

William McChesney Martin, Jr., Papers

Box 27/Folder 1

Series V, Subseries D

Hearings, July-August 1957

H E A R I N G S

1957 (Page 3)

(35) ... House Banking and Currency

Committee Proposed Financial Institutions

Act of 1957 July 15

" 16

" 17

" 26

" 31

(nine days of testimony) August 1

" 2

" 5

" 6

(36) ... Senate Finance Committee

Senator Harry Flood Byrd,

Chairman Examination of fiscal and

monetary policies August 13 - 19

(SEE SEPARATE FOLDER ON THESE
HEARINGS)

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON BANKING AND
CURRENCY

Brent Spence (ky.) Chairman
Paul Brown (Ga.)
Wright Patman (Tex.)
Albert Rains (Ala.)
Abraham J. Multer (N. Y.)
Hugh J. Addonizio (N. J.)
William A. Barrett (Penn.)
Leonor K. (Mrs. John B.) Sullifan (Mo.)
Henry S. Reuss (Wis.)
Martha W. Griffiths (Mich.)
Thomas L. Ashley (Ohio)
Charles A. Vanik (Ohio)
James C. Healey (N. Y.)
J. T. Rutherford (Tex.)
Merwin Coad (Iowa)
LeRoy H. Anderson (Montana)
J. Floyd Breeding (Kansas)
Henry O. Talle (Iowa)
Clarence E. Kilburn (N. Y.)
Gordon L. McDonough (Cal.)
William B. Widnall (N. J.)
Jackson E. Betts (Ohio)
Walter M. Mumma (Penn.)
William E. McVey (Ill.)
Edgar W. Hiestand (Cal.)
Perkins Bass (N. H.)
Horace Seely-Brown, Jr. (Conn.)
Eugene Siler (Ky.)
John E. Henderson (Ohio)
Chas. E. Chamberlain (Mich.)

STATEMENT OF CHAIRMAN MARTIN
ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM
BEFORE THE HOUSE BANKING AND CURRENCY COMMITTEE
REGARDING THE PROPOSED "FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS ACT OF 1957"

July 15, 1957

Mr. Chairman:

The bills that are the subject of this hearing have for their objective a complete streamlining of Federal statutes relating to financial institutions, including national banks, member banks of the Federal Reserve System, insured banks, Federal savings and loan associations, and Federal credit unions. The legislation would rearrange provisions of law on this subject in a more logical order, eliminate obsolete provisions, correct technical defects, and make a number of substantive changes designed to clarify and improve the operation of these statutes.

The Board of Governors is thoroughly in accord with the objectives of this legislation and believes that enactment of such a codification of the banking laws would be in the public interest. The Board's adverse comments on a few provisions should not be construed as indicating lack of general approval.

Most of the changes which would be made by the bill in the Federal Reserve Act are purely technical and would merely serve to

Statement
7/15/57

bring that Act up to date by eliminating deadwood and clarifying ambiguous provisions. Such changes are clearly desirable and require no special comment. As to the changes of substance which would be made in Federal Reserve law, it may save time to review briefly those which the Board endorses or to which it has no objection and then direct attention to the few provisions of the bill which the Board would oppose or with respect to which it would have serious question.

PROVISIONS ENDORSED BY THE BOARD

In the order in which they occur in Title II of the bill and not necessarily in the order of their importance, the following provisions would make substantial changes in existing law which meet with the approval of the Board or to which the Board would have no objection. Most of these changes are in accordance with recommendations which were made by the Board last year to the Senate Banking and Currency Committee.

Expenditures for Federal Reserve Bank branch buildings

(§ 4(c)). - The present statutory dollar limitation on the aggregate costs of construction for Federal Reserve Bank branch buildings would be eliminated.

Franchise tax (§ 7(b)). - A new provision would require each Federal Reserve Bank to pay 90 per cent of its annual net earnings to

the United States as a franchise tax. This would have the effect of restoring the franchise tax provision which was repealed in 1933 when the Reserve Banks were required to subscribe half of their surplus to the capital stock of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The proposed specific statutory direction for the transfer of annual net earnings to the Treasury would replace the somewhat complicated and awkward procedure under which the Federal Reserve System, since 1947, has paid to the Treasury approximately 90 per cent of its annual net earnings.

Rotation of membership on Federal Advisory Council

(§ 8(a)). - The bill would make any member of the Federal Advisory Council who has served six consecutive terms of one year each ineligible to serve again as a member of the Council until after an intervening period of not less than three years. Such a provision for rotation in the membership of the Council would have the advantage of obtaining broader representation and wider experience over a period of time.

Majority vote of Board members (§§ 10(b), 39(1), and 42). -

Certain provisions of present law require designated actions of the Board to be approved by a stated number of Board members. For example, permission for the carrying of reduced reserves by member banks in outlying sections of reserve and central reserve cities requires the affirmative vote of not less than five members of the Board. These and similar

provisions would be modified by the bill to require such actions to be taken on the affirmative vote of a majority of the members of the Board in office at the time the action is taken.

Repeal of authority for business loans. - The proposed revision of the Federal Reserve Act would omit and thereby repeal section 13b of the present Federal Reserve Act authorizing the Federal Reserve Banks to make working capital loans to industrial or commercial businesses. This authority has not been utilized extensively in recent years and in any event it is believed to be inconsistent with central banking functions. Repeal of these provisions has heretofore been recommended by the Board. Their repeal would mean that the Reserve Banks would repay to the Treasury approximately \$27-1/2 million which has been paid by the Treasury to the Reserve Banks under these provisions.

Rotation of directors of Federal Reserve Banks (§ 17(a)). - Under the bill no director of a Federal Reserve Bank who has served two consecutive terms of three years each would be eligible to serve again as a director until after an intervening period of not less than three years, with a modification of the rule as to the chairman of the board of directors. Like the similar provision with respect to members of the Federal Advisory Council, this provision would insure broader representation and wider experience on the boards of directors of the Federal Reserve Banks.

Residence of Federal Reserve directors (§ 17(a)). - Every Federal Reserve Bank director would be required to be a resident of

the Federal Reserve district served by his Federal Reserve Bank or to reside within fifty miles of the Reserve Bank.

Federal Reserve Agents (§ 19). - The bill would eliminate the present unnecessary requirement that Federal Reserve Agents and Assistant Federal Reserve Agents be persons of "tested banking experience". Other provisions would make it clear that a Federal Reserve Agent could delegate ministerial functions to his Assistants and that an Assistant Federal Reserve Agent could act in the place of the Agent during a vacancy in that office as well as during the absence or disability of the Agent.

Reports of State member banks (§ 23(b)). A new provision would authorize the obtaining of special reports from State member banks and thereby enable the Board to call for relatively simple reports from smaller banks and more detailed reports from the larger member banks engaged in a variety of banking operations.

Stock acquisitions in connection with absorptions (§ 23(d)). - A new provision would permit a State member bank, with the Board's approval, to purchase and hold for not more than 90 days the stock of another bank as a step in connection with the absorption of such other bank. Such temporary stock acquisitions would sometimes be convenient as a step in the absorption process and the requirement for the Board's approval would serve to prevent any abuses of this exception from the general rule against the acquisition of corporate stock by member banks.

Shareholders' lists and disclosure of stock ownership

(§ 23). - New provisions would require each State member bank to maintain a list of its stockholders and to notify the Board of any single transaction involving the transfer of 10 per cent or more of the outstanding shares of the bank. In addition, the record owner of any such stock would be required to notify the Board of the names of any persons having a beneficial or equitable interest in such stock in excess of 5 per cent of the outstanding shares of the bank. Although this requirement for disclosure of equitable ownership might be burdensome in some instances, the Board believes that the proposed provisions have merit.

Investments in bank premises (§ 23(h)). - Under the bill, investments in bank premises by a State member bank would require the Board's approval only if they exceed 100 per cent of the bank's capital stock or 50 per cent of the bank's capital and surplus, whichever may be greater. Existing law requires Board approval in all cases in which the investment would exceed 100 per cent of the capital stock.

Audits of State member banks (§ 24(c)). - A new provision would authorize the Board, whenever it deems it necessary, to require an independent audit to be made of a State member bank.

Confidentiality of examination reports (§ 24(f)). - Reports of examinations of State member banks and related correspondence would be made privileged against disclosure without the Board's consent, except to committees of Congress upon request.

Loans to executive officers (§ 28(e)). - Present law prohibiting loans by member banks to their executive officers includes an exemption with respect to loans not exceeding \$2,500. This exception would be liberalized by increasing the dollar limitation to \$5,000. In addition, a requirement that executive officers make reports of their borrowings from other banks would be modified to make such reports unnecessary where the indebtedness does not exceed \$15,000, in the case of home mortgage loans, or \$5,000 in the case of all other extensions of credit.

Reserves of holding company affiliates (§ 33(b)). - Under present law a holding company affiliate is required to maintain certain reserves of readily marketable assets, and this requirement has been interpreted as meaning that each of several holding company affiliates of the same member banks must maintain the statutory reserve. The bill would make it possible, where such a multiple holding company situation exists, for only one of the holding company affiliates to carry the required reserve, provided it is designated for that purpose by the Board, and provided that the designated company directly or indirectly owns or controls the stock of the affiliated banks.

Audits of Board's accounts (§ 38(h)). - The bill would require the Board to have its accounts audited annually by a firm of certified public accountants, and reports of such audits would be required to be submitted to the Banking and Currency Committees of Congress.

Conflict of interests (§ 38(i)). - It would be made a criminal offense for any employee of the Board or any Federal Reserve Bank to accept employment in a member bank within two years after terminating his employment with the Board or the Reserve Bank, except with the Board's approval. While the Board doubts that such a "conflict of interests" provision is necessary, it would not object to the provision of the bill on this subject.

Trust powers of national banks.- Present provisions of the Federal Reserve Act relating to trust powers of national banks would be transferred to the National Bank Act as revised in Title I of the bill and authority for granting such powers and regulation thereof would be vested in the Comptroller of the Currency instead of the Board. Since national banks are under the supervision of the Comptroller, the Board would have no objection to this proposal.

Audits of Federal Reserve Banks (§ 39(m)). - The Board would be required by the bill to take measures to insure that examinations of the Federal Reserve Banks meet the highest standards of commercial audits and the Board would be authorized to arrange for review by certified public accountants of the adequacy of the procedures and techniques followed in the examination of the Reserve Banks. Copies of the reports of examinations of the Reserve Banks, including each examination of the System open market account, would be required to be transmitted promptly to the Banking and Currency Committees of Congress.

Federal Reserve notes (§ 43). - Present provisions of law relating to the issuance of Federal Reserve notes, which have become antiquated over the years and in many respects are ambiguous, would be completely rewritten for purposes of simplification and clarification. The revision would make no substantial change with respect to Federal Reserve notes, although existing provisions regarding the redemption of such notes would be eliminated as obsolete. Redemption in the traditional sense in gold or gold certificates is no longer permissible and in any event Federal Reserve notes, like other types of currency, have been legal tender for all purposes since 1933.

Powers of foreign branches of national banks (§ 44(f)). - The Board would be empowered by regulation to authorize foreign branches of national banks to exercise such further powers as might be usual in connection with the transaction of the banking business in the foreign countries in which they operate. This authority is intended to enable foreign branches of national banks to operate more effectively in the foreign countries in which they do business.

Mergers and consolidations. - Title III of the bill, relating to insured banks, contains in section 23 new provisions which would make it necessary for all bank mergers or consolidations to be approved in advance by the appropriate bank supervisory agency. Thus, the Board's approval would be necessary in connection with any merger or consolidation if the acquiring or resulting institution would be a State member bank. The Board would be required in such a case to consider, not only the usual banking factors stated in the Federal Deposit Insurance Act,

but also whether the effect of the proposed transaction might be to lessen competition unduly or to tend unduly to create a monopoly. On the question of competition, the Board would be required to consult the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and would be authorized to request the Attorney General's opinion with respect to that question. The Board believes that these provisions would fill a gap in the present law. They would insure consideration by the Federal bank supervisory agencies, on a substantially uniform basis, of the impact of bank mergers upon competition in the banking field. A separate bill along the lines of these provisions of section 23 of Title III of the pending bill was passed by the Senate last year and was endorsed by the Board, as well as by the other Federal bank supervisory agencies.

PROVISIONS OF TITLE II QUESTIONED BY THE BOARD

There are two provisions in Title II of the bill which, while not of the greatest importance, would make changes in present law which in the Board's opinion would not be desirable.

Removal of officers and directors (§ 29)). - Provisions for the removal of directors and officers of member banks, which are now contained in section 30 of the Banking Act of 1933, would be repeated in substance in section 29 of Title II of the bill as far as State member banks are concerned. Certain changes would be made in these provisions as to which the Board would have no objection. The Board

would, however, object to one of the proposed changes. Under the Administrative Procedure Act, agency action may be set aside on judicial review if the reviewing court finds that such action was "unsupported by substantial evidence." Despite this provision, the bill would include a statement that any hearing held in connection with the removal of a director or officer of a State member bank shall be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act and be subject to review as therein provided, except that the review by the court shall be upon the "weight of the evidence." The Board sees no sound reason for this departure from the general rule laid down in the Administrative Procedure Act and the Board questions whether it is desirable to single out the type of action here involved as an exception from the "substantial evidence" rule uniformly applied in the case of all other agency actions. Application of the "weight of the evidence" rule would, of course, mean that the decision of an expert administrative agency in proceedings for the removal of a bank director or officer could be upset by a reviewing court even though that decision was clearly supported by substantial evidence.

Use of reserves of holding company affiliates (Title II, § 33). - Mention has already been made of one change which would be made by the bill with respect to the reserve of readily marketable assets required by present law to be maintained by holding company affiliates. Another proposed change in this respect would be to permit a holding company affiliate to use such reserve for additions to capital of affiliated banks as well as for the replacement of capital in such banks. This reserve was originally

intended to enable a holding company affiliate to come to the aid of its subsidiary banks in times of stress or emergency. The Board questions whether the proposed broadening of this provision to permit the reserve to be used for capital additions would be consistent with the purposes of the law, since, if it were used in normal times for such purposes, it might well become depleted and not be available when it would be needed in unusual circumstances in order to maintain the sound condition of the holding company affiliate's subsidiary banks.

PROVISIONS OF TITLE I QUESTIONED BY THE BOARD

Most of the provisions of this bill outside of Title II have no direct effect upon the Federal Reserve System. Title I of the bill, however, relating to national banks, includes two provisions which are of concern to the Board because of their possible effect upon the soundness of the banking system.

Cumulative voting. - Under present law cumulative voting in elections of directors of national banks is mandatory, and this has been the case since 1933. Cumulative voting is based on the principle of permitting due representation of minority shareholders on a corporation's board of directors. Section 26(c) of Title I of the bill would permit cumulative voting only if provided for in the national bank's articles of association. The Board feels that the principle of cumulative voting is sound and questions whether the proposed change should

be made unless Congress is satisfied that cumulative voting has produced undesirable results so great as to outweigh the obvious justice of giving proper representation to minority interests. Since the contents of the articles of incorporation of a national bank are determined by a majority of the bank's shareholders, it is obvious that the practical effect of the proposed change would be to eliminate all cumulative voting in elections of national bank directors. Although proponents of this change have contended that cumulative voting has given rise to situations in which minority shareholders have been able to place an undesirable individual on the board of directors of a national bank, the Board doubts whether abuses of this kind have been so great as to justify abandonment of the basically sound principle of cumulative voting. It should be borne in mind in this connection that minority-elected directors often can stimulate other directors to greater activity in behalf of a bank and, furthermore, that if a minority-elected director should engage in unsound activities, he would be subject to removal under the law.

Debt limit of national banks. - Section 37 of Title I would increase the maximum limit of a national bank's total indebtedness from 100 per cent of its capital stock to 100 per cent of its capital stock and surplus. This considerable expansion in the borrowing ability of national banks would, in the Board's opinion, be unnecessary and undesirable. Although bank borrowings may occasionally be necessary in limited amounts and for limited periods in order to avoid liquidation

of assets that might otherwise be necessary, it is a practice that should not be encouraged because it tends to dilute the cushion of protection which is afforded depositors by a bank's capital and surplus. Enlargement of the borrowing limits as here proposed might well encourage national banks to hold smaller amounts of liquid assets and to rely unduly upon borrowings for necessary adjustments. In the case of an emergency requiring unusual borrowing, the discount facilities of the Reserve Banks are readily available. To encourage the ability of national banks to borrow outside the Reserve Banks would tend to diminish the restraining influence that the Reserve Banks are directed by law to assert upon borrowing member banks which may be making undue use of credit for speculative purposes.

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS RECOMMENDED BY THE BOARD

Before concluding this statement, the Board would like to bring to the attention of the Committee certain proposed changes in Federal Reserve law which are not included in the pending bills but which, in the Board's opinion, should appropriately be incorporated in this legislation.

Repurchase agreements. - For many years the Federal Reserve Banks in connection with their open market operations have utilized repurchase agreements as a convenient and flexible means of helping to smooth out temporary irregularities in the money market. These agreements are in the form of a purchase and sale and they are used only to

implement open market operations pursuant to regulations of the Federal Open Market Committee. However, such transactions admittedly have some of the attributes of a loan and present law contains no specific reference to these transactions. Accordingly, the Board believes that a clarifying amendment which would specifically authorize such repurchase agreements by the Federal Reserve Banks would be desirable.

Fiscal agency operations by the Federal Reserve Banks. -

Under various provisions of present law, the Federal Reserve Banks are authorized or directed to act as fiscal agents of the United States and of a number of departments and agencies of the Federal Government. The activities of the Reserve Banks as such fiscal agents have increased tremendously in recent years. More than 3,100 of the approximately 18,600 employees of the Federal Reserve Banks are now engaged full time in fiscal agency operations on behalf of more than 25 Governmental agencies in some 50 different capacities. It has become increasingly evident that, in addition to the general authority of the Board to supervise the Reserve Banks, the law should contain some more specific authority for the over-all coordination of the fiscal agency operations of the Reserve Banks. In five instances such authority now exists; and it would be helpful if it existed in all cases so as to make certain that the many activities which the Reserve Banks are required to perform on behalf of Government departments and agencies do not become inconsistent with the over-all purposes of the Reserve Banks or unduly burdensome. The Board, therefore, recommends that this legislation include at an appropriate place a provision making all fiscal agency

operations of the Reserve Banks specifically subject to supervision and regulation by the Board.

Payment of interest on deposits. - Since 1933, the law has prohibited member banks from paying interest on demand deposits, directly or indirectly, by any device whatsoever, and has required the Board of Governors to fix maximum rates of interest which may be paid by member banks on time and savings deposits. Similar provisions are contained in the Federal Deposit Insurance Act with respect to payment of interest on deposits by nonmember insured banks. For many years, the matter of determining whether particular practices involve a payment of interest on deposits has presented substantial and almost impossible administrative problems. Questions arise, for example, as to whether the furnishing of free parking facilities, special printing of checks, lower rates of interest on loans to depositors, and numerous other practices constitute indirect payments of interest under the broad language of the statute. In order to make the law more workable, the Board recommends that the words "directly or indirectly, by any device whatsoever" be deleted from the statute and that the words "payment of interest" be expressly defined as including only cash payments made, or credits given, by a bank for the account or benefit of a depositor. In the Board's opinion such a change would carry out the basic purposes of the statute and at the same time make possible a more practical administration of the law.

In this connection, the Board also recommends that the law be clarified so as to make the same rules as to what constitutes a payment of interest on deposits apply to member banks and nonmember insured banks alike. Obviously this was the intent of Congress when the law was originally enacted. However, in the application of the statute, the Board has ruled that absorption of exchange charges by member banks is a payment of interest, whereas the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has taken the opposite position with respect to nonmember insured banks. As a result, member banks in some sections of the country have been placed at a serious competitive disadvantage with respect to nonmember banks, and the check collection process has been slowed up by the unnecessary circuitous routing of checks drawn on nonpar banks. If the law should be amended as previously suggested by the Board to define interest as including only cash payments or credits, it is believed that absorption of exchange would come within that definition. However, if the law should not be amended to include such a definition, the Board believes that the law should be amended either by including an explicit statement regarding absorption of exchange charges by both member and nonmember insured banks, or, in the alternative, by authorizing either the Board or the FDIC to define a "payment of interest" for both classes of banks.

It should be emphasized that this recommendation is made only for the purpose of removing existing inequities which have arisen in this field and not for the purpose of forcing "par clearance" upon

banks that now charge exchange. The Board's proposal relates not to the making of exchange charges but to the absorption of such charges as a device for paying interest on deposits. The purpose is simply to make the same rules applicable to all insured banks and to preclude situations in which nonmember insured banks are permitted to absorb exchange while competing State and national member banks are not allowed to do so.

With respect to this matter, the report of the Senate Banking and Currency Committee recognized that the law should apply uniformly to both classes of banks, but stated that the Board and the FDIC should resolve the question by developing uniform regulations for both member and nonmember insured banks. However, all efforts for such uniform regulations, over a period of many years, have proved fruitless. In the Board's opinion, the problem is one which can be resolved only by specific legislation.

Conclusion

In view of the length of this statement, it may be appropriate in conclusion to say again that the Board endorses the general objectives of the pending legislation. With the few exceptions that have been indicated, the Board approves the provisions of the bill insofar as they affect the Federal Reserve System. Enactment of such a codification of Federal statutes relating to financial institutions is long overdue and the Board hopes that it will be approved.

This article is protected by copyright and has been removed.

Article Title: Retain Cumulative Voting, Martin Insists in Hearing

Journal Title: American Banker

Date: July 16, 1957

This article is protected by copyright and has been removed.

Article Title: Martin, Patman Clash Over Jobs Versus Price Inflation at Hearings: FRB Chairman Hits High Bank Credit Criticism

Journal Title: American Banker

Date: July 17, 1957

This article is protected by copyright and has been removed.

Article Title: Reserve Requirement Too High, But Revision Difficult, Martin Says

Journal Title: American Banker

Date: July 18, 1957

August 19, 1957.

Dr. O. B. Jesness,
Head,
Department of Agricultural Economics,
University of Minnesota Institute
of Agriculture,
St. Paul 1, Minnesota.

Dear Oscar:

Thank you for your nice letter of August 13 and I am pleased to send you a copy of my prepared statement before the House Committee on Banking and Currency regarding the proposed "Financial Institutions Act of 1957." As this prepared statement dealt only with specific provisions of the proposed Act, while most of the press comment was on the basis of my subsequent testimony in response to questions from the Committee, we did not send it to all directors. We are, however, sending copies of my statement before the Senate Finance Committee to all directors, with the thought that this statement of System policy would be of interest. I am enclosing a copy for you.

It is thoughtful of you to write me and I appreciate your kind comments.

With all good wishes,

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) Bill Th.

Wm. McC. Martin, Jr.

Enclosures (Statement of July 15 before House Banking and Currency Committee
statement of August 13 before Senate Finance Committee)

mnm

This article is protected by copyright and has been removed.

Article Title: Martin Scorns Plan To Hike FR Buying of U.S. Securities

Journal Title: American Banker

Date: July 29, 1957

This article is protected by copyright and has been removed.

Author: Dale, Edwin L. Jr.

Article Title: Federal Reserve Sees Tight Credit Lowering Prices Martin Looks
for a Decline as Borrowing Becomes Difficult for Sellers

Journal Title: New York Times

Date: August 5, 1957

This article is protected by copyright and has been removed.

Article Title: Martin Fights Move to Change FR Setup

Journal Title: American Banker

Date: August 1, 1957

This article is protected by copyright and has been removed.

Article Title: Fiscal Policy Hassle Over-shadows Hearings on Banking Act
Revision: Administration Indicates It Will Stand Pat

Journal Title: American Banker

Date: August 5, 1957

This article is protected by copyright and has been removed.

Article Title: Martin Rules Out Tighter Reserve Requirements as Rein on Inflation: No 'Magic' Solution, He Tells House Group

Journal Title: American Banker

Date: August 6, 1957

August 19, 1957.

Dr. O. B. Jesness,
Head,
Department of Agricultural Economics,
University of Minnesota Institute
of Agriculture,
St. Paul 1, Minnesota.

Dear Oscar:

Thank you for your nice letter of August 13 and I am pleased to send you a copy of my prepared statement before the House Committee on Banking and Currency regarding the proposed "Financial Institutions Act of 1957." As this prepared statement dealt only with specific provisions of the proposed Act, while most of the press comment was on the basis of my subsequent testimony in response to questions from the Committee, we did not send it to all directors. We are, however, sending copies of my statement before the Senate Finance Committee to all directors, with the thought that this statement of System policy would be of interest. I am enclosing a copy for you.

It is thoughtful of you to write me and I appreciate your kind comments.

With all good wishes,

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) Bill Th.

Wm. McC. Martin, Jr.

Enclosures (Statement of July 15 before House Banking and Currency Committee
statement of August 13 before Senate Finance Committee)

mnm

August 9, 1957.

The Honorable Merwin Coad,
House of Representatives,
Washington 25, D.C.

Dear Mr. Coad:

In view of our testimony recently and your particular interest in agriculture, I thought you might be interested in receiving an address which Governor Chas. N. Shepardson recently gave. Governor Shepardson was formerly Dean of Agriculture of Texas A. and M. College and has had a great deal of practical experience in farming as well as devoting a lot of time to the problems of the farmer.

Perhaps some time we can get you down to the Board for a visit with Mr. Shepardson.

With all good wishes,

Sincerely yours,

(SIGNED) Wm. McC. Martin, Jr.

Wm. McC. Martin, Jr.

Enclosure ("Credit Policies and Their Implications for Agriculture"- address of Mr. Shepardson at meeting of the New England Agricultural Economics Council, University of Connecticut, at Storrs, Connecticut, on June 27, 1957)

cc: Governor Shepardson & Mr. Cherry
SIMILAR letters to Congressman Anderson of Montana, and
Congressman Breeding of Kansas.

WMM:mmm

JUL 25 1957

Dear Mr. Vanik:

1. Is there any foundation in reports that substantial amounts of investment capital have gone into temporary refuge pending a stabilization of the current inflationary spiral, thereby avoiding entailment before interest rates reach their most productive levels in a free money market?

In meeting these large demands for funds, many financial institutions appear to have become heavily "loaned-up". It is reported that forward investment commitments by life insurance companies are at record levels relative to the companies' cash inflows. Holdings of cash and of United States Government securities by commercial banks, savings and loan associations and mutual savings banks are a much smaller share of the total assets held by these institutions than earlier. Individuals are allocating much more of their savings to direct investment in corporate and municipal securities than they did a year ago. All the evidence available indicates that the rise in returns offered to investors has substantially increased the volume of savings made available to borrowers seeking long-term funds.

Demands for funds, however, continue to exceed the total amount of savings the community is willing to make available for these purposes. As can be expected in a free market economy, the intense competition for funds has resulted in increases in the price of money for most borrowers, and a drying-up of funds available for those investments where rates are set, through administrative order or legislation, below prevailing market levels.

2. What is the extent in dollars of the internal generation of investment capital by American corporations through the sale of treasury-held stock, and what is the percentage increase in such internal generation during the past five years?

No statistics are available as to the issuance or sale of treasury-held stock by corporations. Data compiled by the Securities and Exchange Commission on security issues do not distinguish sales of treasury stock from other issues. We have no information that would enable us to answer this question.

I am sorry that we cannot give you more definite replies to these specific questions. If there is any further information that we can supply you, we shall be glad to endeavor to do so.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) Wm. McC. Martin, Jr.

Wm. McC. Martin, Jr.

WT:lim
7/25/57

cc: Miss Muehlhaus
Mr. Cherry

March 26, 1958

Memorandum to Board of Governors

From Jerome W. Shay

Subject: Changes in the pending Financial Institutions Act agreed to by the House Committee on Banking and Currency in executive sessions.

Since March 11 the House Committee on Banking and Currency has been in executive sessions on the pending Financial Institutions Act (S. 1451) as passed by the Senate last March.

The changes agreed to by the Committee in the Senate-passed bill all relate to various sections of Title I of the bill concerning national banks, except for two changes agreed to today affecting Title II concerning the Federal Reserve System.

The changes in Title I are listed below as they have appeared in the daily issues of the Congressional Record, beginning with the issue for March 13, 1958. The changes in Title II are listed at the end hereof under the date March 26, 1958.

March 13, 1958

Definition of State bank in Title I was broadened to make sure that any company receiving deposits or doing fiduciary business is covered.

A provision was added specifying the Comptroller of the Currency's salary shall be fixed by the Executive Pay Act, as it now is.

The amount of the surety bond for deputy comptrollers was raised from \$50,000 to \$100,000 to conform to that of the Comptroller of the Currency.

Section 7(a), relating to employees of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, was changed to read as it does in the existing law.

The prohibition in existing law and in section 8(a) of Title I against the Comptroller of the Currency or any of his deputies owning stock in national banks was broadened to cover State banks as well.

The prohibition in section 8(b) of Title I against employees of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency accepting employment in a national bank within 2 years after leaving the Office was made absolute; under the bill, this would have been permitted with the Comptroller's approval.

March 14, 1958

The provision in section 8(b) concerning employees of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency accepting employment in national banks was broadened to make sure it covers all personnel under the Comptroller, including the Deputy Comptrollers.

A provision was added to the bill requiring the Comptroller of the Currency to include in his annual report to Congress information as to matters indicating a need for changes in the National Bank Act, together with recommendations for amendments to that act and related laws that he considers desirable in the interest of the Government.

The factors to be considered in approving or disapproving an application for a national bank charter were spelled out in section 12 of Title I, which had merely incorporated by reference section 15 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act. These include adequacy of capital structure, earnings prospects, character of management, convenience and needs of the community, and conformity with requirements of the National Bank Act.

A provision was added to section 12 of Title I specifying that the articles of association of a new national bank shall be subject to approval by the Comptroller of the Currency. Approval would be granted or withheld in accordance with the standards specified in section 12.

March 18, 1958

Section 20 of Title I was amended so as to authorize the Comptroller of the Currency to approve issuance of preferred stock only if he finds this is the "only practicable method" of obtaining desired and needed additional capital. Under the Senate-passed bill, approval would be based on a finding that preferred stock is the "most practicable method."

Section 20 was also amended by striking out the third sentence, which provides that the Comptroller of the Currency's certification shall be "conclusive evidence" that preferred stock issued by a national bank has been validly issued.

Section 20 was also amended by striking out subsection (b), which relates to valuing preferred stock at par for purposes of determining whether a national bank's capital is impaired.

Section 35 was amended by striking out the last sentence of subsection (a), which provides that where a national bank purchases an obligation in a State where such a purchase by a State bank is not subject to the State usury laws, the purchase by the national bank shall enjoy a similar exemption.

March 19, 1958

Section 36 was amended to permit national banks to make real-estate loans insured or guaranteed by a State (either directly or through a State authority), without regard to loan-to-value restrictions and limits on maturity that would otherwise apply, if State banks may make such loans in the State.

The provision of section 39(f) of Title I, which permits acceptance of deposits by national banks under school thrift plans, was limited to instances where such operations do not violate State law.

The provisions of section 39(a) and (b) of Title I, permitting national banks to retain branches in lawful operation on the date of enactment of the McFadden Act, were amended to permit retention of branches in lawful operation on the date of enactment of the new Financial Institutions Act.

March 20, 1958

Section 39(b) of Title I was amended to provide that in case of a merger or consolidation where the continuing bank is a national bank, it may keep branches it had at the time of the merger or consolidation only if the Comptroller of the Currency approves.

Section 51 of Title I, specifically prohibiting State examination and licensing of national banks, was stricken from the bill.

March 21, 1958

A new section 51 was added to Title I subjecting national banks to all provisions of State law on installment credit, including licensing and examination by State supervisory agencies.

Section 45 of Title I, relating to national banks acting as insurance agents and real estate brokers, was stricken from the bill, and a provision inserted permitting those banks which were engaged in such activities on January 1, 1958, to continue to do so, if they comply with State license requirements.

All provisions of the bill authorizing stock option plans for national banks were stricken.

Section 36(a) of Title I was amended to permit national banks to make FHA section 203 real-estate loans without regard to the existing limitations as to capital and surplus and time and savings deposits.

Section 36(e), which provides that business loans made primarily in reliance on the borrower's credit standing and forecast of operations shall not be subject to the restrictions on real-estate loans, even though secured by a real-estate mortgage, was broadened to cover such loans to merchandising concerns.

A new provision was added to the bill spelling out procedures for approval by the Comptroller of the Currency of applications for new national bank charters, and granting a right to judicial review of the Comptroller's action in such cases.

A provision was added to section 49(c) of Title I providing for annual audit by GAO of the Comptroller's use of examination fees paid by national banks.

A provision was added to section 50, which relates to confidentiality of examination reports, specifying that such reports shall be made available in response to subpoena of any Federal or State court of record.

March 25, 1958

The Committee deferred consideration of a proposal to amend S. 1451 so as to establish a system of small-business capital banks, and voted to consider this and other proposals before the Committee which would provide equity financing for small business in connection with the hearings on unemployment problems, scheduled to begin April 14.

March 26, 1958 - Changes in both Title I and Title II

On the basis of oral advice, it is understood that today the following changes were agreed to by the Committee:

Section 54(a)(3) of Title II, relating to bank holding companies, was changed to require advance approval by the Board of the acquisition of all or substantially all of the assets of a bank by any bank holding company or subsidiary thereof, even though the acquiring institution is a bank.

Section 32(b) of Title I and section 23(d) of Title II were changed to delete the provisions thereof under which a national bank or a State member bank might purchase and hold stock of another bank for not to exceed 90 days.