 1920-Contd.
3. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERIL RESERVE BRINOH CIIIES-Continued.

|  | New York district. ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cleve- } \\ & \text { land } \\ & \text { district. }{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Rich- <br> mond disirict. ${ }^{3}$ | Atlanta district. ${ }^{4}$ | Chicago district. ${ }^{5}$ | St. Louis district. ${ }^{6}$ | Kansas City district. ${ }^{7}$ | Dallas district. 8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { San Fran- } \\ \text { cisco } \\ \text { district. } \end{gathered}$ | Totol. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans secured bv stocks and bonds, other than Cnited States securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26.................................. | 55,961 | 151, 230 | 31,932 | 41,192 | 56,787 | 37,555 | 28,027 | 15,808 | 71,172 | 489,664 |
| Dec. 3 | 55,584 | 154, 333 | 32,517 | 41,349 | 56,560 | 36, 866 | 27,759 | 15,837 | 71,352 | 492,187 |
| Dec. 10 | 55,621 | 154, 842 | 32,759 | 40,286 | 56,690 | 36,787 | 27,553 | 15,996 | 71,680 | 492,214 |
| Dec. 17 | 54,941 | 154,422 | 32,885 | 41,036 | 56,941 | 33, 881 | 27,749 | 16,042 | 73, 860 | 491,657 |
| Dec. 24............................. | 55, 720 | 153,555 | 32, 871 | 40, 363 | 57,776 | 35, 136 | 27,233 | 16,051 | 72,515 | 491,220 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26. | 190,780 | 495,006 | 116,949 | 252,606 | 345,504 | 115,521 | 195,833 | 79, 142 | 470,917 | 2,262,258 |
| Dec. 3 | 190,374 | 491,547 | 116, 149 | 252,813 | 346,825 | 113,077 | 196,635 | 78, 150 | 472,899 | 2,258, 499 |
| Dec. 10 | 189, 689 | 481, 302 | 116,343 | 245,005 | 344, 409 | 112, 12 | 192,696 | 77,044 | 474,921 | 2, 234, 121 |
| Dec. 17. | 190,082 | 494, 497 | 118,134 | 254, 664 | 347, 925 | 113,480 | 194,916 | 76,937 | 469,167 | 2, 259,802 |
| Dec. 24............................... | 187, 852 | 493, 160 | 116,924 | 247, 010 | 342,220 | 112,498 | 191,808 | 76,700 | 461,214 | 2,229,386 |
| Total loans and investments, including <br> rediccounts with Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bavk. 26. | 282,582 | 777201 |  |  |  | 181,161 |  |  | 611,614 |  |
| Dec. 3. | 281,922 | 775,995 | 175, 146 | 350, 839 | 483, 445 | 178,024 | 254,964 | 114,751 | 613,891 | 3, 225, 968 |
| Dec. 10 | 278, 603 | 765, 844 | 175, 285 | 341,530 | 481,967 | 177, 634 | 250, 817 | 113,694 | 616,805 | 3,202,179 |
| Dec. 17 | 279, 178 | 790,122 | 179,416 | 347, 950 | 480, 486 | 176,536 | 252,248 | 112,033 | 613, 234 | 3,231,203 |
| Dec. $24 \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 277, 738 | 787, 190 | 178,417 | 340, 282 | 474,500 | 174,457 | 248,497 | 111, 146 | 603,096 | 3,195,323 |
| Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Nov. 26... | 14,983 | 52,658 | 12,006 | 18,052 | 24,406 | 8,744 | 15,375 | 7,483 | 39,230 | 192,937 |
| Dec 3. | 15,878 | 53,998 | 12,085 | 17,786 | 24,789 | 10,144 | 16,519 | 7,994 | 40,213 | 199,406 |
| Dec. 10 | 15,987 | 55,501 | 12,320 | 18,997 | 26,647 | 10,501 | 16,115 | 7,846 | 40,377 | 204,291 |
| Dec. 17................................... | 15,006 | 56,707 | 11,701 | 18, 028 | 23, 158 | 9,317 | 10,698 | 7,954 | 38,603 | 197, 172 |
| Cash in vault: ${ }_{\text {c\| }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26. | 3,829 | 15,178 | 6,183 | 7,455 | 12,446 | 3,788 | 6,600 | 3,539 | 14,944 | 73,962 |
| Dec. 3 | 3,381 | 17,020 | 5,965 | 7,210 | 12, 190 | 3,639 | 6,084 | 3,151 | 13,839 | 72, 479 |
| Dec. 10 | 3,447 | 15,194 | 5,614 | 7,562 | 11,057 | 3,909 | 6,358 | 3,545 | 13,831 | 70,517 |
| Dec. 17 | 3,952 3,517 | 18,510 14,249 | 5,985 5,380 | 8,011 | 11,475 10,185 | $3,8,8$ 3,121 | 6,747 6,288 | 3,058 | 14,046 | 75, 662 |
| Net demand deposits on which reserve is computed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov.26................................ | 163,082 | 514,520 | 107,114 | 155,548 | 178,093 | 78,096 | 148,289 | 65,366 | 297,057 | 1,707,165 |
| Dec. 3. | 163,323 | 527, 126 | 109,141 | 155, 835 | 178,392 | 78,859 | 146,293 | 63,935 | 296,630 | 1,719,534 |
| Dec. 10 | 163, 625 | 518, 256 | 110,000 | 155, 309 | 178, 301 | 81,676 | 144,843 | 64,792 | 299, 908 | 1,716,710 |
| Dec. 17 | 158,870 | 510,936 | 106,921 | 154,803 | 175, 409 | 79,650 | 143,856 | 61,488 | 298, 800 | 1,693,726 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26.... | 69, 819 | 115, 851 | 18,934 | 89,535 | 221,449 | 42,546 | 60,436 | 23,419 | 268,527 | 910,516 |
| Dec. 3. | 69,256 | 129, 265 | 18,990 | 89,683 | 221, 610 | 42,649 | 61,074 | 23,561 | 268,989 | 916,077 |
| Dec. 10. | 69,365 | 119,358 | 18,502 | 89,987 | 220,412 | 42,304 | 61, 459 | 23,459 | 268,790 | 913,636 |
| Dec. 17. | 69,522 | 117,253 | 18,414 | 87,674 | 219,138 | 42, 425 | 61, 531 | 23,367 | 267, 693 | 907,017 |
|  | 69,480 | 116, 282 | 18,328 | 87,938 | 217,782 | 42,398 | 61,869 | 23,412 | 269,255 | 906,744 |
| Nov.26........... | 1,205 | 8,191 | 917 | 680 | 3,200 | 454 | 254 | 50 | 169 | 15,120 |
| Dec. 3. | 1212 | 1,638 | 278 | 195 | - 640 | 90 | 51 | 5 | 18 | 3,157 |
| Dec. 10 | 197 | 1,638 | 278 | 83 | 640 | 90 | 51 | 5 | 28 | 3,010 |
| Dec. 17 | 1,571 | 30,124 | 3,810 | 2,959 | 9,900 | 1,340 | 93 | 138 | 2,060 | 51,995 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 26. | 21,350 | 27,877 | 8,531 | 26,989 | 35,979 | 8,907 | 5,317 | 7,253 | 9,387 | 151,590 |
| Dec. 3 | 18,898 | 19,739 | 8,153 | 26, 874 | 35,547 | 8,985 | 6,275 | 6, $\ddagger 23$ | 9,641 | 140,535 |
| Dec. 10. | 16,692 | 30,6.2 | 7,487 | 27,490 | 39,299 | 8, 833 | 6, 823 | 5,918 | 8,390 | 151, 574 |
| Dec. 17. | 17,2 18 | 26, 113 | 9,689 | 25,609 | 31,344 | 8,372 | 5,723 | 4,481 | 7,067 | 135,646 |
| All ${ }^{\text {Dec. } 24 .}$ | 17,068 | 36,544 | 9,548 | 24,883 | 34, 608 | 8,349 | 6,318 | 3,652 | 7,168 | 148,138 |
| Nov. 26. |  |  |  | 999 |  |  | 25 |  | 85 | 1,109 |
| Dec. 3 |  |  |  | 1,105 |  |  | 25 |  | 315 | 1, 445 |
| Dec 10. |  |  |  | 1,937 |  |  | 185 |  | 685 | 1,807 |
| Dec. 17 |  |  |  | 42 |  |  | 25 |  | 115 | ${ }^{1} 182$ |
| Bills rediscounted with Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obli-gations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov, 26............................ | 1,877 | 5,245 | 3,399 | 7,502 | 4,818 | 4,434 | 2,309 | 663 | 1,733 | 31,980 |
| Dec. 3. | 1,75! | 5,228 | 2,350 | 7,239 | 4,421 | 4,447 | 1,732 | 734 | 1,572 | 29,494 |
| Dec. 10 | 1,776 | 7,192 | 2,035 | 6,625 | 5,173 | 4,514 | 1,767 | 715 | 1,860 | 31,567 |
| Dec. 17. | 1,622 | 8,5ı2 | 2,174 | 7,146 | 6, 146 | 4,352 | 1,856 | 676 | 1,810 | 34,324 |
| All Dether- 24. | 1,617 | 9,477 | 2,334 | 7,122 | 6,181 | 4,290 | 1,810 | 700 | 1,796 | 35,330 |
| Nov. 26. | 13,416 | 5,106 | 13,731 | 44,735 | 21.893 | 21,200 | 21,434 | 7,376 | 28,421 | 177,368 |
| Dec. 3. | 1-1, $9+9$ | 5,52t | 13,310 | 44,935 | 23,539 | 19,974 | 2), 904 | 8,078 | 27,237 | 178,940 |
| Dec. 10 | 13,747 | 3,899 | 12,203 | 42.554 | 18, 463 | 18,667 | 2),096 | 7,983 | 28,243 | 165.855 |
| Dec. 17. | 15,050 | 3,134 | 14,339 | 42,804 | 18,889 | 16,853 | 22,960 | 7,051 | 27,278 | 168,358 |
| Dec. 21. | 14,462 | 3,624 | 11,057 | 42,455 | 19,797 | 14, 2×6 | 22,217 | 7,377 | 2s,9+3 | 169,218 |

1 Buffalo.
2 Pittsburgh and Cincinnati.
${ }^{3}$ Baitimore.
New Orleans, Birmingham, Jacksonville, and Nashville. Detroit.

[^7]GOLD AND SILVER IMPORTS AND EXPORTS.
Gold imports into and exports from the United States, distributed by countries.

| Countries. | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | During 10 days ending Nov. 20 , 1920. | During 10 days ending Nos. 30, 1920. | During month of Novem- ber, 1920. | During 10 days ending Dé. 10, 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { Dec. } 10, \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | From Jan. 1 to Dec. 10, 1919. | During 10 days ending Nov. 20, 1920. | During 10 days ending Nov. 30 , 1920. | During month of Novem- ber, 1920. | During 10 days ending Der. 10, 1923. | From <br> Jan. 1 to <br> Dec. 10, 1920. | From <br> Jan. 1 to <br> Dec. 10, 1919. |
| Belginm... |  |  | \$1,900 |  | \$372, 861 | \$831,00 |  |  |  |  |  | \$31,800 |
| Denmark. |  |  |  |  | 199,5.51 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,002.666 |
| France. | \$366 | \$694,914 | $3,186,20$ | ,976,411 | 26,830, 775 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,152,533 |
| Germany |  |  | $\cdots \cdots$ | 1,188 | 26,707 |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,000 | ......... |
| Greece. <br> Tceland. |  | 125,000 | 12;,000 |  | 253,700 | 95,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Italy.. |  |  |  |  | 40, 107 |  |  |  |  |  | 211,263 | 312, $1^{1} 1$ |
| Netherlands | 182,000 | 162,203 | 34,209 | 161,735 | 1,669,0 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,000 |
| Norway. |  |  |  |  | 3,321 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portugal...... |  |  |  |  | 25.361 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Russia in Europe. |  |  |  |  | 1,268, 631 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spain............... | 55,168 |  | 97,753 $\mathbf{3 5 8}$ |  | 1273,490 714,610 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29,270,000 |
| Sweden..... |  |  | 358,627 |  | 714,610 4,937 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,601 | 661 67,570 |
| United Kingdom: England. Scotland | 16,474,179 | 17, 130,623 | 46,681,350 | 6,915,358 | 258, 932, 403 | 3,555,739 |  |  |  |  | 13,235 | 2,088,974 |
| Scotiand.......... <br> Total, Europe. | 16,711,7.3 | 18, 112, 776 | 50, 797, 8591 | 11,091,692 | 290, 596, 269 | 4,481,741 |  |  |  |  | 268,014 | 37,911,445 |
| British Honduras. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,010 |
| Canada $\qquad$ | 581, 250 | 77, 108 | 1,006, 222 | 21,018 | 31,051,307 | ,565.908 | \$238, 786 | \$79,458 | \$176,831 | \$114,012 | 5,477,472 | 5,456, 866 |
| Costa Rica......... Guatemala | 1,419 |  | 37,326 | 31,651 | 609,091 | $\begin{array}{r} 616,5 \\ 8 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Honduras. | 18,044 | 8,473 | 26,517 |  | 259,014 | 259, 255 |  |  |  |  | 19,000 | 21,300 |
| Nicaragua | 36, 289 | 38,596 | 76, 230 | 2,185 | 1,157, 0.5 | 1,373, 276 |  |  |  |  |  | 16,500 |
| Panama. | 13,389 | 1,417 | 32, 676. |  | 513,118 | 3, 401 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,390,000 |
| Salvador | 105, 180 | ${ }^{135} 312$ | 257, 721 | 137,520 | 1,301, $2^{50}$ | 688,285 |  |  |  |  | 17-20,000 | 3,098, 020 |
| Mexico.. | 166, 963 | 135,984 | 481, 109 | 101, 828 | 4,561, 050 | 4,290, 181 | 188,691 | 103,053 | 449,075 | 87,595 | 17,985, 137 | 9,05t,305 |
| Newfoundland Cuba. $\qquad$ |  | 214 |  |  | 15,331 | 9, ${ }_{691}^{61}$ | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 | 50,000 | 650,000 |  |
| British West Indies |  | 928 | 35,366 | 4,931 | 266,203 | 18,838. |  |  |  |  |  | 7,9:0 |
| Virgin Islands of United States.. |  |  |  |  |  | 525. |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  |
| Dominican Re public |  |  |  |  | 4,800 |  | 3,000 |  | 3,000 |  | 47,000 | 25,000 |
| Dutch West Indie- | 180,870 | 70, 238 | 276,603 | 93,647 | 884, 40 | 5,200. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haiti............... |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, North America..... | 1,106, 70 | 333, 330 | 2,230,017 | 398, 813 | 43,617,151 | 13,835, 876 | 510,477 | 232,511 | 1,028,906 | 251,607 | 21,208,609 | 19,081,931 |
| Argentin |  |  | 44,578 |  | 1,707,682 | 102,158 |  |  |  |  | 89,995,000 | $54,010.000$ |
| Bolivia |  |  |  |  | 7,371 43,765 | 1,039 |  |  |  |  |  | $2,500,000$ |
| Brazil |  |  | 10, |  | 43,765 18,018 | 26,200 |  |  |  | 24,250 | 301,250 400,000 | 525,000 100,000 |
| Colombia | 1,246,274 | 769,821 | 2,793,12 | 219,857 | 8,811,206 | 556, 190 |  |  |  |  | 700,000 | 5,028,620 |
| Ecuador. |  |  | 2, |  | -523,893 | 379, 911 |  |  | 30,000 |  | 236,000 |  |
| British Guiana. | 1,970 |  | 25,612 | 41,336 | 189,483 | 120,551 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,005 |
| Dutch Guiana. |  |  | 15, 151 | 1,329 | 21,728 | 20, 144 |  |  |  |  | 6,300 | 19,795 |
| Peru... | 24, 502 | 2,677 | 41,503 | 28,417 | 1,098,905 | 717,983 |  |  |  |  | $3,653,376$ | $3,3 \times 3,369$ |
| Vruguay. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12,850,0000 \\ 184,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,605,000 \\ 11,25) \end{array}$ |
| Venezuela. ....... | 43,317 | 550 | 52, 421 | 36, 486 | 575, 185 | 381,486 |  |  |  |  | 184,000 | 11,252,220 |
| Total, South America..... | 1,317, 190 | 808,856 | 3,022,556 | 357,847 | 13, 400, 239 | 2, 532, 260 |  |  | 30,000 | 21,250 | 08, 328,926 | 84, 429,009 |
| China. |  |  |  |  | 1,260 |  |  |  |  |  | 28, 286,750 | 34, 643,361 |
| Chosen (Korea) |  |  |  |  |  | 1,714. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British India. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,512,371 | 31,583,913 |
| Straits Settlements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,683, 454 | 2, 439,667 |
| Duteh East Indies. |  | 105, 946 | 105,916 |  | 2,955,513 | 3,416, 333 |  | 20,000 | 20,000 |  | 12,085, 105 | 7,136,000 |
| French East Indies |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 9.50 |  | 278,000 | 31f, 200 | $2,290,000$ $29,629,212$ |  |
| Japan.... |  |  |  |  |  | , | 5,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 18,512,851 | 11,003,385 | 98, 299, 476 | 92, 61, 189 |
| Russia in Asia. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23,000 |
| Total, Asia.... |  | 105, 946 | 105,916 |  | 33, 148,683 | 13, 13\%, 597 | 5,067,950 | ,021,200 | $18,810,851$ | 11,322,585 | 183, 786, 368 | 201,507,591 |
| Australia. |  |  | 486,670 |  | 486, 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Zealand...... | 85,660 |  | 149,008. |  | 1,819, 887 | 1,351,578 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philippine Islands. | 38,471 | 12,321 | 96,558. |  | -869, 177 | 581,924. |  |  |  |  |  | 102,500 210 |
| British East Africa British South |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $210$ |
| British South Africa. |  |  | 423 |  | 423 | 8,150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British West Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39, 446 |  |
| Canary Islands.... |  |  |  | 7,000 | 7.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portuguese Africa. |  |  |  |  | 280, 3.8 | 499,321. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, all countries. $\qquad$ | 19,259, 738 | 19,373,229 | 56,889, 037 1 | 11,848,352 | 1384,256,137 | 66, 229,4505 | 5,578,427 | 2, 253, 711 | 19,869,757 | 11,598,442 | 316,631,363 | 316,062, 716 |
| Excess of imports or exports. | $13,681,311$ | $17,119,518$ | $37,019,280$ | $219,910$ | $\mathbf{6 7}, 621,774$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 279,333,266 |

Excess of gold imports orer exports since Aug. 1, 1914, $\$ 817,380,000$. Excess of gold exports over imports since June 10, 1919, \$253,639,000.
1 Includes: Ore and base bullion, $\$ 16,038,000$; U. S. Mint or Assay Office bars, $\$ 3,816,000$; other refined bullion, $\$ 291,513,000$; U. S. coin. $\$ 17,270,000$; oreign coin, $\$ 52,569,000$.
俗 coin, \$277,262,000. Foreign exports-Roinned bullion, \$193,000; coin, \$617,000

Silver imports into and exports from the United States, distributed by countries.

| Countries. | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | During <br> 10 days ending Nov. 20, 1920. | During 10 days ending Nov. 30, 1920 1920. | During <br> month of <br> Novem- <br> ber, <br> 1920. | During 10 days ending Dec. 10 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. 1 to } \\ & \text { Dec. 10, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { Dec. } 10, \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { During } \\ 10 \text { days } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { Nov. } 20, \\ 1920 . \end{array}$ | During 10 days ending Nov. 30, 1920. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { During } \\ \text { month of } \\ \text { Novem- } \\ \text { ber, } \\ 1920 . \end{array}$ | During 10 days ending Dec. 10, 1920. | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ \text { Dec. 10, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | From Jan. 1 to Dec. 10, 1919. |
| Belgium. |  |  |  |  | \$32,428 | 81,797 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8684,713 |
| Finland. <br> France.. | \$1,271 | \$500 | 85,274 | \$3,286 | 117,210 | 63,396 |  |  |  |  | 332,920 | 17,438 |
| Gibraltar |  |  |  |  |  | 2,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Germany |  |  |  | 116 | 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greece. |  |  |  |  | 42,240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Italy..... |  |  |  |  | 24,026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Netherlan |  |  |  |  | 51,861 14,453 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2,014,084$ $1,219,430$ |
| Portugal |  |  |  |  | 7,978 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,950 |
| Spain. | 24,845 |  | 24,845 |  | 94,527 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 228 |
| Sweden.. <br> Switzerlan |  |  |  |  | 31,395 |  |  |  |  |  | 54,960 | 194,526 172,203 |
| United KingdomEngland. | 28 | 18,000 | 21,653 | 1,132 | 838,010 | 61,746 |  |  | \$2,500 |  | 4,821,678 | 15,592,627 |
| Total, Europe. | 28,044 | 18,500 | 51,772 | 4,534 | 1,257, 244 | 129,339 |  |  | 2,500 |  | 4,909,558 | 26,565,396 |
| ${ }^{\text {British Hondur }}$ |  |  |  |  | 69,097 | 269, 276 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada............ | 51,599 | 22,430 | 113,970 | 51,485 | 3,480, 938 | 6,757, 232 | \$421,785 | \$46,151 | 519,575 | \$40,934 | 7,017,820 | 7,637,181 |
| Costa Rica......... |  |  | 2,034 | 2,164 | 64,986 24,534 | 154, 134 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,900 |
| Honduras. | 186,746 | 86, 825 | 273.571 |  | 2,554, 181 | 2,589,709 | 58,200 |  | 58,205 |  | 449,710 | 181,550 |
| Nicaragua | 35,030 | 1,309 | 36, 364 | 2,473 | 668,785 <br> 148 <br> 189 | 764,119 89 89 |  |  |  |  | 342,000 |  |
| Panama. Salvador. |  | 1,016 | 6,93 10,916 |  | 3,726, 781 | 89,273 901,183 |  |  |  |  | 542,000 | 348, 1,500 |
| Mexico.. | 1,028,644 | 1,051,723 | 2, 888, 404 | 1,316,428 | 51,624,660 | 58,869, 274 | 32,890 | 48,810 | 107,750 | 49,910 | 3,178,684 | 1,602,458 |
| British West Indies. |  |  |  | 5 | 7,022 | 6,225 |  |  |  | 17,900 | 46, | ,628 |
| Cuba... |  | 3,846 | 3,846 |  | 75,484 | 69,709 |  | 1,695 | 4,695 |  | 1,252, 599 | 161 |
| Virgin Islands of United States. |  |  |  |  |  | 1,105 |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |  |
| Dominican Repub- <br> lic. |  |  |  |  | 120,800 |  |  |  |  |  | 316,000 | 150,000 |
| Dutch West Indies | 150 |  | 150 | 70 | 2,317 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| French West Indies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Huiti.............. |  |  |  |  | 77 |  |  |  |  |  | 9,000 |  |
| $T o t a l$, North America..... | 1,302, 189 | 1,167, 149 | 3,336,193 | $\underline{ }$ | 62,557,875 | 70,471,858 | 512,875 | 97,608 | 691,177 | 108,744 | 12,844,521 | 9,939,628 |
| Argentina | 1,832 |  | 9,261 |  | 37,324 | 61,446 |  |  |  |  | 12,723 | 3,005 |
| Bolivia | 18,400 |  | 31, 300 | 87,867 | 1,367,079 | $\begin{array}{r} 108,487 \\ 2,155 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | , 333 | 838 |
| Crile. | 34,292 | 389,391 | 428,005 | 71,224 | 3,634, 433 | 1,837,044 |  |  |  |  | , 33 |  |
| Colombia. | 32, 264 | 14, 193 | 47,083 | 3,533 | 744, 253 | 1,82, 202 13 |  |  |  |  |  | ,000 |
| Ecuador........ |  |  |  |  | 65,753 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dutch Guiana. |  |  |  |  | 6,390 | 265 |  |  |  |  | 1,402 | 5,063 |
| Peru............... | 247,988 | 60,893 | 851,733 | 450,500 | 11,605,433 | 7,733,546 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Venezuela |  |  |  |  | 355 | 1,178 |  |  |  |  | 10,000 | 50,000 |
| Total, South America.. | 334,881 | 464,477 | 1,367,975 | 613,189 | 17,462,683 | 9,960, 075 |  |  |  |  | 26, 458 | 864,899 |
| China. |  |  | 5,343 |  | 1,295,317 |  | 858,222 |  | 858,222 | 457,002 | 60, 556, 237 | 66,622,230 |
| Chosen (Korea) |  |  |  |  |  | 3,328 |  |  |  | 419, 197 | 642,408 | 109, 180,718 |
| Dutch East Indies. |  | 262,665 | 262,665 |  | 2,671,555 | 1, $1,543,116$ |  |  |  |  |  | ,18, |
| French East Indies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hangkong. |  |  |  |  | 1,650 | 20,000 | 1,011,232 |  | 1, 175,972 | 1,918,049 | $23,539,640$ | 9,923,555 |
| Japan. Russia in Asia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 416,005 | 321,420 | 4, 169, 671 970 | $3,946,453$ 52,759 |
| Turizey in Asia. |  |  |  |  | 38,5ii |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, Asia. |  | 262, 665 | 268,008 |  | 4,007,033 | 1,566,444 | 1.869, 454 |  | 2, 450, 199 | 3,115,668 | 92,967, 299 | 189,725,715 |
| New Zenlind | 41 |  | 269 |  | 12,033 | 1,566 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pailippine Islands. | 501 | 183 | 1,203 |  | 18,461 | 12,327 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British South Africa. |  |  |  |  | 6,097 | 76,822 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British West Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11,880 | 17,777 |
| Portuguese Africa.. |  |  |  |  | 93,321 | 52,252 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, all countries. | 1,665,656 | 1,912,974 | 5,025,420 | 1,990,348 | 185,424,747 | 82, 270,683 | 2,382,329 | 97,608 | 3, 143,876 | 3,224,412 | 2110,759,716 | 227, 113,415 |
| Excess of imports or exports. |  | 1,815,366 | 1,881,544 |  |  |  | 716,673 |  |  | 1,234,064 | 25,334,960 | 144, 842,732 |

Excess of silver exports over imports since August 1, 1914, \$454,707,000.
${ }^{1}$ Includes: Ore and base bullion, $\$ 67,875,000$; U. S. Mint or Assay Office bars, $\$ 3,000$; other refined bullion, $\$ 7,529,000$; U. S , coin, $\$ 2,000,000$; ${ }^{2}$ Includes: Domestic exports-Ore and base bullion, $\$ 16,000$; U. S. Mint or Assay Office bars, $\$ 4,351,000$; other refined bullion, $\$ 63,986,000$; coin, $\$ 14,704,000$. Foreign exports-Ore and base bullion, $\$ 1,000$; refined bullion, $\$ 23,256,000 ;$ coin, $\$ 4,445,000$.

General stock of money in the United States, money held by the Treasury and the Federal Reserve System, and all other money in the United States Dec. 1, 1920.

|  | General stock. | Held in the United States Treasurv as assets of the Government. 1 | Held by or for Federal Reserve Banks and agents. | Held outside United States Treasury and Federal Reserve System. | Amount per capita outside United States Treasury and Federal Reserve System. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold coin 'including bullion in Treasury) | \$2,761,338,519 | \$430, 286,732 | 2 \$1,363, 952,598 | \$414, 417,913 |  |
| Gold certif cates............................. |  |  | 31E, 499,770 | 237, 141,566 |  |
| Standard silicer dollars | 269,857,494 | 15, 857,417 | ${ }^{3} 26,990,623$ | 70, 104, 672 |  |
| Eilver certif cates.. Suhsidiary silver.. |  |  | 78, 995, 522 | $76,993,888$ $262,917,134$ |  |
|  | 266, 609,065 | 3,691,931 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 262,917,134 \\ 1,615,362 \end{array}$ |  |
| United States notes. | 346, 681,016 | 6,962,414 | $467,241,490$ | 272,477, 172 |  |
| Federal Reserve notes. | 3,663, 522,795 | 18,203, 857 | $325,4 ? 1,8 \subset 8$ | 3,319, 887, 110 |  |
| Federal Reserve Pank notes | 239,569,800 | 4,094, 172 | 24,842,950 | 210,622, 678 |  |
| National-kank notes. Total: | 734,010,797 | 13,130,555 | 2,363,475 | 718,516, 767 |  |
| Fec 1, 1920 | 8,281, 589,486 | 492,327,078 | 2,205,258,146 | 5, 584, 004, 262 | \$52.13 |
| Nov 1, 1920 | $8,254,949,120$ | 503,605,555 | 2,132, 993,646 | 5, 617,349,919 | 52.26 |
| Oct. 1, 1920. | $8,176,322,855$ | 472,464, 953 | 2,110,500,713 | 5, 553,367, 189 | 51.70 |
| Sept. 1, 1920. | 7, 997,080, 820 | $485,884,277$ | $2,031,514,988$ | $5,479,681,605$ | 51.06 |
| Aug. 1, 1920 | 7, 927, 844, 377 | $48,824,265$ | 2,059, 010, 192 | $5,985,009,980$ | 50.22 |
| July 1, 1920 | 7, 887, 181, 886 | 485,057,472 | 2,021, 271, 614 | $5,280,852,500$ | 50.19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } 1,1920 . \\ & \text { July } 1,1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $7,661,320,139$ $7,588,473,771$ | $604,888,833$ $578,848,043$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,044,422,203 \\ & 2,167,289,313 \end{aligned}$ | 5,312,009,003 <br> $4,842,345,415$ | 49.81 $4 \% .00$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } 1,1919 . \\ & \text { Jan. } 1,1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $7,588,473,771$ $7,780,702,606$ | $\begin{aligned} & 578,848,043 \\ & 454,948,160 \end{aligned}$ | 2, 167, $2,280,313$ | $4,842,345,415$ <br> 5, 105, 129, 679 | 45.00 47.83 |
| Jualy 1, 1919. | $7,780,702,606$ $6,742,265,784$ | $\begin{aligned} & 454,948,160 \\ & 356,124,750 \end{aligned}$ | $2,260,705,767$ $2,018,561,885$ | $5,105,129,679$ $4,267,789,09$ | 47.83 41.31 |
| Jan. 1, 1918. | $6,266,1 ؟ 8,571$ | $277,042,958$ | 1,722,570 991 | 4, 255, 584, 62 | 4053 |
| July 1, 1917. | $5,480,009,884$ | 253, 671,614 | 1,280,880,714 | 3,945,457, 556 | 37,88 |

1 Includes reserve funds held against issues of United States notes and Treasury notes of 1890 and redemption funds held aganst issues of nationaltrank notes, Federal Re erve notes, and Federal Reserve Bank notes, rut excludes gold and silver coin and bullion held in trust for the national-ran notes, Federal Reerve notes, and Federal Reserve Bank notes. rut
ex Exclusive of amounts held with United States Treasurer in cold redermption fund apainst Federal Recorve notes and of gold held with foreign agencies but inclusive of calances in gold settlement fund standing to the credit of the Federal Reserve Banks and agents.
${ }^{3}$ Includes subsidiary silver.
4 Includes Treasury notes of 1890 .

## FEDERAL RESERVE BANK DISCOUNT RATES.

Rates on paper discounted for member banks approved by the Federal Reserve Board up to Jan. 1, 1921.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Paper maturing within 90 days. |  |  |  | Bankers' accentances maturing within 3 months. | Agricultural and live-stock paner maturing arter 90 days, but within months. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Secured by- |  | Trade acceptances. | Commercial paper n.e.s. |  |  |
|  | Treasury certificates of indebtedness. | Liberty bonds and Victory notes. |  |  |  |  |
| Boston.. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 7 | 7 |  | 7 |
| New York. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Philadelphia. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Cleveland.... | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ | $5{ }^{3}$ | 6 | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ | 6 |
| Richmond. | 16 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Atlanta.. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Chicago.. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| St. Louis. | ${ }^{2} 5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Minneapolis. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Kansas City. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Dallas....... | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| San Francisco.... | ${ }^{1} 6$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

${ }^{1}$ Discount rate corresnonds to interest rate borne by certificates pledgen as collateral, with minimum of 5 per cent in the case of Philadelphia, Atlanta, Kansas City, and Dallas, and $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ner cent in the case of Cleveland, Richmond, Chicago, and San Francisco
${ }^{2} 5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent on paper secured by $5 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent certificates and 5 per cent on paper secured by $4 ;$ and 5 per cent certiacates.
Note.-Rates shown for St. Louis, Kansas City, and Dallas are normal rates, anplying to discounts not in excess of a basic line fixed for each member bank by the Federal Reserve Mank. Rates on discounts in excess of the basic line are subject to a $\frac{1}{2}$ per ceat progressive increase for each 25 per cent by which the amount of accommodation extended exceeds the basic line.

## FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES.

Monthly ranges of exchange rates on leading foreign centers, quoted in New York during the three months ending December, 1920.

|  | Dollars for- | Exchange at par. | October. |  | November. |  | December. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Low. | High. | Low. | High. | Low. | High. |
| London: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temand bills. | $£ 1$ | 4.8665 | 3.4225 | 3.5073 | 3.3325 | 3.5275 | 3.43875 | 3. 5275 |
| Cable transfers | do | 4. 8665 | 3.43 | 3.515 | 3.34 | 3.535 | 3.44625 | 3.535 |
| Paris: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iemand bills. | 100 francs | 19.30 | 6. 31 | 6.75 | 5.705 | 6.32 | 5.81 | 6. 065 |
| Cable transfers. | . ....do... | 19.30 | 6.32 | 6.76 | 5.715 | 6.33 | 5.82 | 6.075 |
| Berlin............................... 100 marks.................... 23.82Milan: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cable transfers. | ...do. | 19.30 | 3. 71 | 4.19 | 3.39 | 3.89 | 3.35 | 3. 69 |
| Prague. | 100 kronen 1. | 20. 26 | 1.13 | 1.36 | 1.09 | 1.33 | 1.13 | 1.25 |
| Vienna. | ...do.. | 29.26 | . 32 | . 45 | . 29 | . 36 | . 22 | . 32 |
| Jugo-Slavia. | .. do. | 20.26 | . 77 | . 85 | . 71 | . 85 | . 67 | . 79 |
| Warsaw. | 100 marks ${ }^{1}$ | 23.82 | . 34 | . 40 | . 12 | . 39 | . 15 | . 16 |
| Brussels. | 100 francs. | 19.30 | 6. 725 | 7.09 | 6.065 | 6.70 | 6.12 | 6.425 |
| Madrid. | 100 pesetas. | 19.30 | 13.71 | 14. 66 | 11.80 | 13.85 | 12.71 | 13.81 |
| Helsingfors. | 100 markka | 19.30 | 2.15 | 2.75 | 2.00 | 2.35 | 2.10 | 3. 20 |
| Athens.... | 100 drachma. | 19.30 | 9.60 | 10.30 | 8.45 | 9.45 | 7.10 | 8.45 |
| Sofio.. | $10010 \mathrm{v}^{1}$. | 19.30 | 1.20 | 1.46 | 1.02 | 1.40 | 1.13 | 1.30 |
| Bucharest. | 100 lei ${ }^{1}$. | 19.30 | 1.69 | 1.96 | 1.38 | 1.60 | 1.07 | 1.48 |
| Belgrade.. | 100 dinar ${ }^{1}$ | 19.30 | 3.00 | 3.40 | 2.75 | 3.45 | 2.70 | 3.20 |
| Amsterdam. | 109 fiorins. | 49.20 | 30.38 | 31.9375 | 29.25 | 30.65 | \$30.375 | 31.40 |
| Stockholm. | 100 kronor. | 26.80 | 19.30 | 19.90 | 18.55 | 19.45 | -19.29 | 19.93 |
| Copenhagen | 100 kroner. | 26.80 | 13.52 | 14.05 | 13.05 | 13.75 | 13.79 | 15.75 |
| Christiania. | ...do. | 26.80 | 13.37 | 14.05 | 13.05 | 13.79 | 13.70 | 15.75 |
| 7urich.. | 100 franes | 19.30 | 15.65 | 16.07 |  | 15.75 | 15.07 | 15.67 |
| Canada. | 100 Canadian dollars ${ }^{2}$. | 100.00 | 99.25 | 92.00 | 88.00 . | 93.75 | 84.125 | 88.00 |
| Mexico City. | 100 pesos ${ }^{1}$ | 49.85 | 49.4375 | 49.875 | 49.375 | 50.00 | 49.125 | 49.75 |
| Bogota.... | 100 Colombian dollars ${ }^{1}$ | 97.33 | 81.63 ; | 82.98 | 81.633 , | 84.74 | 80.64 | 86.95 |
| Montevideo. | 100 pesos ${ }^{1}$. | 103.42 | 118.00 | 133.82 | 124.00 | 133.00 | 124.00 | 135.00 |
| Buenos Aires. | 100 gold pesos. | 96.48 | 78.973 | 82.954 | 74.725 | 79.50 | 74.15 | 80.97 |
| Rio de Janeiro. | 100 paper milreis | ${ }^{3} 54.62$ | 16.75 | 18.375 | 15.375 | 17.50 | 13.875 | 16.25 |
| Yalparaiso... | 100 paper pesos.. | ${ }^{+36.50}$ | 15.125 | 16.00 | 13.50 | 14.50 | 13.50 | 14.50 |
| Yokohama. | 100 yen........ | 49.85 | 50.875 | 51.25 | 50.25 | 50.875 | 49.50 | 50.375 |
| Hongkong. | 109 Hongkong dollars |  | 68. 50 | 75.00 | 64.00 | 71.00 | 63.50 | 62.00 |
| Shanghai. | 100 Slianghai taels... |  | 93.00 | 105.00 | 85.00 | 94.00 | 73.00 | 85.00 |
| Singapore. | 100 Eingapore dollars | 56.78 | 43.50 | 43.75 | 40.50 | 43.75 | 40.50 | 43.50 |
| Calcutta.. | 100 rupees........ | 5 48.665 | 28.75 | 32.50 | 28.50 | 30.25 | 26.00 | 28.50 |
| London average price of silver ber fine ounce. |  |  | 1.18716 |  | 1.11878 |  | 0.91818 |  |
|  | Converted at average rate of exchange. |  | 6.84734 |  | 7.78762 |  | 8.65490 |  |
| New York average price of silver per Dollars.,......................... |  |  | . 84187 |  | . 7849 |  | . 65503 |  |
| Do (official) |  |  | . 83083 |  | .77734 |  | . 64788 |  |

## 1 Cable rates.

Checks (demand).
${ }^{4}$ Rate for a gold milreis.
5 Equivalent to 10 gold sovereigns, the official rate since Oct. 1,1920 , established by the India Councilin lieu of $\$ 32.44$, the rate in force before that date.

6 Average rate of exchange for October, $\$ 3.46915$.
Average rate of exchange for November, $\$ 3.42833$.
3 Average rate of exchange for December, $\$ 3.4849$.
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The branch at Helena, Mont., has been authorized by the Federal Reserve Board but is not yet open for business.


[^0]:    I Witness the language of the 191 ? report: "We consider that the Government of India should maintain the prewar practice of making gold coin available when it is demanded by the public" (p. 29); also, "In normal Inmes, and whenever the supplies of silver permit, the Government of India will doubtless offer all facilities for the conversion of legal tender
    gold into legal tender silver coin, and vice versa; but, in view of the gold into legal tender silver coin, and vice versa; but, in view of the
    present shortage of silver, we consider that the obligation to give rupees for sovereigns should be withdrawn" (p.30).

[^1]:    a Includes central reserve and other reserve city banks in Chicago.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The clearing house returns inciude in addition to loans and discounts, "stocks, bonds, and mortgages owned by the bank." Although the inclusion of such other investments further vitiates comparison with for the prewar period investment holdings would probably remain fairly steady comparing one month with another.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ The Review of Economic Statistics for June, 1920, p. 145, contains the following statement:
    "During the prewar period the corrected items of the following series fluctuated with interest rates:
    "Loans of New York City clearing-house banks,
    "Deposits of New York City clearing-house banks,
    "Dividend payments by industrial corporations.
    "The significance of the first two of these series has been changed by the introduction of the Federal Reserve System. The enormous rise in prices has, of course, had its effect on the volume of loans and deposits. During 1919, all banking operations were affected by government financing. Moreover, the data for 1903-1914 included commercial banks only; since 1914, the consolidated statements excluding trust companies have not been issued. For all of these reasons, loans and deposits of the New York banks have been discarded for the present as indices of banking
    conditions.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Continuation of article published in the November (1920) Federal Reserve Bulletin, pages 1168-1173.

[^5]:    1 The index numbers printed in this article are constructed by the various foreign statistical offices according to methods described in the

[^6]:    1 Includes 7,344 items, amounting to $\$ 2,100,000$, forwarded direct to member banks in other Federal Reserve districts.
    2 Includes 7,100 items, amounting to $\$ 2,206,000$, forwarded direct to member banks in other Federal Reserve districts.
    3 Includes 5,493 items, amounting to $\$ 4,145,000$, forwarded direct to member banks in other Federal Reserve districts.
    Note.-The number of business days in period was 25 in all Federal Reserve Bank and branch cities except New Orleans, where the number was 24 .

[^7]:    ${ }^{6}$ Lonisville, Memphis, and Litt'e Rock.
    7 Omaha, Denver, and Oklahoma City.
    8 El P'aso and Houston
    ${ }^{9}$ Spokane, Portland, Seattle, Salt Lake City, and Los Angeles.

