1992: PROSPECTS FOR RECOVERY

- I. It's a pleasure to speak to you today about the economic outlook.
 - A. From the questions I get, there are at least three issues typically on people's minds:
 - 1. First, when are we going to start seeing sustained, strong growth in the economy?
 - 2. Second, are the Fed's actions to lower interest rates really doing anything?
 - a. Or are we just "pushing on a string"?
 - 3. And, finally, what about California? Especially Southern California? Has the "Golden State" permanently lost its luster?
 - B. In a nutshell, here are my answers:
 - 1. A sustained--but moderate--expansion seems to be starting now;
 - 2. monetary policy is having an effect in stimulating the economy;
 - 3. and, "yes," California has its problems,
 - a. but, "no," it's not likely to go through the kind of freefall we've seen in the economies of New England and, before that, Texas.
- II. Let me start by taking a regional look at this recession.
 - A. Some regions certainly have been hit much harder than others.
 - B. If you tuned in to the New Hampshire primaries, you know that job losses there and throughout New England have been severe.

1

- 1. The situation isn't much better along most of the Atlantic Coastline.
 - a. New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Maryland have suffered.
 - b. Even some of the Southern states, like Virginia, Georgia, and Florida, have been taking some nasty blows.
- C. At the San Francisco Fed, we pay special attention to the nine western states that comprise the Twelfth Federal Reserve District.
 - 1. Most of the District states are doing relatively well.
 - a. And Idaho and Utah even have fairly robust employment gains to report.
 - 2. But here in California, we're in a significant recession.
- D. This performance is pretty unusual for California. If you look back at our history, you know that we're used to weathering recessions somewhat better than the nation.
 - 1. While the national economy actually contracts in a recession, California's economy typically just "hesitates."
 - 2. Only once before, in 1970, did California do worse than the U.S.
- E. The media reaction to the situation here has been dramatic—maybe even melodramatic.
 - 1. A few weeks ago, a front page headline of the Wall Street Journal said, "California, the Place Long in the Sun, Now Is Clouded by Doubts."
 - 2. There's no question that the state has some serious <u>long-term</u> structural problems, such as
 - a. an inadequate infrastructure—especially our roads and bridges;

- b. stringent regulations—especially in the southern part of the state—that restrict business activity;
- c. and budget crunches at the state and local level.
- F. But I think the reason we're doing worse than the nation during this downturn has more to do with some very compelling shorter-term problems.
 - 1. First, the agricultural sector had to deal with the drought, the freeze, and the white fly invasion.
 - 2. Second, the defense cutbacks weakened the economy even before the recession came along.
 - a. National defense spending actually peaked in 1987, so by the beginning of 1990, the cutbacks were starting to hurt.
 - b. And those cuts have been especially painful for Southern California, because the area's economy depends more heavily on defense than most other regions do.
 - (1) The volume of defense contracts per capita in Los Angeles and Orange Counties ranks them among the most defense-dependent regions in the country.
 - 3. And finally, many parts of southern California—especially downtown L.A.—have been facing a glut in commercial real estate.
 - a. The seeds of the glut were planted well before the recession.
 - (1) In March of 1990, downtown L.A.'s office vacancy rate was well below the national average.
 - (2) But so much construction was under way that more than 3½ million square feet of new space came on line during 1991, pushing the vacancy rate up to its

current level of around 20 percent.

- 4. The weakness in the defense and real estate sectors have compounded the recession in southern California,
 - a. and they'll hamper its recovery during the next couple of years.
- III. Turning to the national picture, it looked like we were at a turning point last summer.
 - A. In the last three quarters of the year, the contraction in output turned into a modest expansion,
 - 1. averaging around a 1¹/₄ percent.
 - B. So far this year, growth is still pretty slow, but we're starting to see more positive signs than negative signs.
 - 1. Employment rose in February, and the numbers on retail sales have been up for two months in a row.
 - C. I think this bodes well for seeing the beginning of a sustained recovery.
 - D. Another important factor to consider is lower interest rates, which are working to stimulate underlying demand, and therefore economic activity.
 - 1. Short-term rates are now about half what they were in July 1990,
 - a. due in part to a series of easing moves by the Federal Reserve.
 - 2. The discount rate now stands at 3½ percent, its lowest level since 1964.
 - 3. Although long-term rates moved back up in recent weeks, they're still below their levels last summer.
- IV. Now some people just shrug their shoulders at the interest rate cuts and say,

4

"So what? The Fed has been cutting rates for months. Where's the recovery?" Let me try to answer that.

- A. Lower interest rates stimulate the economy through three channels.
- B. First, <u>lower borrowing costs</u> stimulate demand in sectors like new housing, business equipment, and consumer durables, which includes, for example, autos, furniture and appliances.
 - 1. We're already seeing a pickup in the residential construction sector.
- C. Second, lower U.S. interest rates usually <u>lower the foreign exchange</u> value of the dollar.
 - 1. A lower dollar tends to stimulate demand for our exports,
 - 2. and cause buyers here at home to shift from imported to U.S.-produced goods.
- D. The third channel has somewhat less of an effect than the other two. It involves <u>raising the net wealth position</u> of the private sector (by raising the present value of capital and land).
 - 1. On the equity side, we see confirmation of the wealth effect because easier monetary policy generally boosts the stock market.
 - 2. On the debt side, the effects are mainly distributional.
 - a. Lower interest rates <u>raise</u> the values of long-term (fixed-rate) assets and debts, such as mortgages and bonds,
 - b. and lower the cash flows of short-term assets and debts.
 - c. But this doesn't have much of a <u>net</u> wealth effect, since there are individuals on each side of debt instruments.
- E. The three channels—lower borrowing costs, a lower dollar, and higher net wealth—are combining to stimulate U.S. demand this year and next.
 - 1. Our model of the economy indicates that--on average--every 1

percentage point decline in real short-term interest rates boosts real output growth

- a. roughly ¾ percentage point in the first year after the cut in rates
- b. and almost ½ percentage point in the second year.
- c. Just to give you a feeling for the magnitudes here, half a percentage point increase in GDP means an additional \$25 billion in goods and services.
- F. But, as we know, this hasn't been an average recession,
 - 1. and for several reasons, the strength of this year's expansion is open to question.
- V. My own view is that growth for the rest of the year will be moderate.
 - A. First, federal and state budget deficits are leading to cutbacks in government spending and, in many cases, to higher taxes.
 - 1. More balanced budgets definitely are good for the economy in the long run, but they can present some short-run adjustment problems.
 - B. Second, we won't be getting the same boost we got in 1991 from foreign trade.
 - 1. Now many of our major trading partners also are dealing with economic slowdowns, which will reduce their demand for our products.
 - 2. However, this negative impact will be offset by the lower dollar.
 - 3. So foreign trade is likely to have only a neutral effect on our economy this year.
 - C. Third, we have a huge commercial real estate "overhang."
 - 1. It may take years before high vacancy rates are worked down far

enough to stimulate spending in this sector.

- D. Finally, the shakeout in banks and S&Ls has led to unusual weakness in credit flows in the economy.
 - 1. This weakness could be a drag on the recovery, though it's hard to say exactly how big a problem this might be or how long it might persist.
- VI. I realize I've painted a somewhat fuzzy picture.
 - 1. I do expect lower interest rates to provide a strong stimulus for recovery this year.
 - 2. But the factors I've mentioned suggest to me that the recovery will be modest.
- VII. Now let me focus on a very clear bright spot in the picture--the downward trend in inflation.
 - A. We're beginning to see meaningful reductions in underlying, or core, inflation, which are key to long-term control of inflation.
 - B. During 1991, labor and product markets slackened, and this restrained growth in labor compensation and product prices.
 - 1. For example, last year the rise in total labor costs, including benefits, was half a percentage point below the rise in 1990.
 - 2. Furthermore in 1991, consumer prices increased a much improved 3 percent.
 - a. Of course, one of the things that drove the inflation rate down was the dramatic fall in oil prices.
 - b. After excluding food and energy, the core rate of consumer price inflation rose 4½ percent in 1991.
 - (1) Although this rate is far from acceptable, it compares

favorably with the 5 percent increase in 1990.

- 3. With the economy expected to pick up only gradually this year, downward pressure on underlying inflation most likely will continue for some time to come.
- C. Although I expect to see <u>actual</u> consumer inflation again come in at around 3 percent this year,
 - 1. I do think we can look forward to improvement in the <u>core</u> rate of consumer inflation.
- VIII. As we deliberate about monetary policy, the progress against inflation plays a pivotal role.
 - A. Of course, the Fed's main longer-term goal is to control, and ultimately eliminate, inflation.
 - 1. Such a policy is crucial to achieving a maximum economic growth rate in the long run.
 - B. Because inflation is on a downward trend, we have greater latitude to react to weakness in the economy.
 - 1. I must admit, though, that I find it curious to see long-term bond rates jump at any sign of an economic turnaround—as if market participants feared that the economy would suddenly overheat.
 - 2. As I believe our policies have demonstrated,
 - a. while we're working hard to help the economy move into a recovery phase,
 - b. we're also being careful to preserve hard-won gains against inflation.
 - 3. I believe our efforts in both areas ultimately will pay off.

wc 1760