310

S-327 Reg. W-53

INTERPRETATION OF LAW OR REGULATION

(Copies to be sent to all Federal Reserve Banks)

TELEGRAM

September 11, 1941

Young - Boston Sproul - New York Williams - Philadelphia Fleming - Cleveland Leach - Richmond McLarin - Atlanta

١,

4

8

.

Young - Chicago Davis - St. Louis Peyton - Minneapolis Leedy - Kansas City Gilbert - Dallas Day - San Francisco

An inquiry which may be stated as follows has been received under Regulation W:

"Section 8(d) refers to statements of necessity as provided in paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of section 8. However, paragraph (c) does not contain the words 'statement of necessity'. Is the 'written statement' described in section 8(c) to be regarded as a 'statement of necessity'? If the answer is in the affirmative, would it be desirable as a practical precaution for any bank or other lender extending instalment loan credit to take, in every case, the written statement referred to in section 8(c)?"

The written statement referred to in the last sentence of section 8(c) is not a "statement of necessity" of the kind referred to in section 8(d). Section 8(c) refers to statements of necessity only to the extent that it incorporates by reference certain requirements of "section 8(a) or 8(b), including the provisos thereof". With respect to last part of inquiry, see W-35.

Morrill

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis