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## Economy Boosts Western Banking in '94

Banks in the West turned in a strong performance in 1994. In the Twelfth Federal Reserve District, banks registered record profits, improved their asset quality, and maintained the strong capital positions built up over the past few years.

The performance of banking mirrored the robust economic expansion in most of the region. The long-awaited turnaround in the California economy also contributed to improved banking conditions and a pickup in loan growth; though it was not enough to keep many community banks in the state from weak performances. The sharp rise in interest rate spawned by the strong national economy in 1994 had only a small negative effect on reported bank earnings in the District.

This Letter examines the performance of the banking industry in the Twelfth District in 1994, focusing on the effects of the growth in the economy and the rise in interest rates.

## Record earnings

Profits among Twelfth District banks through the third quarter of 1994 were $\$ 4.6$ billion, up from $\$ 3.9$ billion over the comparable period in 1993. Annualized, the earnings in 1994 represent an aggregate return on assets (ROA) of 1.19 percent. That was a record rate for the District and marked last year as the first since 1990 that District bank performance at least matched the national average.

Within the District, the strength of bank performance was correlated with economic conditions. In some of the faster growing states, like Idaho, Nevada, and Oregon, for example, aggregate banks ROAs were well above the national average in 1994. Likewise in California, the recovery in the state's economy helped boost bank performance during the first three quarters of 1994,
though the prolonged recession and slower recovery in the state kept aggregate bank ROA just below a 1 percent annual rate.

The drag on bank performance in California was apparent mainly at smaller banks. For example, as a group the three largest banks in the state posted earnings rates well above the national average. In contrast, community banks (institutions with assets under $\$ 300$ million) in California reported an annualized ROA of only 0.36 percent through the third quarter of 1994. Community banks operating in Southern California, the area hit hardest during the recession, fared worse: As a group, they barely broke even in the first three quarters of 1994. Overall, nearly 20 percent of the banks in California lost money in the first three quarters of 1994. That is well above the national figure of 4 percent in 1994 and is indicative of the lingering effects of the nearly three years of recession in the state.

## Asset quality plays a key role

The biggest boost to banking in the District from the economy was through the improvement in asset quality. The economy has strengthened the financial position of borrowers and has helped stabilize the value of collateral backing bank loans. As a result problem loans as a percent of total loans have been coming down in the District.

Outside of California, overall loan quality generally is quite good, with total problem loan ratios below the comparable U.S. ratios. In California, asset quality also has improved across the board. However, problem loan ratios for lending related to real estate remain above the national averages and continue to be a drag on bank performance, particularly for community banks in Southern California that have a high dependency on real estate loans.

## FRBSF

The impact from the overall improvement in asset quality on the bottom line for banks was a sizable reduction in expenses for contributions to loan losses reserves. In the first three quarters of 1994, expenses for loan losses for District banks were about $\$ 1$ billion less than in the comparable period in 1993. Western banks also reduced their holdings of real estate obtained through defaults on loans, as they "cleaned up" their balance sheets by selling off those nonearning assets.

## Boost from growth in credit services

Bank performance in the District got another boost from improved credit conditions through the growth in bank credit services. Total loans in the District rose by close to $63 / 4$ percent from September 1993 to September 1994, following a contraction of over $31 / 2$ percent during the previous 12 months. The increase in lending was met in part by banks' drawing down their sizable reservoir of liquid assets. The pickup in lending helped bank earnings in the District, in part because loans tend to have higher yields than securities of comparable maturity.

The impact of the economy on credit services also was evident in the off-balance sheet activity at District banks in 1994. Over the 12 months ending September 1994, for example, loan commitments in the District were up 18 percent, a much faster rate than for total loans. Letters of credit, which banks sell to customers looking to enhance the credit quality of commercial paper and other types of borrowing, rose by about 13 percent. These off-balance sheet activities add to earnings through the points and other fees banks charge their customers.

## Little impact from the rise in interest rates

The strong economy nationally pushed up shortand long-term interest rates in 1994. While the jumps in rates were sizable- 250 basis points on 3 -month Treasury bills and 200 basis points on 10 year Treasuries-they had little net effect on the aggregate performance of banking in the District during 1994.

One reason is that the rise in the average yield on bank assets kept pace with the increase in the
cost of bank funding. In the District, net interest margins, which have been relatively high in recent years, narrowed only slightly, and then mainly at the region's larger banks. Smaller banks as a group actually reported wider margins in the other western states, other than Hawaii.

Another reason is that realized and unrealized losses on securities were limited. Changes in interest rates can affect the market value of securities held by banks. The market value of a fixedrate bond, for example, tends to decline with a rise in interest rates, though a rise in rates could have the opposite effect on certain derivative securities. When securities are sold, banks report realized losses as current expenses, and they report gains as income.

For the District as a whole, banks reported a net realized loss from securities sales of only $\$ 79$ million through the third quarter of 1994, compared to a net gain of $\$ 128$ million for the same period in 1993. Only a few banks in the District had losses from securities sales that materially affected earnings, and only in Arizona were the isolated losses large enough to have a noticeable effect on aggregate earnings.

The bigger impact on banks was from unrealized losses on securities. Banks currently report unrealized losses on securities classified as available-for-sale. District banks held about $\$ 53$ billion in available-for-sale securities as of September 1994, which was about 62 percent of their total investment securities. The unrealized losses reported through the third quarter were about $\$ 500$ million.

While the unrealized losses were large compared to the realized losses, they still were relatively small. Unrealized losses in 1994, for example, represented only about 1 percent of total equity capital for banks in the District. Moreover, even if District banks had booked these losses in 1994, earnings would still have been on a par with their strong 1993 performance.
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| TYPE OF RETAIL DEPOSIT ACCOUNT OR LOAN | INTEREST RATES ON DEROSITS AND LOANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AUG } \\ & 1992 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOV } \\ & 1992 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEB } \\ & 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAY } \\ & i 993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AUG } \\ & 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOV } \\ & 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEB } \\ & 1994 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAY } \\ & 1994 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AUG } \\ & 1994 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NOV } \\ 1994 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SAVINGS ACCOUNTS AND MMDAS | U.S | 3.14 | 2.90 | 2.80 | 2.65 | 2.55 | 2.48 | 2.43 | 2.50 | 2.63 | 2.80 |
|  | DISTRICT | 3.29 | 3.05 | 2.96 | 2.78 | 2.67 | 2.58 | 2.56 | 2.65 | 2.81 | 2.88 |
| 92 TO 182 DAVS CERTIFICATES | U.S | 3.36 | 3.14 | 3.08 | 2.98 | 2.96 | 2.92 | 2.93 | 3.28 | 3.61 | 4.22 |
|  | DISTRICT | 3.34 | 3.14 | 3.01 | 2.88 | 2.85 | 2.81 | 2.83 | 3.03 | 3.34 | 3.84 |
| 2-1/2 YEARS AND OVER CERTIFICATES | U.S | 4.87 | 4.70 | 4.59 | 4.45 | 4.40 | 4.28 | 4.35 | 4.89 | 5.33 | 6.08 |
|  | DISTRICT | 4.75 | 4.49 | 4.41 | 4.27 | 4.19 | 4.09 | 4.13 | 4.58 | 4.96 | 5.52 |
| COMMERCIAL SHORT TERM FIXED | U.S | 4.42 | 4.17 | 4.16 | 3.91 | 4.02 | 3.95 | 4.03 | 4.68 | 5.28 | 5.67 |
|  | DISTRICT | 5.38 | 4.79 | 4.28 | 4.19 | 4.75 | 4.43 | 4.95 | 6.78 | 5.39 | 6.32 |
| COMMERCIAL SHORT TERM FLOATING. | U.S | 5.95 | 5.91 | 5.85 | 5.58 | 5.53 | 5.56 | 5.49 | 6.32 | 6.83 | 7.36 |
|  | DISTRICT | 6.29 | 6.59 | 6.36 | 5.40 | 6.48 | 6.46 | 6.36 | 6.38 | 7.34 | 7.78 |
| COMMERCIAL LONG TERM FIXED | U.S | 6.28 | 5.97 | 6.43 | 6.02 | 6.21 | 5.38 | 5.41 | 6.17 | 6.66 | 7.30 |
|  | DISTRICT | 8.20 | 6.44 | 9.19 | 10.86 | 8.05 | 6.62 | 6.58 | N/A | 9.82 | N/A |
| COMMERCIAL LONG TERM FLOATING | U.S | 6.60 | 6.53 | 6.38 | 6.47 | 6.05 | 5.70 | 5.98 | 6.61 | 6.99 | 7.59 |
|  | DISTRICT | 7.63 | 8.09 | 8.43 | 8.55 | 8.77 | 7.68 | 8.16 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| CONSUMER, AUTOMOBILE | U.S | 9.15 | 8.60 | 8.57 | 8.17 | 7.98 | 7.63 | 7.54 | 7.76 | 8.41 | 8.75 |
|  | DISTRICT | 9.39 | 8.76 | 8.98 | 8.23 | 8.09 | 7.70 | 7.68 | 7.86 | 8.15 | 8.41 |
| CONSUMER, PERSONAL | U.S | - 13.94 | 13.55 | 13.57 | 13.63 | 13.45 | 13.22 | 12.89 | 12.96 | 13.33 | 13.59 |
|  | DISTRICT | 13.68 | 12.83 | 12.67 | 13.87 | 12.69 | 13.00 | 12.02 | 12.26 | 13.37 | 12.87 |
| CONSUMER, CREDIT CARD | U.S | 17.66 | 17.38 | 17.26 | 17.15 | 16.59 | 16.30 | 16.06 | 16.15 | 16.25 | N/A |
|  | DISTRICT | 18.46 | 18.29 | 17.76 | 17.60 | 17.58 | 17.00 | 17.17 | 17.61 | 17.34 | 16.33 |

SOURCES: MONTHLY SURVEY OF SELECTED DEPOSITS, SURVEY OF TERMS OF BANK LENDING, AND TERMS OF CONSUMER CREDIT
MOST COMMON INTEREST RATES ON RETAIL DEPOSITS, WEIGHTED AVERAGE INTEREST RATE ON LOANS

