THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND

by Karl R. Bopp

American Institute of Banking Philadelphia Chapter Midday Club October 16, 1944

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

At Bretton Hoods one heard everything net know 9) Bell hop calling Marionette Ekkles! I have beard the chairmen of the Fed. has bound called many names, but near hope a Mariamette !

6) Difficulties with pronorming. Sie Chin taman D. Deshinakh N.F. Chechuliu antonio Espiassa de los Monteros

c) Keillan with his sternal Meester Shairman until you could been it in your shep. A Hackintosh was awakened by it! e) larhaps after the Russian party for the Caudians! Vodka Cognes Scotch + Soda

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

I. Purpose

To facilitate the stendy and balanced expansion of international trade

Everyone agrees on desirability

II. Why agreement on objectives is not enough Orff. and the that What prevents achievement?

A. Disequilibrium

« feur minutes of technical matters

1. Fundamental

1 = \$50: = \$.05 Affects all countries Solutions are

Change in internal value Change in external value and countries official to change. Temporary - emergency 2.

- a. How they arise Walter nach new yoland b. Remedies
 - 1) Restrict purchases to available resources
 - 2) Depreciate
 - 3) Provide temporary funds "He digged into his capital"

m. Lowell author to with of New England

Results

III. Restrictions of unilateral actions

- A. Competitive exchange depreciation
- B. Multiple currency systems
- C. Bilateral clearing agreements
- D. Exchange and trade controls Quotas, etc.
- IV. Ment predominant solutions
 - A. The Bretton Woods agreement
 - B. Key-Country Approach

× 4

2. Two years 1 study V. The International Monetary Fund

A. History

Merits recording because for the first time in history officials thought in the open record available in Federal Reserve Bulletin

1. Preliminary discussions in fall of 1941

Secretary Morgenthau asked White to study.

Started with tripartite accord of 1936

2. Stabilization Fund draft of April 6, 1943

years

"It is hoped that the appended draft will call forth from the experts of the

United Nations critical comment and constructive suggestions. It is our belief that a workable and acceptable plan can emerge only from the joint efforts of the United Nations."

next day 3.

Clearing Union - April 7, 1943

"It is hoped that these proposals will afford a basis for discussion, criticism, and constructive amendment together with similar plans having similar objectives which may be prepared by experts of other governments."

4. Canadian observations - July 12, 1943

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis 5. Revised International Stabilization Fund draft - August 20, 1943

After discussions with experts of many nations

"Published with the hope that it will call forth further comments and constructive suggestions."

Joint statement of experts - April 21, 1944

Preliminary conference, Atlantic City, June 15-29, 1944

8. Bretton Woods - July 1-22, 1944 (44 nations) dampage diffecultur : Maletin : "all in all - "non committee bas. Customs, King : MH & Anita stat? Customs, King : MH & Anita stat? Statement + gil @ attendie City. Hicking man in this slow face - %0 do his Betweening man in this war. Mul work Bornacti Verwerson. 9. No other comprehensive plan was proposed.

Not until the recent convention did the ABA establish a committee to formulate a program.



- B. Principles
 - 1. Stable but not rigid exchange rates Guarantee of gold value of the Fund
 - 2. Reduction and eventual elimination of exchange restrictions

Report after 3 years Consent to continue after 5 years

- 3. Pool of Fund to tide over emergencies
 - a. Each member contributes an amount (quota) to a common pool. Arguments on guetes

25% of quota or 10% of holdings in gold

Remainder in local currency

- b. Each member has limited access to the pool: limitations
 - 1) 25% of quota per year 200% total
 - 2) Repurchases
 - 3) Uses
 - 4) Charges distribution of income
 - 5) Declaring ineligible

- C. Management
 - 1. Voting: 250 + Quota \$100,000
 - 2. Board of governors
 - 3. Executive directors at least 12
 - 5 appointed by largest quota members
 - 2 non-appointing American republics
 - 5 other non-appointing members
 - 2 largest "creditors" after 2 years
 - 4. Managing director

VI. The key-country approach

Diverse proposals - not clear-cut

- A. Principles
 - 1. Initial U.S.-U.K. agreement on sterling/dollar rate

Other currencies linked to one or the other

2. Large (\$5 billion) grant-in-aid or gift or loan to Great Britain conditions dictated ?

Aid to others as they adopt policies we consider appropriate distance ?

- A. Similarities
 - 1. Success of both depends upon reduction in trade barriers and sound internal financial & economic policies
 - 2. Dollar-sterling rate crucial
 - 3. Chief responsibility and authority to major countries
 - 4. Provide stabilization credits
- B. Dissimilarities
 - 1. Role of small nations p.7, 9, 13
 - 2. Fund discourages, key-country encourages formation of blocks
 - 3. Fund has place for non-members of blocks
 - 4. Key currency on a product basis

Wheat: U.S., Canada, Argentina, Australia

5. Fund agreement by technicians of 44 nations

Continues war partnership

6. Fund prepares for crises wherever and whenever they occur

they country assumes all scame in theme.

http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

Digitized for FRASER