# fribsf Uékly letter 

January 26, 1990

## Small California Banks Hold Their Own

The 1980s were a tumultuous decade for the nation's small banks. They faced increased competition from larger banks, thrifts, and money market funds. Deregulation unleashed new forms of competition, including both intrastate and interstate interest rate competition in markets that formerly had been protected by regulatory barriers. Many small banks also were unable to diversify regional market risks. As a result of these difficulties, there has been considerable consolidation within the banking system. Over the decade, the number of banks nationwide has fallen from about 15,000 to below 13,000 . Most of this decline took place after 1986.

In contrast, the number of banks in California rose dramatically over the decade, increasing from under 250 in 1980 to 435 in 1989. New bank formations early in the decade accounted for the increase. Since 1986, net new bank formation in the state has dropped off, but mergers have reduced the number of banks only slightly.

This Letter examines some of the factors that influence the competitiveness of small banks in California. The ways in which small banks have been able to compete in a state dominated by large branch banks may have implications for the competitiveness of small banks nationwide.

## The California market

California has a large banking market, accounting for about ten percent of U.S. deposits. Statewide branching has allowed several banks in the state to build large branch networks that cover the state. The top ten banks in the state control more than 75 percent of the state's deposits.

At the beginning of 1989 California had 290 "small" banks, defined as banks with less than $\$ 100$ million in assets. These banks accounted for
two-thirds of the state's banks and five percent of the state's $\$ 276$ billion in domestic banking assets.

## Holding their own

Over the decade of the eighties, small banks in California appear to have held their own in a number of ways. First, although the top ten banks in the state suffered a ten percentage point decline in market share during the decade, the state's smallest banks suffered no such decline. In fact, when we take into account growth in the assets of the many banks that outgrew the " $\$ 100$ million and under" category during the decade, we find that the state's smaller banks actually increased their market share over the period.

Small California banks also seem to be holding their own with respect to profitability. After suffering sagging fortunes through the middle of the decade, small banks now are enjoying returns on assets (ROAs) roughly comparable to those of their larger rivals. As 1989 data come in, we are likely to find that as a group, small banks' ROA will be above one percent. Improved asset quality and wider net interest margins, compared with earlier in the decade, have led to this improvement in earnings.

## Competitive strategies

To a large extent, small banks in California have fared well because the state's economy has enjoyed considerable prosperity throughout the eighties. In contrast, smaller banks in some parts of the country have had to cope with stagnant local economies during portions of the decade.

Nonetheless, small California banks still must compete against some of the largest retail banks in the country. To do so, they have adopted a variety of apparently successful competitive
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strategies. For example, many small banks have taken advantage of their size to focus on specialized banking services or limited geographic markets. These "boutique" banks may focus on a variety of banking activities, such as local retail banking, small business-oriented financing, real estate lending, automobile financing, or consumer lending. A few specialize in agricultural lending and quite a few more serve the banking needs of a particular ethnic community.

In this way, the state's small banks have been able to differentiate their products from the products offered on a statewide basis by the larger institutions. Customers often prefer the specialized service, local convenience, and/or rapid turnaround on loan approvals and funding that small local banks can offer. And unlike the large banks, which tend to set interest rates and price most services on a statewide basis, small banks tend to be more responsive to local market conditions when setting rates and fees.

The ability of small California banks to differentiate their products is reflected in wider net interest margins (NIM), the difference between their average yield on assets and their cost of funds. Small banks in the state reported much wider NIMs than their larger competitors. As a group, banks under $\$ 100$ million in assets reported a NIM of 600 basis points over the first three quarters of 1989, versus only 435 for all banks in the state. And as noted earlier, increasing margins since 1987 have been a factor in the improved fortunes of these small banks.

Small California banks also have taken advantage of the growth of shared automated teller machine networks (ATMs) to compete with their larger rivals. Shared ATM networks enable the state's small banks to provide services on a local, statewide, and even national basis.

Small banks have taken advantage of other financial market innovations to overcome some of the limitations of their size. Many of the state's small banks are active participants in the secondary mortgage market. By selling some of their mortgage loans and taking advantage of government credit enhancement, small banks can reduce the risks associated with lending in only one local market. Also, many small banks purchase mortgage-backed securities to make their
portfolios more liquid and more geographicallydiversified. These strategies are important since small banks in California currently hold more than a third of their assets in mortgage loans.

Their size also has allowed small banks to avoid some of the pitfalls faced by their larger competitors. For example, they have not been exposed to the problems associated with troubled lesser developed country loans that have plagued the nation's major banks.

## Disadvantages

Of course, small California banks also face disadvantages on account of their size. Limited geographic markets still constrain their ability to diversify asset quality risks, making them more dependent than larger banks on the health of local markets. For many small banks this was a serious problem in the middle of the decade, when many were hit with problems in their commercial and credit card loan portfolios.

Moreover, a marketing strategy of providing "boutique" services often is labor intensive, and may drive up costs. In 1989, small banks in the state had an average of 0.73 employees per million dollars of assets, while banks with over $\$ 15$ billion in assets had only 0.46 employees per million dollars. These more labor-intensive operations translate into higher costs, on a per dollar of assets basis, for salaries and benefits and for net overhead expenses (defined as noninterest income less non-interest expenses).

## In the future

Small California banks have taken advantage of local market niches to prosper in markets dominated by much larger banks. Moreover, innovations such as ATMs and mortgage-backed securities have enabled them to overcome many of the disadvantages associated with small size. Thus, the lesson of California's small banks may be that while consolidation of the banking system through inter- and intrastate mergers and acquisitions will continue and could significantly further reduce the number of banks, small banks will continue to remain competitive providers of banking services.

## Gary C. Zimmerman <br> Economist

## REGIONAL BANKING DATA

SEPTEMBER 30, 1989
(Not Seasonally Adjusted, Preliminary Data)


| ASSETS | TOTAL FOREIGN | $452,404$ | 4,427 | $26,524$ | $\begin{array}{r} 315,688 \\ 39,257 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,431 \\ 1,713 \end{array}$ | 7,772 N/A | 14,982 $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 21,419 20 | 10,823 98 | $\begin{array}{r} 36,340 \\ 578 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FOREIGN DOMESTIC | $\begin{array}{r} 41,668 \\ 410,737 \end{array}$ | - ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \\ 26,524 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,257 \\ 276,431 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,713 \\ 12,717 \end{array}$ | N/A 7,772 | N/A 14,982 | 20 21,399 | 98 10,725 | 36,578 |
| L.OANS | total | 319,295 | 1,800 | 19,075 | 224,521 | 8,599 | 5,186 | 11,740 | 14,226 | 7,117 | 27,033 |
|  | FOREIGN | 31,814 | 0 | N/A | 30,680 | 916 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 218 |
|  | DOMESTIC | 287,481 | 1,799 | 19,075 | 193,842 | 7,683 | 5,186 | 11,740 | 14,226 | 7,117 | 26,814 |
|  | neal estate | 128,580 | 657 | 8,084 | \%4,634 | 3,586 | 1,348 | 2,004 | 4,573 | 2,896 | 10,788 |
|  | COMMERCIAL | 75,521 | 695 | 4,411 | 51,375 | 2,259 | 1,363 | 1,549 | 5,044 | 1,764 | 7,060 |
|  | CONSUMER | 57,284 | 234 | 4,644 | 30,927 | 1,252 | 1,422 | 7,865 | 3,243 | 1,724 | 5,973 |
|  | AGRICULTURE | 5,263 | 7 | 487 | 2,531 | 30 | 684 | 21 | 351 | 130 | 1,023 |
|  | INTERNATIONAL | 117 | N/A | 10 | 105 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 2 | 0 |
| SECURITIES | TOTAL | 43,402 | 1,744 | 3,313 | 23,066 | 2,780 | 1,641 | 1,885 | 3,639 | 1,945 | 3,390 |
|  | U.S.T.S. | 12,752 | 1,226 | 1,207 | 6,006 | 832 | 429 | 570 | 980 | 350 | 1,152 |
|  | SECONDARY MARKET | 17,777 | 186 | 672 | 11,553 | 952 | 710 | 475 | 1,185 | 993 | 1,051 |
|  | Other sec. | 12,873 | 332 | 1,433 | 5,507 | 996 | 501 | 840 | 1,474 | 602 | 1,188 |
| LIABILITIES | TOTAL | 424,824 | 4,001 | 25,133 | 297,008 | 13,541 | 7,249 | 13,977 | 19,970 | 10,096 | 33,878 |
|  | DOMESTIC | 383,157 | 4,000 | 25,133 | 257,751 | 11,828 | 7,219 | 13,977 | 19,950 | 9,999 | 33,300 |
| DEPOSITS | total | 353,300 | 3,492 | 22,431 | 246,890 | 12,808 | 6,256 | 7,049 | 16,140 | 8,701 | 29,533 |
|  | FOREIGN | 33,554 | 1 | N/A | 31,389 | 1,519 | N/A | N/A | 20 | 98 | 526 |
|  | DOMESTIC | 319,746 | 3,491 | 22,431 | 215,501 | 11,289 | 6,256 | 7,049 | 16,120 | 8,604 | 29,007 |
|  | DEMAND | 79,460 | 1,032 | 4,359 | 57,532 | 2,090 | 1,021 | 1,921 | 3,287 | 1,648 | 6,569 |
|  | TIME AND SAVINGS | 240,286 | 2,459 | 18,072 | 157,969 | 9,198 | 5,235 | 5,129 | 12,832 | 6,955 | 22,437 |
| OTHER BORROW | WINES | 47,413 | 459 | 2,272 | 29,873 | 246 | 878 | 6,314 | 3,037 | 1,182 | 3,152 |
| EQUITY CAPI | TAL. | 27,579 | 425 | 1,391 | 18,679 | 890 | 553 | 1,004 | 1,448 | 727 | 2,462 |
| LOAN LOSS RE | ESERVE | 8,218 | 46 | 689 | 6,335 | 136 | 91 | 188 | 196 | 137 | 399 |
| Standby Let | TERS OF CREDIT | 33,316 | 23 | 629 | 29,343 | 438 | 124 | 168 | 576 | 286 | 1,728 |
| LOAN COMMITM | MENTS | 140,857 | 272 | 4,616 | 113,302 | 3,819 | 1,090 | 1,583 | 4,788 | 1,682 | 9,705 |
| LOANS SOLD |  | 114,882 | 14 | 524 | 113,701 | 100 | 61 | 74 | 171 | 19 | 218 |
|  |  | ASSET | JALITY | PERCENT | LOANS | GE COMM |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOAN LOSS RES | ESERVE (ALL Banks) | 2.57 | 2.54 | 3.61 | 2.82 | 1.58 | 1.76 | 1.61 | 1.38 | 1.93 | 1.48 |
| NET CHARGEOF | FFS, TOTAL | 0.97 | 0.87 | 4.74 | 0.73 | 0.06 | 0.30 | 2.15 | 0.56 | 0.88 | 0.44 |
|  | real estate | 0.61 | 1.11 | 7.54 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.78 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.40 |
|  | COMMERCIAL | 0.46 | 0.87 | 4.56 | 0.26 | -. 10 | 0.17 | 0.61 | 0.46 | 1.31 | 0.00 |
|  | CONSUMER | 1.82 | 0.36 | $\uparrow .84$ | 1.93 | 0.35 | 0.75 | 2.81 | 1.03 | 1.29 | 1.15 |
|  | AGRICULTURE | 0.16 | N/A | 1.58 | -. 36 | -. 45 | 0.27 | -. 01 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 0.70 |
| PAST OUE 8 N | NON-ACCRUAL, TOTAL | 5.02 | 8.95 | 10.50 | 5.12 | 1.10 | 2.06 | 5.42 | 2.46 | 3.45 | 3.28 |
|  | REAL ESTATE | 4.90 | 13.60 | 17.60 | 4.01 | 0.62 | 2.77 | 4.15 | 4.13 | 5.02 | 4.62 |
|  | COMMERCIAL | 5.73 | 8.10 | 8.00 | 6.56 | 1.35 | 2.33 | 2.89 | 1.56 | 2.62 | 2.53 |
|  | CONSUMER | 3.02 | 1.90 | 2.35 | 2.66 | 2.23 | 1.78 | 6.35 | 1.88 | 2.97 | 1.98 |
|  | AGRICULTURE | 8.17 | N/A | $11: 90$ | 11.00 | 8.88 | 1.97 | 0.50 | 1.99 | 2.80 | 4.51 |
|  |  | EARN | $S$ AND R | JRNS :- | MLLION | LL COMM | IAL BAN |  |  |  |  |
| INCOME | TOTAL | 38,495 | 318 | 2,225 | 27,090 | 1,070 | 630 | 1,636 | 1,652 | 878 | 2,995 |
|  | INTEREST | 32,342 | 269 | 1,928 | 22,451 | . 940 | 567 | 1,440 | 1,433 | 775 | 2,540 |
|  | FEES \& Charges | 1,541 | 13 | 112 | 1,033 | 26 | 30 | 37 | 89 | 45 | - 155 |
| EXPENSES | TOTAL | 33,528 | 278 | 2,999 | 22,832 | 880 | 536 | 1,263 | 1,388 | 806 | 2,546 |
|  | INTEREST | 17,656 | 150 | 1,123 | 12,346 | 533 | 325 | 637 | 772 | 449 | 1,321 |
|  | SALARIES | 6,067 | 55 | 421 | 4,285 | 173 | 85 | 136 | 273 | 118 | 523. |
|  | LOAN LOSS PROVISION | 2,639 | 19 | 939 | 1,225 | 24 | 16 | 149 | 83 | 58 | 126 |
|  | OTHER | 7,166 | 54 | 516 | 4,976 | 151 | 110 | 341 | 260 | 182 | 576 |
| INCOME BEFORE TAXES |  | 4,930 | 40 | -774 | 4,226 | 189 | 93 | 373 | 263 | 71 | 449 |
|  |  | 1,780 | 6 | -311 | 1,609 | 68 | 30 | 142 | 80 | 19 | 137 |
| TAXESNET INCOME |  | 3,397 | 35 | -463 | 2,813 | 122 | 64 | 256 | 183 | 54 | 332 |
| ROA (\%) |  | 1.02 | 1.06 | $-2.30$ | 1.21 | 1.17 | 1.10 | 2.37 | 1.18 | 0.68 | 1.25 |
| $\operatorname{ROE}(\%)$ |  | 16.40 | 10.90 | -44.00 | 20.10 | 18.20 | 15.40 | 34.00 | 16.90 | 9.99 | 18.00 |
| NET INTEREST MARGIN (\%) |  | 4.41 | 3.59 | 3.97 | 4.35 | 3.91 | 4.18 | 7.41 | 4.25 | 4.10 | 4.60 |

Opinions expressed in this newsletter do not necessarily reflect the views of the management of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, or of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
Editorial comments may be addressed to the editor (Barbara Bennett) or to the author. . . . Free copies of Federal Reserve publications can be obtained from the Public Information Department, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, P.O. Box 7702, San Francisco 94120. Phone (415) 974-2246.
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MARKET SHARE STATISTICS
percent of total deposits, for november 1989, by region

|  | district |  | alaska | arizona |  | CALIF |  | hamall |  |  | IDAHO |  |  | nevada |  | OREGON |  |  | utah |  | WASH |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEPOSIT TYPE | CB SL CU |  | CB SLCU | CB SL Cu |  | CB SL Cu |  | CB SL. Cu |  |  | Cs SL Cu |  |  | CB SL CU |  | CB SL Cu |  |  | CB SL cu |  | CB SL CU |  |
| TOTAL DEPOSITS | 4748 | 4 | 70921 | 5541 | 4 | 4354 | 4 | 65 | 30 | 5 |  | 10 |  | 6730 | 3 |  |  | 5 | 6722 |  | 5735 |  |
| demand | 934 | 3 | 9811 | 933 | 3 | 934 | 3 | 93 | 4 | 3 |  | . 1 |  | 991 | 0 | 95 |  | 3 | 92 |  | 94 |  |
| NOW | 6231 | 7 | 511435 | 7120 | 9 | 5736 | 6 |  | 26 | 3 | 88 | 8 |  | 7816 | 7 |  |  | 6 | 7415 |  | 662 |  |
| SAVINGS \& MMDA | 5933 | 8 | 51842 | 7120 | 9 | 5637 | 7 |  | 28 |  | 88 | 8 |  | 7619 | 5 |  |  | 10 | 6811 |  | 5926 |  |
| SMALL TIME | 2869 | 3 | 652115 | 4354 | 3 | 2176 | 3 |  | 57 | 3 |  |  |  | 4157 |  |  |  | 4 | 5538 |  | 455 |  |
| LARGE time | 3762 | 1 | 9252 | 3266 | 2 | 3366 | 1 | 78 | 20 | 2 |  | 10 |  | 6337 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 7422 | 4 | 4950 |  |

$C B=$ COMMERCIAL BANKS; SL = SAVINGS \& LOANS AND SAVINGS BANKS; CU = CREDIT UNIONS; MAY NOT SUM TO $100 \%$ DUE TO ROUNOING

| TYPE OF ACCOUNT OR LOAN |  |  | date | US | DISTRICT | ARI2 | CALIF | HAWAII | IDAHO | OREGON | UTAH | WASH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MONEY MARKET DEPOSIT ACCOUNTS (PERSONAL) |  |  | SEP89 | 6.55 | 6.28 | 5.95 | 6.58 | 6.33 | 6.12 | 5.85 | 6.46 | 5.67 |
|  |  |  | OCT89 | 6.48 | 6.30 | 5.98 | 6.49 | 6.34 | 6.12 | 6.00 | 6.43 | 5.77 |
|  |  |  | Nov89 | 6.47 | 6.28 | 6.08 | 6.51 | 6.16 | 6.09 | 6.09 | 6.45 | 5.78 |
| 92 TO 182 DAYS CERTIFICATES |  |  | SEP89 | 8.09 | 7.73 | 7.58 | 8.09 | 7.15 | 8.05 | 8.04 | 7.82 | 7.17 |
|  |  |  | 0ct89 | 8.01 | 7.66 | 7.58 | 8.07 | 7.15 | 7.80 | 7.87 | 7.82 | 7.27 |
|  |  |  | N0v89 | 7.89 | 7.56 | 7.45 | 7.94 | 7.15 | 7.70 | 7.87 | 7.77 | 7.06 |
| 2-1/2 YEARS AND OVER CERTIFICATES |  |  | SEP89 | 8.10 | 7.95 | 7.83 | 8.22 | 8.07 | 8.09 | 7.73 | 7.98 | 7.80 |
|  |  |  | OCT89 | 8.03 | 7.95 | 7.83 | 8.21 | 8.08 | 8.01 | 7.68 | 7.80 | 7.83 |
|  |  |  | Nov89 | 7.91 | 7.81 | 7.74 | 8.00 | 7.95 | 7.82 | 7.73 | 7.82 | 7.80 |
| COMMERCIAL, SHORT-TERM* | AVE. | Rate |  | 10.50 | 10.43 | 10.29 | 10.20 | 10.70 | 12.66 | 10.63 | 12.08 | 11.09 |
|  | AVE. | MAT. | (DAYS) | 53 | 78 | 110 | 41 | 96 | 160 | 233 | 109 | 179 |
| COMMERCIAL, LONG-TERM* | AVE. | Rate |  | 11.36 | 11.42 | 11.74 | 11.54 | 11.25 | N/A | 10.51 | 11.41 | 10.87 |
|  | AVE. | MAT. | (MONTHS) | 43 | 30 | 34 | 22 | 26 | N/A | 77 | 24 | 60 |
| LOANS TO FARMERS* | AVE. | Rate |  | 12.16 | 10.97 | 11.36 | 10.68 | 10.25 | 11.51 | 11.77 | 12.84 | 11.87 |
|  | AVE. | Mat. | (MONTHS) | 8 | 6 | 6 | 5 | N/A | 4 | 9 | 25 | 10 |
| CONSUMER, AUTOMOBILE CONSUNER, PERSONAL CONSUMER, CREDIT CARDS | AVE. | RATE |  | 11.94 | 12.31 | N/A | 12.50 | N/A | 13.50 | 11.40 | 11.95 | 11.71 |
|  | Ave. | RATE |  | 15.42 | 16.19 | N/A | 19.73 | N/A | 13.50 | 14.37 | 16.96 | 14.86 |
|  | AVE. | RATE |  | 18.07 | 18.25 | N/A | 19.48 | N/A | N/A | 19.24 | 20.27 | 15.75 |

SOURCES: SURVEY OF TERMS OF BANK LENDING AND TERMS OF CONSUMER CREDIT; MOST COMMON INTEREST RATES ON SELECTED ACCOUNTS * U.S. DATA ARE COMPOUNDED ANNUAL RATES, DISTRICT AND STATE DATA ARE SIMPLE ANNUAL RATES.
note: construction loan data are no longer collected.

