ECONOMIC PROSPECTS FOR CALIFORNIA AND THE NATION IN 1993

Robert T. Parry President, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco

- I. Today I'm going to discuss the outlook for both the nation and California,
 - A. and their stories are different enough that we could almost call this "The Tale of Two Economies."
 - 1. In the nation, we're seeing more and more signs that we're moving into a phase of sustained economic expansion.
 - 2. Here in California, though, the economy presents a much different picture.
- II. So let me start with a look at developments in our region.
 - A. To put it bluntly, California is in a long and deep recession.
 - B And this is unusual for us.
 - 1. If you look back at our history, you see that we're used to weathering recessions somewhat better than the nation.
 - 2. When the national economy slumps, California's economy typically just "hesitates."
 - 3. Only once before, in 1970, did California do worse than the U.S.
 - a. But now we're faced with an unemployment rate of 9.5 percent, more than two percentage points higher than the national rate.
 - C. The current economic scene in California is unusual for another reason, as well.
 - 1. Usually, as the nation heads into recovery, California picks up steam at the same time.
 - 2. But not in this cycle.

- a. According to the NBER, the U.S. economy has been in recovery—a sluggish recovery, but a recovery nonetheless—since March 1991.
- b. In California, though, we now have 360,000 *fewer* jobs than when the national recovery began.
- D. Now, from a lot of the news coverage, you might think that just a few industries are accounting for a lot of the employment losses.
 - 1. For example, commercial real estate is seriously overbuilt in many parts of the state -- especially in southern California.
 - a. As a result, more than a quarter of the construction jobs that existed three years ago are gone today.
 - 2. And the defense sector has been hit hard by cutbacks.
 - a. Real defense spending in California has fallen more than 13 percent since its 1988 peak.
 - b. And aerospace employment has fallen 31 percent since the beginning of 1990 -- a loss of 78,000 jobs.
 - 3. But these <u>aren't</u> the only industries facing hard times.
 - a. In fact, both non-defense manufacturing and trade have lost more jobs than either construction or aerospace.
- E. It's also tempting to put the focus on southern California, where, clearly, the problems have been most severe.
 - 1. While the six southern California counties account for 57 percent of the state's total employment, they account for around 80 percent of the jobs lost during the current downturn.
 - a. That's partly because defense is more important to the southern California economy,
 - b. and the commercial real estate problems are worse in that part of the state.
 - c. Overall, Southern California has lost 8 percent of its jobs.

- 2. But northern California is hardly immune.
 - a. Since May of 1990, the Bay Area has lost nearly 4 percent of its jobs.
 - b. That's worse than the national economy, where the peak-to-trough employment loss was only 2 percent.
- F. Since there's little prospect for substantial improvement in southern California this year, it will continue to be a drag on the northern part of the state.
 - 1. For one thing, business ties between northern and southern California are strong, with many Bay Area businesses selling their products and services in the L.A. area.
 - 2. For another, lingering weakness in southern California will continue to hold down state tax revenues, leading to further fiscal problems throughout the state.
 - a. Current budget figures suggest that lawmakers will be facing the same kind of shortfall, and therefore the same daunting budget process, as they have for the past two years.
- G. When can we expect to see the state's economy turn around?
 - 1. Well, we did get some encouraging news in January's employment report,
 - a. which showed a gain of nearly 50,000 jobs during the month.
 - b. Still, it's much too soon to tell whether this first gain in six months represents the beginning of a trend.
 - 2. But downward adjustments in defense and problems in commercial real estate are likely to last at least a couple of years.
 - 3. And the state government is going to face some tough choices, which seem certain to intensify California's short-term troubles.
 - 4. The only real hope for any improvement during the next couple of years seems to be improved demand for the goods and services produced in California.

- a. And that, in turn, depends to a large extent on how robust the national recovery is.
- III. So now let me turn to the national economy, where the signs of sustained expansion seem to be building.
 - A. After nearly three years of recession and sluggish growth, a pattern of moderate expansion took hold in the first half of 1992, when real GDP grew at a 2 ½ percent rate.
 - 1. Then, in the second half of the year, the economy registered a robust 4 percent growth rate.
 - a. In fact, growth in the fourth quarter alone was recently revised up from 3.8 percent to a strong 4.8 percent!
 - 2. That information has raised my confidence that the expansion will be sustained.
 - B. In my view, monetary policy can take some of the credit for the acceleration in the economy.
 - 1. Since economic growth began to slow about four years ago, the Fed has let short-term interest rates fall substantially.
 - 2. The federal funds rate and other short-term rates are now about a third of what they were in early 1989.
 - 3. Moreover, intermediate and long-term interest rates also have fallen substantially in recent years.
- IV. As we look ahead to the remainder of 1993, I expect the expansion to continue.
 - A. But there are a number of reasons to believe that it will be held to a moderate pace, probably in the neighborhood of 3 percent, rather than the 4-5 percent that would be normal early in an economic recovery.
 - B. First, a number of our most important trading partners are going through slowdowns themselves,
 - 1. and this will tend to hold back the volume of U.S. products we can sell abroad.

- 2. The recent easing of monetary policies in Japan and much of Europe will help, but I still don't expect to see robust growth abroad.
- C. Second, we've been importing foreign goods, especially computers, at a rapid pace in recent years, and we expect this trend to continue.
 - 1. This cuts into demand for domestic products.
- D. Then, there's trouble in the commercial real estate market in <u>many</u> places, not just California.
 - 1. The vacancy rate nationally is high, at about 20 percent.
 - 2. And it will probably take years to work off this much overhang.
- E. Finally, the large budget deficit and the end of the cold war have led the federal government to cut back, especially for defense.
 - 1. And state and local governments, too, have been constrained in the face of their own deficits.
 - 2. Of course, the outlook may change once we know how negotiations on Clinton's budget proposal work out.
- V. Now, let me give you my outlook for inflation.
 - A. Over the period of recession and slow growth, labor and product markets slackened, and this restrained growth in labor compensation and product prices.
 - B. Since the economy is likely to grow only moderately this year, the pressures for disinflation should continue.
 - 1. Another factor contributing to the disinflationary trend is the large increase in worker productivity in 1992
 - a. the largest of any year in the last two decades.
 - b. If this continues, firms will have a better chance of meeting increased demand without having to increase prices.
 - C. During 1992, core consumer inflation—which excludes the volatile food and energy component from the consumer price index—rose at around a 3½ percent rate,

- 1. and I expect to see it decline to about 2½ percent this year and to be a little lower in 1994.
- 2. These numbers represent significant progress over the 4½ percent core rate of consumer inflation in 1991.
- VI. What does this mean for monetary policy?
 - A. The main way in which the Federal Reserve can contribute to long-run economic growth is by providing an environment of low inflation.
 - 1. So the continuing downward trend in inflation that I expect is very much in keeping with that long-term goal.
 - B. Our progress in lowering inflation has been important also because it has given us greater latitude to respond to the weakness in the economy in recent years.
 - C. I want to emphasize that while we'll pursue policies consistent with the continuation of the economic expansion,
 - 1. we also must be careful to preserve and advance hard-won gains against inflation.
 - D. I think our efforts in both areas are paying off.