This is a special day; special for more than Mothers; special for you in the graduating classes who have now surmounted all the hurdles; special for your families and friends, who on this of all days can take joy in feelings of pride (and maybe sometimes of relief); and, of course, special for me personally.

To be even an honorary "old grad" of the College of William and Mary provides a sense of living with the traditions surrounding our beginnings as a country. But I suspect it is even more relevant that the sons and daughters of William and Mary have for so long made their own contributions toward carrying our common heritage forward.

Now a commencement address is a difficult art form. I know from experience that's true for the speaker. I suspect it's even more so for graduates, eager to get on with it. Well, I can assure you that, in keeping with the prospect of a degree in Humane letters, we will try to keep it short.

You know, central bankers have a special kind of reputation. It's not exactly one of lightheartedness. Instead, the image
is one of caution, sobriety, discipline, realism, and skepticism — somehow they all seem to come with the turf.

A friend of mine summarized it for me with a paraphrase from H.L. Mencken. He said: "Central bankers are like Puritans; you all have a haunting fear that someone, someplace may be happy." I don't think Mencken thought of that remark as a compliment. But when I jokingly mentioned it in a speech recently, it provoked a spirited defense of the Puritan ethic in a newspaper column, and that made me think a bit.

I may be treading on tender ground in defending Puritans at a college known for its royalist beginnings. But the basic point the columnist made was close to my theme today. He argued that the Puritans weren't against happiness -- they simply insisted happiness could only be found as a byproduct of hard work and diligence, and thrift.

Those qualities, in the end, do of course drive economic growth; there really isn't a substitute. And while those Puritan characteristics may not sound very glamorous or exciting,
we do, of course, like to enjoy the prosperity they engender.

American has long had a reputation as the land of growth and opportunity, and that was certainly true during the glorious decades -- economically speaking -- after World War II. In fact, the economic record was probably better than at any time in our history, both in terms of growth and stability. By roughly the mid-1960's, the notion began to spread that we had finally learned how to maintain steady growth and low unemployment -- we could, as the saying goes, "count on it." And, as a nation, we began to emphasize other goals -- social objectives, clean air and water, safety, consumer protection, and others. All of those goals are worthwhile -- some of them are critical, but most of them will also be easier to achieve in the context of a strong economy.

In the process, I sense we fell prey to a human failing -- once we assumed that, as a society, we had the answers to economic growth and stability, we forgot we still had to work at it. Those were the days, for instance, when economists wrote learned dissertations on how much a college education was worth in dollars and cents, as
if going to college in itself provided an automatic ticket to
the good life. And, at about the same time, college students
themselves decided academic standards should be relaxed and that
their studies were less important than political or social causes.
There was something exciting about it, but also something inconsistent.
It mirrored a larger inconsistency in the world at large -- we counted
on economic growth, but we neglected what was necessary to achieve it.

Some years later, in the late 1970's, the economic vision
of sustained growth and stability had turned sour. The textbooks
used to say there was a simple trade-off -- we could achieve growth
if we tolerated a little inflation. But by the time you entered
college, the inflation rate was running at 1 percent or more a
month, and we had higher levels of unemployment, too. Productivity
growth had practically ceased. The average worker saw the real
value of his salary check declining even though we had unprecedented
increases in nominal wages. The average American had begun to look
to the inflated price of his house as his principal source of financial
security instead of new savings. Speculation in gold, diamonds,
and other so-called "tangibles" became the "in thing" with the
investment crowd.
A lot has changed since then. We went through a difficult period of deep recession while you were in college. But then we had a strong recovery, combined with the lowest rate of price increases in a decade or more. I'm not going to argue that we have entered an economic nirvana. We still hear doubts and uncertainty about how lasting the progress will be, and there are some obvious threats and risks.

But I also believe we have come a long way toward building attitudes and expectations -- I should say restoring old attitudes -- that are consistent with greater stability and sustained growth for years ahead. Productivity is rising again. There is a greater sense of restraint on costs. Incentives for work have been improved. And, lo and behold, real incomes and real profits are rising once again.

The change in mood in the country, I am told, has been paralleled in a different mood on college campuses -- a mood that I suspect has been amply reflected here. There is a sense of greater diligence and discipline in your study. There is more
concern about practical skills, about taking advantage of educational opportunities, about the world of business and commerce. All of that seems to recognize that, in the end, a higher standard of living, for an individual as for a country, depends on a willingness to work both hard and smart, to keep abreast of technological change, and to live with intense competition.

I am reminded of that partly facetious commercial for an investment house that brags, "They make money the old fashioned way. They earn it." I doubt that line would have struck so responsive a chord a dozen years ago.

To some, all that may sound dull, or exhausting, or uncomfortable -- it's certainly not the image of the "laid back" years. But that's not the conclusion I would draw. Rather, I suspect you will find the environment both challenging and invigorating, and out of that process can come much of the personal satisfaction we all want.

I'm not going to provide any guarantees to go along with that happy vision of more stability and growth. Lack of discipline
in our federal budget policies is plainly one hazard. Inflation and its aftermath have left us with well-publicized problems in the international economic and financial order. There are still temptations to try to fool ourselves into thinking that inflation and excessive money creation are substitutes for productivity and work.

But we know those dangers, and with intelligence and courage can deal with them realistically. Those still fresh memories of the disappointing 1970's and of recession should spur us on, and I suspect you leave college with a more realistic appreciation of what is necessary -- and better prepared -- than your counterparts a decade ago.

Lest all this be interpreted as praise for a materialist ethic, let me broaden the frame of reference. We are not only, or even primarily, "economic" men and women. In a world still filled with large questions of how to keep the peace in a nuclear age, with social injustice, with the challenge of making democratic government effective government, with the continuing needs of the human spirit, there is a lot to do beyond a concern about one's standard of living.
I would only argue that those challenges, too, will not yield to the approach of dilettantes or to emotions not disciplined by professionalism, by respect for technical facts, and by a knowledge of history; by -- in a phrase -- hard and informed work. As one aspect of that, I would urge upon you that dealing seriously with the problems of the body politic on a local, state, or national level -- as a profession or part-time -- can be a fascinating challenge, worth a lot of inevitable frustration.

I know when I was in college and graduate school, a career in the foreign service or in some of the great domestic departments and agencies was considered by many a natural professional objective, a means by which those able and interested in government could expect over time to gain satisfaction and ultimately a reasonable measure of prestige from constructive public service. No doubt young careerists today tend to be drawn from a wider spectrum of personal and educational backgrouns, which in itself can be good. But I also sense there is less enthusiasm among
graduates for a career in the civil service, or in government generally. Certainly many remain strongly attracted by public policy issues, and they want to deal with them. But I am also struck by how often talented young people interested in problems of government tell me they think the best thing for them to do is to go to Wall Street, or to a law firm, or to a bank, make some money, and then think later about how they might enter government at a "policy level" position when they have some financial security and the possibility of influencing policy.

That's fine, so far as it goes. But I also wonder how many will really do it — or whether they will spend their lives railing at the "politicians" and the "bureaucrats."

Yet, I strongly believe we would help the cause of effective government if we attracted more of our best into a career in government. I see no other way we can be assured the high degree of professionalism, of responsiveness, and of continuity we are all going to need. It's a large question, the answer to which is dependent on much more than the personal inclinations of college graduates. But it should be addressed, because we
can't afford to see the well of talent and effectiveness in
government depleted over the years. But too often we see the
best leave prematurely. Too few of the best are willing to
enter at the bottom, to stick with it, and ultimately have
the satisfaction of contributing directly to making our
government work better.

Maybe that's special pleading from a grey haired,
bald headed itinerant civil servant. I recognize that a
career in public service is not everyone's cup of tea.
There are many other ways to contribute -- in the arts, in
education, in our churches, most important in our families.
I must also insist that a full life -- to me -- implies time
for fishing -- and for a beer with old friends (or its
equivalent if there is one). And while you're doing all that,
I hope you dream a little, and when the instinct is strong,
don't be afraid to take a chance. After all, when someone
asks you to talk to a graduating class you're going to want
to have more to reflect upon than your business careers and
the size of your bank balance.
Central bankers are sometimes accused of doing too much preaching to others about how they should behave, and I don't want to contribute any further to that reputation.

But let me end with one thought. I'm told Bob Hope once delivered a brief commencement address. He allegedly answered the time honored question about entering the real world with one word — "Don't."

Well, you can't avoid it, and you have better choices.

I would rather draw my inspiration from another source of great philosophy, Peanuts. A few years ago, there was a classic Lucy-Charley Brown dialogue.

Lucy, in her psychiatric role, was talking to Charley. She said that on cruise ships there were two kinds of people -- those who faced their deck chairs forward, because they wanted to see where they were going, and those who faced the deck chair backwards because they were interested in where they had been.
"On that great cruise ship of life, Charley Brown," she asked, "which way will your deck chair be facing"?

"I don't know," Charley responded. "I can't get mine untangled."

Well, education is, in a sense, learning from the past. College graduation is a time to get the chair untangled. And as you look forward to the new challenges, a sense of realism and hard work to combine with your natural idealism is going to stand you in good stead.

Sooner than you realize, the world will be shaped by your generation, not mine. All I can do is wish you bon voyage on that great cruise and good luck. And thank you for inviting me to join you in this day of celebration.

* * * * * * *