## 1992-93: Prospect for Sustainable Growth

given by

Mr. Robert T. Parry

President

Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco

to the

Freno Chamber of Commerce

June 4, 1992

## 1992-93: PROSPECTS FOR SUSTAINABLE GROWTH

- I. It's a pleasure to be here today.
  - A. I want to focus my remarks on the outlook for the economy and monetary policy for this year and next.
  - B. Frankly, I have some good news and some "not so good" news.
    - 1. In the economy,
      - a. the good news is that we're seeing the beginning of a sustained expansion.
      - b. The "not so good" news is that the rate of the expansion will be on the anemic side.
    - 2. On inflation,
      - a. the good news is that it seems to be on a downward path.
      - b. But we still have a long way to go in achieving our goal of price stability.
- II. Let me start by taking a look at conditions in this area.
  - A. California has received more than its share of bad news lately.
    - 1. We're still reeling from the violence in L.A.
    - 2. And we've also suffered from an unusual spate of natural disasters: drought, earthquakes, fires, floods, and pestilence.
    - 3. The economic news has been bad as well.
      - a. California has lost more than half a million jobs since employment peaked in May of 1990.
    - 4. The unexpectedly weak state economy has created monumental fiscal problems at both the state and local level.
  - B. The Fresno area has felt the impact of economic weakness, both nationally and statewide.

- 1. For example, employment in Fresno County fell about 2 1/2 percent between its August 1990 peak and last April.
  - a. Still, the decline here is not as bad as the 4 1/4 percent employment loss seen statewide.
- 2. And the unemployment rate, which tends to be high in this area even in good times, hit 14.9 percent in April.
- C. Despite its problems, I think that the area's economic prospects are actually quite bright.
  - 1. Population in this area continues to grow at a brisk pace.
    - a. Fresno remains a popular destination for people from other parts of the state, seeking more affordable housing and a better quality of life.
    - b. Indeed, Fresno County's population grew by an astounding 4.2 percent during 1991.
- III. Now let me turn to the national outlook.
  - A. I have what may be surprising news for you.
    - 1. It's very likely that the end of the recession will be officially dated as the second quarter of 1991.
      - a. That means, we've been in recovery for about a year!
    - 2. But, as one pundit put it, "if the economy *has* turned a corner, it sure hasn't left any skidmarks."
  - B. To put this situation into perspective, let me look backward for a moment.
    - 1. The recession basically amounted to two quarters of contraction—a relatively mild contraction at that.
      - a. In fact, by historical standards, this was the mildest recession of the post-war era.

- 2. But one reason it hasn't *felt* mild is that the recession was embedded in the longest slow-growth period of the post-war era.
  - a. The slowdown in the economy began in the spring of 1989, and continued for the next year and a half.
  - b. With the onset of the Gulf War and temporarily higher oil prices, the recession began in July 1990 and persisted through the Spring of last year.
  - c. Since then, the economy has resumed the very sluggish upward trend that prevailed before the recession.
    - (1) With growth at only about a 1½ percent pace, we fell far short of the 6 percent pace that's typical of the first year of expansions.
- C. So, the full picture is that we've had three whole years of slow growth, during which we had a relatively "short and shallow" recession.
  - 1. The unusual length of this slowdown may help explain why consumer and business confidence has been so low.
- D. In research at our Bank, we've analyzed some of the sources of this slow economic growth,
  - 1. and found that, in part, it's simply a natural response to demographics.
    - a. The growth of the labor force is slowing as the baby-boom bulge in the working-age population dissipates.
  - 2. Obviously, the Fed can't do anything about this factor.
- IV. The lion's share of the slowdown, though, represents a cyclical decline in the economy relative to its lower trend, and this *is* of concern to the Fed.
  - A. That's why we've worked to stimulate underlying demand, and therefore economic activity, by easing monetary policy since mid-1989.
  - B. The federal funds rate and other short-term rates are now less than half

what they were in July 1990,

- 1. and the discount rate now stands at 3½ percent, its lowest level since 1964.
- C. Although long-term rates moved back up a bit, they're still below their levels last summer.
- V. Now, some people argue that these rate cuts aren't very effective anymore.
  - A. For evidence, they point to slow growth in money, and argue that policy hasn't really eased that much.
    - 1. It's true that M2, the Fed's main monetary aggregate, came in near the lower end of its annual target range in 1990 and 1991.
  - B. But this in part reflects the fact that M2 deposits are issued mainly by depository institutions, whose role in the economy has been shrinking for years.
    - 1. This process was accelerated in the 1980s by the thrift crisis,
    - 2. and by the phenomenal growth more recently in stock and bond mutual funds.
      - a. These funds, which grew by almost 50 percent last year alone, have attracted some assets that otherwise would have been held as M2 deposits.
    - 3. In this environment, it's more difficult to interpret what slow growth of money means for future economic activity.
  - C. My own view is that despite slow money growth, lower interest rates have begun to stimulate demand in the economy and will continue to do so this year and next.
    - 1. Lower borrowing costs boost demand in sectors like housing, business equipment, and consumer durables, which includes, for example, autos, furniture and appliances.
    - 2. And, lower U.S. interest rates tend to lower the foreign exchange

value of the dollar.

- a. This stimulates demand for our exports, and causes buyers here at home to shift from imported to U.S.-produced goods.
- D. In fact, the first quarter results were very promising.
  - 1. Final sales of domestically produced goods and services hit slightly over a 4½ percent rate of growth, producing a sharp inventory runoff.
  - 2. This sets the stage for increased production and a sustainable expansion in the months ahead, as businesses work to rebuild their inventories.
- VI. Why do I expect the pace of expansion to be moderate?
  - A. First, federal and state budget deficits are leading to cutbacks in government spending and, in many cases, to higher taxes.
    - 1. More balanced budgets are good for the economy in the long run, but in the meantime they also present some adjustment problems.
  - B. Second, we have a huge commercial real estate "overhang."
    - 1. It may take years before high vacancy rates are worked down far enough to stimulate spending in this sector.
  - C. Finally, even with a lower dollar, demand from our major trading partners—such as Germany, Japan, and Canada—is dampened by their own economic slowdowns.
    - 1. There is a mitigating factor on the foreign demand front, though.
      - a. A number of our important less developed trading partners, especially Mexico, can look forward to rapid growth this year, which will provide some support for our products.
    - 2. So foreign trade is likely to have only a relatively **small** positive effect on our economy this year, compared with the sizeable boost

it gave in 1991.

- D. Overall I do expect lower interest rates to provide a strong stimulus for recovery this year and next, but in view of the contractionary factors I've mentioned, recovery is likely to proceed at only a modest pace.
- VII. Now let me focus on a bright spot in the picture—the downward trend in inflation.
  - A. We're beginning to see meaningful reductions in underlying, or core, inflation, which are key to long-term control of inflation.
  - B. During 1991, labor and product markets slackened, and this restrained growth in labor compensation and product prices.
    - 1. For example, last year the rise in total labor costs, including benefits, was half a percentage point below the rise in 1990.
    - 2. Furthermore, in 1991, consumer prices increased a much improved 3 percent.
      - a. Of course, one of the things that drove the inflation rate down was the dramatic fall in oil prices.
      - b. After excluding food and energy, the core rate of consumer price inflation rose 4½ percent in 1991.
        - (1) Although this rate is far from acceptable, it compares favorably with the 5 percent increase in 1990.
  - C. The gradual pick-up in the economy this year and next is likely to continue to exert downward pressure on core inflation.
    - 1. We saw some evidence of this in the first quarter report on labor compensation which rose at a moderate 3.6 percent rate.
    - 2. So far this year, consumer inflation has risen at a 3½ percent rate, and I expect to see it average out to around 3 percent for this year

as a whole and in 1993.

- VIII. As we deliberate about monetary policy, the progress against inflation plays a pivotal role.
  - A. Of course, the Fed's main longer-term goal is to control, and ultimately eliminate, inflation.
    - 1. Such a policy is crucial to achieving a maximum economic growth rate in the long run.
  - B. Because inflation is on a downward trend, we have a little more latitude to react to weakness in the economy.
    - 1. As I believe our policies have demonstrated, however,
      - a. while we're working hard to help the economy sustain the recovery,
      - b. we're also being careful to preserve and advance hard-won gains against inflation.
    - 2. I believe our efforts in both areas ultimately will pay off.

wc 1542