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## PRFL IMINARY MFMORANDUM FOR:

THE OPEN MARKTT POLICY CONFERENCE JANUARY $11,1932$.

The last meeting of the Open Market Policy Conference on November 30 was concerned chiefly with meeting the holiday currency and year-end demands on member banks. The year-end period passed quietly and it was only found necessary to use a small part of the authority for purchases of government securities arranged at that meeting. This was due to the fact that there was not the usual concentration of year-end demands on the New York money market, apparently due to the inability of banks outside New York to withdraw funds from New York in the usual volume to meet their requirements. After purchases of 50 million dollars of government securities had been made (partly in Chicago) it appeăred probable that further requirements could be met by the member banks borrowing moderate amounts from the Reserve bank over the year-end. Moderate temporary purchases of governments from member banks were made by several Reserve banks for their own account.
, With the year-end requirements disposed of, attention may now be directed to the longer term policy to be followed by the System. The events dí the past few months may be reviewed briefly as follows:

Business activity and employment have declined further.
Commodity prices have reached new low levels since the pre-war period.

Security prices have reached new low levels, though high grade corporation bond prices have shown some recovery.

Currency hoarding was much diminished in November and early December although during the past two weeks there have been some indications of an unseasonable denand for currency due to bank disturbances.

The heavy gold outflow of the eerly autumn has been followed by a renewed inflow, so that the monetary gold stock of the United States is now only about $\$ 135,000,000$ smaller than a year ago.

Bunk failures diminished considerably during November but have slown a renewed increase during the past few weeks.

Bank credit has shown an almost continuous decline of substantial proportions.

This last item constitutes the most serious element in the present credit situation. It has become apparent thit, since the heavy withdrawals from the banks of funds of domestic and foreign depositors in the early autumn, the practices followed by the banks have resulted in the progressive rfduction of bank credit and a progressive decline in the mariket value of benk assets. This has as its basis the urgent desire of all banks under present conditions to maintain as high a degree of liquidity as possible.

The unusual withdrawals of funds from the banks in the early autumn led many banks to attempt to strengthen their individual positions by calline in or reducing loans or by selling securities. For the individual bank this procedure is successful, for the time being at least, in easing its position, but it has the effect of taking deposits from other banks and of putting pressure on the security or commodity markets. The other banks that lose deposits as the result of these operations, consider it necessary, in their turn, to reduce their loans or investments to strengthen their position, and the burden is simply passed alonf to other institutions and the process repeated. Thus there has developed a progressive reduction of earning assets for the banks as a whole, which instead of restoring the bank position has worsened it because it has caused a continuous and extraordinarily rapid decline in deposits.

In the past three months the loans of weekly reporting member banks have been reduced more than $\$ 1,000,000,000$, and their investments $\$ 500,000,000$. These reductions in earninf, assets, far from restoring the position of these banks, have augmented the reduction in deposits due in the first place to withdrawals of funds by domestic and foreign depositors, so that the total deposits of these banks have declined $\$ 2,250,000,000$ during the three months.

The sale of more than $\$ 500,000,000$ of securities within a few weeks by the weekly reporting member banks alone, has had the inevitable effect of accelerating the decline in bond prices. Under present conditions of excessive caution, the security markets have not their usual absorptive powers, so that kny liquidation of investment holdings has unusually violent effects on security prices, Within a period of a few months United States Government bonds have declined 10 per cent; high grade corporation bonds have declined 20 per cent; and lower grade bonds have shown even larger price declines. Declines of such proportions inevitably have increased greatly the difficulties of many banks, and it has now become apparent that the efforts of individual institutions to strengthen their position have seriously weakened the banking position in general.

As market values of securities have declined and merkets have been disorganized not only have new issues or refunding issues become impossible, but the credit of variaus borrowers including various municipalities, has become impaired so that bank credit has become unavailable to many. This situation has been reflected in the discontinuance of many business projects, further decline in business activity and commodity prices. This situation is not curing itself but tends to grow progressively worse unless positive steps are taken to change the trend.


$$
\text { Date January } 13,1988
$$

To $\qquad$ Mr. Hamlin

From $\qquad$
Subject: $\qquad$ -
$\square$
There is attached hereto, for your information in advance of consideration at an early meeting of the Board, copy of the report submitted by the Open Market Policy Conference following its meeting on January 11-12, 1932.
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## COMPIDENPIAI

The Conference has considered the preliminary memorandum and discussed at length the current business and banking situation. It gave particular attention to the increase in bank failures and the pressure upon the busines and price structure of the country resulting from or coincident with the live deflation in bank credit during the past year, the contraction of bank loans and investments during the last quarter of 1931 being at the rate of about 25\% per annum. The Conference believes that this deflation cannot continue Without most serious damage to the business and financial structure of the country. While the Conference is of the opinion that the proposed Reconstruction Finance Corporation will be of material help in checking the failure of sound banks and the thus tending to relax further unnecessary pressure for liquidation and that while the further acquisition of bills by the Federal reserve banks may be encouraged by Federal reserve bank rate foos. adjustments, nevertheless because of the seriousness of the ${ }^{\top}$ general situation and the importance of relieving the drastic pressure on the fredit structure now inspired largely by fear of further liquidation, the System should be prepared, if necessary, to supplement these other steps by the purchase of Govermment securities. It, therefore, resolves that the Executive Committee be authorized if and when desirable to purchase for System account not to exceed $\$ 200,000,000$ of Government securities, such purchases to be made only after the approval of the Fxecutive Committee at a meeting to be called for the purpose of considering the occasion or need therefor.

January 12, 1932.

# The First National Bank of Boston <br> Boston. Massachusetts 

Philip Stockton
President
January 15, 1932

## Honorable Charles S. Hamlin Federal Reserve Board Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hamlin:
I have received your letter of January l3th in reply to mine of the llth and feel that you somewhat misunderstood my point of view.

It did not occur to me that the result of my suggestion would be an increase of Federal Reserve notes outstanding. Rather, my suggestion was to counteract the evil effects of a further demand on the part of the public to have Federal Reserve notes. In other words, I am not expecting the procedure to increase the demand on the part of the banks for the notes but rather if the demand for the notes should arise the action outlined would lessen the harmful effects.

If the Reserve Board lessened reserves at the present time the immediate result would be a payment of rediscounts by the use of the excess reserves created.

The suggestion was not intended to force excess reserves into the market nor to force Federal Reserve notes into circulation. It was merely intended to offset the harm done by excess withdrawals of currency on the part of the public and by the sudden return of these withdrawals.

I am not attempting to say whether or not it would be wise
for the Reserve Board to reduce the bank reserves at the present time, but I feel it would be wise for them to have some weapon to counteract possible further large withdrawals of currency on the part of the public.

Yours very truly,
mucin slocuton
President
F.

## Doar ilfe. intocktons

If t undoratanil your noto in raply to ninop your pro oul vousa malbe it nomsible for memher barice, to obtaln carrency from the Potorns regerve bunks, vikhout borkowlusy thrgugh the deposit of Govarvaent socumbites. I an inolinot to thinte thit the getogt
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Think thie over, and 1 et sio knom horr you foel tbous ito. Very alineosely yourng
14. Phisis Stooldton, Proisteinty The vimet Jiatsonas Bante of Mogtons Moston, Mlang.

## The First National Bank of Boston

Boston. Massachusetts

Philip Stockton
President

Jamary
Twenty-second
1932

Hon.C. S. Hamlin
Federal Reserve Board
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hamlin:
I have thought over your letter of January 21. As I see it, under my plan the initiative does not rest with the member banks. The initiative rests with the Federal Reserve Board, who, when they feel circumstances require it, would permit member banks to substitute Government bonds for their reserves and this would presumably be automatically done by all member banks as they naturally would prefer earning assets to non-earning assets. The difference between the effect of this measure compared with the present security purchases is that the present security purchases expand the assets of the Reserve Bank and lower their ratio, thereby weakening their system. Under my method the liabilities of the Reserve system would be reduced, thereby improving their ratio.

What I wish to point out particularly is that this method would furnish currency without affecting the Reserve ratio in times of great emergency and that when the emergency was over and the currency returned the danger of violent inflation would be less \&NE $d$ by forcing the member banks to take up these bonds and once again place non-earning deposits in the Reserve Bank. It is a power given the Reserve Board beyond that which they now have by market purchases. I would agree entirely that the power they have in making open market purchases is all that is necessary for normal times. I do feel, however, that they should have some power beyond this to combat the danger that I can see from extraordinary and excessive hoarding.

Sincerely yours,

## Pebrivary 2, 1932.

## Rersonal and Confidantes?.

## Dear ill. Stocicfom:

I have carat city ixgec four letter of January and. I thinks it Is true that your method roula rake it possible to give the member banks socie earning assets itithout lowering the Federal reserve ratio. The necessity for doing that, - that is, a shortage of gold, - has never yet been a vital consideration to the Federal Reserve System, and in cause it should become necessary, the Board has the power to suspend the reeve hankst reserve requirements. Your proposal, in effect, mould authorize the Federal Reserve Board to reduce member bank reserve requirements, by permitting then to invest a part of then in Covarnuent securities. I an inclined to think that this provision in la do no great hans, nor, so far as I can see, any greit good. It mould, however, subject the Fedoras Reserve Board. to constant pressure from motor banks anting to have their require mints reduced.

> Sincerely yours,
Hr. Philip stockton, Prose giant.
The First National Wank of Boston, Boston, lass.

The First National Bank of Boston Boston, Massachusetts

Honorable Charles S. Hamlin Federal Reserve Board Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hamlin:
Thank you for your letter. I wish
I could agree with it, but at any rate my suggestion has received consideration, which is all I could expect.

Sincerely yours,


President

B-660
February 8, 1932
TO: Federal Reserve Board FROM: Mr . Snead

SUBJECT: Distribution of eligible assets among member bantus

In view of current discussions of banking legislation and numerous inquiries relating to the distribution of eligible paper anon member banirs, Mr. Horbett has prepared the attached memorandum classifying eligible paper and eligible assets (eligible paper plus United States Government securities) according to the proportion of eligible paper to total loans and of eligible assets to total loans and investments, respectively. This classification has been made by class of member bank, by size of bank, and by Federal reserve districts, as of September 29, 1931, the date of the Fall call for condition reports of member banks.

The office of the Comptroller of the Currency compiled the basic data used in the memorandum in so far as they ref or to national, banks.

To: Mr. Snead
From: Mr. Horbett

SUBJECT: Distribution of "Eligible Assets" amons individual member banks.

There are submitted herewith tabulations showing the distribution of "eligible paper" and of "eligible assets" among several groups of member banks as of September 29, 1931. "Bligible paper" represents the amount of member bank loans eligible for rediscount with Federal reserve banks, and "eligible assets" the amount of such eligible paper plus United States Governnent securities (except securities pledged against national bank note circulation) held by member banks.

Eligible assets on September 29, 1931, amounted to \$7,900,000,000, of which $\$ 3,000,000,000$ was loans eligible for rediscount and $\$ 4,900,000,000$ Government securities. In June 1926, the earliest date for which corresponding data are available, member banks held $\$ 8,000,000,000$ of eligible assets, of which $\$ 4,900,000,000$ was in the form of eligible paper and $\$ 3,100,000,000$ in Government securities. The maximum amount of eligible assets reported for any of the call dates for which such figures are available was $\$ 8,200,000,000$ in June 1928.

From an examination of the data submitted herewith it appears that, although member banks in the aggregate had eligible assets much in excess of any probable requirements, 91 of them reported no such assets on September 29 last, and 1,567 member banks, or 20.6 per cent of the total number, had less than $\$ 10$ of eligible assets per $\$ 100$ of total loans and investments. There were 617 member banks, however, with eligible assets of $\$ 50$ or more per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments, and 1,260 with eligible assets of $\$ 40$ or more per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments. Approximately half of the baniks held less than $\$ 20$ of eligible assets, available as the basis for borrowings at

Federal reserve banks, for each $\$ 100$ of their loans and investments.
The distribution of member banks on September 29, 1931, according to the ratio of eligible assets to loans and investments, was not substantially different from the corresponding distribution at the end of December 1929, as may be seen from the following table:

|  | Sept. 29, 1931 |  | Dec. 31, 1929 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of banks | Percentage of banks | Number of banks | Percentage of banks |
| Total, all member banks | 7.599 | 100.0 | 8,522 | 100.0 |
| Banks with no eligible assets | 91 | 1.2 | 99 | 1.2 |
| Banks with following amount of eligible assets per $\$ 100$ of |  |  |  |  |
| loans and investments: |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$10 | 1,567 | 20.6 | 1,749 | 20.5 |
| \$10-\$20 | 2,115 | 27.8 | 2,065 | 24.2 |
| \$20-\$30 | 1,563 | 20.6 | 1,529 | 18.0 |
| \$30 - \$40 | 1,003 | 13.2 | 1,219 | 14.3 |
| \$40-\$50 | 643 | 8.5 | 846 | 9.9 |
| \$50 and over | 617 | 8.1 | 1,015 | 11.9 |

That there were no large banks among the 91 that had no eligible assets is evidenced by the fact that their aggregate loans and investments were only $\$ 50,000,000$. The 3,682 banks that reported eligible assets of less than $\$ 20$ per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments had a total of $\$ 1,761,000,000$ of such eligible assets, as compared with aggregate loans and investments of $\$ 13,464,000,000$. Their borrowings from Federal reserve banks amounted to $\$ 176,000,000$, or 10 per cent of the amount that they could have borrowed on the basis of their eligible assets. The following table shows loans and investments, eligible assets, and borrowings of each group of member banks:

|  | Septermber 29, 1931 |  |  | December 31, 1929** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Loans and investments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eligible } \\ \text { assets } \end{gathered}$ | Borrowings from F.R. banks | Loans and investments | Eligible assets |
| Total, all member banks | 33.073 | 7,934 | 323 | 35,922 | 7.637 |
| Banks with no eligible assets | 50 | - | - | 101 | - |
| Banks with following amo of eligible assets per of loans and investmen | unts $\$ 100$ |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$10 | 3,526 | 222 | 36 | 5,582 | 363 |
| \$10-\$20 | 9,938 | 1,539 | 140 | 12,952 | 2,013 |
| \$20-\$30 | 8,894 | 2,159 | 80 | 10,747 | $2,738$ |
| \$30-\$40 | 7,643 | 2,568 | 36 | 4,341 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,462 \end{aligned}$ |
| \$40-\$50 | 2,053 | - 906 | 15 | 1,543 | 1,667 |
| \$50 and over | 939 | 540 | 16 | 1,656 | 395 |

**Distribution of borrowings according to ratio of eligible assets to loans and investments is not available for December 31, 1929.

A tabulation of mernber bank borrowings from Federal reserve banks on September 29, 1931, disclosed that 5,159 out of the total of 7,599 member banks were not borrowing from the Federal reserve banirs, and that 1,257 were borrowing less than 30 per cent of the amount of their eligible assets. There were $2 \delta$ banks, however, that were borrowing from Federal reserve banks amounts equal to their eligible assets, 36 were borrowing from 90 to 99 per cent of their eligible assets, and 167 were borrowing from 70 to 90 per cent of such eligible assets. A distribution of member banks according to ratio of borrowings to eligible assets is given in the table below:

DISTRIBUTION OF MEMBER BATKS ACCORDING TO RATIO OF BORROWINGS FROM FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS TO EIIGIBLE ASSETS, ON SMPTEMBER 29, 1931.

|  |
| :--- |

The average amount of eligible assets per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments for member banks as a whole was approximately $\$ 24.00$ on September 29, 1931, as compared with an average of approximately $\$ 21.00$ in December 1929. This increase reflects principally two factors, one the reduction in total loans and investments, and the other the substnatial rise in holdings of $U$. S. Government securities, which more than offset the reduction in loans eligible for rediscount. As indicated in the following table, there was relatively little change during this period in the average anount of eligible assets per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments held by country banks. At central reserve city and reserve city banks, however, particularly those in New York City, the average amount rose considerably, as a result of the rapid growth in Government security holdings.

|  | September 29,1931 |  | December 31, 1929 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of banks | ```Eligible assets per $100 of loans and investments``` | ITumber of banks | Eligible assets per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments |
| Total, all member banks | 7.599 | \$23.99 | 8,522 | \$21.26 |
| Central reserve city banks: New Yorls City | 42 | 30.25 | 56 | 24.53 |
| Chicago | 12 | 24.88 | 17 | 20.02 |
| Other reserve city banks | 366 | 24.83 | 458 | 21.83 |
| Country banks | 7,179 | 18.68 | 7,991 | 18.76 |

Member bank borrowings from Federal reserve banks on September 29 last averaged approximately $\$ 1$ ner $\$ 100$ of their loans and investments, and approximately $\$ 4.00$ per $\$ 100$ of their eligible assets. In other words, such borrowing represented about 4 per cent of the amount that they could have borrowed on the basis of their eligible assets, and about 1 per cent of the total amount of member bank credit outstanding. These ratios were much lower last Septernber than in December 1929 in the case of central reserve city and reserve city banks, and somewhat lower in the case of country banks as may be seen from the table below.

|  | Septermber 29, 1931 |  | December 31, 1929 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Borrowings at F.R. bank per \$100 of loans and investraents | Borrowings at F.R. bank per $\$ 100$ of eligible assets | Borrowings at F.R.bank per \$100 of loans and investments | Borrowings at <br> F.R.bank per <br> $\$ 100$ of <br> eligible <br> assets |
| Total, all member banits | \$0.98 | \$4.08 | \$1.80 | \$8.49 |
| Central reserve city banks: 0.76 |  |  |  |  |
| New York | 0.16 | 0.52 | 1.29 | 5.27 |
| Chicago | 0.04 | 0.17 | 2.05 | 10.23 |
| Other reserve city banks | 1.03 | 4.15 | 2.01 | 9.25 |
| Country banks | 1.63 | を. 73 | 1.91 | 10.21 |

In connection with the above table it should be noted again that member bank borrowings rose sharply in the last quarter of 1931, and when the December 31, 1931, call report data become available, they mill, of course, disclose
a substantial rise in the amount of borrowings as related to loans and investments and to eligible assets.

From the distribution of member banks according to size (using loans and investments as a measure of size), it is found that if the banks are dividod into two groups -- those with loans and investments under \$1,000,000 and those with loans and investments of $\$ 1,000,000$ and over -- the average amount of eligible assets per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments is about the same for both groups. There is a marked difference, however, when the banks are divided into smaller size groups. Baniks of the smallest size show relatively the largest proporion of loans and investments available as the basis of borrowing; those in the midale size groups show relatively the smallest proportion of such eligible assets; vizile the 90 largest banks reported a relatively high ratio of such eligible assets. The figures for each size group are shown in the table below.

|  | September 29, 1931 |  | December 31, 1929 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of banks | Iligible assets por $\$ 100$ of loans and investments | Number of banks | ```Eligible assets per $100 of loans and inves tments``` |
| Total, all member banirs | 7.599 | \$23.99 | 8,522 | \$21.26 |
| Banks with loans and investments -- |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$1, 000,000 | 4,539 | 23.99 | 4,908 | 28.16 |
| \$1,000,000 and over | 3,060 | 23.99 | 3,614 | 20.79 |
| Uncler \$200,000 | 854 | 34.90 | 785 | 39.52 |
| \$200,000 to \$500,000 | 1,947 | 27.28 | 2,136 | 32.15 |
| \$500,000 to \$1,000,000 | 1,738 | 21.17 | 1,987 | 25.24 |
| \$1,000,000 to \$5,000,000 | 2,322 | 18.11 | 2,767 | 18.39 |
| \$5,000,000 to \$10,000,000 | 372 | 18.72 | 415 | 18.10 |
| \$10,000,000 to \$50,000,000 | 275 | 21.24 | 341 | 16.55 |
| \$50,000,000 and over | 90 | 27.17 | 91 | 22.88 |

Borrovings of the smallest banls last September were relatively high in relation to their loans and investmonts and their eligible assets. For example, in the case of the member banks that had loans and investments under $\$ 1,000,000$, their borrowings from Federal reserve banls were approximately $\$ 2.50$ per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments and 10.50 per 100 of eligible assets, while in the case of banks with loans and investments of $\$ 1,000,000$ and over, borrorings averaged $\delta 6$ cents per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments and $\$ 3.67$ per $\$ 100$ of eligible assets. These data for each size groun of banks are given in the following table, but here again it should be remembered that on September 29 borrowings of member banizs amounted to only $\$ 323,200,000$, wherens they now emount to $\$ 855,000,000$.
$\left.\begin{array}{l|l}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { September 29, 1931 } \\ \text { Borrowings at F.R.bank } \\ \text { per Sl00 of loans and } \\ \text { investments }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Borrowings at F.R. bank } \\ \text { per Slo0 of eligible } \\ \text { assets }\end{array}\right\}$

Banks with loans and investments

| Under $\$ 1,000,000$ | 2.51 | 10.47 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000,000$ and over | $.6 \pi$ | 3.67 |
|  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 200,000$ | 5.29 | 15.17 |
| $\$ 200,000$ to $\$ 500,000$ | 2.54 | 10.40 |
| $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 1,000,000$ | 2.05 | 9.75 |
| $\$ 1,000,000$ to $\$ 5,000,000$ | 1.48 | 8.16 |
| $\$ 5,00,000$ to $\$ 10,000,000$ | 1.65 | 9.94 |
| $\$ 10,000,000$ to $\$ 50,000,000$ | 1.33 | 6.26 |
| $\$ 50,000,000$ and over | .44 | 1.61 |

MOTE: This distribution is not available for December 31, 1929.
There are considerable differences betreen member banls in the various districts in the relation of eligible assets to loans and investments, and in the relation of borrowings to loans and investments and to eligible assets. Ficures for each district are given in the two following surmary tables, and more fully in the appended tabulations:

AMOUNT OF BLIGIBLZ ASSTMS PER 100 OF LOATS A'D INTESTMEMTS

| Federal Reserve District | Sente-ber 29, 1931 |  | Decerber 31, 192. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of baniks | Eligible assets per $\$ 1.00$ of loans and investients | Number of ban's | Eligible assets per $\$ 100$ of loens and investments |
| Total, all member baniss | 7.599 | \$23.99 | 8,522 | \$21.26 |
| Boston | 333 | 20.49 | 404 | 16.48 |
| New York | 576 | 26.41 | 931 | 21.50 |
| Philádelôhia | 740 | 18.63 | 764 | 15.22 |
| Cleveland | $69 \%$ | 20.90 | 795 | 17.37 |
| Richriond | 448 | 21.65 | 514 | 20.90 |
| Atlanta | 363 | 25.93 | 420 | 24.50 |
| Chicngo | 960 | 21.65 | 1,186 | 19.12 |
| St. Louis | 500 | 23.92 | 571 | 21.40 |
| Minneapolis | 597 | 31.63 | 683 | 31.54 |
| Kansas City | ¢ 44 | 33.99 | 893 | 34.59 |
| Dallas | 645 | 31.44 | 746 | 35.22 |
| San Trancisco | 545 | 21.45 | 607 | 23.53 |

BORROWIIGS AT FWDERAT ISSTEVE BATK PER \$100 OF LOANS AMD IMVEST: ENTS AND PER \$100 OT JLICIBTM ASSEIS

| Federal Reserve District | Septerioer 29, 1931 |  | December 31, 1229 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Borrovings at T. R. bank per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments | ```Borrowings at F.R. bank per $100 of eligible assets``` | Borrowings at F.R. bank per $\$ 100$ of loans and inves tments | ```Borrovrings at F.R.bank per $100 of 0ligible assets``` |
| Total, all member banits | \$0.98 | \$4.08 | \$1.50 | \$6. 49 |
| Boston | 0.49 | 2.40 | 1.56 | 9.39 |
| New York | 0.53 | 2.00 | 1.39 | 6.47 |
| Philadelphia | 1.67 | 9.93 | 2.45 | 16.18 |
| Cleveland | 1.32 | 6.31 | 2.25 | 13.11 |
| ilichmond | 2.23 | 10.19 | 3.05 | 14.49 |
| Atlanta | 2.64 | 10.94 | 2.60 | 10.54 |
| Chicago | 0.39 | 1. ¢0 | 2.29 | 11.99 |
| St. Louis | 1.3 .9 | 4.97 | 1.35 | 6.29 |
| Minneapolis | 0.46 | 1.44 | 1.10 | 3.59 |
| Kensas City | 1.34 | 3.93 | 2.45 | 7.25 |
| Dallas | 1.51 | 5.11 | 1.39 | 4.04 |
| San Francisco | 1.43 | 5.68 | 1.22 | 5.20 |

When the December 31 , 1931 call report figures become available, they will, of course, show a substantial increase in the ratio of borrowings to loans and investments and to eligible assets, as borrowings more than doubled during the last quarter of the year.

In addition to the foregoing data, all of which are based on "eligible assets," i.e., eligible paper plus Government securities, detailec tabulations have been made based on the ratio of eligible paper to total loans of member banks. From these tabulations it mas found that on September 29 last, 424 member benks held no eligible paper whatever, and that 1,485 had less than $\$ 10$ of such paper per $\$ 100$ of total loans. This distribution, in comparison with a corresponding distribution for 1929, is showm in the following summary table, and more detailed figures by classes of banks, by size groups, and by Federal reserve districts, are contained in the appended tabulations.

|  | Sentember 29, 1931 |  | December 31, 1929 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nrumber of bank: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percen- } \\ \text { tage of } \\ \text { banks } \end{gathered}$ | Number of banks | Percentage of beanles |
| Total, all member banks | 7,599 | 100.0 | 8,522 | 100.0 |
| Banks with no elicgible paper | 424 | 5.6 | 324 | 3.8 |
| Banks with folloving amounts of eligible paper per 100 of total loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\dagger 10$ | 1,485 | 19.6 | 1,553 | 18.2 |
| \$10-\$20 | 1,571 | 20.7 | 1,702 | 20.0 |
| \$20-\$30 | 1,304 | 17.1 | 1,371 | 16.1 |
| \$30- ${ }^{3} 40$ | 954 | 12.7 | 1,071 | 12.6 |
| \$40-\$50 | 769 | 10.1 | 923 | 10.8 |
| \$50 and over | 1,082 | 14.2 | 1,578 | 18.5 |

Table 1 - DISTRIBUYION OF NUMBER OF MEMBER BANKS ACCOMDING TO RATIO OF BORZOWINGS FROM FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS TO ELIGIBLE ASSETS
B-660a.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | in the group | from $F . R$. banir | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Less than } \\ 10 \% \end{gathered}$ | 10-30\% | 30-50\% | 50-70\% | 70-90\% | 90-99\%\% | 100\% |
| Total, all member banks | 7.599 | $5,159$ | 273 | 984 | 616 | 336 | 167 | 36 | 28 |
| DISTRIBUTION BY CLASS OF BANT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| entral reserve city banks: Trew Yorl: City | 42 | 31 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Chicago | 12 | 10 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Other reserve city banks | 366 | 251 | 24 | 47 | 28 | 10 | 4 | 2 | - |
| Country banks. | 7,179 | 4,867 | 248 | 933 | 584 | 324 | 162 | 34 | 27 |
| DISTRIBUTION BY LOANS AND INVESTIEITS(SIZE OF BANK) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$1,000,000.. | 4,539 | 3,06c | 142 | 592 | 382 | 218 | 102 | 23 | 20 |
| \$1,000,000 and over | 3,060 | 2,099 | 131 | 393 | 234 | 118 | 65 | 13 | 8 |
| DISTRIBUYION BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston | 383 | 290 | 14 | 47 | 22 | 6 | 3 | - | 1 |
| TTer York | 876 | 582 | 37 | 141 | 58 | 36 | 18 | 2 | 2 |
| Philadelphia | 740 | 432 | 46 | 117 | 84 | 32 | 18 | 7 | 4 |
| arveland.... | 698 | 462 | 15 | 96 | 65 | 34 | 20 | 6 | - |
| Richmond | 448 | 251 | 26 | 71 | 50 | 29 | 15 | 4 | 2 |
| Atlanta. | 363 | 190 | 17 | 47 | 46 | 40 | 16 | 5 | 2 |
| Chicago... | 960 | 715 | 38 | 104 | 57 | 31 | 9 | 2 | 4 |
| St. Louis | 500 | 323 | 23 | 71 | 34 | 24 | 17 | 3 | 5 |
| Minneanolis | 597 | 478 | 10 | 41 | 40 | 15 | 10 | 2 | 1 |
| Kansas City. | 844 | 612 | 23 | 104 | 65 | 26 | 10 | 1 | 3 |
| Dallas | 645 | 411 | 11 | 91 | 71 | 43 | 14 | 3 | 1 |
| San Francisco ... | 545 | 413 | 13 | 54 | 24 | 20 | 17 | 1 | 3 |
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*Represents the total of (1) paper eligible for rediscount at Federal reserve banks, and (2) United States Government securities, other than securities pledged against national bank note circulation.
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| DISTRIBUTINI BY CLASS OF BAIE | ```Total (all banks in group)``` | Bankswith noeligibleassets | Banks with following amounts of eligible assets per $\$ 100$of loans and investments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Under \$10 | \$10-\$20 | \$20-\$30 | \$30-\$40 | \$40-\$50 | \$50 and over |
| Central Reserve City Banks in Now York City |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banks Percentage of banks | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | - | $11.9^{5}$ | 4 9.5 | 10 23.8 | 14 33.4 | 5 11.9 | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 9.5 \end{array}$ |
| - ans and investments <br> (millions of dollars) | $8,253$ | - | 100 | 145 | 2,445 | 4,769 |  | 20 |
| Eligible assets* <br> do <br> Borrowings <br> from $F$ <br> R. ban's <br> do | $2,496$ | - | 7 | 23 | 2,455 | 1,581 | $\begin{aligned} & 774 \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ | 20 11 |
| Borrowings from F. R. banks <br> Other borrowings | 13 | - | 1 | (a) | 5 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
|  | 23 | - | (a) | - | 19 | 3 | - | - |
| Sligible asscis per \$100 of loans and investments Borrowings at F.R.banles per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments | \$30.25 | - | \$7.35 | \$15.61 | \$22.68 | \$33.15 | \$41.35 | \$54.54 |
| Borrowings at F.R.banizs per \$100 of eligible assets* | 0.16 0.52 | - | 0.75 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.22 | 20.22 |
| Borrowings at F.R.banis per \$100 of eligible assets* | 0.52 | - | 10.19 | 0.44 | 0.86 | 0.09 | 0.54 |  |
| Central Reserve City Banks in Chicaso |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percenta e of banks | 100.0 | - | 16.7 | 25.0 | 3 25.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | $8.1$ | - |
| Inans and investments <br> (Millions of dollars) <br> isible assets* <br> do | 1,644 | - | 5 | 208 | 1,244 | 182 | 4 | - |
|  | 409 | - | 1 | 36 | 308 | 62 | 2 | - |
| Other borrowings | $(\mathrm{a})^{1}$ | - | (a) | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Iligible assets per \$100 of loans and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings at F.R. banls per \$100 of loans \& investments | \$24.88 | - | \$9.18 | $\$ 17.43$ | \$24.75 | \$34.31 | \$41.74 | - |
| Borrowings at F.R. banks per \$100 of eligible assets* | 0.04 0.17 | - | 0.73 7.94 | - | - | 0.36 | - | - |

(a) Less than $\$ 500,000$.
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(a) Less than $\$ 500,000$.
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| DISTRIBUTION BY LDANS AND INTESTMENTS (SIZE OF BAIT:) | ```Total (all banl:s in group)``` | Ben'swith noeligibleassets | Ban':s with following amounts of eligible assets per \$100 of loans and investments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Under \$10 | \$10-\$20 | \$20-\$30 | \$30-\$40 | \$40-\$50 | $\$ 50$ and over |
| Under \$200,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banks | $854$ | 15 | 72 | 106 | $156$ | 160 | 143 | 202 |
| Forcontage of ban's | $100.0$ | 1.8 | 8.4 | 12.4 | $18.3$ | 18.7 | 16.7 | 23.7 |
| Loens and investments (billions of dollars) | 120 | 2 | 11 | 16 | 23 | 23 | 19 | 26 |
| Eligible assets* do | 42 |  | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| Borrovings from F. R. banies | 6 | - | (a) | (a) | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 2 \end{array}$ |
| Other borrowings <br> do | 2 | - | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) |
| Elicible assets per 3100 of loans and investments | \$34.90 | - | \$6.37 | \$15.00 | \$25.53 | \$35.05 |  | \$62.42 |
| Borrovings at F.R. banks per \$100 of loans \& investments | 5.29 | - | 1.52 | 1.77 | 4.73 | 5.83 | 6.20 | 8.73 |
| Borrowings at F.R. banks per \$100 of eligible assets* | 15.17 | - | 23.85 | 11.77 | 18.52 | 16.64 | 14.04 | 13.99 |
| \$200,000 to \$500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of baniss |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peroentage of benizs | $100.0$ | $2.0$ | 14.4 | 20.2 | $22.3$ | $16.7$ | 12.3 | $12.1$ |
|  | 663 | 13 | 100 | 140 | 148 | 111 | 77 | 74 |
| Eligible assets* do <br> Borrowings from F. R. bentes do | 181 19 | - | 5 | 21 | 37 | 38 | 34 | 45 |
| Other borrowings do | 19 | (a) | 1 | 2 | 4 1 | 5 1 | (a) ${ }^{3}$ | (a) ${ }^{3}$ |
| Eligible assets per \$100 of loans and investments | \$27.28 | - | \$5.43 | \$14.68 | \$24.88 | \$34.56 | \$44.82 | \$60.78 |
| Borrowings et F.R. beazs per \$100 of loans \& investmeats | 2.04 | - | 0.63 | 1.67 | 3.02 | 4.30 | 4.21 | 4.52 |
| Borrowings at F.R. baniss per \$100 of eligible assets* | 10.40 | - | 11.68 | 11.35 | 12.12 | 12.45 | 9.39 | 7.44 |
| (a) Less then $\$ 500,000$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


(a) Less than $\$ 500,000$.
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| DISTRIBUTION BY LOATS AND INV STMEMTS (SIZE OF BANK) | Total(allbanis ingroup) | Bankswith noeligibleassets | Ban':s with following amounts of eligible assets per \$100 of loans and investments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Under \$10 | \$10-\$20 | \$20-\$30 | \$30-\$40 | \$40-\$50 | $\$ 50$ and over |
| \$5,000,000 to \$10,050,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banks centage of banks | $\begin{array}{r} 372 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ 23.9 \end{gathered}$ | 155 41.7 | 71 19.1 | 34 9.1 | 11 3.0 | 12 3.2 |
| Loans and investments (idillions of dollars) Eligible assets* | 2,558 | - | 595 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings from F. R. banirs do do | 479 48 | - | 40 | 1,081 159 | 125 | 230 79 | 69 30 | 82 46 |
| Other borrowings do | 48 | - | 7 | 19 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 5 |
| Eligible assets per \$100 of loans and investments |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | - |
| Borrowings at F.R. banks per \$100 of loans \& investments | $\$ 18.72$ 1.86 | - | \$6.70 | \$14.66 | \$24.85 | \$34.47 | \$44.32 | \$56.30 |
| Borrowings at F.R. banks per \$100 of eligible assets* |  |  | 1.23 | 1.76 | 1.60 | 2.72 | 3.51 | \$ 5.61 |
| \$10,000,000 to $\$ 50,000,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banks Percentace of banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowns and investments (Millions of dollars) | $\begin{aligned} & 5,456 \\ & 1,159 \end{aligned}$ | - | 853 | 2,072 | 1,449 | 596 | 287 |  |
|  |  | - | 5 | 309 | 354 | 202 | 131 | 199 |
| Other borrowings |  | - |  | 38 | 16 | 8 | 1 | 110 |
|  |  |  | 6 | 10 | 4 | 4 | - |  |
| Eligible assets per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments Borrowings at F.R. banlsper \$lon of loans \& investments Borrowings at F.R. baiks ner $\$ 100$ of eligible assets* | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 21.24 \\ 1.33 \\ 6.26 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6.32 \\ 0.94 \\ 14.92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14.90 \\ 1.86 \\ 12.46 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24.41 \\ 1.13 \\ 4.63 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 33.89 \\ 1.41 \\ 4.16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 45.55 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.96 \end{array}$ | \$55.17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| DISTRIBUTIN BY ICATS AID INTESTMTSTS (SIZE OT BANE) | Page |  |  |  |  |  |  | B-660 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { (all } \\ & \text { banls in } \\ & \text { group) } \end{aligned}$ | Banis With no <br> eligible <br> assets | Banks with following ariounts of eligibie assets per, 100 of 10 aus and investments |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Under \$ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$10-\$20 | \$20-\$30 | \$30-\$40 | \$40-\$50 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50 \text { and } \\ \text { oror } \end{gathered}$ |
| \$ $50,000,000$ and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of bani-s Rercentage of bants | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | - | 4 4.4 | 28 31.1 | 23 25.6 | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 27.8 \end{array}$ | 7 7.8 | 3.3 |
| Loans and investments (ficillions of dollars) | 18,091 | - | 262 | 4,462 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4,916 | - | 19 | , 730 | 1,349 | 2,031 | 1,575 | 212 |
| Borrowings from F. R. banks Other borrowings | 79 | - | 5 | 44 | 28 | ? | 5 | 21 |
| Mligible assets per \$100 of loans and investments | \$27.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings at F. R. bants per 100 of loans \& investments | 0.44 | - | 2.03 | 16.37 0.99 | $\$ 24.12$ 0.49 | $\$ 33.47$ 0.04 | \$42.88 | \$57.75 |
| Eorrowings at F. R. bailks per $\$ 100$ of eligible assets* | 1.61 | - | 28.60 | 6.03 | 2.05 | 0.12 | - | - |


| DISTRIBUTI ${ }^{\text {NT }}$ BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS | ```Total (all banles in group)``` | Bankswith noeligibleassets | Banks with following amounts of eligible assets per \$100 of loans and investments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Under \$10 | \$10-\$20 | \$20-\$30 | \$30-\$40 | \$40-\$50 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Boston District $\square_{\text {c\|er }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of benks | 383 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of banks | 100.0 | 0.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 131 \\ 34.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 147 \\ 38.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \\ 17.7 \end{array}$ | 20 5.2 | 11 2.9 | 3 0.8 |
| Duens and investments Eligible assets* <br> (Millions of dollars) <br> do | 2,432 | 4 | 474 | 816 | 869 | 198 | 53 | 17 |
| Eligible assets* <br> Borrowings from F. R. ban's | 498 | - | 33 | 126 | 239 | 63 | 24 | 13 |
| Other borrowings R. ${ }^{\text {dons }}$ do | 12 13 | - | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 | (a) | 1 |
| Eligible assets per \$100 of loans and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings at F.R. banirs per \$100 of loans and investments |  | - | \$6.95 | \$15.47 | \$27.55 | \$32.00 | \$44.48 | \$72.73 |
| Borrowines at F.R. baniks per $\$ 100$ of eligible assets* | 2.40 |  | 0.50 7.22 | 0.71 4.56 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.93 | 3.31 |
| New York District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of baniks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of banks | $\begin{array}{r} 876 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | 0.5 | $\begin{array}{r} 230 \\ 26.2 \end{array}$ | 356 40.6 | 174 19.9 | 78 8.9 | 21 2.4 | 13 1.5 |
| I s and investments Eligible assets* <br> (Millions of dollars) | 11,515 | 2 | 648 | 2,065 | 3,072 | 4,914 |  | 26 |
| Eligible assets* do do domings from F. R. banlas do | 3,041 | - | 42 | 2,319 | 709 | 1,631 | 326 | 15 |
|  | 61 35 | - | 4 | 27 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 4 |
| Eligible assets per $\$ 100$ of loans and investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings at F.R. banizs per \$100 of loans and investments | \$25.41 | - | \$6.46 | \$15.47 | \$23.08 | \$33.18 | \$41.40 | \$55.24 |
| Borrowiags at F.R. bails per \$100 of eligible assets* | 0.53 | - | 0.68 | 1.31 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 15.90 |
|  | 2.00 | - | 10.59 | 8.50 | 2.16 | 0.48 | 0.62 | 28.79 |

(a) Less than $\$ 500,000$.

| DISTRIBUTI N BY FWDERAL RESERTE DISTRICTS | ```Totel (all banizs in croup)``` | Banks with no eligible assets | Banirs with following amounts of elisivle assets per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Under \$10 | \$10-\$20 | \$20-\$30 | \$30-\$40 | \$40-\$50 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Philadelohia District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tumber of bails | 740 | 15 | 304 | 250 | 116 | 34 | 13 | 8 |
| Percentage of banis | 100.0 | 2.0 | 41.1 | 33.8 | 15.7 | 4.6 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| -ows and investments (Millions of dollars) | 2,740 | 6 | 719 | 809 | 682 | 405 | 106 | 14 |
| Higible assets* do | 2, 516 | - | 43 | 118 | 158 | 144 | 4 | 8 |
| Sorrowings from F. R. bonics do | 51 | (a) | 8 | 16 | 25 | 2 | (a) | 1 |
| Other borrowings do | 21 | (a) | 8 | 4 | 2 | 7 | (a) | (a) |
| Higible assets per \$100 of loans and investments | \$18.83 | - | \$5.98 | \$14.63 | \$23.17 | \$35.63 | \$41.88 |  |
| Sorrowings at $\mathrm{F} . \mathrm{R}$. binles per $\$ 100$ of lonns and investments Sorrowings at $\mathrm{F} . \mathrm{R}$. banks per $\$ 100$ of eligible assets* | 1.87 | - | 1.12 | 1.92 | 3.66 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 5.24 |
| Sorrowines at F.R. banks per \$100 of eligible assets* | 9.93 | - | 18.74 | 13.13 | 15.81 | 1.10 | 0.81 | 8.96 |
| Cleveland District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INumber of banks | 698 | 21 | 304 | 217 | 91 | 46 |  |  |
| Percentase of banlss | 100.0 | 3.0 | 43.6 | 31.1 | 13.0 | 6.6 | 12 1.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Io. and investments (Millions of dollars) | 3,203 | 8 | 539 | 1,517 | 444 |  |  |  |
| ㄲligible assets* <br> do | - 669 | - | 30 | - 207 | 109 | 175 62 | 105 | 285 156 |
| Borrowings from F. R. banks do | 42 | - | 7 | 21 | 9 | 6 | (a) | (a) |
| Other borrowings do | 25 | (a) | 9 | 13 | 2 | 2 | (a) | (a) |
| Eligible assets per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments | \$20.90 | - | \$5.61 | \$13.66 | \$24.52 | \$35.36 | \$44.63 | \$54.90 |
| Borrowings at F.R. ban's per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments Borrowings at F.R. baniss per $\$ 100$ of eligible assets* | 1.32 | - | 1.21 | 1.39 | 1.96 | 3.24 | 0.09 | (a) |
| Borrowings at F.R. banits per \$100 of eligible assets* | 6.31 | - | 21.60 | 10.18 | 8.00 | 9.17 | 0.21 | (a) |

(a) Less than $\$ 500,000$.

|  | ```Total (all ban:s in group)``` | Banks with no eligible assets | Banizs with following amounts of eligible assets per \$100 of loans and investments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Under \$10 | \$10-\$20 | \$20-\$30 | \$30-\$40 | \$40-\$50 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Richrnond District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of baniss | 448 |  | 85 | 139 | 106 | 56 |  |  |
| Percentage of banks | 100.0 | 1.5 | 19.0 | 31.0 | $23.7$ | $12.5$ | 7.4 | $4.9$ |
| Loans and investments (Millions of dollars) | 1,137 | 4 | 216 | 378 | 211 | 264 |  |  |
| Eligible assets* do dome | 1,249 | 4 | 216 17 | 378 57 | 211 54 | 264 89 | 38 17 | 26 14 |
| Borrowings from F. R. baniss | 25 | - | 7 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Other bor:owings |  | (a) | 6 | 2 | 1 | + | (a) | (a) |
| Eligible assets per \$100 of loans and investments | \$21.86 | - | \$7.76 | \$15.12 | \$25.79 | \$33.88 | \$43.95 | \$54.72 |
| Borrowings at F. R. banks per \$100 of eligible assets* | 2.23 10.19 | - | 3.15 40.60 | 1.65 10.93 | 2.93 11.34 | 1.33 3.91 | 5.04 11.46 | 2.67 4.85 |
| Atlanta District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banics | 363 |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percenta, e of banics | 100.0 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 22.6 | $25.1$ | $17.9$ | $12.9$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ 15.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Iuons and investments (Millions of dollars) | 960 | (a) | 119 | 246 |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible assets* do dide | 249 | (a) | 119 | 246 39 | 251 59 | 131 44 | 160 | 52 30 |
| Borrowings from F. R, banis | 27 | - | 2 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Other borrowings do | 7 | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | (a) | (a) | (a) |
| Eligible assets per \$100 of loans and investments |  | - |  |  | \$23.57 |  | \$43.58 | \$58.16 |
| Borrowings at P.R. banks per \$100 of loans and invostments | 2.84 | - | 1.94 | + 4.89 | 2.22 | 1.96 | 2.04 | 958.16 2.83 |
| Borrowings at F.R. banis per \$100 of eligible assets* | 10.94 | - | 34.33 | 30.99 | 9.44 | 5.81 | 4.68 | 4.87 |


| OISTRIBUTI BY TETER:L R4SERVE TISTRICTS | Total(allban's inEroup) | Ban'rs with no eligible assets | Banirs with followine amounts of eligible assets per \$100 of loans and investments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Under \$10 | \$10-\$20 | \$20-\$30 | \$30-\$40 | \$40-\$50 | $\$ 50$ and over |
| Chicaso District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nuraber of banls | 960 | 5 | 147 | 300 | 226 | 134 | 84 | 64 |
| Pamcentage of banks | 100.0 | 0.5 | 15.3 | 31.2 | 23.5 | 14.0 | 8.8 | 6.7 |
| Loans and investments (ijillions of dollars) | 4,233 | 2 | 360 | 1,433 | 1,977 | 327 | 94 | 44 |
| Eligible assets* do do | + 919 | 2 | 21 | - 238 | -1,481 | 112 | 41 | 25 |
| Borrowines from F. R. banks do | 17 | - | 3 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | (a) |
| Otrier borrowinks do | 11 | - | 6 | 3 | 1 | (a) | (a) | (a) |
| Elicible assets per \$100 of loans and investments | \$21.68 | - | \$5.87 | \$16.62 | \$24.30 | \$34.22 | \$43.98 | \$56.95 |
| Borrowings at F.R. ban's per \$l00 of loons and investments | 0.39 | - | 0.87 | 0.56 | 0.14 | 43.49 0.4 | 24 0.63 | 0.66 0.9 |
| Borrowings at F.R. baniss per 3100 of eligible assets* | 1.80 | - | 14.82 | 3.39 | 0.60 | 1.43 | 1.42 | 1.15 |
| St. Louis District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banizs | 500 | 11 | 117 | 143 | 128 | 49 | 30 | 22 |
| Percentrac of bonks | 100.0 | 2.2 | 23.4 | 28.6 | 25.6 | 9.8 | 6.0 | 4.4 |
| 1 s and investmonts (Fillions of dollars) | 1,109 | 5 | 198 | 366 | 271 | 57 | 191 | 21 |
| Sligible assuts* do do | 265 | - | 13 | 58 | 70 | 19 | 92 | 13 |
| Borronimgs | 13 | - | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | (a) | 1 |
| Other borrowings do | 6 | (a) | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | (a) | (a) |
| Eligible assets per \$100 of loans and invostments | \$23.92 | - | \$6.71 | \$15.77 | \$25.87 | \$33.87 | \$48.16 | \$60.33 |
| Borrowins at F.R. banles per \$l00 of loans and investments | 1.19 | - | 1.03 | 1.68 | 1.04 | 2.47 | 0.06 | 3.04 |
| Borrowiings at F.R. banizs per Sl00 of eligible assets* | 4.97 | - | 15.38 | 10.67 | 4.01 | 7.29 | 0.12 | 5.04 |

(a) Less then $\$ 500,000$.

|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Total } \\ \text { (all } \\ \text { banizs in } \\ \text { group) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Banliswiti noeligibleassets | Baniss with followiag anounts of eligible assets por \$100 of loans and investments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Under \$10 | \$10-\$20 | \$20-\$30 | \$30-\$40 | \$ $40-\$ 50$ | $\$ 50$ and over |
| Minneapolis District $\square_{\text {l }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banlis Parcentace of baizs | $\begin{array}{r} 597 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | 7 1.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \\ 12.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 \\ 21.1 \end{array}$ | 138 23.1 | 112 18.8 | 79 13.2 | 59 9.9 |
| Loans and investments (Millions of dollars) | \%21 | 7 | 70 | 134 | 156 |  |  |  |
| Elizible assets* do dorron | 261 | - | 5 | 21 | 40 | 104 | 47 | 109 |
| Borrowings from F.R. ban's <br> Othor borrowings | 4 | - | (2.) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | (a) |
|  | 1 | ) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) |
|  | \$31.83 | - | \$6.52 | \$15.60 | \$25.93 |  |  |  |
| Borrowings at F.R. beniss per 100 of loans and investmonts Borrowiass et F.R. banis per $\$ 100$ of eligible assets* | 0.46 1.44 | - | \$0.52 | 115.60 0.38 | $\$ 25.93$ 0.53 | $\$ 34.82$ 0.34 | $\$ 43.14$ 1.82 | 365.29 0.35 |
|  | 1.44 | - | 4.04 | 2.41 | 2.05 | 0.98 | 4.23 | 0.53 |
| Kanses City District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of benits <br> Percentase of beiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 844 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | 4 0.5 | 24 |  | 180 | 172 | 173 | 186 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 2.9 | 12.4 | 21.3 | 20.4 | 20.5 | 22.0 |
| Loens and investiments <br> Eligible assets* <br> Borrowinges <br> (Killions of dollars) do | 1,064 | 2 | 20 | 211 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 362 | - | 1 | 30 | 46 | 254 84 | 151 | 243 |
| Other borrowisis | 14 | - | (a) | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | (a.) | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) |
| Eligible assets per $\$ 100$ of loans ond investmontsBorrowings at F.R. banks per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments | \$33.99 | - | \$6.37 | \$14.28 | \$24.88 | \$32.91 | \$ 243.50 |  |
|  | 1.34 | - | 0.29 | 0.69 | 1.38 | 1.15 | 2.61 | \$59.86 |
| Borrowincs at F.R. banks per $\$ 100$ of eligiblo assets* | 3.93 | - | 4.48 | 4.82 | 5.56 | 3.49 | 6.01 |  |

(n) Less than $\$ 500,000$.

(a) Less than $\$ 500,000$.
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|  | Total <br> (all banks <br> in group) | Banks with no eligible paper | Banks with following amounts of eligible paper per $\$ 100$ of total loans |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Under \$10 | \$10-\$20 | \$20-\$30 | \$30-\$40 | \$40-\$50 | \$50 and over |
| ALL MEMBER BANKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banks | 7,599 | 424 | 1,485 | 1,571 | 1,304 | 964 | 769 | 1,082 |
| Percentage of banks | 100.0 | 5.6 | 19.6 | 20.7 | 17.1 | 12.7 | 10.1 | 14.2 |
| Total loans (Millions of dollars) | 20,874 | 256 | 7,955 | 7,778 | 3,003 | 899 | 453 | 529 |
| Eligible paper do | 2,997 | - | 433 | 1,027 | 708 | 306 | 198 | 325 |
| Eligible paper per \$100 of total loans | \$14.36 | - | \$5.44 | \$13.21 | \$23.58 | \$34.03 | \$43.71 | \$61. 42 |
| Central reserve city banks in New York |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banks | 42 | - | $11$ | $14$ | $1{ }^{7}$ | 3 | $3$ |  |
| Percentage of banks | 100.0 | - | $26.2$ | $33.3$ | 16.7 | 7.2 | $7.1$ | 9.5 |
| Total loans <br> (Millions of dollars) gible paper <br> do | 5,220 706 | - | 671 | 3.510 427 | 1,004 | 11 | 8 4 | 17 |
| Eligible paper per \$100 of total loans | \$13.52 | - | \$5.25 | \$12.18 | \$22.40 | \$37.13 | \$47.87 | \$62.57 |
| Central reserve city banks in Chicago Number of banks |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of banks | 100.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 33.3 | - | 8.3 | 8.4 | - |
| Total loans (Millions of dollars) | 1,129 | 4 | 738 | 375 | - | 2 | 10 | - |
| Eligible paper do | 101 | - | 53 | 44 | - | 1 | 4 | - |
| Eligible paper per \$100 of total loans | \$8.97 | - | \$7.15 | \$11.61 | - | \$32.40 | \$42.74 | - |


(a) Less than $\$ 500,000$.

|  | Total(all banksingroup) | Banks with no eligible paper | Banks with following amounts of eligible paper per $\$ 100$ of total loans |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Under \$10 | \$10-\$20 | \$20 - \$30 | \$30-\$40 | \$40-\$50 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\frac{\text { DISTRIBUTION BY LOANS AND INTVESTMEMTSS }}{\text { (SIZE OF BANK) }}$ (SIZE OF BANTK) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$200,000 to \$500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| aber of banks rcentage of banks | $\begin{aligned} & 1,947 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & 6.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 167 \\ & 8.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 262 \\ 13.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 340 \\ 17.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 324 \\ 16.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 277 \\ 14.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 452 \\ 23.2 \end{array}$ |
| Total loans Eligible paper | $\begin{aligned} & 426 \\ & 145 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | 98 62 |
| Sligible paper per $\$ 100$ of total loans $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 1,000,000$ | \$ 33.92 | - | \$5.92 | \$15.06 | \$25.12 | \$34.74 | \$44.47 | \$63.93 |
| Trumber of banks <br> Percentase of banks | 1,738 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 121 \\ & 7.0 \end{aligned}$ | 285 16.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 368 \\ 21.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 337 \\ 19.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 249 \\ 14.3 \end{array}$ | 183 10.6 | 195 11.2 |
| Total loans (Millions of dollars) Yligible paper | $\begin{aligned} & 743 \\ & 191 \end{aligned}$ | 42 | 121 7 | 157 24 | $\begin{array}{r} 149 \\ 36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113 \\ 39 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| Eligible paper per $\$ 100$ of total loans $\$ 1,000,000 \text { to } \$ 5,000,000$ | \$25.75 | - | \$5.64 | \$15.01 | \$24.30 | \$34.70 | \$44.76 | \$61.83 |
| Number of banks Percentage of banks | 2,322 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 134 \\ & 5.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 680 \\ 29.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 637 \\ 27.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 398 \\ 17.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 216 \\ & 9.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 143 \\ & 6.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 114 \\ & 4.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total loans (Millions of dollars) Eligible paper | 2,897 517 | 139 | $\begin{array}{r} 898 \\ 46 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 854 \\ & 124 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 464 \\ & 113 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 262 \\ 90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 157 \\ 69 \end{array}$ | 123 76 |
| Eligible paper per $\$ 100$ of total loans | \$17.84 | - | \$5.07 | \$14.46 | \$24.28 | \$34.48 | \$44.04 | \$61.52 |
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作．Humeri
For your information：．
Se are obtaining odditionce information and expert to reft on this in a few cays．

Pisbutfuely

$3^{2^{9}}$

COPX
ROPSS, GRAY, BOYDPA A PRBKIES
BO Rederal Street
Boston
February $8,2932$.

Honorable Roy Ao Younge Moderal Zeserve Bank, Boston, Mass.

却 dear Covernor:
At present $8_{0} 500$ shases of comon stock without pars value of The Atlantic Corporation are hold by threo trustees In tyust for the benefit of The Atlantie Ilational Bank of Boston. The Atlantic Corporation is indebted to the Atlantic Danic on un acurad opon account in the amount of $\$ 1,500,000$. The only other prineipl ereditor of The Atlantic Corporation is the Chase Hational Bentr of the City of Mew York which holis a demand note $\mathcal{S o z} \$ 1, \mathbf{1 0 0}_{0} 000_{0}$ the secusity therefor consisting of 22,367 shares of the capital stock of rhe Atlantie Banic and als of the common stock of Atlantic Securities Company. In addition, Whe Atlantie Corporstion owes the sum of $\$ 50,000$ which will be the last paynent aue on account of the purchage of Borrili, Oldham \& Company. This amount is payable Apri2 2. We propose to talce or cauge to be talcen the following ateps, ซiat

1. Arrange with the Glase Banic that that luanic will look only to the collateral held by it for paymont

2. Arrange for the issue to the Atlantie Bank of $15_{0} 000$ shaves of \$7 dividend non-cumalative and non-voting preferred stock without par value in exchange for the cancellation of the open sccoumt reforred to aboves this stock to be carsied on the books of the bank at $\$ 1$.
3. Separate by ap ropriate arrangements completed before the date on which the proposed increase of the capital stocic of the Atlantic Banc shall take effect, the omarahip of the common stock of the Atlantic Corporation from the bani so that the common stook will be held by truateesfor the bonozit of the present and suture shareholders of the banks.
4. Change the name of The Atlantic Corporation to aport Office Square Securities Corporation "or sone other name which will not indicate any connection with the bank.
5. The Atlantic Corporation $w 111$ borrow on its own promissory one-jear note bearing interest at the rate of 5 \& per arum from certain of the Cleaving Bouse Banks of Boston $\$ 5$, 000,000 , and will with the funds so borrowed purchase 250j000 shares of newly authorized common stock of the Atlantic Ennis and will pledge the stock so purchased as security for the loan from the banks. It is understood that the bant shall have no legal or moral responsibility for this borrowing.
6. The meeting of shareholders of the Atlantic Bank has bon called for February 15, 1938. At this meeting it is prom posed to authorise the reduction in the capital of the Atlantic $\mathrm{Ba} k$ and the change of the pas value of its shares from \$25 to $\$ 10$. Both of these changes will, of course, be subject to necessary approval of the Comptrolter of the Currency and the Foderal liesorve Board. The meeting will then be adjured to March $B_{9}$ on which day action will be taken to authorize the increase of capital of the bank and $\$ 10,000,000$ of new money will be paid in.
7. On February 15 th os 16 th subscription warrants will be mailed to shareholders of the bant covering their subascription rights in conn etion with the proposed increase.
Hoping that this information is what you want, I am.
Sours very truly,
(Signed) John Richardson


February 10, 1932.

## Dear Senator Glass:

Dr. Miniller has just fold me that he had a talk with you last evening on the subject of the proposed amendments to the Federal Reserve Act. Perhaps I am not quoting hin correctly, but I understood him to say that you believed that the Federal Reserve Board had authorized. Dree (toldenmeiser and Governor Burgess to present its views in their report to your sub-comattee. I would say In reply, that I was informed that Messes. Goldenveiser and Burgess were requested by your sub-comittee to appear before then, and help then with suggestions as to redrafting the proposed measures that it mas understood that any suggestions made by them were their om personal suggestions, and did not in any way represent any opinion of the Federal Reserve Board. I may add, as a matter of fact, no member of the Board, to my lonowledge, ever saw their recommendations until they were submitted to your Comittoe.

It is hardily necessary to state that if you call upon me, I shall be glad, at any time, to confer with you on this matter.

Sincerely yours,
CS?

Hon. Carter Glass,
United States Senate, Washington, D. C.
VOLUME 223
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| Federal <br> Reserve <br> Bank | Month |  |  |  |  | January |  | 1932 |  | Available for reserves, surplus and franchise tax* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Earnings froin - |  |  |  |  | Current expenses |  | Current net earnings |  |  |
|  |  | Purchased bills | U. S. securities | Other sources | Total | Exclusive of cost of F.R.currency | Total | Amount | Ratio to paid-in capital |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent |  |
| Boston | \$135,431 | \$61,009 | \$97,838 | \$17,029 | \$311,307 | \$153, 442 | \$162,087 | \$149,220 | 15.0 | \$90,687 |
| New York | 553,964 | 246,854 | 517,246 | 79,914 | 1,397,978 | 517,481 | 544, 283 | 853,695 | 16.5 | 553,969 |
| Philadelphia | 356,283 | 26,858 | 107,084 | 19,113 | 50, 338 | 156,391 | 165,983 | 343,355 | 24.6 | 257,906 |
| Cleveland | 369,677 | 29,571 | 118,026 | 23,890 | 541,164 | 203,039 | 212,477 | 328,687 | 26.4 | 242,384 |
| Richmond | 143,940 | 27,067 | 16,418 | 10,023 | 197,448 | 117,542 | 118,854 | 78,594 | 17.0 | 52,367 |
| Atlanta | 144,206 | 31,391 | 21,784 | 14,618 | 211,999 | 98,573 | 105,153 | 106,846 | 24.7 | 81,158 |
| Chicafo | 248,251 | 88,929 | 216,726 | 50,980 | 604,886 | 275,534 | 277,918 | 326,968 | 21.5 | 236,857 |
| St. Louis | 71,942 | 34,245 | 47,919 | 11,275 | 165,381 | 109,606 | 149,732 | 15,649 | 4.0 | -4,059 |
| Minneapolis | - 33,914 | 17,598 | 57,048 | 2,863 | 111,423 | 75,110 | 75,242 | 36,181 | 14.5 | 15,959 |
| Kansas City | 97,478 | 26,927 | 35,819 | 27,442 | 187,666 | 134,539 | 136,646 | 51,020 | 14.4 | 29,581 |
| Dallas | 51,633 | 10,168 | 59,617 | 8,668 | 130,086 | 99,106 | 100,997 | 29,089 | 8.3 | $8,454$ |
| San Francisco | 261,685 | 73,429 | 83,601 | 80,272 | 498,987 | 183,822 | 186,935 | 312,052 | 32.6 | 255,371 |
| January 1932 | 2,468,404 | 674,046 | 1,379,126 | 346,087 | 4,867,663 | 2,124,185 | 2,236,307 | 2,631,356 | 19.4 | 1,820,634 |
| December 1931 | 2,291,667 | 689,379 | 1,288,076 | 482, 501 | 4,751,623 | 2,205,379 | 2,288,411 | 2,463,212 | 18.0 |  |
| January 1931 | 667,159 | 337,428 | 1,355,698 | 121,952 | 2,482,237 | 2,187,698 | 2,331,573 | 150,664 | 1.0 | -396,906 | FGBRUARY 11, 1932

*After making allowance for accrued dividends and current debits and credits to profit and loss account but not for profit or loss on sales of $U$. S. securities held in special investment account.
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February 11, 1932.

## Dear Senator Glass:

I gathered from what Dr. Miller said to me yesterday afternoon, that you would like to hear from me as to Section 3 of the proposed bill amending the Federal Reserve Act.

I take pleasure in sending you six mimeographed copies of a draft of a new section to take the place of Section 3 and Section 9 of the proposed bill. My reason for suggesting the new draft, primarily, is that the declarations in Section 3 would, construed literally, appear to bar any bank from rediscounting, if it had outstanding a single speculative loan, which result, I am sure, was not the intention of the framers of this bill.

I have attached to my draft a memorandum on the subject of the necessity of a provision giving the Federal reserve banks and the Federal Reserve Board power to dis cipline a member bank for abuse of Federal reserve facilities I think this suggested draft will cover satisfactorily both Section 3 and Section 9 of the proposed bill.

I want to state in this connection, that this suggestion represents merely my personal views, and I have not discussed the matter In any way with the Board members.

Sincerely yours,
Hon. Carter Glass,
The United States Senate, Washington, D. C

## Office Correspondence

Subject: Reserves required on Federal
reserve notes under the Glass bill

To Mr. Hamlin
From $\qquad$ dob er -
,

Sub at reserve notes under the Glass bill

In accordance with your telephone request, we have compiled the reserve ratio of each Federal reserve bank as of January 20,1932 , on the assumption that under the Glass bill the amount of gold with the agents, would be reduced to the minimum amount required as collateral for Federal reserve notes now outstanding, and that such gold and the notes of feet thereby mould both be eliminated from the reserve calculation. On this basis, the ratio of cash reserves to the Federal reserve note'and deposit liabilities of each Federal reserve bank would have been as follows:

| Boston | 37.8 | Chicago | 42.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| New York | 57.7 | St. Louis | 37.0 |
| Philadelphia | 32.9 | Minneapolis | 33.1 |
| Cleveland | 34.1 | Kansas City | 41.2 |
| Richmond | 38.7 | Dallas | 41.5 |
| Atlanta | 29.1 | San Francisco | 29.9 |
|  |  |  | System |
|  |  | 44.4 |  |

We have also cal culated the reserve ratio of each Federal reserve bank by including, as suggested by you, all Federal reserve notes in circulation as a liability against which the Federal reserve banks must maintain a gold reserve of 40 per cent, even though part of all of such notes may be of feet by gold pledged with the Federal reserve agents. On this basis, the reserve ratio of each Federal reserve bank as of January 20, 1932, wo ul have been as follows:

| Boston | 19.2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mew York | 41.6 |
| Philadel phi | 18.8 |
| Cleveland | 19.2 |
| Richmond | 21.8 |
| Atlanta | 16.2 |

Boston York
 Richmond Atlanta
19.2
41.6
18.8
19.2
21.8
16.2

Chicago
St. Louis
Minneapolis
Kansas City
Dallas
San Francisco
System
16.7
22.3
17.3
27.2
27.3
$18 . ?$
26.1

As stated to you this morning, it does not seem to me that it is the intent of the Class bill to require a reserve against all notes in circulation without reference to gold held by the agent as collateral against Federal reserve notes outstanding. If this had been Senator Glass' intention, he should have provided for the repsal of paragraph 5 of section 16 of the Federel Reserve Act, which permits any Federal reserve benk to reSucé its liability for outstanding Federal reserve notes by depositing with the Fedoral reserve agent its Federal reserve notes, gold, gold certificates or lawful money of the United States. If the intent of the Glass bill were to require Federal reserve banks to carry a gold reserve against all Federpal reserve notes in circulation, even though they are secured by gold pledged with the Federal reserve agents, the Federal reserve banks could avoid carrying a reserve against gold-secured notes by redeeming in gold a sufficient amount of Federal reserve notes to reduce their note circulation to the amount of eligible paper pledged with the agents. In other mords, such a provision in the law would result in the anomalous situation of the Federal reserve banks being able to increase their reserve ratio by paying out gold whenever their note circulation exceeded the amount of their eligible paper.
$\qquad$

$\qquad$

In accordance with your telephone request I am handing you herewith two statements, one showing the resources and liabilities of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston on February 3, 1932, as published. in the weekly press statement, and the other the resources and liabilities as they would have been if the gold deposited with the Agent had been held in reduction of the Bank's liability on outstanding Federal reserve notes。

## RESOURCES

| Gold with Federal reserve agents | 168,627 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Gold redemption fund with U. S. Treasury | 4,658 |
| Gold held exclusively against |  |
| F. R. notes | 173,285 |
| Gold settlement fund with F. R. Board | 12,931 |
| Gold and gold certificates held by banks | 19,155 |
| Total gold reserves | 205,371 |
| Reserves other than gold | 19,416 |
| Total reserves | 224,787 |
| Non-reserve cash | 8,436 |
| Bills discounted: | 22,449 |
| Secured by U.S. Government obligations | 18,864 |
| Other bills discounted | 41,313 |
| Total bills discounted | 11,427 |
| Bills bought in open market | 23,416 |
| U. S. Government securities: | 4,466 |
| Bonds | 28,316 |
| Treasury notes | 56,198 |
| Certificates and bills | 3,025 |
| Total U. S. Govt. securities | 111,963 |
| Other securities | 695 |
| Total bills and securities | 244 |
| Due from foreign banks | 44,948 |
| F.R. notes of other banks | 3,336 |
| Uncollected items | 1,252 |
| Bank premises | 395,661 |
| All other resources |  |
| TOTAL RESOURCES |  |

## LIABILITIES

| F. R. notes in actual circulation | 186,647 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Deposits: | 122,594 |
| Member bank - reserve account | 3,150 |
| Government | 5,599 |
| Foreign bank | 131,548 |
| Other deposits | 44,935 |
| Total deposits | 11,684 |
| Deferred availability items | 20,039 |
| Capital paid in | 808 |
| Surplus | 395,661 |
| All other liabilities | 70.6 |
| Reserve ratio (per cent) | 23,867 |

FBDERAL RESERVE NOTE STATEMENT Federal reserve notes:

Issued to F. R. bank by F.R. agent 213,420
Held by Federal reserve bank
26,773
186,647
Collateral held by agent as se-
curity for notes issued to bank
Gold and gold certificates
Gold fund - F. R. Board
47.010

Eligible paper
Total collateral

RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF BOSTON ON FEBRUARY 3, 1932 (In thousands of dollars)

## - RESOURCES

Gold and gold certificates in vault
18, 525
Gold settlement fund with F. R. Board 12,931
Gold redemption fund with U. S. Treasury
10,671* Total gold reserves

42,127
Reserves other than gold
19,416
Total reserves
61, 543
Non-reserve cash
8,436
Bills discounted:
Secured by U.S.Govt. obligations
Other bills discounted
Total bills discounted
22,449
18, 864
41,313
Bills bought in open market
11,427
U. S. Government securities:

Bonds
Treasury notes
Certificates and bills
Total U. S. Govt. securities
23.416

4,466
$\frac{28,316}{56,198}$
Other securities
3,025
Total bills and securities
111,963
Due from foreign banks
F. R. notes of other banks

695
244
Uncollected items
44,948
Bank premises
3.336

All other resources
TOTAL RESOURCES
1,252
232,417

## LIABILITIES

| Federal reserve notes - net | 23,403 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Deposits: |  |
| Member bank - reserve account | 122,594 |
| Government | 3,150 |
| Foreign bank s | 5,599 |
| Other deposits | 205 |
| Deferred availaposits |  |
| Capital paid in | 131,548 |
| Surplus items | 44,935 |
| All other liabilities | 11,684 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 20,039 |
| Reserve ratio (per cent) | 808 |
| Contingent Iiability on bills pur- |  |
| chased for foreign correspondents | 232,417 |

FEEDRRAL RESERVE NOTE STATEMENT

Federal reserve notes issued to bank
213.420

Federal reserve notes held by bank. Federal reserve notes in circulation
Gold with Federal reserve agent
Federal reserve notes - net
163.244**

To Mr. Hamlin
From Mr. Smead

Date February 10, 1932
Subject:Distribution of eligible paper - among member banks

In compliance with your telephone request for a brief statement of the extent to which the borrowing capacity of member banks would be affected if United States securities were no longer available as a basis for borrowings at the Federal reserve banks, I am giving below the ratio of eligible assets* and of eligible paper to total loans and investments, on the basis of member bank condition reports for September 29, 1931:

|  | Eligible assets <br> per <br> and investments | Eligible paper <br> per $\$ 100$ of loans <br> and investments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total, all member banks | $\$ 23.99$ |  |
|  |  | $\$ 9.06$ |
| Banks with loans and investments - |  |  |
| Under $\$ 200,000$ | 34.90 | 30.42 |
| $\$ 200,000$ to $\$ 500,000$ | 27.28 | 21.87 |
| $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 1,000,000$ | 21.17 | 15.36 |
| $\$ 1,000,000$ to $\$ 5,000,000$ | 18.11 | 10.47 |
| $\$ 5,000,000$ to $\$ 10,000,000$ | 18.72 | 9.53 |
| $\$ 10,000,000$ to $\$ 50,000,000$ | 21.24 | 8.58 |
| $\$ 50,000,000$ and over | 27.17 |  |
|  |  |  |

*Represents eligible paper plus U. S. Government securities not pledged as collateral for National bank notes.

It will be noted from the table that, on September 29 , member banks had $\$ 23.99$ of assets on which they could borrow from the Federal reserve banks for each $\$ 100$ of loans and investments, whereas if United States securities had not been available as a basis for such borrowings, member banks would have had available for this purpose only $\$ 9.06$ for each $\$ 100$ of their loans and investments.

The reduction in borrowing power would by no means have been uniform for all member banks. For example, member banks having loans and investments of
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less than $\$ 200,000$ would have had their borrowing power reduced from $\$ 34.90$ to $\$ 30.42$ per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments, whereas member banks with over $\$ 50,000,000$ of loans and investments would have had their borrowing power reduced from $\$ 27.17$ to $\$ 7.71$ per $\$ 100$ of loans and investments. The reduction in assets available as the basis for borrowings at the Federal reserve banks, which would result from the elimination of United States Government securities as a basis for such borrowings, would have ranged from 12.8 per cent for the smallest banks to 71.6 per cent for the largest banks. You will also note that, on the average, the proportion of eligible paper to total loans and investments declines as the size of the bank increases.

To
Mr. Hamlin lir. Goldenweiser


Subject: Speculation in Securities and in Commodities

The accompanying charts have been prepared with reference to the question presented by your memorandum of January 27.

You will note that all three charts have been prepared on a ratio stale with a view to showing fluctuations in percentage terms.

The figures underlying the curves for trading in cotton futures here never been published; they have been furnished to us by the Treasury on condition that no public use would be made of them without special permission.

Monthly data relating to trading in commodity futures are available only for recent years. The long-term curve for trading in grain futures that is shown on one of the charts, covering the years 1884-1920, is base on certain estimates made by Dr. Watkins of the Federal Trade Commission; they relate to a period prior to the establishment of the Grain Futures Administration and the experience of the latter has been such as to ais considerable doubt as to the accuracy of these estimates.

If appears, on the whole, and with due reservations on account of imperfections in the data, that there has been a considerable number of instances in which stocks and commodities have moved up and down together, bis that the number of instances in which the movements have not been ogincident is probably even larger.




## Office Correspondence

To
Ir. Hamlin
From $1 / 1 \mathrm{r}$. Snead
Subject: $\qquad$ Deficiencies in average reserves
$\qquad$

```
                                    OPO 2-8495
```

In accordance with your telephone request I em enclosing herewith a table taken from the galley proof of the Federal Reserve Bulletin for February, 1932, showing the excess reserves, deposits, and borrowings at the Federal reserve banks for member banks in each Federal reserve district for the months of October, November and December 1931. Excess reserves of central reserve city banks, reserve city banks, country banks and all member banks for the years 1929 , 1930 and 1931, were as follows:

|  | Central <br> Reserve <br> city | City | Reserve <br> city | Country <br> banks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1929 | $\$ 12,000,000$ | $\$ 9,400,000$ | $\$ 21,900,000$ | $\$ \$ 43,300,000$ |
| 1930 | $14,800,000$ | $15,800,000$ | $24,800,000$ | $55,400,000$ |
| 1931 | $39,900,000$ | $19,900,000$ | $29,900,000$ | $89,700,000$ |

Excess reserves of member baniks during the months of June, July, August, September and October 1931 were much above the average and were held largely in New York City and Chicago, because of the extremely low interest rates prevailing throughout that period.

Beginning with the month of November, excess reserves of member banks declined to around the average which they usually find it necessary to maintain in order to avoid reserve deficiencies. During recent weeks excess reserves of central reserve, and particularly of reserve city banks, have been unusually low, largely because of the vary substantial decline which has taken place during the past few months in member bank deposits.
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Since the end of last September net demand and time deposits of reporting member banks in leading cities have declined from $\$ 20,002,000,000$ to $\$ 16,912,000,000$ or by $\$ 3,090,000,000$, of which $\$ 863,000,000$ has occurred since the first of the year.

You will note from the enclosed table that reserves held by banks
in the San Francisco Federal Reserve District were below legal requirements to the extent of 1.6 millions in October and 4 millions in December. This Was due largely to the reserve deficiency of one of the larger banks in that district, with which you are familiar.

For the three weeks ending January 22,1932 , excess reserves of banks in central reserve cities averaged $\$ 9,000,000$, while average reserves of banks in reserve cities were somewhat below requirements as a result of a substantial deficiency in the San Francisco district. No figures are yet available to show the amount of excess reserves carried by country banks since the first of the year.


