

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK of ST. LOUIS CENTRAL TO AMERICA'S ECONOMY*

Home > Newsroom >

Search Site

St. Louis Fed's Bullard Discusses Two Monetary Policy Normalization Views

5/26/2016

SINGAPORE – Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis President James Bullard discussed "Slow Normalization or No Normalization?" at the Official Monetary and Financial Institutions Forum's (OMFIF) City Lecture on Thursday.

Bullard noted that recent U.S. monetary policy discussions have been dominated by issues related to the possible pace of increase in the Federal Open Market Committee's (FOMC) policy rate. He discussed two views regarding the expected policy rate path: the FOMC's scenario and the market-based scenario.

"The FOMC has laid out, via the Summary of Economic Projections (SEP), a datadependent 'slow normalization,' whereby the nominal policy rate would gradually rise over the next several years provided the economy evolves as expected," he said. "Market-based forecasts of FOMC policy, in contrast, envision 'almost no normalization,' whereby the policy rate would be changed only a few times in the next several years."

Bullard briefly compared and contrasted each view, starting first with the FOMC's scenario.

The FOMC's Scenario–Slow Normalization

He cited three factors in favor of the FOMC's scenario of a slow normalization of the U.S. policy rate: relatively strong U.S. labor markets, inflation measurements moving closer to the FOMC's target of 2 percent, and waning international headwinds.

Strong U.S. Labor Markets

"By nearly any metric, U.S. labor markets are at or beyond full employment," Bullard said. For example, he noted that job openings per available worker are at a cyclical low, unemployment insurance claims relative to the size of the labor force are at a multidecade low, and nonfarm payroll employment growth has been above longer-run trends. In addition, the level of a labor market conditions index created by staff at the Board of Governors continues to be well above average.

"In short, labor markets are relatively tight," he said. "This may put upward pressure on inflation going forward."

U.S. Inflation Closer to Target

While inflation has been relatively low in the U.S. during the last several years, Bullard explained that large movements in oil prices have had a major impact on headline

For media inquiries contact:

Laura Girresch mediainquiries@stls.frb.org Office: (314) 444-6166 Cell: (314) 348-3639

James Bullard St. Louis Fed President and CEO



James Bullard is president and chief executive officer of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. In these roles, he participates in the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) and directs the activities of the Federal Reserve's Eighth District.

- President's Website
- Speeches & Presentations
- Video Appearances
- Media Interviews
- Research Papers

inflation. "Measures intended to give an indication of inflation movements net of oil price effects have been trending somewhat higher," he said.

Thus, he noted that the FOMC's projection of a slow rise in U.S. inflation as the effects of a stronger dollar and a decline in oil prices wear off appears to be happening, as indicated by the rise in smoothed measures of inflation over the last year.

International Headwinds Waning

Bullard then turned to the discussion of the impact of negative global factors on the U.S. economy and how some of these factors appear to be dissipating during the first half of 2016.

"International headwinds affecting the U.S. economy have been widely discussed in global financial markets during the last several years," he said. "Recent negative international influences on the U.S. economy appear to be waning."

He looked at two factors that have been widely cited in the international headwinds discussion: global financial stress and the negative impact of a stronger dollar on U.S. gross domestic product (GDP) growth.

"Measures of U.S. financial stress indicate that stress has fallen off its peak earlier this year," he said. Regarding the second headwind, Bullard noted that the dollar appreciated mostly during the second half of 2014, coinciding with the run-up to the European Central Bank's quantitative easing program. "This appeared to have had a substantial effect on the net exports contribution to U.S. GDP growth during the winter of 2014-2015," he said. "Since then, however, the effects of a stronger dollar appear to be waning."

The Market-Based Scenario–Almost No Normalization

Bullard then discussed two factors in favor of the market-based scenario of almost no normalization of the U.S. policy rate: the slow U.S. real GDP growth and low U.S. inflation expectations.

U.S. Real GDP Growth Below Trend

Bullard noted that real GDP growth has been slower than trend in recent quarters, with first-quarter real GDP growing at an annual rate of just 0.5 percent, according to the most recent estimate. This estimate may be influenced by the "residual seasonality" issue, he said, explaining that first-quarter real GDP has been low since 2009.

"Still, combining actual data from the second half of 2015, the first quarter of 2016, and tracking estimates for the current quarter, the suggestion is that the U.S. is growing below a trend pace of 2 percent," he said.

"The slower, below-trend pace of recent U.S. growth is inconsistent with a slowly rising path for the policy rate," he explained.

U.S. Inflation Expectations Still Too Low

Looking at market-based measures of U.S. inflation expectations, Bullard noted that while they were relatively satisfactory during the summer of 2014, they fell with oil prices during 2014 and renewed a downward trend beginning in late 2015. "Recently, market-based inflation expectations have recovered somewhat," he said. "However, expectations remain low compared with the levels observed in the summer of 2014."

He noted that market-based measures of inflation expectations tied to the Treasury Inflation-Protected Securities (TIPS) market are based on consumer price index (CPI) inflation, but that the FOMC's target is based on personal consumption expenditures (PCE) inflation. The latter generally runs about 30 basis points lower. "Current readings on TIPS-based inflation compensation are difficult to reconcile with credible longer-run FOMC policy to maintain an inflation target of 2 percent PCE inflation," he said.

Summary of the Two Views

To sum up, the FOMC median projection for the policy rate suggests a gradual pace of rate increases over the next several years, Bullard said. At the same time, the market-based expectation for the policy rate is much shallower, with only a few increases over the forecast horizon—that is, almost no normalization.

"U.S. evidence from labor markets, actual inflation readings and global influences suggests the FOMC median projection may be more nearly correct," he said. Meanwhile, "U.S. evidence from recent readings on GDP growth and market-based inflation expectations suggests the market view of the path of the policy rate may be more nearly correct."

GENERAL
Home
About Us
Bank Supervision
Careers
Community Development
Economic Education
Events
Inside the Economy Museum
Newsroom
On the Economy Blog
Open Vault Blog
OUR DISTRICT
Little Rock Branch
Louisville Branch
Memphis Branch
Agricultural Finance Monitor
Housing Market Conditions
SELECTED PUBLICATIONS
Bridges
Economic Synopses
Housing Market Perspectives
In the Balance
Page One Economics
The Quarterly Debt Monitor
Review
Regional Economist
ST. LOUIS FED PRESIDENT

RSS RSS
Email Subscriptions
G+ Google Plus
YouTube
Facebook
Twitter
Y Twitter
FOLLOW THE FED
IDEAS
GeoFRED®
FRED® Blog
FRED®
FRASER®
CASSIDI®
DATA AND INFORMATION SERVICES
In Plain English - Making Sense of the Federal Reserve Timely Topics Podcasts and Videos
FOMC Speak
Federal Banking Regulations
Dialogue with the Fed
Center for Household Financial Stability
James Bullard's Website