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Federal budget deficits have been large and persistent over the past several years, rising to a postwar high of $221 billion in fiscal year (FY) 1986 before declining to a record $71 billion in FY 1987. The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) and the administration’s Office of Management and Budget (OMB) project a declining trend of deficits over the next five years (Table 1). The OMB’s more optimistic economic assumptions and policy proposals foreseen a steadily declining federal deficit trend between fiscal years 1988 and 1993. Nevertheless, in two of the next three years, projected deficits slightly exceed the recently upward-revised deficit targets initially established under the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Act (GRH). Between 1991 and 1993, they exceed the initial GRH targets by about $30 billion annually. By 1994, the year now targeted for a balanced budget—the federal deficit would still be in a slight deficit, according to CBO projections. The CBO’s less optimistic scenario projects a jagged downward path of deficits between 1988 and 1995. The projected deficit path is much higher than that projected by OMB, and increasingly exceeds the OMB target, reaching $154 billion in 1993.

This Economic Commentary discusses the sources of federal budget deficits, examines the OMB and CBO forecasts, and suggests the need for additional policy actions to achieve a declining trend of deficits into the 1990s. Under either scenario, it is likely that at some point during the next five years, automatic spending cuts will be required under the current GRH Act.

The Cyclical Adjusted Federal Budget

U.S. Department of Commerce estimates suggest that much of the deficits are associated with policy decisions, although the federal budget is highly sensitive to changes in economic activity. To distinguish between these deficit sources, analysts divide the budget into cyclical and structural components.1 The cyclical component of the budget measures the response of outlays and revenues to changes in economic conditions, while the structural component measures discretionary fiscal policy and other noncyclical components.

We can estimate what federal receipts and outlays would be if the economy were growing at a trend rate of gross national product (GNP), free from cyclical fluctuations, and calculate the structural component of the budget. The difference between the actual and structural measures of receipts and outlays is the cyclical component. This disaggregation helps to separate the interaction between the federal budget and economic activity.

Currently, the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) publishes two alternative estimates of the structural budget, also called the cyclically adjusted budget. The high-employment budget measures what the federal budget, on a National Income and Product Account (NIPA) basis, would be if the unemployment rate were 6 percent. The second measure is an estimate of what the NIPA-based federal budget would be if the economy were expanding along a particular trend path—middle-expansion trend GNP—in this case.2 Cyclically adjusted federal budget deficits, measured either way, increased substantially during the 1980s and account for the bulk of actual deficits in recent years. The federal deficit was reduced in 1987 on both an actual and a cyclically adjusted basis, the actual deficit is now between the two BEA measures of the structural deficit.
Thus, under either adjusted measure, structural deficits account for all, or almost all, of the current federal deficit. Moreover, BEA estimates of the cyclically adjusted budget suggest that both OMB and CBO projected deficits are mostly structural and that a high growth economy alone would not eliminate the deficit.

- Composition of Federal Spending

Because the cyclical component of the federal deficit is now estimated to be near zero, further reductions in the actual deficit must come from the structural component. The sources of structural deficits have varied over the years as budget priorities and policies have changed. Throughout the 1960s and early 1970s, revenues and outlays tended to grow at a similar pace. Outlays (on a unified budget basis) began to soar during the late 1970s and rose to about 24 percent of GNP by the mid-1980s, while revenue growth slowed (figure 1).

Moreover, the composition of spending has changed dramatically in recent years. Spending for national defense more than doubled between 1980 and 1987 while spending for all other categories increased by only 58 percent. Consequently, defense spending rose from 5 percent of GNP in 1980 to 6.4 percent of GNP in 1987 (figure 2).

High federal deficits and the federal debt explosion in recent years have boosted net interest costs to nearly 14 percent of total outlays and slightly more than 3 percent of GNP, well above recent historical experience. Neither the CBO nor the OMB expects relief over the next several years. Thus, even if the current status of the cyclically adjusted budget allowed for further deficit reduction from economic expansion, the growing burden of net interest costs would continue.

Moreover, about $54 billion has already been cut from previously projected defense spending between FY 1988 and FY 1990. Future deficit reductions will therefore require hard choices among nondefense, noninterest spending components of the budget, unless taxes are increased.

- Recent Policy Actions


A significant change in the budget outlook occurred in FY 1987, when the deficit fell to $150 billion, a reduction of about $54 billion. Economic and budgetary trends pointed toward higher interest rates, which would have been expected without tax law changes. Capital gains payments substantially increased in late 1986 as investors apparently took advantage of the special long-term capital gains treatment under the old tax law. On the other hand, CBO estimates project that tax law changes, due to the implementation of the remaining individual tax rate reductions, will lead to revenue increases of approximately $10 billion in FY 1988.

Other one-time gains arose from federal asset sales (including loan prepayments, direct loan sales, and the Conrail sale), which added about $5 billion, and the shifting of certain outlays into FY 1988, which saved about another $5 billion. This unprecedented deficit reduction is not likely to be sustained without further policy actions.

A second source of improvement was cyclical in nature. Generally lower interest rates and an improvement in the agricultural economy led to lower outlays. In addition, stronger employment and income growth in 1987 helped to boost receipts. These gains from economic factors helped to reduce the cyclical component of the budget deficit, which was small relative to the structural component.

Discretionary policy changes, which affect the structural component of the deficit, also accounted for some of the deficit reduction. Spending cuts in defense programs, in several nondefense discretionary programs, such as education, transportation, housing, and fiscal assistance to state and local governments, represented policy actions to cut the federal deficit.

Against a prospect for large deficits that exceed the GRH annual targets, Congress and the administration have agreed to legislation to cut the FY 1988 deficit by $46 billion and to reduce the projected FY 1989 deficit by $6 billion. Economic and technical factors, including the budgetary conditions presented in the administration's mid-session budget report in August, will indicate if further legislative actions are necessary.
Thus, under either adjusted measure, structural deficits account for all, or almost all, of the current federal deficit. Moreover, BEA estimates of the cyclically adjusted budget suggest that both OMB and CBO projected deficits are mostly structural and that a high growth economy alone would not eliminate the deficit.

- Composition of Federal Spending

Because the cyclical component of the federal deficit is now estimated to be near zero, further reductions in the actual deficit must come from the structural component. The sources of structural deficits have varied over the years as budget priorities and policies have changed. Throughout the 1960s and early 1970s, revenues and outlays tended to grow at a similar pace. Outlays (on a unified budget basis) began to soar during the late 1970s and rose to about 24 percent of GNP by the mid-1980s, while revenue growth slowed (figure 1).

Moreover, the composition of spending has changed dramatically in recent years. Spending for national defense, more than doubled between 1980 and 1987 while spending for nondefense programs, increased by about 50 percent. Consequently, defense spending rose from 33 percent of GNP in 1980 to 40 percent in 1987 (figure 2).

As a share of GNP, defense outlays have leveled off and are well below the 94 percent level of the early 1960s. Apparently, the defense buildup has run its course. Growth in defense spending is projected to slow sharply through the early 1990s, according to the CBO, despite little or no growth for some programs, such as family support, veterans' pensions, guaranteed student loans, and unemployment compensation.

Interest expense has also accounted for trend growth in total spending. Net interest costs are the costs of borrowing to finance the federal deficit, offset by the receipt of interest payments from government trust funds and loans. A combination of recent asset sales, prepayments, and sluggish new lending portended virtually flat interest income over the next several years. As the total federal debt rises, the government needs to borrow money in order to finance current operations, while also seeking new funds to refinance maturing debt. Although refinancing occurs at higher interest rates, lower rates during FY 1987 offset much of the cost associated with the growing federal debt and allowed for large one-time savings.

Net interest costs, of course, are highly sensitive to interest rates. Thus, projections of future net interest expenses depend on assumptions about interest rates and the size of deficits. Although economic outcomes are closely related and seldom, if ever, move in isolation, some rules of thumb from the CBO offer an idea into how higher interest rates would affect the budget. These rules indicate that a one percentage point increase in interest rates from the beginning of FY 1988 to the end of FY 1993—for all maturities of debt issued—would cause outlays in 1988 to increase by $6 billion and by $3.6 billion in 1990. High federal deficits and the federal debt explosion in recent years have boosted net interest costs to nearly 14 percent of total outlays and slightly more than 3 percent of GNP, well above recent historical experience. Neither the CBO nor the OMB expects relief over the next several years. Thus, even if the current status of the cyclically adjusted budget allowed for further deficit reduction from economic expansion, the growing burden of net interest costs would continue.

Moreover, about $54 billion has already been cut from previously projected defense spending between FY 1989 and FY 1990. Future deficit reductions will therefore require hard choices among nondefense, interest tenders of spending components of the budget, unless taxes are increased.

- Recent Policy Actions

Revenues as a share of GNP averaged nearly 16 percent annually between FY 1980 and 1987, but this average masks an important development. Prior to 1980 through FY 1982, revenues averaged 19.7 percent of GNP. Extensive tax changes since 1981, especially the Economic Recovery Act of 1981, substantially reduced revenue growth. Revenues have averaged only 18.3 percent of GNP between FY 1983 and FY 1986. A significant change in the budget outlook occurred in FY 1987, when the deficit fell to $150 billion, a reduction of $74 billion from FY 1986. The FY 1987 deficit reduction resulted from three major factors: 1) special one-time gains, 2) favorable economic conditions, and 3) discretionary policy changes.

Approximately half of the $71 billion reduction was the result of one-time

| TABLE 1 FEDERAL BUDGET DEFICIT PROJECTIONS (fiscal year, billions of dollars) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| OMB estimate | $147 | $130 | $104 | $79 | $51 |
| CBO baseline estimate | (3.1%) | (2.6%) | (1.9%) | (1.4%) | (0.8%) |
| OMB baseline estimate | (3.4%) | (3.5%) | (3.4%) | (2.6%) | (2.5%) | (2.1%) |
| Sources: Office of Management and Budget, Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 1988; and Congressional Budget Office, The Economic and Budget Outlook (Fiscal Years 1985-1993) |

- Notes:

NOTE: Actual data through 1987 and projected data from 1988-1993 are measured in the fiscal year for both figure 1 and figure 2. Solid lines represent actual data, dotted lines represent projections by the Congressional Budget Office, and dashed lines represent projections by the Office of Management and Budget. Revenues and outlays are on a unified budget basis.

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Office of Management and Budget, and Congressional Budget Office.
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Federal budget deficits have been large and persistent over the past several years, rising to a postwar high of $211 billion in fiscal year (FY) 1986 before declining a record $71 billion in FY 1987. The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) and the administration’s Office of Management and Budget (OMB) project a declining trend of deficits over the next five years. Additional deficit-reduction legislation is needed to bring the federal budget deficit below 3 percent of gross national product (GDP). Spending and revenue policies will need to be consistent with one another and with national priorities. Consequently, some budget analysts have suggested that a freeze on spending accompanied by a sizable increase in revenues might minimize these differences and still allow the budget to move toward a surplus by 1991. Additional deficit-reduction legislation will be necessary if the current deficit problem is to be gradually reduced to meet annual deficit targets.

The high-employment budget measure and a cyclically adjusted budget, also called the cyclically adjusted budget, high employment. The high-employment budget measure what the national income and the product account, the cyclically adjusted budget, the real growth of the structural deficit. Fiscal conditions and the 1980s and account for the bulk of actual deficits in recent years. The federal deficit was reduced in 1987 on both an actual and a cyclically adjusted basis, the actual deficit is now the two BES measures of the structural deficit.