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Upon becoming president of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis in April 2008, I knew that a top priority would be to help design policies to cope with the severe financial crisis affecting the United States and much of the rest of the industrialized world. As the months wore on, the U.S. economy continued to face substantial turmoil. Financial markets were under unusual stress as Wall Street was racked by seismic change. Uncertainty over the future prospects for the U.S. economy caused consumers and businesses to pull back on discretionary consumption and investment spending. Doubts concerning the true value of complex securities weighed heavily on investor sentiment worldwide. The uncertain fate of housing markets kept the value of underlying mortgage assets obscured.

The Federal Reserve has continued to respond to these challenges in timely and innovative ways. Our actions have included traditional monetary policy tools, but we have also implemented many new and unconventional approaches. In fact, Federal Reserve policy has been unprecedented in many ways during this crisis. An important challenge during moments of unusual policy is this: How can new policy interventions be communicated effectively to business leaders and the general public?

During 2008, the St. Louis Fed worked continually to provide better communication concerning the financial crisis and its effects on the U.S. economy. By better communicating who we are and what services we provide, we aim to increase the flow and expand the breadth of information about the Bank. In that spirit, the theme Central to America’s Economy is not just the title of this year’s annual report; it is how we define ourselves as an institution. We are central in five key areas, which I invite you to learn more about in the pages that follow:

- We promote stable prices and economic growth.
- We foster a sound financial system.
- We support the U.S. Treasury’s financial operations.
- We provide payment services to financial institutions.
- We advance economic knowledge, community development and fair access to credit.

Through our economic research, our web sites, our applications and our personal interactions with our audiences, our influence in these five areas
reaches beyond the boundaries of the Eighth District. And, we realize that within these functions is a requirement to stay flexible to allow for innovations as economic conditions change. Our organization has innovated successfully before, and we will do so again.

Although we are living through difficult times, I believe that America will emerge stronger than ever. This crisis has stressed the point that certain activities are best performed in the public sector. The Federal Reserve provides public services to set up the private sector to be an engine of growth. That is our role. But, we must continue to evolve as the world changes around us. To address the needs of a constantly changing financial landscape, we at the St. Louis Fed will continue to provide useful, worthwhile services to all of our constituents.

Being central to America’s economy is a responsibility that we do not take lightly. Now more than ever, I believe that it is critical for this organization to innovate and communicate. And we are committed to doing both as the nation works its way through this crisis.

James Bullard
President and CEO
Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis
CENTRAL to promoting stable prices and economic growth

While national attention is typically focused on the actions of Federal Reserve Chairman Ben Bernanke and the Board of Governors in Washington, D.C., Fed structure dictates an integral role for regional Reserve banks in keeping prices stable and the economy growing. As one of 12 regional Reserve banks, the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis contributes to the policy debate about how to revive the flagging economy primarily through its president’s participation on the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC). In 2008, this debate was one of the Bank’s highest priorities.

In a typical year, the FOMC meets eight times. At each meeting, the committee establishes a target or range for the federal funds rate, which is the interest rate that banks charge each other for overnight loans. For many years, the fed funds rate target has been the Fed’s primary monetary policy tool. During 2008, that policy tool would prove to be only one of many tools employed, as the Fed began shifting its emphasis from influencing short-term interest rates to improving the functioning of credit markets and increasing the supply of credit to households and businesses.

For Bank President Jim Bullard, who took over the helm of the St. Louis Fed in April 2008, the year proved anything but normal. Regular FOMC meetings were supplemented by overnight and weekend conference calls, as swiftly unfolding financial market events dictated an equally swift policy response from the U.S. Treasury or the Federal Reserve. Overall, the Fed moved decisively in response to market events.
Robert Rasche, speaking to a group of bankers and business people, oversees the St. Louis Fed's Research department. In the midst of the financial crisis, speeches by St. Louis Fed economists to nonacademic audiences increased by 170 percent from 2007 to 2008.

While many Fed actions emanated out of Washington, D.C., the Eighth Federal Reserve District played its part.

HIGHLIGHTS OF OUR EFFORTS TO KEEP PRICES STABLE AND THE ECONOMY GROWING INCLUDED:

>>The smooth transition in leadership from William Poole, whose widely respected 10-year term as head of the Bank ended in March 2008, to Jim Bullard. An economist and 18-year veteran of the Research department, Bullard was a Bank vice president before his promotion.

>>An intensified research focus on unconventional tools of monetary policy—particularly the monetary aggregates—in the wake of a fed funds rate that was essentially zero.

>>A new record in the number of articles by St. Louis Fed economists published in peer-reviewed economics journals.

>>A new record in citations of St. Louis Fed research publications by outside researchers.

>>Continuing high levels of working papers and regional research produced.
Clearly, the past year was not an easy one for bankers. Many banks struggled, and some failed, as the economy deteriorated into a recession. For the most part, though, the downturn did not hit Eighth District banks as hard as it hit some banks in other districts.

Attentive bank examinations help ensure a safe and efficient banking system, one of the most important elements of a strong economy. Examiners assess the soundness of a bank’s assets and operations and the effectiveness of its risk management practices. Examiners also review a bank’s compliance with consumer protection laws. Included in these reviews is an evaluation of fair lending (anti-discrimination) practices and performance under the Community Reinvestment Act in meeting the credit needs of the community—including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods—consistent with safe and sound operation of the institution. By studying financial developments and other trends, examiners can identify emerging financial soundness and consumer compliance risks and policy issues.

Processing a bank’s request for acquisitions and new activities is another important function of a regional Reserve bank, as is the collection and verification of data from the financial institution. The Statistics function ensures an accurate accounting of the institution’s condition. In addition, data are used to construct and interpret monetary aggregates and reserve measures, evaluate the safety and soundness of the U.S. financial system, and determine compliance with various regulations and restrictions.

Finally, the St. Louis Fed does its part to ensure adequate liquidity in financial markets by making loans through the discount window and allowing the prudent use of intra-day credit.
The St. Louis Fed examined 38 percent more banks in 2008 than it did 10 years ago. St. Louis is one of just two Fed banks, along with Kansas City, to gain in numbers over that time.

OTHER HIGHLIGHTS IN THIS AREA FROM 2008 INCLUDED:

**Supervising 98 state member banks** at year-end. The net gain in 2008 was three, with six members added and three members lost through mergers.

**Participating in more than 110 outreach events** targeted at bankers, regulators and public audiences. Presentations were given at about 90 percent of these events.

**Creating Ask the Fed**, a call-in program that allows District bankers to pose questions to supervisory officials in St. Louis.
The Federal Reserve is the bank for the U.S. government. To facilitate the smooth functioning of the government, the Federal Reserve System provides numerous services for the U.S. Treasury. The St. Louis Fed manages these Treasury services by coordinating more than 45 System operations and business lines provided at Reserve banks across the country.

The Treasury Relations and Support Office (TRSO) at the St. Louis Fed serves as a central point of contact with the three financial operations bureaus at the Treasury on policy issues, new initiatives and problem resolution. TRSO employees monitor the Fed’s Treasury-related projects to ensure that they are completed on time and within budget.

HIGHLIGHTS IN THIS AREA FROM 2008 INCLUDED:

>> The TRSO continued coordinating the Federal Reserve’s participation in one of Treasury’s major re-engineering efforts—the Collections and Cash Management Modernization (CCMM) initiative. This initiative involves fundamentally restructuring how Treasury collects money owed to the government and how it reports these transactions to federal agencies. The TRSO worked closely with Treasury and Fed officials to ensure appropriate engagement by and progress in the eight CCMM business lines operated in the Fed.
The 42 workers in the Treasury Support Center take an average of 10,000 telephone calls every month from financial institutions and government agencies. The center handled one business line in 2000, the first year of operation in St. Louis; now, it handles 11.

Treasury Relations and System Support (TRASS) in St. Louis operates nine Treasury business lines by providing tax collection, cash management and reporting services to the U.S. Treasury.

HIGHLIGHTS IN THIS AREA FROM 2008 INCLUDED:

>>The TRSO completed a study for Treasury’s Financial Management Service (FMS) on how to improve the customer service support centers that the Fed operates for FMS business lines.

>>The TRSO’s role in coordinating the Go Direct marketing campaign grew after the Treasury announced a Direct Express debit card program. The Direct Express card allows Social Security beneficiaries to get their payments electronically even if they do not have a bank account. The program had 238,055 enrollments between April and November 2008, greatly exceeding the initial projection of 100,000.

>>The Bank’s repo (repurchase agreements) investment reached a daily high of $12 billion to accommodate the daily cash-flow needs at Treasury.

>>The Bank continued to excel with its software development objectives. All 18 software releases were successfully implemented on time and within budget.

>>The Bank’s Treasury General Account Deposit Reporting Network (TGAnet) received more than $32 billion in fourth-quarter 2008 deposits, which increased the total amount processed through TGAnet since its inception in 2005 to more than $1 trillion. The St. Louis Fed developed this system for the Treasury. TGAnet has revolutionized the way that the government collects, stores and reports its revenue transactions.
The St. Louis Fed’s role in our nation’s payments system continues to evolve. Earlier this decade, St. Louis processed about 1.6 million commercial paper checks every day. By the end of 2008, that number had dropped to less than 100,000.

As the numbers clearly indicate, the public has not only accepted, but embraced, electronic payments. In 2003, electronic payments—options that include credit cards, debit cards and automated clearing-house (ACH) transactions like direct debit—outnumbered checks for the first time. The number of electronic payments grew 12 percent per year between 2003 and 2006. By 2006, electronic payments made up two-thirds of all non-cash payments. The volume of paper-check processing at the Fed has declined in large part because of Check 21 legislation, which went into effect in 2004. Check 21 allows the recipient of a paper check to create a digital version for processing. This eliminates the need for further handling of the physical document.

Responding to this decline in check volume, the Bank in 2008 consolidated its Memphian Branch check operation to the Atlanta Fed and announced that the St. Louis paper check operation would be consolidated in the first quarter of 2009. However, the St. Louis Fed will continue to be the nation’s central processing site for Treasury checks and U.S. Postal Money Orders.

The St. Louis Fed’s Cash area remains vibrant. The Bank receives deposits and fills customer orders from approximately 1,200 financial institutions.
A Cash employee places bundles of notes into long-term storage after processing. The St. Louis Fed receives deposits and fills customer orders from approximately 1,200 financial institutions.
In difficult economic times, it’s more important than ever for the Fed to help people understand what it does and to inform them about the sometimes-volatile economy. The St. Louis Fed offers many programs and tools to accomplish this goal. Bank programs reach out to not just the general public, but also to bankers, business leaders and government officials.

Bankers and business leaders get up-to-date information by attending the St. Louis Fed’s economic forums. The Bank’s president talks about regional and national economic issues, while bankers and business leaders provide information about the local economy. The Bank also organizes Industry Councils that bring together experts on real estate, agriculture, health care and transportation.

This exchange of information supports the macroeconomy by providing anecdotal information about economic trends and conditions that the Bank president can use when making decisions about monetary policy.

SOME OTHER 2008 HIGHLIGHTS IN THIS AREA INCLUDED:

>> The Bank’s speeches to nonacademic audiences increased by 170 percent over 2007. The largest increase occurred in the fourth quarter.

>> Web site hits to the Bank’s economic research, including data and information services, rose from more than 60 million in 2007 to more than 100 million in 2008.
The Bank launched a web site to keep the public informed of ongoing events and policy actions regarding the nation’s current financial crisis (http://timeline.stlouisfed.org).

The Bank began publishing the *Burgundy Books every quarter*. Modeled after the Fed’s *Beige Book*, the *Burgundy Books* focus on local economic conditions in the Eighth District. The Bank’s economists have also published original research on topics such as light rail, gambling, personal bankruptcy and foreclosure.

The Bank hosted “bankers breakfasts” addressing regulatory and financial markets topics and hosted an event for Eighth District state bank commissioners and members of their staff.

Public Affairs hosted eight briefings for government and congressional staff members.

The Bank hosted its first “Dialogue with the Fed” program in November as a way to brief business and community leaders on the state of the economy. These programs have now expanded to the Bank’s branch locations.

The St. Louis Fed partnered with local TV station KETC Channel 9 on a community engagement initiative called Facing the Mortgage Crisis that is making a significant impact on the region and will serve as a model for public media organizations nationwide. Through the partnership, KETC delivered messages on air, online and in the community that directed citizens to resources through the United Way 2-1-1 call service. This collaborative effort is averting foreclosures in the St. Louis area and connecting thousands of residents to housing and financial resources. Calls to the 2-1-1 number increased about 400 percent during the pilot project.

continued on next page
Two other important components of Bank outreach are economic education and community development. One of the most successful education projects was the development of a multilesson plan curriculum on the Great Depression. The curriculum is targeted at high school students and includes a teacher’s guide, puzzles, graphics, video interviews and timelines.

**OTHER HIGHLIGHTS OF THE YEAR INCLUDED:**

- **Posting 26 audio files** of Beige Book, Burgundy Books and Regional Economist articles to the public web site. Approximately 47,000 visitors listened to these files.

- **Working with more than 30 organizations and institutions to provide programming** for educators and hosting more than 500 educators at the annual educators conference, titled “The ABCs of Personal Finance.” The conference was held in locations throughout the District.

- **Producing the “It’s Your Paycheck” curriculum** and making it available on the Bank’s web site. Economic education also piloted an online course for students, “GDP and Pizza: Economics for Life.”

- **Bringing together experts on innovation in community development** by sponsoring “Exploring Innovation in Community Development Week.”

- **Producing and distributing approximately 23,000 copies of the Foreclosure Survival Guide** and developing a Foreclosure Resource Center on the Bank’s web site.
The numerous economic education workshops sponsored by the Bank’s Public Affairs department in 2008 reached 4,500 education professionals, who taught 225,000 students.
Thank you, retiring board members

We bid farewell and express our gratitude to those members of the Eighth District boards of directors who have recently retired. Our appreciation and best wishes go out to the following:
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Arkansas Capital Corp.
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Dick Pierson
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St. Louis
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Colliers International
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Bank of the Ozarks
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Louisville, Ky.
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Crye-Leike, Realtors
Memphis, Tenn.
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Whittaker Builders Inc.
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E. Phillip Scherer III
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CresaPartners
Memphis, Tenn.

Transportation

Gene Huang
FedEx Corp.
Memphis, Tenn.
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Robert Lekites
UPS
Louisville, Ky.

Dennis Oakley
Bruce Oakley Inc.
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John Pickering
Cass Information Systems Inc.
Bridgeton, Mo.

Donald Sanders Jr.
Nightline Express Inc.
St. Louis

David Summitt
Summitt Trucking LLC
Clarksville, Ind.

Kirk Thompson
J.B. Hunt Transport Services Inc.
Lowell, Ark.

Phil Trenary
Pinnacle Airlines Inc.
Memphis, Tenn.
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Lewis F. Mallory Jr.
CHAIRMAN AND CEO
Cadence Financial Corp.
Starkville, Miss.
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Kathleen O’Neill Paese
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT

Robert H. Rasche
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT AND DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH
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VICE PRESIDENT

Howard J. Wall
VICE PRESIDENT
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ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT
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ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT
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ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT
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ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT

Anna M. Helmering Hart
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Kathy A. Schildknecht
ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT

Philip G. Schlueter
ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT
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<td>David C. Wheelock</td>
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<td>Assistant Vice President</td>
</tr>
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<td>Subhayu Bandyopadhyay</td>
<td>Research Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Anne Batjer</td>
<td>Assistant Counsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane E. Berry</td>
<td>Assistant Counsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathryn L. Hohl</td>
<td>Assistant Counsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine A. Kusmer</td>
<td>Supervisory Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael W. McCracken</td>
<td>Research Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Thomas Owyang</td>
<td>Research Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael R. Pakko</td>
<td>Research Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katrina L. Stierholz</td>
<td>Research Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott M. Trilling</td>
<td>Operations Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert A. Hopkins</td>
<td>Senior Branch Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria G. Hampton</td>
<td>Senior Branch Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald L. Byrne</td>
<td>Vice President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martha L. Perine Beard</td>
<td>Senior Branch Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranada Y. Williams</td>
<td>Operations Officer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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RELATED RESOURCES

Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Web Site
http://www.stlouisfed.org

Financial Crisis Timeline
http://timeline.stlouisfed.org

Foreclosure Resource Center
http://www.stlouisfed.org/foreclosure

In Plain English: Making Sense of the Federal Reserve
http://www.stlouisfed.org/publications/pleng

Tracking the Recession
http://research.stlouisfed.org/recession

Publications
http://www.stlouisfed.org/publications
In 2008, the Board of Governors engaged Deloitte & Touche LLP (D&T) for the audits of the individual and combined financial statements of the Reserve Banks. Fees for D&T’s services are estimated to be $10.2 million. Approximately $2.7 million of the estimated total fees were for the audits of the limited liability companies (LLCs) that are associated with recent Federal Reserve actions to address the financial crisis, and are consolidated in the financial statements of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Each LLC will reimburse the Board of Governors for the fees related to the audit of its financial statements from the entity’s available net assets. To ensure auditor independence, the Board of Governors requires that D&T be independent in all matters relating to the audit. Specifically, D&T may not perform services for the Reserve Banks or others that would place it in a position of auditing its own work, making management decisions on behalf of Reserve Banks, or in any other way impairing its audit independence. In 2008, the Bank did not engage D&T for any non-audit services.
April 2, 2009

To the Board of Directors:

The management of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (“FRBSTL”) is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the Statement of Financial Condition, Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income, and Statement of Changes in Capital as of December 31, 2008 (the “Financial Statements”). The Financial Statements have been prepared in conformity with the accounting principles, policies, and practices established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and as set forth in the Financial Accounting Manual for the Federal Reserve Banks (“Manual”), and as such, include amounts, some of which are based on management judgments and estimates. To our knowledge, the Financial Statements are, in all material respects, fairly presented in conformity with the accounting principles, policies and practices documented in the Manual and include all disclosures necessary for such fair presentation.

The management of the FRBSTL is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting as it relates to the Financial Statements. Such internal control is designed to provide reasonable assurance to management and to the Board of Directors regarding the preparation of the Financial Statements in accordance with the Manual. Internal control contains self-monitoring mechanisms, including, but not limited to, divisions of responsibility and a code of conduct. Once identified, any material deficiencies in internal control are reported to management and appropriate corrective measures are implemented.

Even effective internal control, no matter how well designed, has inherent limitations, including the possibility of human error, and therefore can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to the preparation of reliable financial statements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The management of the FRBSTL assessed its internal control over financial reporting reflected in the Financial Statements, based upon the criteria established in the “Internal Control — Integrated Framework” issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, we believe that the FRBSTL maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as it relates to the Financial Statements.

Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

By [Signature]

James B. Bullard, President and Chief Executive Officer

By [Signature]

David A. Sapenaro, First Vice President and Chief Operating Officer

By [Signature]

Marilyn K. Corona, Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
To the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the Board of Directors of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis:

We have audited the accompanying statements of condition of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis ("FRB St. Louis") as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 and the related statements of income and comprehensive income and changes in capital for the years then ended, which have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. We also have audited the internal control over financial reporting of FRB St. Louis as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. FRB St. Louis's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and an opinion on FRB St. Louis’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

FRB St. Louis’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, FRB St. Louis’s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by FRB St. Louis’s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with the accounting principles established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. FRB St. Louis’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of FRB St. Louis; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with the accounting principles established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and that receipts and expenditures of FRB St. Louis are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of FRB St. Louis; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of FRB St. Louis’ assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As described in Note 4 to the financial statements, FRB St. Louis has prepared these financial statements in conformity with accounting principles...
established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, as set forth in the *Financial Accounting Manual for Federal Reserve Banks*, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The effects on such financial statements of the differences between the accounting principles established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America are also described in Note 4.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of FRB St. Louis as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of its operations for the years then ended, on the basis of accounting described in Note 4. Also, in our opinion, FRB St. Louis maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Deloitte & Touche US

St. Louis, Missouri

April 2, 2009
# Statements of Condition

As of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 (in millions)

## Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold certificates</td>
<td>$344</td>
<td>$326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special drawing rights certificates</td>
<td>$71</td>
<td>$71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coin</td>
<td>$43</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items in process of collection</td>
<td>$17</td>
<td>$13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans to depository institutions</td>
<td>$5,152</td>
<td>$1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Open Market Account:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities purchased under agreements to resell</td>
<td>$2,765</td>
<td>$1,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. government, Federal agency, and government-sponsored enterprise securities, net</td>
<td>$17,354</td>
<td>$23,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments denominated in foreign currencies</td>
<td>$242</td>
<td>$249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central bank liquidity swaps</td>
<td>$5,401</td>
<td>$264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdistrict settlement account</td>
<td>$3,210</td>
<td>$3,742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank premises and equipment, net</td>
<td>$144</td>
<td>$127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest receivable</td>
<td>$210</td>
<td>$205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assets</td>
<td>$33</td>
<td>$42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>$34,986</td>
<td>$31,456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Liabilities and Capital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Reserve notes outstanding, net</td>
<td>$25,912</td>
<td>$29,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Open Market Account:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities sold under agreements to repurchase</td>
<td>$3,053</td>
<td>$1,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depository institutions</td>
<td>$5,446</td>
<td>$289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other deposits</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred credit items</td>
<td>$47</td>
<td>$38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Federal Reserve notes due to U.S. Treasury</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued benefit costs</td>
<td>$88</td>
<td>$80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other liabilities</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities</strong></td>
<td>$34,566</td>
<td>$31,096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital paid-in</td>
<td>$210</td>
<td>$180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus (including accumulated other comprehensive loss of $20 and $18 at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively)</td>
<td>$210</td>
<td>$180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total capital</strong></td>
<td>$420</td>
<td>$360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Total liabilities and capital**                                   | $34,986| $31,456|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
## Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income

For the years ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 (in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest income:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans to depository institutions</td>
<td>$49</td>
<td>$3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Open Market Account:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities purchased under agreements to resell</td>
<td>$64</td>
<td>$45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. government, Federal agency, and government-sponsored enterprise securities</td>
<td>$861</td>
<td>$1,235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments denominated in foreign currencies</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central bank liquidity swaps</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total interest income</strong></td>
<td>$1,015</td>
<td>$1,289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest expense:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Open Market Account:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities sold under agreements to repurchase</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depository institutions deposits</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total interest expense</strong></td>
<td>$28</td>
<td>$54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net interest income</strong></td>
<td>$987</td>
<td>$1,235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-interest income:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Open Market Account:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. government, Federal agency, and government-sponsored enterprise securities gains, net</td>
<td>$124</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency gains, net</td>
<td>$13</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation received for services provided</td>
<td>$15</td>
<td>$26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursable services to government agencies</td>
<td>$110</td>
<td>$115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income</td>
<td>$28</td>
<td>$3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total non-interest income</strong></td>
<td>$290</td>
<td>$164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and other benefits</td>
<td>$104</td>
<td>$104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy expense</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment expense</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessments by the Board of Governors</td>
<td>$22</td>
<td>$23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenses</td>
<td>$99</td>
<td>$108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating expenses</strong></td>
<td>$243</td>
<td>$252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net income prior to distribution</strong></td>
<td>$1,034</td>
<td>$1,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in funded status of benefit plans</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive income prior to distribution</strong></td>
<td>$1,032</td>
<td>$1,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of comprehensive income:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends paid to member banks</td>
<td>$11</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred to surplus and change in accumulated other comprehensive loss</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>$14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments to U.S. Treasury as interest on Federal Reserve notes</td>
<td>$991</td>
<td>$1,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total distribution</strong></td>
<td>$1,032</td>
<td>$1,150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*
### Statements of Changes in Capital

For the years ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(in millions, except share data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Capital Paid-In</th>
<th>Net Income Retained</th>
<th>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss</th>
<th>Total Surplus</th>
<th>Total Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at January 1, 2007</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.3 million shares)</td>
<td>$166</td>
<td>$187</td>
<td>$(21)</td>
<td>$166</td>
<td>$332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net change in capital stock issued (0.3 million shares)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred to surplus and change in accumulated other comprehensive loss</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at December 31, 2007</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.6 million shares)</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>$198</td>
<td>$(18)</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>$360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net change in capital stock issued (0.6 million shares)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred to surplus and change in accumulated other comprehensive loss</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at December 31, 2008</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.2 million shares)</td>
<td>$210</td>
<td>$230</td>
<td>$(20)</td>
<td>$210</td>
<td>$420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
NOTE 1

Structure

The Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (“Bank”) is part of the Federal Reserve System (“System”) and is one of the twelve Reserve Banks (“Reserve Banks”) created by Congress under the Federal Reserve Act of 1913 (“Federal Reserve Act”), which established the central bank of the United States. The Reserve Banks are chartered by the federal government and possess a unique set of governmental, corporate, and central bank characteristics. The Bank serves the Eighth Federal Reserve District, which includes Arkansas, and portions of Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Mississippi, Missouri and Tennessee.

In accordance with the Federal Reserve Act, supervision and control of the Bank is exercised by a board of directors. The Federal Reserve Act specifies the composition of the board of directors for each of the Reserve Banks. Each board is composed of nine members serving three-year terms: three directors, including those designated as chairman and deputy chairman, are appointed by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (“Board of Governors”) to represent the public, and six directors are elected by member banks. Banks that are members of the System include all national banks and any state-chartered banks that apply and are approved for membership in the System. Member banks are divided into three classes according to size. Member banks in each class elect one director representing member banks and one representing the public. In any election of directors, each member bank receives one vote, regardless of the number of shares of Reserve Bank stock it holds.

The System also consists, in part, of the Board of Governors and the Federal Open Market Committee (“FOMC”). The Board of Governors, an independent federal agency, is charged by the Federal Reserve Act with a number of specific duties, including general supervision over the Reserve Banks. The FOMC is composed of members of the Board of Governors, the president of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (“FRBNY”) and on a rotating basis four other Reserve Bank presidents.

NOTE 2

Operations and Services

The Reserve Banks perform a variety of services and operations. Functions include participation in formulating and conducting monetary policy; participation in the payments system, including large-dollar transfers of funds, automated clearinghouse (“ACH”) operations, and check collection; distribution of coin and currency; performance of fiscal agency functions for the U.S. Treasury, certain federal agencies, and other entities; serving as the federal government’s bank; provision of short-term loans to depository institutions; provision of loans to individuals, partnerships, and corporations in unusual and exigent circumstances; service to the consumer and the community by providing educational materials and information regarding consumer laws; and supervision of bank holding companies, state member banks, and U.S. offices of foreign banking organizations. Certain services are provided to foreign and international monetary authorities, primarily by the FRBNY.

The FOMC, in the conduct of monetary policy, establishes policy regarding domestic open market operations, oversees these operations, and annually issues authorizations and directives to the FRBNY to execute transactions. The FRBNY is authorized and directed by the FOMC to conduct operations in domestic markets, including the direct purchase and sale of securities of the U.S. government, Federal agencies, and government-sponsored enterprises (“GSEs”), the purchase of these securities under agreements to resell, the sale of these securities under agreements to repurchase, and the lending of these securities. The FRBNY executes these transactions at the direction of the FOMC and holds the resulting securities and agreements in the portfolio known as the System Open Market Account (“SOMA”).

In addition to authorizing and directing operations in the domestic securities market, the FOMC authorizes and directs the FRBNY to execute operations in foreign markets in order to counter disorderly conditions in exchange markets or to meet other needs specified by the FOMC in
carrying out the System’s central bank responsibilities. The FRBNY is authorized by the FOMC to hold balances of, and to execute spot and forward foreign exchange and securities contracts for, fourteen foreign currencies and to invest such foreign currency holdings, ensuring adequate liquidity is maintained. The FRBNY is also authorized and directed by the FOMC to maintain reciprocal currency arrangements with fourteen central banks and to “warehouse” foreign currencies for the U.S. Treasury and Exchange Stabilization Fund (“ESF”) through the Reserve Banks.

Although the Reserve Banks are separate legal entities, they collaborate in the delivery of certain services to achieve greater efficiency and effectiveness. This collaboration takes the form of centralized operations and product or function offices that have responsibility for the delivery of certain services on behalf of the Reserve Banks. Various operational and management models are used and are supported by service agreements between the Reserve Banks providing the service and the other Reserve Banks. In some cases, costs incurred by a Reserve Bank for services provided to other Reserve Banks are not shared; in other cases, the Reserve Banks reimburse the other Reserve Banks for services provided to them.

Major services provided by the Bank on behalf of the System and for which the costs were not reimbursed by the other Reserve Banks, include operation of the Treasury Relations and Support Office and the Treasury Relations and Systems Support Department, which provide services to the U.S. Treasury. These services include: relationship management, strategic consulting, and oversight for fiscal and payments related projects for the Federal Reserve System, and operational support for the Treasury’s tax collection, cash management, and collateral monitoring.

NOTE 3

Recent Financial Stability Activities

The Federal Reserve has implemented a number of programs designed to support the liquidity of financial institutions and to foster improved conditions in financial markets. These new programs, which are set forth below, have resulted in significant changes to the Bank’s financial statements.

Expanded Open Market Operations and Support for Mortgage Related Securities

The Single-Tranche Open Market Operation Program, created on March 7, 2008, allows primary dealers to initiate a series of term repurchase transactions that are expected to accumulate up to $100 billion in total. Under the provisions of the program, these transactions are conducted as 28-day term repurchase agreements for which primary dealers pledge U.S. Treasury and agency securities and agency Mortgage-Backed Securities (“MBS”) as collateral. The FRBNY can elect to increase the size of the term repurchase program if conditions warrant. The repurchase transactions are reported as “System Open Market Account: Securities purchased under agreements to resell” in the Statements of Condition.

The GSE and Agency Securities and MBS Purchase Program was announced on November 25, 2008. The primary goal of the program is to provide support to the mortgage and housing markets and to foster improved conditions in financial markets. Under this program, the FRBNY will purchase the direct obligations of housing-related GSEs and MBS backed by the Federal National Mortgage Association (“Fannie Mae”), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (“Freddie Mac”), and the Government National Mortgage Association (“Ginnie Mae”). Purchases of the direct obligations of housing-related GSEs began in November 2008 and purchases of GSE and agency MBS began in January 2009. There were no purchases of GSE and agency MBS during the period ended December 31, 2008. The program was initially authorized to purchase up to $100 billion in GSE direct obligations and up to $500 billion in GSE and agency MBS. In March 2009, the FOMC authorized FRBNY to purchase up to an additional $750 billion of GSE and agency MBS and up to an additional $100 billion of GSE direct obligations.

The FRBNY holds the resulting securities and agreements in the SOMA portfolio, and the activities of both programs are allocated to the other Reserve Banks.
Central Bank Liquidity Swaps

The FOMC authorized the FRBNY to establish temporary reciprocal currency swap arrangements (central bank liquidity swaps) with the European Central Bank and the Swiss National Bank on December 12, 2007 to help provide liquidity in U.S. dollars to overseas markets. Subsequently, the FOMC authorized reciprocal currency swap arrangements with additional foreign central banks. Such arrangements are now authorized with the following central banks: the Reserve Bank of Australia, the Banco Central do Brasil, the Bank of Canada, Danmarks Nationalbank, the Bank of England, the European Central Bank, the Bank of Japan, the Bank of Korea, the Banco de Mexico, the Reserve Bank of New Zealand, Norges Bank, the Monetary Authority of Singapore, Sveriges Riksbank, and the Swiss National Bank. The activity related to the program is allocated to the other Reserve Banks. The maximum amount of borrowing permissible under the swap arrangements varies by central bank. The central bank liquidity swap arrangements are authorized through October 30, 2009.

Lending to Depository Institutions

The temporary Term Auction Facility (“TAF”) program was created on December 12, 2007. The goal of the TAF is to help promote the efficient dissemination of liquidity, which is achieved by the Reserve Banks injecting term funds through a broader range of counterparties and against a broader range of collateral than open market operations. Under the TAF program, Reserve Banks auction term funds to depository institutions against a wide variety of collateral. All depository institutions that are judged to be in generally sound financial condition by their Reserve Bank and that are eligible to borrow under the primary credit program are eligible to participate in TAF auctions. All advances must be fully collateralized. The loans are reported as “Loans to depository institutions” in the Statements of Condition.

Lending to Primary Dealers

The Term Securities Lending Facility (“TSLF”) was created on March 11, 2008, to promote the liquidity in the financing markets for U.S. Treasuries and other collateral. Under the TSLF, the FRBNY will lend up to an aggregate amount of $200 billion of U.S. Treasury securities to primary dealers secured for a term of 28 days. Securities loans are collateralized by a pledge of other securities, including federal agency debt, federal agency residential mortgage-backed securities, and non-agency AAA/Aaa-rated private-label residential mortgage-backed securities, and are awarded to primary dealers through a competitive single-price auction. The TSLF is authorized through October 30, 2009. The fees related to these securities lending transactions are reported as a component of “Non-interest income: Other income” in the Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income.

The Term Securities Lending Facility Options Program (“TOP”), created on July 30, 2008, offers primary dealers the option to draw upon short-term, fixed-rate TSLF loans in exchange for eligible collateral. The options are awarded through a competitive auction. The program is intended to enhance the effectiveness of the TSLF by ensuring additional securities liquidity during periods of heightened collateral market pressures, such as around quarter-end dates. TOP auction dates are determined by the FRBNY, and the program authorization ends concurrently with the TSLF.

Other Lending Facilities

The Asset-Backed Commercial Paper Money Market Mutual Fund Liquidity Facility (“AMLF”), created on September 19, 2008, is a lending facility that provides funding to U.S. depository institutions and bank holding companies to finance the purchase of high-quality asset-backed commercial paper (“ABCP”) from money market mutual funds under certain conditions. The program is intended to assist money market mutual funds that hold such paper to meet the demands for investor redemptions and to foster liquidity in the ABCP market and money markets more generally. The Federal Reserve Bank of Boston (“FRBB”) administers the AMLF and is authorized to extend these loans to eligible borrowers on behalf of the other Reserve Banks. All loans extended under the AMLF are recorded as assets by the FRBB and, if the borrowing institution settles to a depository account in the Eighth District, the funds are credited to the institution’s depository account and settled between the Banks through the interdistrict settlement account. The credit risk related to the AMLF is assumed by the FRBB. The FRBB is authorized to finance the purchase of commercial paper through October 30, 2009.
NOTE 4

Significant Accounting Policies

Accounting principles for entities with the unique powers and responsibilities of a nation’s central bank have not been formulated by accounting standard-setting bodies. The Board of Governors has developed specialized accounting principles and practices that it considers to be appropriate for the nature and function of a central bank. These accounting principles and practices are documented in the *Financial Accounting Manual for Federal Reserve Banks* (“Financial Accounting Manual” or “FAM”), which is issued by the Board of Governors. All of the Reserve Banks are required to adopt and apply accounting policies and practices that are consistent with the FAM, and the financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the FAM.

Differences exist between the accounting principles and practices in the FAM and generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (“GAAP”), primarily due to the unique nature of the Bank’s powers and responsibilities as part of the nation’s central bank. The primary difference is the presentation of all SOMA securities holdings at amortized cost rather than using the fair value presentation required by GAAP. U.S. government, Federal agency, and GSE securities, and investments denominated in foreign currencies comprising the SOMA are recorded at cost, on a settlement-date basis, and are adjusted for amortization of premiums or accretion of discounts on a straight-line basis. Amortized cost more appropriately reflects the Bank’s securities holdings given the System’s unique responsibility to conduct monetary policy. Although the application of current market prices to the securities holdings may result in values substantially above or below their carrying values, these unrealized changes in value would have no direct effect on the quantity of reserves available to the banking system or on the prospects for future Bank earnings or capital. Both the domestic and foreign components of the SOMA portfolio may involve transactions that result in gains or losses when holdings are sold prior to maturity. Decisions regarding securities and foreign currency transactions, including their purchase and sale, are motivated by monetary policy objectives rather than profit. Accordingly, fair values, earnings, and any gains or losses resulting from the sale of such securities and currencies are incidental to the open market operations and do not motivate decisions related to policy or open market activities.

In addition, the Bank has elected not to present a Statement of Cash Flows because the liquidity and cash position of the Bank are not a primary concern given the Reserve Banks’ unique powers and responsibilities. Other information regarding the Bank’s activities is provided in, or may be derived from, the Statements of Condition, Income and Comprehensive Income, and Changes in Capital. There are no other significant differences between the policies outlined in the FAM and GAAP.

Preparing the financial statements in conformity with the FAM requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Certain amounts relating to the prior year have been reclassified to conform to the current-year presentation. Unique accounts and significant accounting policies are explained below.

a. Gold and Special Drawing Rights Certificates

The Secretary of the U.S. Treasury is authorized to issue gold and special drawing rights (“SDR”) certificates to the Reserve Banks.

Payment for the gold certificates by the Reserve Banks is made by crediting equivalent amounts in dollars into the account established for the U.S. Treasury. The gold certificates held by the Reserve Banks are required to be backed by the gold of the U.S. Treasury. The U.S. Treasury may reacquire the gold certificates at any time and the Reserve Banks must deliver them to the U.S. Treasury. At such time, the U.S. Treasury’s account is charged, and the Reserve Banks’ gold certificate accounts are reduced. The value of gold for purposes of backing the gold certificates is set by law at $42 2/9 a fine troy ounce. The Board of Governors allocates the gold
certificates among the Reserve Banks once a year based on the average Federal Reserve notes outstanding in each Reserve Bank.

SDR certificates are issued by the International Monetary Fund (the “Fund”) to its members in proportion to each member’s quota in the Fund at the time of issuance. SDR certificates serve as a supplement to international monetary reserves and may be transferred from one national monetary authority to another. Under the law providing for U.S. participation in the SDR system, the Secretary of the U.S. Treasury is authorized to issue SDR certificates somewhat like gold certificates to the Reserve Banks. When SDR certificates are issued to the Reserve Banks, equivalent amounts in dollars are credited to the account established for the U.S. Treasury, and the Reserve Banks’ SDR certificate accounts are increased. The Reserve Banks are required to purchase SDR certificates, at the direction of the U.S. Treasury, for the purpose of financing SDR acquisitions or for financing exchange stabilization operations. At the time SDR transactions occur, the Board of Governors allocates SDR certificate transactions among the Reserve Banks based upon each Reserve Bank’s Federal Reserve notes outstanding at the end of the preceding year. There were no SDR transactions in 2008 or 2007.

b. Loans to Depository Institutions

Loans are reported at their outstanding principal balances net of commitment fees. Interest income is recognized on an accrual basis. Loan commitment fees are generally deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the commitment period, which is not materially different from the interest method.

Outstanding loans are evaluated to determine whether an allowance for loan losses is required. The Bank has developed procedures for assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses that reflect the assessment of credit risk considering all available information. This assessment includes monitoring information obtained from banking supervisors, borrowers, and other sources to assess the credit condition of the borrowers.

Loans are considered to be impaired when it is probable that the Bank will not receive principal and interest due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The amount of the impairment is the difference between the recorded amount of the loan and the amount expected to be collected, after consideration of the fair value of the collateral. Recognition of interest income is discontinued for any loans that are considered to be impaired. Cash payments made by borrowers on impaired loans are applied to principal until the balance is reduced to zero; subsequent payments are recorded as recoveries of amounts previously charged off and then to interest income.

c. Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell, Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase, and Securities Lending

The FRBNY may engage in tri-party purchases of securities under agreements to resell (“tri-party agreements”). Tri-party agreements are conducted with two commercial custodial banks that manage the clearing and settlement of collateral. Collateral is held in excess of the contract amount. Acceptable collateral under tri-party agreements primarily includes U.S. government securities; pass-through mortgage securities of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae; STRIP securities of the U.S. government; and “stripped” securities of other government agencies. The tri-party agreements are accounted for as financing transactions and the associated interest income is accrued over the life of the agreement.

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are accounted for as financing transactions, and the associated interest expense is recognized over the life of the transaction. These transactions are reported at their contractual amounts in the Statements of Condition and the related accrued interest payable is reported as a component of “Other liabilities.”

U.S. government securities held in the SOMA are lent to U.S. government securities dealers to facilitate the effective functioning of the domestic securities market. Overnight securities lending transactions are fully collateralized by other U.S. government securities. Term securities lending transactions are fully collateralized with investment-grade debt securities, collateral eligible for tri-party repurchase agreements arranged by the Open Market Trading Desk, or both. The collateral taken in both overnight and
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term securities lending transactions is in excess of the fair value of the securities loaned. The FRBNY charges the primary dealer a fee for borrowing securities, and these fees are reported as a component of “Other income.”

Activity related to securities purchased under agreements to resell, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and securities lending is allocated to each of the Reserve Banks on a percentage basis derived from an annual settlement of the interdistrict settlement account.

d. U.S. Government, Federal Agency, and Government-Sponsored Enterprise Securities; Investments Denominated in Foreign Currencies; and Warehousing Agreements

Interest income on U.S. government, Federal agency, and GSE securities and investments denominated in foreign currencies comprising the SOMA is accrued on a straight-line basis. Gains and losses resulting from sales of securities are determined by specific issue based on average cost. Foreign-currency-denominated assets are revalued daily at current foreign currency market exchange rates in order to report these assets in U.S. dollars. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on investments denominated in foreign currencies are reported as “Foreign currency gains, net” in the Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income.

Activity related to U.S. government, Federal agency, and GSE securities, including the premiums, discounts, and realized gains and losses, is allocated to each Reserve Bank on a percentage basis derived from an annual settlement of the interdistrict settlement account that occurs in April of each year. The settlement also equalizes Reserve Bank gold certificate holdings to Federal Reserve notes outstanding in each District. Activity related to investments denominated in foreign currencies, including the premiums, discounts, and realized and unrealized gains and losses, is allocated to each Reserve Bank based on the ratio of each Reserve Bank’s capital and surplus to aggregate capital and surplus at the preceding December 31.

Warehousing is an arrangement under which the FOMC agrees to exchange, at the request of the U.S. Treasury, U.S. dollars for foreign currencies held by the U.S. Treasury or ESF over a limited period of time. The purpose of the warehousing facility is to supplement the U.S. dollar resources of the U.S. Treasury and ESF for financing purchases of foreign currencies and related international operations.

Warehousing agreements are designated as held for trading purposes and are valued daily at current market exchange rates. Activity related to these agreements is allocated to each Reserve Bank based on the ratio of each Reserve Bank’s capital and surplus to aggregate capital and surplus at the preceding December 31.

e. Central Bank Liquidity Swaps

At the initiation of each central bank liquidity swap transaction, the foreign central bank transfers a specified amount of its currency to the FRBNY in exchange for U.S. dollars at the prevailing market exchange rate. Concurrent with this transaction, the FRBNY and the foreign central bank agree to a second transaction that obligates the foreign central bank to return the U.S. dollars and the FRBNY to return the foreign currency on a specified future date at the same exchange rate. The foreign currency amounts that the FRBNY acquires are reported as “Central bank liquidity swaps” on the Statements of Condition. Because the swap transaction will be unwound at the same exchange rate that was used in the initial transaction, the recorded value of the foreign currency amounts is not affected by changes in the market exchange rate.

The foreign central bank pays interest to the FRBNY based on the foreign currency amounts held by the FRBNY. The FRBNY recognizes interest income during the term of the swap agreement and reports the interest income as a component of “Interest income: Central bank liquidity swaps” in the Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income.

Activity related to these swap transactions, including the related interest income, is allocated to each Reserve Bank based on the ratio of each Reserve Bank’s capital and surplus to aggregate capital and surplus at the preceding December 31. Similar to other investments denominated in foreign currencies, the foreign currency holdings associated with these...
Notes to Financial Statements  |  FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF ST. LOUIS

central bank liquidity swaps are revalued at current foreign currency market exchange rates. Because the swap arrangement will be unwound at the same exchange rate that was used in the initial transaction, the obligation to return the foreign currency is also revalued at current foreign currency market exchange rates and is recorded in a currency exchange valuation account by the FRBNY. This revaluation method eliminates the effects of the changes in the market exchange rate. As of December 31, 2008, the FRBNY began allocating this currency exchange valuation account to the Bank and, as a result, the reported amount of central bank liquidity swaps reflects the Bank’s allocated portion at the contract exchange rate.

f. Interdistrict Settlement Account

At the close of business each day, each Reserve Bank aggregates the payments due to or from other Reserve Banks. These payments result from transactions between the Reserve Banks and transactions that involve depository institution accounts held by other Reserve Banks, such as Fedwire funds and securities transfers and check and ACH transactions. The cumulative net amount due to or from the other Reserve Banks is reflected in the “Interdistrict settlement account” in the Statements of Condition.

g. Bank Premises, Equipment, and Software

Bank premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is calculated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from two to fifty years. Major alterations, renovations, and improvements are capitalized at cost as additions to the asset accounts and are depreciated over the remaining useful life of the asset or, if appropriate, over the unique useful life of the alteration, renovation, or improvement. Maintenance, repairs, and minor replacements are charged to operating expense in the year incurred.

Costs incurred for software during the application development stage, whether developed internally or acquired for internal use, are capitalized based on the cost of direct services and materials associated with designing, coding, installing, and testing the software. Capitalized software costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the software applications, which range from two to five years. Maintenance costs related to software are charged to expense in the year incurred.

Capitalized assets, including software, buildings, leasehold improvements, furniture, and equipment are impaired and an adjustment is recorded when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets or asset groups is not recoverable and significantly exceeds the assets’ fair value.

h. Federal Reserve Notes

Federal Reserve notes are the circulating currency of the United States. These notes are issued through the various Federal Reserve agents (the chairman of the board of directors of each Reserve Bank and their designees) to the Reserve Banks upon deposit with such agents of specified classes of collateral security, typically U.S. government securities. These notes are identified as issued to a specific Reserve Bank. The Federal Reserve Act provides that the collateral security tendered by the Reserve Bank to the Federal Reserve agent must be at least equal to the sum of the notes applied for by such Reserve Bank.

Assets eligible to be pledged as collateral security include all of the Bank’s assets. The collateral value is equal to the book value of the collateral tendered with the exception of securities, for which the collateral value is equal to the par value of the securities tendered. The par value of securities pledged for securities sold under agreements to repurchase is deducted.

The Board of Governors may, at any time, call upon a Reserve Bank for additional security to adequately collateralize the outstanding Federal Reserve notes. To satisfy the obligation to provide sufficient collateral for outstanding Federal Reserve notes, the Reserve Banks have entered into an agreement that provides for certain assets of the Reserve Banks to be jointly pledged as collateral for the Federal Reserve notes issued to all Reserve Banks. In the event that this collateral is insufficient, the Federal Reserve Act provides that Federal Reserve notes become a first and paramount lien on all the assets of the Reserve Banks. Finally, Federal Reserve notes are obligations of the U.S. government. At December 31,
2008 and 2007, all Federal Reserve notes issued to the Reserve Banks were fully collateralized.

“Federal Reserve notes outstanding, net” in the Statements of Condition represents the Bank’s Federal Reserve notes outstanding, reduced by the Bank’s currency holdings of $3,405 million and $3,770 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

i. Items in Process of Collection and Deferred Credit Items

“Items in process of collection” in the Statements of Condition primarily represents amounts attributable to checks that have been deposited for collection and that, as of the balance sheet date, have not yet been presented to the paying bank. “Deferred credit items” are the counterpart liability to items in process of collection, and the amounts in this account arise from deferring credit for deposited items until the amounts are collected. The balances in both accounts can vary significantly.

j. Capital Paid-in

The Federal Reserve Act requires that each member bank subscribe to the capital stock of the Reserve Bank in an amount equal to 6 percent of the capital and surplus of the member bank. These shares are nonvoting with a par value of $100 and may not be transferred or hypothecated. As a member bank’s capital and surplus changes, its holdings of Reserve Bank stock must be adjusted. Currently, only one-half of the subscription is paid-in and the remainder is subject to call. A member bank is liable for Reserve Bank liabilities up to twice the par value of stock subscribed by it.

By law, each Reserve Bank is required to pay each member bank an annual dividend of 6 percent on the paid-in capital stock. This cumulative dividend is paid semiannually. To reflect the Federal Reserve Act requirement that annual dividends be deducted from net earnings, dividends are presented as a distribution of comprehensive income in the Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income.

k. Surplus

The Board of Governors requires the Reserve Banks to maintain a surplus equal to the amount of capital paid-in as of December 31 of each year. This amount is intended to provide additional capital and reduce the possibility that the Reserve Banks will be required to call on member banks for additional capital.

Accumulated other comprehensive income is reported as a component of surplus in the Statements of Condition and the Statements of Changes in Capital. The balance of accumulated other comprehensive income is comprised of expenses, gains, and losses related to other postretirement benefit plans that, under accounting standards, are included in other comprehensive income, but excluded from net income. Additional information regarding the classifications of accumulated other comprehensive income is provided in Notes 12 and 13.

l. Interest on Federal Reserve Notes

The Board of Governors requires the Reserve Banks to transfer excess earnings to the U.S. Treasury as interest on Federal Reserve notes after providing for the costs of operations, payment of dividends, and reservation of an amount necessary to equate surplus with capital paid-in. This amount is reported as “Payments to U.S. Treasury as interest on Federal Reserve notes” in the Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income and is reported as a liability, or as an asset if overpaid during the year, in the Statements of Condition. Weekly payments to the U.S. Treasury may vary significantly.

In the event of losses or an increase in capital paid-in at a Reserve Bank, payments to the U.S. Treasury are suspended and earnings are retained until the surplus is equal to the capital paid-in.

In the event of a decrease in capital paid-in, the excess surplus, after equating capital paid-in and surplus at December 31, is distributed to the U.S. Treasury in the following year.
m. Interest on Depository Institution Deposits

Beginning October 9, 2008, the Reserve Banks began paying interest to depository institutions on qualifying balances held at the Banks. Authorization for payment of interest on these balances was granted by Title II of the Financial Services Regulatory Relief Act of 2006, which had an effective date of 2011. Section 128 of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, enacted on October 3, 2008, made that authority immediately effective. The interest rates paid on required reserve balances and excess balances are based on an FOMC-established target range for the effective federal funds rate.

n. Income and Costs Related to U.S. Treasury Services

The Bank is required by the Federal Reserve Act to serve as fiscal agent and depository of the United States. By statute, the Department of the Treasury has appropriations to pay for these services. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Bank was reimbursed for all services provided to the Department of the Treasury as its fiscal agent.

o. Compensation Received for Services Provided

The Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta ("FRBA") has overall responsibility for managing the Reserve Banks’ provision of check and ACH services to depository institutions and, as a result, recognizes total System revenue for these services on its Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income. Similarly, the FRBNY manages the Reserve Banks’ provision of Fedwire funds and securities transfer services, and recognizes total System revenue for these services on its Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income. The FRBA and FRBNY compensate the other Reserve Banks for the costs incurred to provide these services. The Bank reports this compensation as “Compensation received for services provided” in the Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income.

p. Assessments by the Board of Governors

The Board of Governors assesses the Reserve Banks to fund its operations based on each Reserve Bank’s capital and surplus balances as of December 31 of the prior year. The Board of Governors also assesses each Reserve Bank for the expenses incurred for the U.S. Treasury to prepare and retire Federal Reserve notes based on each Reserve Bank’s share of the number of notes comprising the System’s net liability for Federal Reserve notes on December 31 of the prior year.

q. Taxes

The Reserve Banks are exempt from federal, state, and local taxes, except for taxes on real property and, in some states, sales taxes on construction-related materials. The Bank’s real property taxes were $1 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, and are reported as a component of “Occupancy expense.”

r. Restructuring Charges

The Reserve Banks recognize restructuring charges for exit or disposal costs incurred as part of the closure of business activities in a particular location, the relocation of business activities from one location to another, or a fundamental reorganization that affects the nature of operations. Restructuring charges may include costs associated with employee separations, contract terminations, and asset impairments. Expenses are recognized in the period in which the Bank commits to a formalized restructuring plan or executes the specific actions contemplated in the plan and all criteria for financial statement recognition have been met.

Note 14 describes the Bank’s restructuring initiatives and provides information about the costs and liabilities associated with employee separations and contract terminations. The costs associated with the impairment of certain of the Bank’s assets are discussed in Note 9. Costs and liabilities associated with enhanced pension benefits in connection with the restructuring activities for all of the Reserve Banks are recorded on the books of the FRBNY.

s. Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In September 2006, FASB issued SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements”
“SFAS 157”), which established a single authoritative definition of fair value and a framework for measuring fair value, and expands the required disclosures for assets and liabilities measured at fair value. SFAS 157 was effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted. The Bank adopted SFAS 157 effective January 1, 2008. The provisions of this standard have no material effect on the Bank’s financial statements.

In February 2007, FASB issued SFAS No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115” (“SFAS 159”), which provides companies with an irrevocable option to elect fair value as the measurement for selected financial assets, financial liabilities, unrecognized firm commitments and written loan commitments that are not subject to fair value under other accounting standards. There is a one-time election available to apply this standard to existing financial instruments as of January 1, 2008; otherwise, the fair value option will be available for financial instruments on their initial transaction date. SFAS 159 reduces the accounting complexity for financial instruments and the volatility in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently, and it eliminates the operational complexities of applying hedge accounting. The Bank adopted SFAS 159 effective January 1, 2008. The provisions of this standard have no material effect on the Bank’s financial statements.

In February 2008, FASB issued FASB Staff Position (“FSP”) FAS 140-3, “Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets and Repurchase Financing Transactions.” FSP FAS 140-3 requires that an initial transfer of a financial asset and a repurchase financing that was entered into contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, the initial transfer be evaluated together as a linked transaction under SFAS 140 “Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities”, unless certain criteria are met. FSP FAS 140-3 is effective for the Bank’s financial statements for the year beginning on January 1, 2009 and earlier adoption is not permitted. The provisions of this standard will not have a material effect on the Bank’s financial statements.

NOTE 5

Loans

The loan amounts outstanding to depository institutions at December 31 were as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary, secondary, and seasonal credit</td>
<td>$ 454</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAF</td>
<td>4,698</td>
<td>1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total loans to depository institutions</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,152</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,050</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Loans to Depository Institutions

The Bank offers primary, secondary, and seasonal credit to eligible borrowers. Each program has its own interest rate. Interest is accrued using the applicable interest rate established at least every fourteen days by the board of directors of the Bank, subject to review and determination by the Board of Governors. Primary and secondary credits are extended on a short-term basis, typically overnight, whereas seasonal credit may be extended for a period up to nine months.

Primary, secondary, and seasonal credit lending is collateralized to the satisfaction of the Bank to reduce credit risk. Assets eligible to collateralize these loans include consumer, business, and real estate loans, U.S. Treasury securities, Federal agency securities, GSE obligations, foreign sovereign debt obligations, municipal or corporate obligations, state and local government obligations, asset-backed securities, corporate bonds, commercial paper, and bank-issued assets, such as certificates of deposit, bank notes, and deposit notes. Collateral is assigned a lending value deemed appropriate by the Bank, which is typically fair value or face value reduced by a margin.

Depository institutions that are eligible to borrow under the Bank’s primary credit program are also eligible to participate in the temporary TAF program. Under the TAF program, the Reserve Banks conduct auctions
for a fixed amount of funds, with the interest rate determined by the auction process, subject to a minimum bid rate. TAF loans are extended on a short-term basis, with terms of either 28 or 84 days. All advances under the TAF must be fully collateralized. Assets eligible to collateralize TAF loans include the complete list noted above for loans to depository institutions. Similar to the process used for primary, secondary, and seasonal credit, a lending value is assigned to each asset accepted as collateral for TAF loans.

Loans to depository institutions are monitored on a daily basis to ensure that borrowers continue to meet eligibility requirements for these programs. The financial condition of borrowers is monitored by the Bank and, if a borrower no longer qualifies for these programs, the Bank will generally request full repayment of the outstanding loan or may convert the loan to a secondary credit loan.

Collateral levels are reviewed daily against outstanding obligations and borrowers that no longer have sufficient collateral to support outstanding loans are required to provide additional collateral or to make partial or full repayment.

The maturity distribution of loans outstanding at December 31, 2008, was as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Primary, secondary, and seasonal credit</th>
<th>TAF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within 15 days</td>
<td>$348</td>
<td>$4,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 days to 90 days</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total loans</strong></td>
<td><strong>$454</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,698</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Allowance for Loan Losses

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, no loans were considered to be impaired, and the Bank determined that no allowance for loan losses was required.

**NOTE 6**

**U.S. Government, Federal Agency, and Government-Sponsored Enterprise Securities; Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell; Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase; and Securities Lending**

The FRBNY, on behalf of the Reserve Banks, holds securities bought outright in the SOMA. The Bank’s allocated share of SOMA balances was approximately 3.456 percent and 3.196 percent at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Bank’s allocated share of U.S. government, Federal agency, and GSE securities, net held in the SOMA at December 31 was as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. government securities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bills</td>
<td>$636</td>
<td>$7,282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>11,569</td>
<td>12,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds</td>
<td>4,241</td>
<td>3,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal agency and GSE securities</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total par value</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,127</strong></td>
<td><strong>23,671</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unamortized premiums</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaccrued discounts</td>
<td>(51)</td>
<td>(95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total allocated to the Bank</strong></td>
<td><strong>$17,354</strong></td>
<td><strong>$23,831</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the fair value of the U.S. government, Federal agency, and GSE securities allocated to the Bank, excluding accrued interest, was $19,574 million and $24,838 million, respectively, as determined by reference to quoted prices for identical securities.
The total of the U.S. government, Federal agency, and GSE securities, net, held in the SOMA was $502,189 million and $745,629 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the fair value of the U.S. government, Federal agency, and GSE securities held in the SOMA, excluding accrued interest, was $566,427 million and $777,141 million, respectively, as determined by reference to quoted prices for identical securities.

Although the fair value of security holdings can be substantially greater than or less than the recorded value at any point in time, these unrealized gains or losses have no effect on the ability of the Reserve Banks, as central bank, to meet their financial obligations and responsibilities and do not represent a risk to the Reserve Banks, their shareholders, or the public. The fair value is presented solely for informational purposes.

Financial information related to securities purchased under agreements to resell and securities sold under agreements to repurchase for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, were as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Securities purchased under agreements to resell</th>
<th>Securities sold under agreements to repurchase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allocated to the Bank:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract amount outstanding, end of year</td>
<td>$2,765</td>
<td>$1,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average amount outstanding, during the year</td>
<td>3,353</td>
<td>1,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum month-end balance outstanding, during the year</td>
<td>4,112</td>
<td>1,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities pledged, end of year</td>
<td>2,726</td>
<td>1,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System total:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract amount outstanding, end of year</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$46,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average amount outstanding, during the year</td>
<td>97,037</td>
<td>35,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum month-end balance outstanding, during the year</td>
<td>119,000</td>
<td>51,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities pledged, end of year</td>
<td>78,896</td>
<td>44,048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The contract amounts for securities purchased under agreements to resell and securities sold under agreements to repurchase approximate fair value.
The maturity distribution of U.S. government, Federal agency, and GSE securities bought outright, securities purchased under agreements to resell, and securities sold under agreements to repurchase that were allocated to the Bank at December 31, 2008, was as follows (in millions):

| Within 15 days | $661 | $15 | $676 | $1,382 | $3,053 |
| 16 days to 90 days | 724 | 113 | 837 | 1,383 | - |
| 91 days to 1 year | 2,189 | 34 | 2,223 | - | - |
| Over 1 year to 5 years | 5,990 | 393 | 6,383 | - | - |
| Over 5 years to 10 years | 3,363 | 126 | 3,489 | - | - |
| Over 10 years | 3,519 | - | 3,519 | - | - |
| **Total allocated to the Bank** | **$16,446** | **$681** | **$17,127** | **$2,765** | **$3,053** |

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, U.S. government securities with par values of $180,765 million and $16,649 million, respectively, were loaned from the SOMA, of which $6,247 million and $532 million, respectively, were allocated to the Bank.

**NOTE 7**

**Investments Denominated in Foreign Currencies**

The FRBNY, on behalf of the Reserve Banks, holds foreign currency deposits with foreign central banks and with the Bank for International Settlements and invests in foreign government debt instruments. These investments are guaranteed as to principal and interest by the issuing foreign governments.

The Bank’s allocated share of investments denominated in foreign currencies was approximately .975 percent and 1.085 percent at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.
The Bank’s allocated share of investments denominated in foreign currencies, including accrued interest, valued at foreign currency market exchange rates at December 31, was as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Euro:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency deposits</td>
<td>$ 54</td>
<td>$ 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities purchased under agreements to resell</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government debt instruments</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese yen:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency deposits</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government debt instruments</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total allocated to the Bank</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 242</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 249</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the fair value of investments denominated in foreign currencies, including accrued interest, allocated to the Bank was $244 million and $248 million, respectively. The fair value of government debt instruments was determined by reference to quoted prices for identical securities. The cost basis of foreign currency deposits and securities purchased under agreements to resell, adjusted for accrued interest, approximates fair value. Similar to the U.S. government, Federal agency, and GSE securities discussed in Note 6, unrealized gains or losses have no effect on the ability of a Reserve Bank, as central bank, to meet its financial obligations and responsibilities.

The maturity distribution of investments denominated in foreign currencies that were allocated to the Bank at December 31, 2008, was as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Euro</th>
<th>Japanese</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within 15 days</td>
<td>$ 74</td>
<td>$ 34</td>
<td>$ 108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 days to 90 days</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91 days to 1 year</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 1 year to 5 years</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total allocated to the Bank</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 139</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 103</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 242</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the authorized warehousing facility was $5 billion, with no balance outstanding.

In connection with its foreign currency activities, the FRBNY may enter into transactions that contain varying degrees of off-balance-sheet market risk that result from their future settlement and counter-party credit risk. The FRBNY controls these risks by obtaining credit approvals, establishing transaction limits, and performing daily monitoring procedures.

**NOTE 8**

**Central Bank Liquidity Swaps**

Central bank liquidity swap arrangements are contractual agreements between two parties, the FRBNY, and an authorized foreign central bank, whereby the parties agree to exchange their currencies up to a prearranged maximum amount and for an agreed-upon period of time. At the end of that period of time, the currencies are returned at the original contractual exchange rate and the foreign central bank pays interest to the Federal Reserve at an agreed-upon rate. These arrangements give the
authorized foreign central bank temporary access to U.S. dollars. Draw-
ings under the swap arrangements are initiated by the foreign central
bank and must be agreed to by the Federal Reserve.

The Bank’s allocated share of central bank liquidity swaps was approximately
.975 percent and 1.085 percent at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the total System amount of foreign cur-
rency held under central bank liquidity swaps was $553,728 million and
$24,353 million, respectively, of which $5,401 million and $264 million,
respectively, was allocated to the Bank.

The maturity distribution of central bank liquidity swaps that were allo-
cated to the Bank at December 31 was as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within 15 days</td>
<td>16 days to 90 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian dollar</td>
<td>$ 98</td>
<td>$ 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danish krone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>1,473</td>
<td>1,369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese yen</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean won</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwegian krone</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish krona</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss franc</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.K. pound</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 2,345</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 3,056</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accumulated depreciation (64) (68)

Bank premises and equipment, net $144 $127

Depreciation expense, for the years ended December 31 $8 $8

The Bank leases space to outside tenants with remaining lease terms of
less than one year. Rental income from such leases was immaterial for
the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. Future minimum lease
payments that the Bank will receive under noncancelable lease agree-
ments in existence at December 31, 2008, were immaterial.

The Bank has capitalized software assets, net of amortization, of $3 million
and $6 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Amortiza-
tion expense was $3 million for each of the years ended December 31,
2008 and 2007. Capitalized software assets are reported as a component
of “Other assets,” and the related amortization is reported as a component of “Other expenses.”

Assets impaired as a result of the Bank’s restructuring plan, as discussed in Note 14, include check processing equipment. Asset impairment losses of $2 million for the period ended December 31, 2007, were determined using fair values based on quoted fair values or other valuation techniques and are reported as a component of “Other expenses.” The bank had no impairment losses in 2008.

NOTE 10

Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of its operation, the Bank enters into contractual commitments, normally with fixed expiration dates or termination provisions, at specific rates and for specific purposes.

At December 31, 2008, the Bank was obligated under noncancelable leases for premises and equipment with remaining terms ranging from one to approximately two years. These leases provide for increased rental payments based upon increases in real estate taxes, operating costs, or selected price indices.

Rental expense under operating leases for certain operating facilities, warehouses, and data processing and office equipment (including taxes, insurance and maintenance when included in rent), net of sublease rentals, was $2 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. Certain of the Bank’s leases have options to renew.

Future minimum rental payments under noncancelable operating leases, net of sublease rentals, with remaining terms of one year or more, at December 31, 2008, are as follows (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating leases</th>
<th>$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>421</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At December 31, 2008, there were no material unrecorded unconditional purchase commitments or long-term obligations in excess of one year.

Under the Insurance Agreement of the Federal Reserve Banks, each of the Reserve Banks has agreed to bear, on a per incident basis, a pro rata share of losses in excess of one percent of the capital paid-in of the claiming Reserve Bank, up to 50 percent of the total capital paid-in of all Reserve Banks. Losses are borne in the ratio of a Reserve Bank’s capital paid-in to the total capital paid-in of all Reserve Banks at the beginning of the calendar year in which the loss is shared. No claims were outstanding under the agreement at December 31, 2008 or 2007.

The Bank is involved in certain legal actions and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. Although it is difficult to predict the ultimate outcome of these actions, in management’s opinion, based on discussions with counsel, the aforementioned litigation and claims will be resolved without material adverse effect on the financial position or results of operations of the Bank.
NOTE 11

Retirement and Thrift Plans

Retirement Plans

The Bank currently offers three defined benefit retirement plans to its employees, based on length of service and level of compensation. Substantially all of the Bank’s employees participate in the Retirement Plan for Employees of the Federal Reserve System (“System Plan”). Employees at certain compensation levels participate in the Benefit Equalization Retirement Plan (“BEP”) and certain Reserve Bank officers participate in the Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan (“SERP”).

The System Plan provides retirement benefits to employees of the Federal Reserve Banks, the Board of Governors, and the Office of Employee Benefits of the Federal Reserve Employee Benefits System. The FRBNY, on behalf of the System, recognizes the net asset or net liability and costs associated with the System Plan in its financial statements. Costs associated with the System Plan are not reimbursed by other participating employers.

The Bank’s projected benefit obligation, funded status, and net pension expenses for the BEP and the SERP at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and for the years then ended, were not material.

Thrift Plan

Employees of the Bank may also participate in the defined contribution Thrift Plan for Employees of the Federal Reserve System (“Thrift Plan”). The Bank matches employee contributions based on a specified formula. For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Bank matched 80 percent on the first 6 percent of employee contributions for employees with less than five years of service and 100 percent on the first 6 percent of employee contributions for employees with five or more years of service. The Bank’s Thrift Plan contributions totaled $4 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, and are reported as a component of “Salaries and other benefits” in the Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income. Beginning in 2009, the Bank will match 100 percent of the first 6 percent of employee contributions from the date of hire and provide an automatic employer contribution of 1 percent of eligible pay.
NOTE 12

Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions and Postemployment Benefits

Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

In addition to the Bank’s retirement plans, employees who have met certain age and length-of-service requirements are eligible for both medical benefits and life insurance coverage during retirement.

The Bank funds benefits payable under the medical and life insurance plans as due and, accordingly, has no plan assets.

Following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the benefit obligation (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation at January 1</td>
<td>$ 73.7</td>
<td>$ 73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service cost-benefits earned during the period</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest cost on accumulated benefit obligation</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net actuarial loss (gain)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>(1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtailment gain</td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions by plan participants</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits paid</td>
<td>(3.7)</td>
<td>(4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare Part D subsidies</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation at December 31</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 80.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 73.7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the weighted-average discount rate assumptions used in developing the postretirement benefit obligation were 6.00 percent and 6.25 percent, respectively.

Discount rates reflect yields available on high-quality corporate bonds that would generate the cash flows necessary to pay the plan’s benefits when due.

Following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balance of the plan assets, the unfunded postretirement benefit obligation, and the accrued postretirement benefit costs (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fair value of plan assets at January 1</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions by the employer</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions by plan participants</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits paid</td>
<td>(3.7)</td>
<td>(4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare Part D subsidies</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fair value of plan assets at December 31</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ -</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ -</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unfunded obligation and accrued postretirement benefit cost</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 80.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 73.7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive loss are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior service cost</td>
<td>$ 7.6</td>
<td>$ 10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net actuarial loss</td>
<td>(28.4)</td>
<td>(29.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred curtailment gain</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total accumulated other comprehensive loss</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ (20.3)</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ (17.7)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accrued postretirement benefit costs are reported as a component of “Accrued benefit costs” in the Statements of Condition.
For measurement purposes, the assumed health care cost trend rates at December 31 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health care cost trend rate</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
<td>8.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assumed for next year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate to which the cost trend rate is</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assumed to decline (the ultimate trend rate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year that the rate reaches</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the ultimate trend rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for health care plans. A one percentage point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects for the year ended December 31, 2008 (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One Percentage Point Increase</th>
<th>One Percentage Point Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effect on aggregate</td>
<td>$0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of service and interest</td>
<td>$(0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cost components of net</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>periodic postretirement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benefit costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect on accumulated</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>postretirement benefit</td>
<td>(6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obligation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following is a summary of the components of net periodic postretirement benefit expense for the years ended December 31 (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service cost-benefits earned during the period</td>
<td>$2.4</td>
<td>$2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest cost on accumulated</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benefit obligation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of prior service cost</td>
<td>(3.1)</td>
<td>(3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of net actuarial loss</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total periodic expense</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtailment gain</td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net periodic postretirement benefit expense</strong></td>
<td><strong>$7.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$7.6</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated amounts that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic postretirement benefit expense in 2009 are shown below:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior service cost</td>
<td>$ (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net actuarial loss</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$(0.4)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated amounts that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic postretirement benefit expense in 2009 are shown below:

Net postretirement benefit costs are actuarially determined using a January 1 measurement date. At January 1, 2008 and 2007, the weighted-average discount rate assumptions used to determine net periodic postretirement benefit costs were 6.25 percent and 5.75 percent, respectively.

Net periodic postretirement benefit expense is reported as a component of “Salaries and other benefits” in the Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income.

A net curtailment gain was recognized in net income in the year ended December 31, 2008 related to employees who terminated employment during 2008. A deferred curtailment gain was recorded in 2007 as a
component of accumulated other comprehensive loss; the gain will be recognized in net income in future years when the related employees terminate employment.

The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 established a prescription drug benefit under Medicare (“Medicare Part D”) and a federal subsidy to sponsors of retiree health care benefit plans that provide benefits that are at least actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D. The benefits provided under the Bank’s plan to certain participants are at least actuarially equivalent to the Medicare Part D prescription drug benefit. The estimated effects of the subsidy are reflected in actuarial loss (gain) in the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation and net periodic postretirement benefit expense.

Federal Medicare Part D subsidy receipts were $0.2 million and $0.5 million in the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Expected receipts in 2009, related to benefits paid in the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 are $0.2 million.

Following is a summary of expected postretirement benefit payments (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Without subsidy</th>
<th>With subsidy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$4.6</td>
<td>$4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 - 2018</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$60.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>$54.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Postemployment Benefits**

The Bank offers benefits to former or inactive employees. Postemployment benefit costs are actuarially determined using a December 31 measurement date and include the cost of medical and dental insurance, survivor income, and disability benefits. The accrued postemployment benefit costs recognized by the Bank at December 31, 2008 and 2007 were $5 million for each year. This cost is included as a component of “Accrued benefit costs” in the Statements of Condition. Net periodic postemployment benefit expense included in 2008 and 2007 operating expenses were $1 million for each year, and are recorded as a component of “Salaries and other benefits” in the Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income.
**NOTE 13**

**Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income**

And Other Comprehensive Income

Following is a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of accumulated other comprehensive loss (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount related to postretirement benefits other than pensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at January 1, 2007</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in funded status of benefit plans:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior service costs arising during the year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net actuarial gain arising during the year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred curtailment gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of prior service cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of net actuarial loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in funded status of benefit plans - other comprehensive income</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at December 31, 2007</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in funded status of benefit plans:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior service costs arising during the year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net actuarial loss arising during the year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of prior service cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of net actuarial loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred curtailment gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in funded status of benefit plans - other comprehensive loss</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at December 31, 2008</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional detail regarding the classification of accumulated other comprehensive loss is included in Note 12.
NOTE 14

Business Restructuring Charges

In 2007, the Reserve Banks announced a restructuring initiative to align the check processing infrastructure and operations with declining check processing volumes. Additional announcements in 2007 included restructuring plans associated with the U.S. Treasury's Collections and Cash Management Modernization initiative.

The Bank incurred various restructuring charges prior to 2007 related to the restructuring of check adjustment operations.

Following is a summary of financial information related to the restructuring plans (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information related to restructuring plans as of December 31, 2008:</th>
<th>2006 and prior restructuring plans</th>
<th>2007 restructuring plans</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total expected costs related to restructuring activity</td>
<td>$ 0.3</td>
<td>$ 3.2</td>
<td>$ 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated future costs related to restructuring activity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected completion date</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reconciliation of liability balances:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance at January 1, 2007</td>
<td>$ 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee separation costs</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2007</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee separation costs</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2008</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Employee separation costs are primarily severance costs for identified staff reductions associated with the announced restructuring plans. Separation
costs that are provided under terms of ongoing benefit arrangements are recorded based on the accumulated benefit earned by the employee. Separation costs that are provided under the terms of one-time benefit arrangements are generally measured based on the expected benefit as of the termination date and recorded ratably over the period to termination. Restructuring costs related to employee separations are reported as a component of “Salaries and other benefits” in the Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income.

Adjustments to the accrued liability are primarily due to changes in the estimated restructuring costs and are shown as a component of the appropriate expense category in the Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income.

Restructuring costs associated with the impairment of certain Bank assets, including software, buildings, leasehold improvements, furniture, and equipment, are discussed in Note 9.

Costs associated with enhanced pension benefits for all Reserve Banks are recorded on the books of the FRBNY as discussed in Note 11.

**NOTE 15**

**Subsequent Events**

In February 2009, the System announced the extension through October 30, 2009, of liquidity programs that were previously scheduled to expire on April 30, 2009. The extension pertains to the Asset-Backed Commercial Paper Money Market Mutual Fund Liquidity Facility and the Term Securities Lending Facility. In addition, the temporary reciprocal currency arrangements (swap lines) between the Federal Reserve and other central banks were extended to October 30, 2009.