


RESEARCH LIBRARY  
Federal Reserve Bank  
of St. Louis

MAR 27 1988

*Federal Reserve  
Bank of Richmond*  
ANNUAL REPORT 1988



2025 12 15



## Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond *Seventy-Fourth Annual Report 1988*

### *Contents*

Message from the Chairman and the President	3
Banking Under Changing Rules: The Fifth District Since 1970	4
Highlights of 1988	12
Directors	14
Advisory Councils	16
Officers	17
Operating and Financial Statistics	18

ISSN 0164-0798

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOG CARD NUMBER: 16-7264

Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond Publication Number: P-1

---

*Additional copies of this Annual Report may be obtained without charge from:*

Public Services Department    Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond    P. O. Box 27622    Richmond, Virginia 23261



# Message from the Chairman and the President

**W**e are pleased to  
present the 1988  
Annual Report of the  
Federal Reserve Bank  
of Richmond.

During a recent rereading of the Bank's 1964 Annual Report, we came across the statement, "The changes in the commercial banking system in the last 50 years . . . have been sweeping." If "the last 50 years" were changed to "the last 18 years," the quoted statement could appropriately be used to describe developments covered in this year's feature article, "Banking Under Changing Rules: The Fifth District Since 1970."



*Robert P. Black  
President*

*Robert A. Georgine  
Chairman of the Board*

The 1964 article dealt with both structure and function. The 1988 article deals almost wholly with structure, principally because, as author David Mengle points out, constraints on where banks may do business have to date been relaxed more than constraints on what banks may sell.

A brief summary of highlights of 1988 immediately follows the feature article.

On behalf of our directors and staff, we wish to express our appreciation for the splendid cooperation and support you extended to us throughout the year.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Robert A. Georgine".


*Chairman of the Board*

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Robert P. Black".

*President*

# Banking Under Changing Rules: The Fifth District Since 1970

David L. Mengle



Commercial banking has traditionally been one of the most tightly regulated industries in the United States. The controversies surrounding the First and Second Banks of the United States, the National Bank Act of 1864, the Federal Reserve System, and federal deposit insurance all attest to the concern shown with banking throughout our history. Further, desire to control concentration of economic power and to keep banking responsive to local interests led to restrictions on branching and interstate operations as well as, more recently, antitrust scrutiny of bank mergers.

Despite the tradition of regulation, the 1980s have seen a call for at least partial deregulation of banking. Deregulation is aimed neither at supervision of bank soundness nor at consumer protection measures, but rather at rules that constrain what banks may sell, where they may sell it, and the interest rates they pay on their deposits. So far, the largest number of successful deregulatory efforts have loosened constraints on where banks may do business.

But banking deregulation did not begin in the 1980s. In fact, the Fifth District provides a case study of how banking laws and regulations have evolved since 1970. For example, District commercial banks have seen changes in bank holding company laws, in branching restrictions, and now in barriers to banking across state lines. And as the law has evolved, so has the structure of banking in the District.

## *The Fifth District Regulatory Environment in 1970*

**B**anking, like other industries, must be responsive to both state and federal law. But banking's competitive structure, unlike that of most other industries, has been shaped to a large degree by laws that vary among states. The most important state laws affecting banking structure in 1970 were branching restrictions. Among the most important federal laws were those governing bank holding companies.

**Branching Laws** In much of the Fifth District in 1970, banks could branch without restriction within their states subject only to approval by their regulators. Specifically, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, and the District of Columbia allowed statewide branching. At the other end of the spectrum, West Virginia permitted neither branching nor multibank holding companies.

Between the statewide branching states and West Virginia stood Virginia, which allowed a bank to branch within its home city or county and within contiguous cities or counties. But the law was not quite so restrictive as it sounded because a 1962 amendment allowed a bank to expand in two other ways: First, it could merge with a bank anywhere in Virginia. Second, it could form a bank holding company which could in turn purchase banks anywhere in the state. The law actually favored the bank holding company route over the merger route because a bank acquired by merger would

## *All Fifth District states except West Virginia had liberal branching laws in 1970.*

generally lose its branching privileges while a bank acquired by a bank holding company could still branch in its home area. In practice, then, all Fifth District jurisdictions except West Virginia had liberal laws regarding expansion of banks within their borders.

But full-service banking stopped at a state's boundaries. Whatever a state's laws regarding expansion within the state, two federal laws kept a bank from expanding into another state: First, the McFadden Act of 1927 (as amended in 1933) prohibited national banks from branching outside their home states. Second, the Douglas Amendment to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 forbade bank holding companies to acquire banks in other states unless the acquiree's state specifically permitted such acquisition. And in 1970, no Fifth District state extended the privilege to any other state's bank holding companies.

**Bank Holding Company Laws and Regulations** Another aspect of the 1970 legal environment was the impetus to growth of bank holding companies even in states permitting statewide branching. For example, a holding company could sell commercial paper and then pass the proceeds downstream to subsidiary banks. As interest rates rose in the late 1960s and banks began to face problems raising funds under Regulation Q interest rate constraints, the holding company route presented an appealing alternative. Further, until September 1970 funds raised by a holding company and then passed downstream were not subject to reserve requirements.

There were also differences in how federal law treated different types of holding companies. Specifically, the Bank Holding Company Act subjected companies owning more than one bank to regulation by the Federal Reserve but made no provisions for companies owning only one bank. One-bank holding companies were consequently subject to fewer restrictions on activities and product offerings than were multibank holding companies. Thus there was incentive to attempt to initiate new financial services in a holding company subsidiary rather than apply for permission from regulators to conduct the activity within the bank and risk legal challenge from those threatened by the competition.

It became increasingly apparent in the late 1960s that Congress would bow to the Federal Reserve's urgings that the one-bank holding company loophole be closed. Still, the number of one-bank holding companies more than doubled between May 1968 and December 1970. Evidently, many banks felt compelled to switch to the holding company form in hopes they would be "grandfathered" under any new restrictions.

Thus the structure of Fifth District banking in 1970 reflected two main aspects of the laws in place at the time: First, multibank

*In Virginia in 1970, multibank holding companies were a means of expanding around the state.*

holding companies dominated in Virginia where they constituted a means of expanding throughout the state. But because they were regulated by the Federal Reserve, their ability to expand into new financial fields was limited. Second, one-bank holding companies were important in Maryland, the District of Columbia, and the Carolinas. Apparently, banks with statewide branching privileges were in a position to choose an organization form on the basis of product rather than geographical diversification.

## *Changes After 1970*

The years following 1970 were a period of rapid growth for Fifth District banking. While the number of banks did not necessarily increase in all states, the number of branches did. Banking services therefore became more widely available. As one would expect, the growth occurred during a period of change in the regulatory environment.

**Bank Holding Company Act Amendments** The first significant change came in December 1970 when Congress amended the Bank Holding Company Act. The amendments essentially closed the one-bank holding company loophole by subjecting almost all bank holding companies to Federal Reserve regulation. In addition, Congress gave the Board of Governors authority to approve or deny nonbanking activities on a case-by-case basis subject to the requirement that activities be "so closely related to banking . . . as to be a proper incident thereto" and that the anticipated benefits, such as convenience, competition, and efficiency, outweigh anticipated costs such as conflicts of interest and increased concentration.

The initial effect of the new legislation was diversification of bank holding companies into new financial activities. During the early 1970s, for example, the Board approved such nonbanking activities as mortgage banking, factoring, leasing, financial data processing, and credit life insurance underwriting.

But in the mid-1970s, two sets of events may have helped slow the entry of bank holding companies into new activities: First, the failures of two New York banks, Franklin National and Security National, pointed to the problems faced by banks attempting to expand without sufficient regard for their capital base. Second, during the recession of the mid-1970s many banks experienced problems with their asset portfolios. In particular, some banks that advised real estate investment trusts (REITs) committed extensive resources to keeping certain REITs afloat. While bank holding companies were ostensibly under no obligation to support the REITs, the record does show that bank earnings suffered as a result of the support they did provide.

Consequently, the Board shifted to a "go slow" policy toward diversification into new activities. But despite the announced policy of slowing entry into nonbanking activities, there was no reversal of the movement toward the bank holding company organization form. Of the one hundred largest banking organizations in the United States, the number not affiliated with a bank holding company declined from twenty-eight in 1970 to three in 1975, two in 1980, and none by the end of 1981.

**Statewide Branching** The next significant changes affecting bank expansion in the Fifth District involved liberalization of branching laws in two states. The first occurred in Virginia in 1978 when the legislature extended branching privileges (still limited to

*By 1987, all Fifth District states permitted statewide branching and interstate banking.*

contiguous jurisdictions) to acquired banks. Under the amended law, a bank could acquire another bank, turn it into a branch, and still establish branches in the area of the new branch. In practice, then, Virginia had adopted statewide branching even though (until 1986) the letter of the law limited branching to contiguous areas. By 1979, four of the five largest Virginia bank holding companies had consolidated their subsidiaries as branches under one bank. And by 1987 there were 112 fewer banks but 316 more branches operating in Virginia than there had been a decade earlier.

The other liberalization occurred in West Virginia. In 1982 the legislature voted to allow branching within a bank's home county starting in 1984 and also to permit banks to form multibank holding companies. The law was loosened again in 1984 to allow branching in contiguous counties beginning in 1987 and statewide branching in 1991. But two years later the legislature moved statewide branching up to 1987. The result is that all Fifth District states now allow statewide branching.

**Interstate Banking** The third event of significance to Fifth District banking structure was the passage by District state legislatures of laws permitting interstate banking. The first District




## DEPOSITS OF SIX LARGEST FIFTH DISTRICT SUPERREGIONALS

(AS OF DECEMBER 1987)

DEPOSITS HELD IN  
BANKS OUTSIDE  
THE FIFTH DISTRICT

DEPOSITS HELD IN  
BANKS OUTSIDE  
HOME STATES



## *Fifth District Banking Today*

state to enact such a law was South Carolina in 1984. The law provides for regional reciprocal entry, that is, it permits bank holding companies in the Southeast (defined as Maryland, West Virginia, Kentucky, Arkansas, and states to their south) to acquire South Carolina banks and bank holding companies provided their home states extend the same privileges to South Carolina banking companies. But the law effectively blocks de novo entry by prohibiting acquisitions of banks less than five years old.

Similar laws were passed in North Carolina in 1984 and Virginia in 1985. The Supreme Court gave regional interstate banking a further boost in June 1985. In *Northeast Bancorp v. Board of Governors* the Court upheld the constitutionality of state laws that limit entry to bank holding companies within a specified region. The principal losers from the decision were the money center banks, especially those in New York. The winners were regional banks hoping to build up size before any of their states got around to allowing money center banks to enter.

The approaches to interstate banking followed by Maryland, the District of Columbia, and West Virginia differ somewhat from those of Virginia and the Carolinas. Maryland's 1985 law now permits reciprocal interstate entry by banks in most of the Southeast plus Pennsylvania and Delaware. Other Maryland laws permit bank holding companies from other states to establish full-service de novo facilities provided they meet certain capital, investment, and employment requirements.

In the District of Columbia, a 1985 law permits entry by acquisition by bank holding companies from most of the Southeast. Another law, passed in 1986, allows entry of bank holding companies agreeing to provide loans and lines of credit, jobs, and branches for specified economic development projects and areas. Finally, a law passed by West Virginia in 1986 allows reciprocal entry by bank holding companies from anywhere in the nation subject to the restriction that no company can control more than 20 percent of deposits in the state.

Interstate banking has sired a new breed of banking animal: the superregional bank holding company, defined as a bank headquartered outside the traditional money center cities of New York and Chicago and operating commercial banks in more than one state. The importance of the superregionals in the Fifth District is shown by two statistics: First, by the end of 1987 about 44 percent of deposits held by the six largest Fifth District bank holding companies were in banks outside their home states. Second, 30 percent of the deposits held by those six bank holding companies were in banks located in states outside the District.

The number and location of interstate acquisitions made by Fifth District bank holding companies appear in the accompanying table. North Carolina bank holding companies have looked mostly southward to South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida. Companies in Virginia, Maryland, and the District of Columbia have concentrated on the so-called "Golden Crescent" region stretching from Baltimore south through Washington to Richmond and Norfolk. In addition, two Virginia banks have established a substantial presence in Tennessee.

Also reflected in the table is the paucity of entry by bank holding companies from outside the Fifth District. The only acquisition of a large Fifth District commercial bank so far has been by a Georgia bank headquartered in Atlanta.

Why have so few banks entered from outside the District? One explanation is that regional interstate banking has limited the pool of entrants. But this does not explain the lack of entry from other southeastern states. It is likely that banking laws of neighboring states have been in good measure responsible. Florida had unit banking until 1977 and limited branching until 1980, while Georgia and Tennessee were and still are limited branching states. In addition, Georgia restricted multibank holding companies until 1976. In contrast, District banks had few legal obstacles to expansion within their states and thus were in a position to take advantage of interstate banking when it became legal.

But the action in Fifth District banking has not been confined to the superregionals. In West Virginia, banks have established 168 branches and formed 52 bank holding companies since the legislature relaxed branching and holding company restrictions. Over the same period, the number of banks has declined by only 14. In addition, the ranks of small Fifth District banks (those with less than \$100 million in assets) have been augmented by 18 new banks in 1985, 24 in 1986, and 21 in 1987. More important, small District banks' return on assets has averaged 1.03 percent since 1980 compared with 0.81 percent for banks with over \$1 billion in assets.

**N**ow that the laws governing structure and expansion within a state have been liberalized in all Fifth District jurisdictions, what lies ahead for Fifth District banks and banking laws? Nationwide interstate banking is one possibility, more de novo entry is another, and interstate branching is yet one more.

## FIFTH DISTRICT INTERSTATE ACQUISITIONS (AS OF OCTOBER 1988)

		ACQUIREE'S STATE								
		DC	MD	VA	WV	NC	SC	FL	GA	TN
ACQUIRER'S STATE	DC		2	3						
	MD	2		1	1					
	VA	4	6		2			1	1	16
	WV									
	NC		1	1			9	21	10	1
	SC									
	FL									
	GA						3			
	TN									

*Number of acquisitions equals number of transactions and does not necessarily reflect number of banks acquired. A transaction is omitted if it does not involve a Fifth District organization.*

## What Next?

**Nationwide Interstate Banking** As other states catch up with those in the Southeast in enacting interstate banking laws, it is reasonable to expect some banks outside the Southeast to show interest in entering the Fifth District. But what about expansion outside the Southeast by Fifth District banks? NCNB expanded into Texas in late 1988 by acquiring an interest in the failed First-Republic Corp of Dallas, but no other major expansion of a Fifth District bank outside the Southeast has yet occurred. Still, one might argue that it may soon be time to consider opening the region to entry from the rest of the nation, especially since the southeastern states are now lagging behind other states in providing for eventual nationwide entry. There are at least two groups of banks that could benefit from a liberalization of the interstate laws.

First, the superregionals in the Fifth District may start looking at likely markets outside the region once they have reached their desired levels of activity within the Southeast. But in many states they would be frustrated by interstate banking laws that allow entry only if banks in their own states can enter the acquirer's state. So potential acquirers may have incentives to work for abandonment of regional in favor of nationwide interstate banking.

A second group, potential acquirees, might also benefit from nationwide interstate banking. As most of the potential acquirers within the region find suitable partners, the remaining potential acquirees might wish to expand the pool of available suitors. Opening the Southeast could benefit small- and medium-sized banks in particular because some superregionals might prefer to enter on a modest scale rather than to swallow and digest another super-regional.

**De Novo Entry** A further means of opening up interstate banking is by permitting more de novo entry. Most Fifth District interstate banking laws permit entry only through acquiring an existing bank. Indeed, blocking de novo entry probably made interstate banking laws more palatable to bankers by limiting the options of would-be acquirers and thereby raising acquisition values. But as merger premiums are bid up by entrants, the de novo option may become more attractive as an alternative to acquisition. Further, since restrictions on entry probably lead to less competition for loans and deposits, consumer advocates may push for liberalized de novo entry.


Despite advantages to consumers and to banks seeking to enter a state, it is unlikely that there will be much pressure at the state level to allow de novo entry. Acquirers come from outside a state and therefore may not have their interests represented in state legislatures other than their own. At the same time, banks that would lose from de novo entry are probably well represented at the state level. It is more likely that pressure would come at the federal level if and when Congress were to address interstate banking. In particular, both consumer advocates and superregionals might be better able to influence the course of legislation in Congress than in the many state legislatures.

**Interstate Branching** A final innovation that may someday come to interstate banking is interstate branching. At present, neither federal nor state (except Massachusetts) laws permit banks to branch across state lines. As a result, the superregionals must maintain separate subsidiary banks for each state. But if the experience in Virginia is any guide, branching may be a more efficient means of expansion for many banks. Most Virginia bank holding companies consolidated their subsidiaries into branches as soon as the law allowed it. The superregionals might have incentives to do the same thing if the law so allowed. Further, consumers might benefit from interstate branching. Not only would customers have ready access to their accounts when traveling, but checks could clear faster if superregionals were to use one set of books rather than the books of several subsidiaries.

But it is unlikely there will be much pressure for interstate branching in the immediate future. One obstacle is the question of jurisdiction over out-of-state branches. That is, if a bank establishes a branch outside its home state, who regulates the branch? Another obstacle is that it is simpler to expand by branching than by setting up subsidiaries. Potential competitors of a superregional might not be inclined to support any law that would make it easier to compete with them. As with de novo entry, the question of interstate branching might be more appropriately dealt with at the federal than at the state level.

**Concluding Comment** The uncertainty of further liberalization should not cloud the central fact of the evolution of Fifth District banking: the substantial reduction in legal and regulatory obstacles to competition among banks. Future competition is likely to come from several sources: First, foreign banks may play an increasing role. Second, banks may face increased competition from the thrift industry once the current deposit insurance problems are resolved. Finally, commercial and nonbank financial corporations are attempting to encroach on commercial banks' traditional turf just as banks attempt to move beyond their own. Given such prospects, any attempts to regulate competition among banks seem beside the point. The current trend toward liberalizing restraints on interbank competition is likely to continue unabated.

## EVOLUTION OF FIFTH DISTRICT BANKING





# Highlights of 1988

---

## *Payment System*

The Payment System Symposium, a conference sponsored by the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond and attended by internationally known experts, was held in Williamsburg, Virginia, on May 25-26. The symposium focused on three broad issues: efficiency in the payments market, risk in the payments process, and the role of the Federal Reserve in the payments system. Three economists from this Bank presented papers. A compendium of conference proceedings is to be published in 1989.

---

## *Funds Availability*

The Expedited Funds Availability Act, enacted by the U.S. Congress in August 1987, was put into effect under Federal Reserve Regulation CC on September 1. Thanks to advance preparations by the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond and Fifth District depository institutions, what might have been a disruptive adjustment in check clearing was almost a nonevent.

---

## *Central Banking*

Senior Vice President Bruce J. Summers represented the Federal Reserve System at the Southeast Asia, New Zealand, and Australia (SEANZA) Central Banking Course, held in Sydney, Australia, in October and November. The course serves to raise the level of training of central bank cadre in the SEANZA countries. Traditionally, it also serves to foster relations and technical cooperation among central banks.

---

## *Early Retirements*

More than one hundred fifty employees participated in the early retirement program in effect during 1988. Among those who retired were many who had more than thirty years of service, and some who had more than forty. The retirements of these long-service employees marked the passing of an era: the new retirees had begun work when some of the Bank's first employees were still on the job.

A number of the employees lost to early retirement were officers: J. Allin (Richmond) retired February 1; Jerry Wilson (Baltimore), May 1; Wayne Stancil (Richmond), June 1; Harry Smith (Charlotte), July 1; and Boyd Eubanks (Columbia), December 1. Also participating but remaining in active service through year-end were David Ayres, Dabney Martin, Art Myers, Jesse Seamster, and Jack Wyatt of Richmond; Frank Richbourg of Charlotte; and Jim Dennis of Culpeper.





---

### *Bank Digest*

Directors/Richmond Office	14
Directors/Branch Offices	15
Advisory Councils	16
Officers	17
Comparative Financial Statements	18
Summary of Operations	20

# Directors

(December 31, 1988)



Seated: Jack C. Smith; Leroy T. Canoles, Jr.; Robert A. Georgine; Edward H. Covell; Thomas B. Cookerly  
Standing: K. Donald Menefee; Hanne Merriman; John F. McNair III; Chester A. Duke

## Richmond

### CHAIRMAN

Robert A. Georgine  
*President*  
Building & Construction  
Trades Department  
AFL-CIO  
Washington, D.C.

### DEPUTY CHAIRMAN

Hanne Merriman  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*  
Honeybee, Inc.  
New York, New York

Leroy T. Canoles, Jr.  
*President*  
Kaufman & Canoles  
Norfolk, Virginia

Thomas B. Cookerly  
*President*  
Broadcast Division  
Allbritton Communications  
Washington, D.C.

Edward H. Covell  
*President*  
The Covell Company  
Easton, Maryland

Chester A. Duke  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*  
Marion National Bank  
Marion, South Carolina

John F. McNair III  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*  
Wachovia Bank & Trust Company, N.A.  
and The Wachovia Corporation  
Winston-Salem, North Carolina

K. Donald Menefee  
*Chairman of the Board &  
Chief Executive Officer*  
Madison National Bank  
*Chairman of the Board & President*  
James Madison Limited  
Washington, D.C.

Jack C. Smith  
*Chairman of the Board and  
Chief Executive Officer*  
K-VA-T Food Stores, Inc.  
Grundy, Virginia

## Member Federal Advisory Council

Frederick Deane, Jr.  
*Chairman of the Board and  
Chief Executive Officer*  
Signet Banking Corporation  
Richmond, Virginia





## Baltimore

### CHAIRMAN

Thomas R. Shelton  
*President*  
Case Foods, Inc.  
Salisbury, Maryland

John R. Hardesty, Jr.  
*President*  
Preston Energy, Inc.  
Kingwood, West Virginia

H. Grant Hathaway  
*Chairman of the Board*  
Equitable Bank, N.A.  
Baltimore, Maryland

Raymond V. Haysbert, Sr.  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*  
Parks Sausage Company  
Baltimore, Maryland

Charles W. Hoff III  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*  
Farmers and Mechanics National Bank  
Frederick, Maryland

Gloria L. Johnson  
*Deputy Director of Administration*  
The Baltimore Museum of Art  
Baltimore, Maryland

Joseph W. Mosmiller  
*Chairman of the Board*  
Loyola Federal Savings and  
Loan Association  
Baltimore, Maryland



John R. Hardesty, Jr.; Thomas R. Shelton; Joseph W. Mosmiller; H. Grant Hathaway;  
Raymond V. Haysbert, Sr.; Charles W. Hoff III; Gloria L. Johnson

## Charlotte

### CHAIRMAN

G. Alex Bernhardt  
*President*  
Bernhardt Industries, Inc.  
Lenoir, North Carolina

Anne M. Allen  
*Vice President*  
Allen Construction Company  
Greensboro, North Carolina

J. Donald Collier  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*  
Orangeburg National Bank  
Orangeburg, South Carolina

James M. Culberson, Jr.  
*Chairman and President*  
The First National Bank of Randolph County  
Asheboro, North Carolina

John A. Hardin  
*Chairman of the Board and President*  
First Federal Savings Bank  
Rock Hill, South Carolina

James G. Lindley  
*Chairman and Chief Executive Officer*  
South Carolina National Corporation  
*Chairman, President, and*  
*Chief Executive Officer*  
The South Carolina National Bank  
Columbia, South Carolina

William E. Masters  
*President*  
Perception, Inc.  
Easley, South Carolina



Seated: William E. Masters; Anne M. Allen; James G. Lindley; J. Donald Collier  
Standing: John A. Hardin; G. Alex Bernhardt; James M. Culberson, Jr.

---

# Advisory Councils

(December 31, 1988)

## Small Business and Agriculture Advisory Council

---

### CHAIRMAN

Charles O. Strickler  
*President*  
Rocco Enterprises, Inc.  
Harrisonburg, Virginia

Leonard A. Blackshear  
*President*  
Associated Enterprises, Inc.  
Annapolis, Maryland

Cecil H. Gannon  
*President*  
Cecil H. Gannon & Sons, Inc.  
Easton, Maryland

Robert W. Stewart, Jr.  
*Chairman and Chief Executive Officer*  
Engineered Custom Plastics Corporation  
Easley, South Carolina

### VICE CHAIRMAN

Michael Clark  
*President*  
Clark Insurance Services Company, Inc.  
Richmond, Virginia

Dickie S. Carter  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*  
Urban Service Systems Corporation  
Washington, D.C.

Michele V. Hagans  
*President*  
Fort Lincoln New Town Corporation  
Washington, D.C.

Julian D. Wiles, Sr.  
*President*  
J. D. Wiles Farms, Inc.  
Fort Motte, South Carolina

Watts Auman  
*Manager*  
Auman Farm  
West End, North Carolina

E. Allen Fisher  
*Secretary-Treasurer*  
West Virginia State Building &  
Construction Trades Council  
AFL-CIO  
Charleston, West Virginia

Charles H. James II  
*Chairman of the Board and Treasurer*  
C. H. James & Co.  
Charleston, West Virginia

Joan H. Zimmerman  
*President*  
Southern Shows, Inc.  
Charlotte, North Carolina

## Operations Advisory Committee

---

### CHAIRMAN

Ronald W. Davies  
*Senior Executive Vice President*  
Maryland National Bank  
Baltimore, Maryland

David A. Denton  
*Vice President*  
Investors Savings Bank  
Richmond, Virginia

Clement E. Medley, Jr.  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*  
First Federal Savings and  
Loan Association of Dunn  
Dunn, North Carolina

Rita A. Smith  
*Executive Vice President*  
West Virginia Savings League  
Charleston, West Virginia

William E. Albert  
*Vice President and Cashier*  
The First National Bank of Bluefield  
Bluefield, West Virginia

Raymond L. Gazelle  
*Vice President*  
Citizens Bank & Trust Company  
of Maryland  
Riverdale, Maryland

Robert Murphy  
*Executive Vice President*  
Crestar Bank  
Washington, D.C.

Edward J. Spirko  
*Senior Vice President*  
Mercantile-Safe Deposit &  
Trust Company  
Baltimore, Maryland

José Alonzo  
*President*  
West Virginia Credit Union League, Inc.  
Parkersburg, West Virginia

Harrison Giles  
*Senior Vice President*  
NCNB National Bank of North Carolina  
Charlotte, North Carolina

Ricky B. Nicks  
*Senior Vice President*  
Wachovia Bank & Trust Company, N.A.  
Winston-Salem, North Carolina

John J. Sponski  
*Group Executive Officer*  
Sovran Bank, N.A.  
Norfolk, Virginia

Robert A. Barton, Jr.  
*Senior Vice President*  
Perpetual Savings Bank  
Alexandria, Virginia

Kenneth L. Greear  
*Vice President*  
United National Bank  
Charleston, West Virginia

Richard D. Pillow  
*Vice President*  
Virginia Credit Union League  
Lynchburg, Virginia

Thomas J. Strange  
*Vice President*  
South Carolina Credit Union  
League, Inc.  
Columbia, South Carolina

Charles S. Brummitt  
*Senior Vice President*  
NCNB South Carolina  
Columbia, South Carolina

D. C. Hastings  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*  
Virginia Bank and Trust Company  
Danville, Virginia

James W. Ricci  
*President*  
Educational Systems Employees  
Federal Credit Union  
Bladensburg, Maryland

Rick A. Wiczorek  
*President*  
District of Columbia Credit Union  
League  
Washington, D.C.

William V. Bunting  
*Executive Vice President*  
Crestar Bank  
Richmond, Virginia

David L. Kot  
*Vice President*  
American Security Bank, N.A.  
Washington, D.C.

Charles C. Schmitt  
*Executive Vice President*  
Loyola Federal Savings and  
Loan Association  
Glen Burnie, Maryland

C. L. Wilson III  
*Senior Vice President*  
Branch Banking and Trust Company  
Wilson, North Carolina

Marshall N. Colebank, Jr.  
*Executive Vice President and Cashier*  
The Charleston National Bank  
Charleston, West Virginia

Ashpy P. Lowrimore  
*Senior Vice President—City Executive*  
Southern National Bank  
of South Carolina  
Florence, South Carolina

H. Jerry Shearer  
*Executive Vice President and Cashier*  
Commercial Bank of the South, N.A.  
Columbia, South Carolina

James R. Wilson  
*Vice President*  
First Carolina Corporate Credit Union  
Greensboro, North Carolina



# Officers

(December 31, 1988)

## Richmond

Robert P. Black, *President*  
Jimmie R. Monhollon, *First Vice President*  
Welford S. Farmer, *Executive Vice President*  
J. Alfred Broadbuss, Jr., *Senior Vice President*  
*and Director of Research*  
Roy L. Fauber, *Senior Vice President*  
Arthur V. Myers, Jr., *Senior Vice President*  
James D. Reese, *Senior Vice President*  
Bruce J. Summers, *Senior Vice President*  
James F. Tucker, *Senior Vice President*  
Fred L. Bagwell, *Vice President*  
Dan M. Bechter, *Vice President*  
Lloyd W. Bostian, Jr., *Vice President*  
Timothy Q. Cook, *Vice President*  
William E. Cullison, *Vice President*  
Donna G. Dancy, *Vice President*  
Wyatt F. Davis, *Vice President*  
Michael Dotsey, *Vice President*  
George B. Evans, *Vice President*  
William C. Fitzgerald, *Associate General Counsel*  
Marvin S. Goodfriend, *Vice President*  
Robert L. Hetzel, *Vice President*  
David B. Humphrey, *Vice President and*  
*Payments System Adviser*  
Thomas M. Humphrey, *Vice President*  
William D. Martin III, *Vice President and General Counsel*  
Joseph C. Ramage, *Vice President*  
John W. Scott, *Vice President*  
Andrew L. Tilton, *Vice President*  
Walter A. Varvel, *Vice President*  
Jack H. Wyatt, *Vice President*

Kemper W. Baker, Jr., *Assistant Vice President*  
William H. Benner, Jr., *Assistant Vice President*  
Jackson L. Blanton, *Assistant Vice President*  
William A. Bridenstine, Jr., *Assistant General Counsel*  
Bradford N. Carden, *Assistant Vice President*  
Betty M. Fahed, *Assistant Vice President*  
Sharon M. Haley, *Assistant Vice President and Secretary*  
Eugene W. Johnson, Jr., *Assistant Vice President*  
Thomas P. Kellam, *Assistant Vice President*  
Anatoli Kuprianov, *Research Officer*  
Harold T. Lipscomb, *Assistant Vice President*  
Edgar A. Martindale III, *Assistant Vice President*  
Yash P. Mehra, *Research Officer*  
David L. Mengle, *Research Officer*  
Joseph F. Morrisette, *Assistant Vice President*  
Michael W. Newton, *Assistant Vice President*  
Virginus H. Rosson, Jr., *Assistant Vice President*  
G. Ronald Scharr, *Assistant Vice President*  
Gary W. Schemmel, *Assistant Vice President*  
Jesse W. Seamster, *Assistant Vice President*  
Marsha S. Shuler, *Assistant Vice President*  
James R. Slate, *Assistant General Counsel*  
Roy H. Webb, *Research Officer*  
William F. White, *Assistant Vice President*  
Howard S. Whitehead, *Assistant Vice President*  
Bobby D. Wynn, *Assistant Vice President*  
Arthur J. Zohab, Jr., *Assistant Vice President*

Malcolm C. Alfriend, *Examining Officer*  
Floyd M. Dickinson, Jr., *Examining Officer*  
Jeffrey S. Kane, *Examining Officer*  
Susan Q. Moore, *Personnel Officer*  
Lawrence P. Nuckols, *Examining Officer*  
Charlotte L. Waldrop, *Examining Officer*

David B. Ayres, Jr., *General Auditor*  
H. Lewis Garrett, *Assistant General Auditor*

## Baltimore

Robert D. McTeer, Jr., *Senior Vice President*  
Ronald B. Duncan, *Vice President*  
William E. Pascoe III, *Vice President*

John S. Frain, *Assistant Vice President*  
William J. Tignancelli, *Assistant Vice President*  
John I. Turnbull II, *Financial Services Officer*

## Charlotte

Albert D. Tinkelenberg, *Senior Vice President*  
Samuel W. Powell, Jr., *Vice President*  
Robert F. Stratton, *Vice President*  
Jefferson A. Walker, *Vice President*

Woody Y. Cain, *Assistant Vice President*  
Marsha H. Malarz, *Assistant Vice President*  
Francis L. Richbourg, *Assistant Vice President*  
Lyle C. DeVane, *Cash Operations Officer*

## Culpeper, Charleston, & Columbia

### CULPEPER

John G. Stoides, *Senior Vice President*  
James G. Dennis, *Assistant Vice President*  
James J. Florin III, *Assistant Vice President*

### CHARLESTON

Richard L. Hopkins, *Vice President*

### COLUMBIA

Woody Y. Cain, *Assistant Vice President, Charlotte*  
*Acting Officer in Charge*



# Comparative Financial Statements

## CONDITION

		December 31	
		1988	1987
<b>Assets</b>			
	Gold certificate account	\$ 917,000,000.00	\$ 933,000,000.00
	Special Drawing Rights certificate account	461,000,000.00	461,000,000.00
	Coin	61,789,181.28	63,434,941.85
	Loans to depository institutions	122,017,000.00	181,212,000.00
	Federal agency obligations	540,883,421.43	638,222,016.06
	U.S. government securities		
	Bills	8,756,490,892.12	9,099,673,161.09
	Notes	7,061,475,288.10	7,011,103,775.81
	Bonds	2,323,744,637.21	2,386,359,052.21
	Total U.S. government securities	18,141,710,817.43	18,497,135,989.11
	Cash items in process of collection	459,433,704.45	421,956,975.87
	Bank premises	123,732,554.73	111,136,140.60
	Furniture and equipment (net)	18,973,059.81	19,584,111.80
	Other assets	877,251,213.96	762,873,308.72
	Interdistrict settlement account	3,132,511,163.11	(1,736,454,431.36)
	Accrued service income	5,004,507.58	4,821,828.12
	<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$24,861,306,623.78</b>	<b>\$20,357,922,880.77</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>			
	Federal Reserve notes	\$20,095,524,553.00	\$16,550,033,156.00
	Deposits		
	Depository institutions	3,835,573,288.76	2,902,100,768.55
	Foreign	8,400,000.00	8,100,000.00
	Other	45,794,738.11	60,885,688.57
	Total deposits	3,889,768,026.87	2,971,086,457.12
	Deferred availability cash items	386,779,161.09	382,874,070.33
	Other liabilities	242,323,182.82	226,089,397.32
	<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>\$24,614,394,923.78</b>	<b>\$20,130,083,080.77</b>
<b>Capital Accounts</b>			
	Capital paid in	123,455,850.00	113,919,900.00
	Surplus	123,455,850.00	113,919,900.00
	<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL ACCOUNTS</b>	<b>\$24,861,306,623.78</b>	<b>\$20,357,922,880.77</b>

# EARNINGS AND EXPENSES

		1988	1987
<i>Earnings</i>			
Loans to depository institutions	\$	987,580.03	\$ 1,736,474.67
Interest on U.S. government securities		1,438,247,017.22	1,374,138,058.63
Foreign currencies		16,742,569.69	18,592,590.56
Income from services		55,920,614.99	53,254,196.48
Other earnings		644,234.92	757,809.39
Total current earnings		\$1,512,542,016.85	\$1,448,479,129.73

<i>Expenses</i>			
Operating expenses		90,254,577.59	85,224,519.55
Cost of earnings credits		10,150,325.95	8,253,737.39
Net expenses		100,404,903.54	93,478,256.94
CURRENT NET EARNINGS		\$1,412,137,113.31	\$1,355,000,872.79
Additions to current net earnings			
Profit on sales of U.S. government securities (net)		1,939,690.33	3,539,914.02
Profit on foreign exchange transactions		0	97,430,955.51
All other		52.05	55,808.80
Total additions		1,939,742.38	101,026,678.33
Deductions from current net earnings			
Losses on foreign exchange transactions		28,609,022.36	0
All other		21,439.99	17,474.44
Total deductions		28,630,462.35	17,474.44
Net additions or deductions		- 26,690,719.97	+ 101,009,203.89
Cost of unreimbursed Treasury services		2,443,149.38	3,444,185.72
Assessment for expenses of Board of Governors		4,724,500.00	4,405,700.00
Federal Reserve currency costs		12,825,070.00	14,984,887.04
NET EARNINGS BEFORE PAYMENTS TO U.S. TREASURY		\$1,365,453,673.96	\$1,433,175,303.92
Distribution of Net Earnings			
Dividends paid	\$	7,163,925.87	\$ 6,431,001.28
Payments to U.S. Treasury (interest on Federal Reserve notes)		1,348,753,798.09	1,413,975,852.64
Transferred to surplus		9,535,950.00	12,768,450.00
TOTAL		\$1,365,453,673.96	\$1,433,175,303.92

<i>Surplus Account</i>			
Balance at close of previous year	\$	113,919,900.00	\$ 101,151,450.00
Addition of profits for year		9,535,950.00	12,768,450.00
BALANCE AT CLOSE OF CURRENT YEAR	\$	123,455,850.00	\$ 113,919,900.00

<i>Capital Stock Account</i>			
Balance at close of previous year	\$	113,919,900.00	\$ 101,151,450.00
Issued during the year		11,028,350.00	13,938,800.00
		124,948,250.00	115,090,250.00
Cancelled during the year		1,492,400.00	1,170,350.00
BALANCE AT CLOSE OF CURRENT YEAR	\$	123,455,850.00	\$ 113,919,900.00

(Representing amount  
paid in, which is 50%  
of amount subscribed)



# Summary of Operations

## Items Processed

	1988	1987
Currency and coin processed		
Currency received and verified	1,874,378,000	1,787,276,000
Currency verified and destroyed	620,699,000	538,463,000
Coin received and verified	1,655,134,000	3,254,102,000
Checks handled		
Commercial—processed*	1,387,465,000	1,351,532,000
Commercial—packaged items	284,171,000	277,961,000
U.S. government	67,855,000	69,064,000
Collections items handled		
U.S. government coupons paid	86,000	107,000
Noncash items	146,279	164,680
U.S. government securities issued, redeemed, and exchanged		
Definitive	11,282,533	10,482,931
Book-Entry	277,895	398,414
Funds transfers sent and received	4,735,102	4,318,886
Food stamps redeemed	187,066,000	181,570,000
Loans advanced	843	1,478

## Dollar Amount

	1988 (thousands)	1987 (thousands)
Currency and coin processed		
Currency received and verified	\$ 22,542,609	\$ 22,290,709
Currency verified and destroyed	5,054,682	3,705,281
Coin received and verified	266,908	507,294
Checks handled		
Commercial—processed*	928,351,369	884,173,727
Commercial—packaged items	102,136,000	99,412,000
U.S. government	121,640,471	121,768,095
Collections items handled		
U.S. government coupons paid	60,447	81,251
Noncash items	384,133	451,837
U.S. government securities issued, redeemed, and exchanged		
Definitive	2,246,431	2,339,871
Book-Entry	1,597,058,406	2,425,570,419
Funds transfers sent and received	7,972,217,000	7,629,810,000
Food stamps redeemed	905,348	840,804
Loans advanced	3,684,649	6,166,700

\*Excluding checks on this Bank.



