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## Earnings Plummet at Western Banks

Earnings at western banks fell sharply in the second quarter of 1991, mainly because of a near doubling of expenses for loan loss provisions. Net income fell to $\$ 618$ million for the quarter, down from $\$ 1.2$ billion in the first quarter. Banks in Nevada and Oregon were the hardest hit, with second quarter earnings off almost 90 percent from the first quarter. In California, the drop for the period was more than 50 percent. And in Arizona, after showing a small profit in the first quarter, banks recorded a loss for the second quarter.

This earnings performance is reflected in aggregate return on assets (ROA) for District banks; it plunged from a healthy 0.95 percent in the first quarter to a weak 0.49 percent in the second quarter. And, California, with an ROA of only 0.41 , slipped well below the aggregate ROA for the nation, 0.55 percent, for the first time since 1987.

Bank earnings typically follow a pattern determined by changes in asset quality. The recession that began in the third quarter of 1990 has caused a deterioration in real estate and commercial asset quality. Although U.S. data for the second quarter showed a slight improvement in asset quality, banks in this region continued to experience an increase in problem loans.

In this Letter, the second quarter performance of western banks is examined. Regional data indicate the breadth and magnitude of the performance problems in the West. However, they also highlight strong performances by banks in several states.

## Loan problems mount

As of June 1991, problem loans (defined here as 30 days or more past due and non-accrual loans) as a percent of total loans rose to 5.66 percent in the region. Just a year ago this ratio was 4.14 per-
cent. Still, for both periods, the ratios are well below national figures--5.14 percent in 1990 and 6.41 percent in 1991.

Deterioration in asset quality over the last year was most evident in California, Nevada, and Oregon. In California, problem loans have risen from 4.11 percent of total loans as of June 1990 to 6.16 percent as of June 1991.

Higher levels of problem loans usually indicate banks' need to increase loan loss provision expenses. Banks maintain a cushion of equity capital (stock and retained earnings) and loan loss reserves against potential losses in their loan portfolio and disruptions to their earnings stream. Therefore, in the second quarter of 1991, as problem loans increased, loss provision expenses jumped to $\$ 1.6$ billion from only $\$ 860$ million in the first quarter. This large increase in expenses severely eroded second quarter earnings, especially at some of the region's largest banks.

## Real estate woes

Problem real estate loans as a percent of all real estate loans were up to 6.05 percent for the region, an increase from 3.64 percent a year earlier; but this is still well below the 8.16 percent figure for the nation (up from 6.07 percent a year ago). As of June, only Arizona, at 9.08 percent, had a ratio that exceeded the national average.

At the national level, a positive sign in the second quarter was a decline in problem construction and commercial real estate loans, possibly indicating that the deterioration is hitting bottom. But this sign was not evident in the region. In the second quarter, the region's largest banks (over $\$ 100$ million in assets) reported a $\$ 630$ million increase in troubled real estate loans, bringing the total to almost $\$ 10$ billion. The bulk of these are concentrated in construction lending for land
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and development (about $\$ 4.7$ billion) and commercial real estate ( $\$ 3.2$ billion), especially office buildings.

Real estate is likely to remain a problem for banks. Some real estate analysts foresee further deterioration in light of high vacancy rates and softening rents. And a Federal Reserve survey of major banks' lending officers in June raised concerns about further deterioration in construction lending as well.

Across the country, a large volume of "miniperm" construction loans--that is, loans with a maturity of usually five to eight years--will mature over the next year. The repayment history on these loans over the last year was not encouraging. The majority were not repaid as scheduled, forcing some to be temporarily extended and some to move into foreclosure proceedings. In some other cases, banks provided permanent financing when no other lenders were found. Similar problems are likely to occur with loans maturing in the next year, since only about onefifth of them have permanent, nonbank lenders in place.

## Commercial loans also turn sour

While problem real estate loan ratios in this region are still below the national average, continued deterioration in western banks' business loan portfolios has pushed the problem loan ratio up to 6.75 percent of total business loans, well above the national average of 6.11 percent. A year earlier, both ratios were at about 5 percent. The most serious deterioration in commercial loan portfolios has occurred in Nevada, where problem business loans were 11.0 percent of total business loans; Arizona, at 10.4 percent, and California, at 7.4 percent are not far behind. On the other hand, Hawaii, Idaho, and Washington, with problem business loan ratios of 3.1 percent or less, have thus far not experienced any significant deterioration in their portfolios.

Commercial credits, especially loans associated with highly leveraged transactions (HLTs), also have contributed to some larger banks' asset quality problems. Both banks and regulators have become more concerned about the risk associated with credits extended to highly leveraged firms, as a number of them have faced difficulties given the weakness in the economy.

## Households to the rescue?

The household sector is expected to play a significant role in any economic recovery, so the "health" of consumer loans (comprising autos, mobile homes, revolving credit, and so forth) is an important indicator to watch. Nationally, the problem loan ratio for consumer loans in the second quarter was 4.34 percent compared to 3.66 percent a year earlier, as the household sector struggled with record levels of bankruptcies, weak growth in personal income, softness in consumer confidence, and high levels of unemployment associated with the recession. However, the second quarter ratio is a slight improvement over the first quarter.

In the West, this indicator is at 3.88 percent-above the year-ago level of 3.17 percent, but still below the national average. The region's performance was helped by declining problem loan ratios for consumer loans in Alaska, Hawaii, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington; the ratios rose in Arizona, California, Nevada, and Utah, however.

## Outlook

After three years of leading the industry in performance, banks in the region, and especially California, have fallen off the pace. A number of the major banks in the West have reported weak earnings, and several have even experienced losses as asset quality problems have grown. While medium-sized and smaller banks have not escaped the ills that face the industry, so far, many have not experienced the same degree of loan quality deterioration reported by some of the West's major banks.

Furthermore, in states where the economic conditions have remained stronger, banks generally have reported more favorable earnings. For example, banks in Alaska, Hawaii, Idaho, Utah, and Washington all reported ROAs of 1 percent or more in the second quarter, a level considered excellent for the industry.

In other states, the deterioration in asset quality arising from the recession and weak real estate markets is a major challenge for many banks. Prospects for stabilizing and improving asset quality will hinge on improvements in the commercial real estate outlook and a turnaround in the overall economy, both nationally and in the region.

Gary C. Zimmerman
Economist

REGIONAL BANK DATA*

JUNE 30, 1991
(NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED, PRELIMINARY DATA)
DISTRICT ALASKA ARIZ. CALIF. HAWAII IDAHO NEVADA OREGON UTAH WASH.


* Beginning with June 1991 data, the sample of all insured commercial banks used was updated to match the sample used by the Board of Governors. For the District, this change added 77 banks (mostly FDIC insured industrial banks) and increased total assets by $\$ 8.4$ billion (1.7\%) as of December 1990.

Opinions expressed in this newsletter do not necessarily refiect the views of the management of the Federai Reserve Bank of San Francisco, or of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
Editorial comments may be addressed to the editor or to the author. . . . Free copies of Federal Reserve publications can be obtained from the Public Information Department, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, P.O. Box 7702, San Francisco 94120.
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## MARKET SHARE STATISTICS

depository institutions required to hold reserves with the federal reserve on a weekiy basis
PERCENT OF COMBINED MARKET TOTAL FOR august 1991, by REGION


CB = COMMERCIAL BANKS; SL = SAVINGS \& LOANS AND SAVINGS BANKS; CU = CREDIT UNIONS; MAY NOT SUM TO $100 \%$ DUE TO ROUNDING

## INTEREST RATES ON DEPOSITS AND LOANS AS OF AUGUST 1991 (\%)

| TYPE OF ACCOUNT OR LOAN |  | DATE | us | DISTRICT | ARIZ | CALIF | HAWAII | IDAHO | OREGON | UTAH | WASH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| money market deposit accounts |  | JuN91 | 5.29 | 5.31 | 5.04 | 5.31 | 5.20 | 5.56 | 5.18 | 5.50 | 5.30 |
|  |  | JUL91 | 5.24 | 5.31 | 5.02 | 5.30 | 5.14 | 5.53 | 5.16 | 5.74 | 5.30 |
|  |  | AUG91 | 5.16 | 5.25 | 4.98 | 5.27 | 5.11 | 5.40 | 5.01 | 5.64 | 5.28 |
| 92 TO 182 days Certificates |  | JUN91 | 5.86 | 5.78 | 5.45 | 5.76 | 5.62 | 5.89 | 5.84 | 5.80 | 5.97 |
|  |  | JUL91 | 5.82 | 5.80 | 5.45 | 5.80 | 5.64 | 5.89 | 5.75 | 5.74. | 6.14 |
|  |  | AUG91 | 5.61 | 5.57 | 5.43 | 5.56 | 5.44 | 5.63 | 5.41 | 5.52 | 5.88 |
| 2-1/2 years and over certificates |  | JUN91 | 6.96 | 6.66 | 6.30 | 6.84 | 7.12 | 7.13 | 6.93 | 6.63 | 6.78 |
|  |  | JUL91 | 6.96 | 6.68 | 6.30 | 6.90 | 7.12 | 7.22 | 6.87 | 6.62 | 6.77 |
|  |  | AUG91 | 6.79 | 6.53 | 6.28 | 6.75 | 6.76 | 6.84 | 6.54 | 6.57 | 6.54 |
| COMMERCIAL, SHORT-TERM* | AVE. Rate |  | 7.82 | 8.21 | 8.03 | 8.02 | 8.18 | 10.52 | 9.18 | 7.39 | 8.37 |
|  | AVE. MAT. | (DAYS) | 61 | 212 | 45 | 265 | 55 | 118 | 88 | 79 | 143 |
| COMMERCIAL, LONG-TERM* | AVE. RATE |  | 9.13 | 9.66 | 10.63 | 9.53 | 10.99 | N/A | N/A | 9.22 | N/A |
|  | AVE. MAT. | (MONTHS) | 40 | 44 | 32 | 44 | 46 | N/A | N/A | 53 | W/A |
| LOANS TO FARMERS* | ave. Rate |  | 10.16 | 9.09 | 9.15 | 8.96 | N/A | 10.38 | 9.15 | 11.47 | 9.60 |
|  | AVE. HAT. | (HONTHS) | 9 | 16 | 6 | 11 | N/A | 13 | 33 | 17 | 5 |
| CONSUMER, AUTOHOBILE | ave. Rate |  | 11.06 | 11.36 | 13.00 | 11.47 | N/A | 11.50 | 10.69 | 10.63 | 11.08 |
| CONSUMER, PERSONAL | AVE. RATE |  | 15.24 | 14.79 | 17.99 | 17.90 | N/A | 11.50 | 13.13 | 15.50 | 11.93 |
| CONSUMER, CREDIT CARDS | AVE. RATE |  | 18.24 | 18.40 | 18.00 | 18.82 | N/A | N/A | 19.20 | 21.00 | 17.93 |

SOURCES: SURVEY OF TERMS OF BANK LENDING AND TERMS OF CONSUMER CREDIT; MOST COHMON INTEREST RATES ON SELECTED ACCOUNTS.

* dATA ARE COMPOUNDED AHNUAL RATES

