

I. Introduction

- A. As 1990 draws to a close, it has proved to be a very interesting and challenging one for the Federal Reserve (the Fed has not been without its critics)
 - 1. in an election year, have pressures from politicians and special interests for an easing of monetary policy
 - 2. as the economy slowed throughout the year, many representatives of the business community hoping that they can get a boost from an easing Fed
 - 3. and more recently, the oil crisis has caused people to look to monetary policy as a potential quick-fix
- B. This afternoon, I want to respond to these objections and argue that the Fed should have a primary, overriding responsibility -- protection of the value of the currency

II. The Foundation for Monetary Policy -- The Federal Reserve Act

- A. Act of Congress
 - 1. establishes a broad framework for conduct of monetary policy
 - 2. calls for 2 policymaking bodies within the Federal Reserve
 - a. seven member Board of Governors, located in Washington, D.C.
 - b. Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC), includes the Board, President of NY Fed, and 4 of the other 11 regional Reserve Bank presidents (rotating basis)
 - 1. meets 8 times a year
 - 2. decides what adjustments need to be made in the policy instruments
- B. Goal for monetary policy set out in the Federal Reserve Act
 - 1. "maintain long-run growth of monetary and credit aggregates commensurate with the economy's long-run potential to increase production, so as to promote effectively the goals of maximum employment, stable prices, and moderate long-term interest rates."
 - 2. many believed that the Federal Reserve could manipulate the supply of money to directly serve all of these objectives

III. Lessons of the 1970s and 1980s

- A. Found out that could not control the economy the way we thought we could
 - 1. in the 1960s, many believed that unemployment rate could be reduced permanently if only a higher rate of inflation was accepted
 - 2. experiences of the 1970s (simultaneous rising of inflation and unemployment) and the 1980s (inflation and unemployment both falling) cast grave doubt on such a simple relationship

- B. Importance of expectations
 - 1. correct explanation of relationship between inflation and unemployment depends on expectations of inflation
 - 2. trading off a little inflation for reduced unemployment involves surprising people or violating expectations
 - 3. and because people will not be "fooled" indefinitely, repeated attempts for such a tradeoff will only result in inflation

IV. Inflation is Costly and Leads to Recession

- A. Excessive inflation leads to recessions
 - 1. monetary policy that is too expansionary will eventually lead to a rise in the rate of inflation
 - 2. eventually the Fed must tighten that may lead to a recession
- B. Even at moderate levels, inflation hinders economic growth and productivity
 - 1. inflation can depress investment by increasing the effective tax rate on capital
 - 2. inflation muddies price signals so that resources cannot find their best use today
 - 3. inflation causes uncertainty for tomorrow so that an inflation premium increases long-term interest rates, thereby raising the cost of capital and lowers investment
 - 4. resources devoted to protecting against inflation is wasteful in that it takes resources away from producing real goods and services

V. The Oil Price Shock

- A. Many believe that monetary policy should react to the recent oil price shock because it causes inflation and, ultimately, a recession
 - 1. all but one of the 8 post-WWII recessions were preceded by an oil price shock
 - 2. Iraq's invasion of Kuwait and consequent UN embargo has seemed to touch off another round
 - a. soaring oil prices
 - b. declines in stock and bond prices
 - c. renewed speculation about economic recession
- B. What does economic theory tell us about the shock's impact on prices and output?
 - 1. at a given level of work effort, output declines because of fewer energy resources and energy prices rise
 - 2. drop in output reduces wealth and income derived by wealth (decline in equities and real money balances)
 - 3. consumers reaction depends on their perception of the length of the crisis
 - a. if it is perceived as short-term
 - 1. hold to current spending levels
 - 2. borrow to offset temporary income loss
 - 3. produce upward pressure on interest rates
 - b. if situation is perceived to persist
 - 1. prolonged income and wealth loss
 - 2. reduce spending to correspond with smaller budgets
 - 3. leaves borrowing and real interest rates relatively unchanged

- C. How should monetary policy react?
 - 1. If the shock is perceived as a short term effect (expected real interest rates rising)
 - a. this was the case during the Iranian revolution (1979) and outbreak of Iran/Iraq war (1980-81)
 - b. monetary policy should not react to bring interest rates down
 - c. if the shock is widely regarded as temporary and the Fed does not accommodate, the price level will eventually return to the baseline value
 - 2. If the shock is perceived to be an ongoing phenomenon, not soon to be reversed (expected real interest rates do not rise)
 - a. this was the case during the OPEC oil embargo of 1974 and the current Iraq/Kuwait conflict
 - b. monetary authority cannot forestall the slowdown in business activity stemming from an oil shock
 - c. no increase in the money supply will make foreign oil more available
 - 3. In fact, an easing monetary policy will only exacerbate the situation

VI. Past Reactions to a Slowing Economy -- The Inflation-Recession Cycle

- A. The economy is slowing and there are pressures for the Fed to ease monetary policy
- B. How should monetary policy react? -- Above all else, should protect the long-term value of the currency -- price stability or zero inflation
- C. Will a business cycle continue to exist?
 - 1. Yes, nonmonetary surprises will always exist that the Fed cannot do anything about
 - a. technological changes
 - b. wars
 - c. political change
 - 2. these shifts will be magnified by improper monetary policy
 - 3. Zero inflation is a pro-growth policy -- will not have recessions induced by inflation and the persistent need to eliminate it

VII. Appropriate Objective for Monetary Policy -- Zero Inflation

- A. inflation rate of zero will reduce any uncertainty associated with inflation (not everyone will understand why inflation is 4%)
- B. credibility in the Fed's policy is important since expectations can play a powerful role; unfortunately, credibility can only be established over time
- C. appropriate legislation can play an important role
 - 1. give White House and Congress a vested interest to see that goal is met
 - 2. give decisionmakers something firm to judge the performance of the Fed against

VIII. Conclusion

- A. Accept the limitations of discretionary monetary policy
- B. It is time to dismiss the wrongheaded notion that money should be thrown at our problems
 - 1. oil shock
 - 2. sluggish growth
- C. We should support a single, overriding goal of price stability in the form of a legislative mandate
 - 1. contributes to credibility for the Federal Reserve in fighting inflation
 - 2. will result in an environment conducive to maximum long-term growth