Community Leaders

Bakersfield, California

For delivery September 10, 1992

RELEASE UPON RECEIPT

## A POLICYMAKER'S PERSPECTIVE ON THE U.S. ECONOMY

- I. Thank you. I'm delighted to be here today.
- II. Today I'll be going over
  - 1. the economic outlook for the nation and the region,
  - 2. and then I'll focus on the role of monetary policy.
- III. As you know, the national economy's performance recently is nothing to cheer about.
  - A. The last three years have been one of the longest periods of slow growth in this country's postwar history—
    - 1. one in which the unemployment rate rose from 5½ to 7¾ percent.
  - B. The slowdown began in the spring of 1989, and continued for a little over a year.
  - C. Then the Gulf crisis and temporarily higher oil prices shoved us into recession in July 1990.
  - D. In the late spring of last year, the economy pulled out of the recession, but only enough to resume the very sluggish growth that prevailed before.
    - 1. And the sluggishness continues.
      - a. According to data for the spring quarter—the most current complete data available—real GDP grew at only a 1½ percent rate.
- IV. Of course, here in California,
  - A. we've had our own problems to contend with.

Podorci Roserve Bank of Sen Francisco

SEP 25 1992

LIBRARY

- 1. There's the violence and destruction that hit South Central L.A.
- 2. And we've had an unusual spate of natural disasters: drought, earthquakes, fires, floods, and pestilence.
- B. The economic news has been bad, too.
  - 1. California has lost about 600,000 jobs since employment peaked in May of 1990.
  - 2. And the unexpectedly weak state economy has created monumental fiscal problems at both the state and local level.
- C. This performance is pretty unusual for California. If you look back at our history, you know that we're used to weathering recessions somewhat better than the nation.
  - 1. In a national recession, California's economy typically just "hesitates."
  - 2. Only once before, in 1970, did California do worse than the U.S.
- D. It seems to me that part of the explanation is that a number of negative forces have hit the state more or less at once.
  - 1. For example, commercial real estate is seriously overbuilt in many parts of the state—and especially in southern California.
    - a. As a result, about a quarter of the construction jobs that existed two years ago are gone today.
  - 2. And the defense sector has been hit hard by cutbacks.
    - a. Real defense spending in California has fallen more than 13 percent since its 1988 peak.
    - b. And aerospace employment has fallen 24 percent since the beginning of 1990—a loss of 60,000 jobs.
  - 3. Finally, these problems are reflected in the turmoil surrounding the state budget.

- a. Last year it took some last-minute maneuvering to close a \$14 billion shortfall.
- b. This year, the budget process had more twists and turns than Highway 178 through Kern County to Lake Isabella.
- c. And the spending cuts that are coming out of it are severe.
- E. Here in Bakersfield, you're feeling the pain, too.
  - 1. For example, the area's unemployment rate is high, both in absolute terms and compared with its pre-recession level.
    - a. And the construction, mining, and manufacturing industries have suffered significant employment losses.
  - 2. Still, compared to the rest of the state, this area's losses don't look so bad.
    - a. For example, during the nine months of decline in Bakersfield, employment fell 1.8 percent.
    - b. In contrast, California employment has been on the decline for over two years, and has fallen almost 5 percent.
- F. Overall, then, the recession has been both milder and briefer in Kern County than in most other regions.
- V. Now let me focus again on the national picture.
  - A. In order to revitalize the economy during this period of slow growth or outright recession, the Fed has eased monetary policy substantially.
    - 1. The federal funds rate and other short-term rates are now about a third of what they were in early 1989.
    - 2. The discount rate now stands at 3 percent, its lowest level in nearly three decades.
  - B. This easing works to stimulate spending on goods and services, and therefore economic activity.

- 1. First of all, lower U.S. interest rates tend to lower the foreign exchange value of the dollar.
  - a. This stimulates demand for our exports,
  - b. and causes buyers here at home to shift from imported to U.S.-produced goods.
  - c. The dollar recently has fallen rather sharply, mainly in response to high interest rates in Germany compared to those here in the U.S.
  - d. This decline extends a pattern of depreciation that began a year ago.
    - (1) The 15 percent depreciation since then will be an important impetus to growth in this country over the next year.
- 2. Lower interest rates also boost spending on business equipment and consumer durables, like autos, furniture, and appliances
- 3. And, of course, we've also seen the effects of lower rates in the housing market.
  - a. Mortgage interest rates have declined steadily since early 1989,
    - (1) and recently reached their lowest level in almost two decades.
  - b. As a result, (real) residential investment has grown at an average rate of more than 12 percent for nearly a year and a half, with most of the increases occurring in single-family units.
- 4. This interest-rate environment should set the stage for a sustained, though moderate, expansion.
- C. I say "moderate" because there are several potential drags on the recovery.

- 1. First, there's slow growth abroad.
  - a. This will restrict the size of markets for our exports in the near term.
- 2. Second, there are the cutbacks in government spending, especially for defense.
- 3. Finally, I don't need to tell you that there's trouble in the commercial real estate market.
  - a. Normally, we could expect lower interest rates to stimulate spending on commercial real estate.
  - b. In fact, this is one of the important channels monetary policmakers traditionally rely on to pull the economy out of a recession.
  - c. But, because of the overbuilt market and the resulting high vacancy rates we have now, it's unlikely that this channel will work the way it has in the past.
- VI. Now, let me give you my outlook for inflation.
  - A. As I've said, the economy has grown slowly or actually declined for three years now.
  - B. During this period, labor and product markets slackened, and this restrained growth in labor compensation and product prices.
  - C. Moreover, since the pick-up in the economy will probably be gradual over the next year or so, we're likely to see continued downward pressure on core, or underlying, inflation.
    - 1. Of course, we may see <u>measured</u> inflation rise a bit, but that will be because of the declining dollar.
    - 2. We're more concerned about core inflation.

- a. So far this year, core consumer inflation has risen at around a 3½ percent rate, and I expect to see it decline to about 3 percent for this year as a whole and in 1993.
- 3. In other words, compared to the 4½ percent core rate of consumer inflation in 1991, 3 percent definitely represents progress.
- VII. This downward trend in core inflation is in keeping with the Federal Reserve's main long-term goal of moving gradually toward price stability—a crucial element to achieving maximum economic growth in the long run.
  - A. So, frankly, I've been surprised and even disappointed that the public seems to have continued to expect high inflation in the future.
    - 1. For example, a survey of financial decisionmakers shows that they continue to expect inflation rates of about 4 percent over the next ten years.
      - a. This is only a little lower than what they expected three years ago when the slowdown began.
    - 2. And a survey of consumers is even more disappointing.
      - a. It shows that consumers expect inflation to average 5 percent over the next ten years.
  - B. I can only speculate on why inflation expectations haven't come down more quickly.
    - 1. One factor may be the huge federal budget deficits that have persisted over the past decade and show no signs of abating.
      - a. Some people may fear that they ultimately will result in higher inflation.
    - 2. Or perhaps the Fed's message of its intention to gradually eliminate inflation just isn't getting through.
      - a. So we may have to work harder to state our resolve more clearly.

- VIII. Let me take a moment to explain why the public's belief in our commitment to eliminating inflation has played a role in policy formulation.
  - A. The behavior of long-term interest rates—for example, the rates on corporate and government bonds—has put the Fed in a bit of a bind in recent years.
    - 1. Although long-term rates have been falling in recent months, they remain above levels we would have expected, given the sharp drop in short-term rates.
      - a. In part, this may reflect the persistent fears of inflation I've already discussed.
    - 2. In this environment, an easing of short-term interest rates sometimes leads to higher inflation expectations.
    - 3. And higher inflation expectations translate into *higher* long-term interest rates, which are counterproductive to efforts to boost economic activity.
  - B. So, to the extent that the public's inflation expectations move on a downward trend, the Fed will have more latitude to react to weakness in the economy when necessary.
  - C. As I believe our policies over the last three years have demonstrated,
    - 1. while we've done a great deal to help sustain the recovery,
    - 2. we've also been careful to preserve and advance hard-won gains against inflation.
  - D. I think our efforts in both areas ultimately will pay off.

wc 1480