Prospects for the U.S. Economy Remarks of Robert P. Forrestal, President Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta

Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta To the Atlanta Consular Corps Atlanta, Georgia

November 6, 1985

Good afternoon! I am delighted to be here with you today to share my thoughts on

the outlook for the United States economy. My comments will focus first on the nation's

recent economic performance. Next I'll offer my views of where we seem to be headed

over the next year or so. Finally, I'll be making some remarks about the imbalances that

are currently generating pressures in several areas and which portend serious long-range

problems unless measures are quickly undertaken to correct them. When I've finished, I'll

be happy to entertain questions.

Review of Recent Economic Performance

After two years marked by dramatic recovery and expansion from a severe

recession, U.S. economic performance became hardly more than lackluster in the first

half of 1985. Many people, including myself, felt the pace of growth had to slow from

the extremely high rates we had been experiencing early in 1984, lest bottlenecks

develop and a rekindling of inflation ensue. However, I expected a transition or

adjustment period of about a quarter followed by sustained growth of around 3 percent

that has proven our long-term average in the post-war period. Thus, I admit that I have

been a bit surprised that economic expansion slowed by as much and for as long a time as

it did. GNP growth was virtually flat in the first quarter and a modest 1.9 percent in the

second. Last December and January the nation's jobless rate actually edged up slightly

and then held steady at 7.3 percent for six months. Moreover, these developments

occurred despite the fact that money and credit have been expanding at a very rapid

pace for almost a year.

-2-

Fortunately, the third quarter seems to have brought the economy closer to the growth rate that I feel is consonant with this stage of the business cycle. The preliminary estimate of GNP indicated growth for the July-September period was a respectable 3.3 percent. Many other indicators of economic activity support the view that we are seeing a meaningful improvement in business activity, and, quite frankly, I sense more positive expectations in many business people with whom I have spoken lately. The unemployment rate finally dipped below the 7.3 percent mark. In October the proportion of the labor force unable to find work was 7.1 percent.

Consumers propelled much of this long awaited revival in economic activity. Housing improved over the summer, apparently in response to declines in interest rates. Auto sales also surged as consumers rushed to take advantage of manufacturers' low financing rates. Orders for nonmilitary durable goods rose in September. Finally, one of the most positive features of our recent economic performance is the very low level of inflation we are having. The rate of price increases for consumer goods has actually fallen in recent months. As of September, inflation was down to almost 3 percent, a better performance than the rate of about 4 percent during the previous two years. A significant part of this improvement, however, is attributable to the strength of the dollar in the exchange markets through early 1985.

Despite this moderate rebound, several sectors of the economy remain cause for concern. Personal income growth has been relatively slow in comparison to consumer spending, and debt ratios look high from some standpoints. The personal savings rate dipped below 2 percent of disposable income in September. Thus, many people wonder how long consumers can sustain the expansion's momentum. Fortunately, much of this drop in savings probably reflects the one-time boost in financing associated with late summer auto sales. Now that dealers' financing incentives have been eliminated on new

-3-

models, we are unlikely to see consumer debt rise so sharply in October. Indeed, October car sales have dropped appreciably.

Another, even more significant area of weakness is the international sector, occasioned by the strength of the dollar that I noted. Between July 1980 and February of this year the foreign exchange value of the dollar rose over 90 percent against the currencies of our major trading partners. Last year we had a record trade deficit of over \$120 billion, and this year the figure is likely to be even higher, given the lags with which changes in exchange rates usually affect trade flows. Ongoing increases in the value of imports over exports have exerted a considerable drag on economic growth.

Why has the dollar become so strong in the 1980s after almost a decade of sustained weakness relative to other currencies? Certainly, an important cause of the dollar's strength is the very large budget deficits the United States government has been running up in the last few years. The sheer size of these deficits and the expectation that they would continue have put substantial upward pressure on interest rates especially in the context of a strong recovery in which both businesses and consumers have also been borrowing heavily. Our total demand for credit has outstripped the supply available from our pool of savings, and the gap has been made up by tapping foreign savings. Attracted by our relatively high interest rates, lenders from abroad provided a very large share of the funds we needed to finance our public and private spending spree. Of course, other factors no doubt contributed to the availability of foreign savings to fund American debt. There appears to have been a shift in the preference of foreigners for dollar-denominated assets compared with the 1970s, and this shift may have occurred for reasons that lie beyond the dynamics of our economy alone. Whether perceived political instability elsewhere in the world enhanced the view of the United States as a "safe haven" for investments or other explanations account for this change in

-4-

foreign preference for dollars, this shift, along with the deficit-generated pressures exerted on U.S. real interest rates, has attracted a vast amount of foreign financing.

On one hand, we have benefited from the availability of foreign funds to supplement our own inadequate pool of savings. For example, we have been able to sustain high federal budget deficits without the sharp increases in interest rates that normally would have brought construction and other interest-sensitive sectors to a virtual standstill. American consumers have been able to go deeply into debt to pay for purchase of new homes, cars, appliances, and numerous other items. Businesses have been borrowing heavily to finance mergers and acquisitions as well as investment in inventories, equipment, buildings, and other spending. At the same time and on an even larger scale, the public sector has been relying on debt to make up for the difference between spending and revenues. Rising government expenditures, especially for defense, have fostered strong gains in both output and employment in related industries. For several years, thanks largely to foreign creditors, Americans as individuals and as a nation have been on a spending binge that, at least until a year ago, propelled enormous macroeconomic growth. We have had both "guns and butter," as it were. However, this foreign source of credit has not been without its costs. The key problem has been the rise in the foreign exchange value of the dollar that has been associated with the increased demand for dollar-denominated assets.

The deleterious effects of the sharp rise in the dollar are most apparent in the manufacturing and agricultural sectors. A heavy toll has been taken on those manufacturers and farmers who rely on exports as a major source of revenues. At the same time, and perhaps in a more noticeable fashion, industries most sensitive to import competition have suffered the strains of increased pressure from foreign producers whose goods have become less expensive in terms of dollars. Some textile, apparel, and

-5-

footwear plants have been forced to furlough workers, reduce hours, or even shut down

permanently. Here in the Southeast, lumber producers have seen their market share,

especially for softwoods that are so important to home-building, eroded by Canadian

imports. Even some capital-intensive industries have not been immune to import

pressures. Moreover, the efforts of many manufacturers to meet foreign competition by

improving productivity often entail reduced need for labor inputs.

For farmers the effect has been less noticeable in terms of jobs than in financial

affairs. The strong dollar combined with worldwide surpluses of many farm commodities

have depressed agricultural commodity prices and, concomitantly, farm revenues. Thus,

farmers have found it increasingly difficult to service, let alone retire, the heavy debt

burden they built up during a period several years ago when expanding markets and the

expectation of higher land values spurred them to borrow heavily. When you add to these

factors the dollar's negative effects on foreign demand for U.S. crops, it is easy to see

why some farmers as well as the financial institutions that service them are considered

to be on the verge of crisis.

It is true that through the end of September the dollar declined about 20 percent

from its February peak. However, the Canadian dollar has not risen by as much, and, as

you well know, Canada is our major trading partner. Moreover, the beneficial effects of

this decline in exchange rates against many currencies will take some time to be felt in

trading patterns for industrial and agricultural goods. Many trading relationships are

established by contract and remain in effect until the expiration date regardless of

exchange rate fluctuations. Foreign sellers may be willing to allow margins to contract

in order to retain market share. Importers may actually rush purchases into the present

because they anticipate prices will rise in the future. For these and other reasons, it

seems unlikely that improvements in the exchange value of the dollar will have an

-6-

appreciable effect for six months to a year. Certain farmers and manufacturers feel that they cannot hold on that long and are actively seeking either protectionist

legislation, public financial support, or both.

The current flare-up we are seeing in protectionist sentiment among our

legislators is deeply troubling to me. I fully sympathize with the desire of some of the

nation's elected officials to come to the aid of their constituents. However, the history

of well-intentioned protectionist measures is marked by a consistent pattern of reprisals

from abroad, reduced trade flows, and sometimes even recessions. If Congress enacts

either some form of tariffs or the putatively more benign measure of subsidies, I feel

certain that other countries will retaliate, and the network of anti-trade measures that is

likely to ensue could well take years to undo.

Even in the short run such measures could have serious consequences. For

example, some of the industries most likely to be aided by protectionism are the same

industries on which our less developed trading partners most heavily rely to boost their

own exports and thereby to service their debts to American financial institutions.

Although the LDC debt situation is not as ominous as it once was, dislocations to some of

these fragile foreign economies would probably have ripple effects, some would say tidal

wave effects, on certain financial institutions and perhaps subsequently on the safety and

soundness of our financial system in general. When you add to this potential problem

others already troubling the financial services industry, such as the pressures on farm

banks and even Government-sponsored farm credit agencies that I've already mentioned,

I think you will agree that there is due cause for concern about problems in the

international sector and how they impinge on the American economy.

-7-

Economic Outlook

Notwithstanding the array of problems I've just outlined, I do expect the U.S. economy to sustain a growth rate of around 3 percent in the future, and I believe that this kind of growth in the mature phase of expansion, such as the present, is quite respectable. Nonetheless, given the sluggish pace of the first half, the moderate dimensions of acceleration thus far in the second half probably spell full-year growth for 1985 of modest proportions. Looking ahead, though, I believe we are likely to enjoy a better record of expansion during 1986.

Government demand seems very likely to generate ongoing strength in defenserelated manufacturing. Many of these items entail long-term commitments that are
unlikely to be affected in any near term deficit-reduction measures that may be
enacted. Another factor I see underlying this improved picture is the small stock of
inventories on hand. Inventory-to-sales ratios have been running at very low levels for
some time. Recently they have been reduced even further, largely as the result of strong
consumer spending on items such as cars. Even though car sales may not rebound fully
from their sluggish October performance to the summer's unusually brisk pace, car
makers and dealers will have to rebuild their stocks somewhat because supplies were
drawn down so heavily by the combination of booming sales and several strikes.
Inventory rebuilding should not be limited to autos and related industries because the
consumer spending has been strong on a broad front. Therefore, I expect some stimulus
to manufacturing to come from inventory investment.

Business capital investment is harder to predict. The likelihood that investment in buildings and other structures will provide much momentum is low. Office and hotel vacancy rates are quite high nationally, and capacity utilization has dipped in recent months. On the other hand, lower credit costs make such expenditures less expensive,

-8-

and as the economy resumes growth, many businesses are upgrading their capital spending plans for 1986. Another positive omen for investment in machinery, equipment and even new plants is the decline in the value of the dollar that has taken place since February. Even allowing for normal lags, the effects of our more favorable foreign exchange rate should begin to benefit many factories and farms. Once manufacturers and farmers increase sales abroad, capital spending plans are likely to pick up further.

Growth in money and credit has been exceptionally rapid for some time now. While I am not a monetarist, I do take note of the fact that in most cases the economy has accelerated following such a pickup in monetary growth. There are exceptions. However, in many instances these exceptions are explained by institutional changes, such as the introduction of new financial instruments in 1983 that generated an <u>apparent</u> rise in M1 at the time. No such institutional changes are taking place now. Thus, I expect recent monetary growth to have a positive impact on the economy in the months ahead.

In any case, I doubt that this anticipated economic improvement will be translated into dramatic progress toward reducing unemployment even though we could see the proportion of those unable to find work drop below the 7 percent mark. Yet, I am not too troubled about a jobless rate that lingers in the 7 percent range. This remark does not reflect callousness on my part. Rather, it is due to the fact that the United States already has such a large percentage of our population working. As high as 7 percent sounds to many Americans, it is probably not much above a reasonable "full employment" level, given the high labor force participation rate currently prevailing. In my view, the jobless rate will fall on its own over the longer term as a result of demographic changes. Now that our baby-boom generation has virtually been absorbed into the labor force, there will be fewer new entrants seeking their first jobs each year. Thus, as long

-9-

as economic growth continues at a reasonable pace, there seem to be grounds for

expecting a gradual decline in the unemployment rate.

On the inflation front, though, this anticipated improvement in overall economic

performance has some negative implications since some of the growth I foresee is

predicated on declines in the value of the dollar. As the dollar's exchange rate falls, the

prices of U.S. goods abroad are likely to drop. While this development should help

American producers regain reduced market shares and promote job growth, the resulting

stimulus to the economy could create price pressures, particularly if we are already near

the full-employment level. In addition, American consumers will have to pay higher

prices for goods from abroad. What's more, there is always the danger that American

producers will be somewhat less price conscious once they are relieved of some of the

pressure of foreign competition. The net result could be a rise in prices from the current

level of less than 4 percent.

Summing up the near-term outlook, I believe we could experience a better year in

1986 than in 1985, with full-year growth in the 3 percent range. The unemployment rate

is unlikely to dip dramatically at this point in the expansion, but continuing respectable

gains in the number of people employed appear quite probable. Finally, it seems possible

that inflation will accelerate somewhat as prices of imports, on which we have come to

depend so heavily, rise in concert with declines in the foreign exchange value of the

Nevertheless, there is little reason to expect price increases to accelerate

sharply in the near term. I would caution you, however, that we should not hope for too

much of a decline in the dollar. If a sharp drop develops it would contain very negative

implications for inflation.

-10-

Imbalances and the Longer Term Outlook

Beyond the next year or so, I am concerned that the imbalances that have been

troubling the economy will adversely affect longer term developments, and, I fear, they

might do so in far more negative ways unless their underlying cause is corrected. The

negative effects of the federal budget deficits mount over time. We have already begun

to feel the drag of an "overvalued" dollar on employment and output in the nation's

manufacturing and agricultural sectors, as I described a few minutes ago. Although we

should recoup many of our losses as the dollar declines, some manufacturing concerns

that closed down may never reopen and the decline in market share that certain

industries have been experiencing as international comparative advantage shifts may

have taken place faster than it otherwise would have done.

Other potential economic problems also loom over the United States. The

continuing high level of interest rates makes it more difficult for thrift institutions to

correct portfolio imbalances between assets and liabilities. A large portion of loan

portfolios at savings and loans institutions still consists of low interest rate mortgages,

whereas thrifts must offer higher interest rates on their deposits to compete with money

market funds and Money Market Deposit Accounts. Until the decline in the dollar's value

has time to affect trade flows and contracts, American farmers will have difficulty

selling abroad and retiring or reducing their debt burden. Consequently, I am afraid that

many farm banks as well as other depositories will remain in a tenuous condition until we

can make a convincing expression of our determination to reduce the federal budget

deficit.

The large federal budget deficit also creates imbalances with respect to monetary

policy. Money and credit have expanded at a rapid pace for about the past 11 months.

This trend has certainly contributed to the decline in interest rates this year and the

associated revival in interest-sensitive industries such as housing and autos. It may also have helped foster the fall in the value of the dollar this year. While monetary policy has thus helped ease the pressures of increased demand for money and credit by increasing supply, our elected representatives have done virtually nothing either to reduce the demand for borrowed funds by cutting spending or to increase the supply of funds to support the current level of federal spending by raising taxes. Thus, fiscal and monetary policy, while both in one sense stimulative, are "out of sync" given the present situation and its particular problems.

In the longer term other effects will come to have equally or even more serious consequences. It's not just that we're borrowing heavily as a nation. Americans have done that before and not usually suffered problems other than perhaps some redistribution of income in favor of those in upper income brackets and thus generally in the best position to provide the savings needed to finance such borrowing. The troubling feature of our current level of borrowing is that a major portion of these funds has come from abroad. We appear to have become a debtor nation after half a century of net creditor status. Borrowing from abroad to finance growth is appropriate for a developing country such as the United States was during the nineteenth century. However, it is unseemly for the world's largest economy to become dependent on other, smaller countries to finance its growth. This situation is bound to constrain the development potential for many less developed, third-world countries. Moreover, it transfers an enormous responsibility to future generations to repay the debt and the ever-mounting interest we are accumulating. Their standard of living will be lower than otherwise. Eventually foreigners will become less willing to finance our spending urge. Since this willingness has been motivated not only by the expectation of continuing high interest rates but also by certain external dynamics pertaining to the preference for dollars -dynamics that we neither understand fully nor control, it could shift again, perhaps

-12-

suddenly. Ultimately, we shall have to find ways not only to reduce spending but also to

save more just to pay off the debt we have already built up. Is it fair to force our

children and grandchildren to save in order to pay for the high level of consumption that

we have been enjoying?

Policy Implications

What should public policy-makers in the United States be doing? First and

foremost, federal spending must be brought back into line with federal revenues. The

resulting cut in of the deficit should be of sufficient magnitude to assure financial

markets that we are serious about coming to grips with this problem. Once this medicine

has been prescribed, pressure on interest rates should ease and, with that cutback in

future federal demand for goods and services, the foreign exchange value of the dollar

should continue to decline without igniting inflationary pressures. This measure in turn

should speed up the scenario I have already predicted whereby manufacturers and

farmers find it easier to export and less difficult to compete against imports.

Employment advances could accelerate along with orders, production, and sales. In

addition, this change in policy and its effects should mitigate the difficult situation in

which many financial institutions now find themselves. Of course, there will be

adjustments of a less sanguine nature, such as price pressures in some areas, but in the

long run I feel gains will far outweigh any transitional problems.

It is essential that U.S. policy-makers implement these measures as soon as

possible so that the burden we Americans transfer to future generations and the negative

effects on longer term growth are kept as small as possible. As I've mentioned, the

interest alone on the debt we've already accumulated is appreciable and will have to be

paid back to our foreign lenders eventually, either by us or by future generations.

-13-

Another policy prescription that we should begin to think about concerns the very low savings rate of the United States. Insofar as public policies discourage savings, we should be considering alternatives that would foster the expansion of our domestic pool of savings. We must do so in order to fund the ongoing capital investment that will be increasingly necessary to compete in a global marketplace. In addition, it is in our own interest to return as quickly as possible to the status of a creditor nation so that we can help other economies develop to the point at which they can become expanding markets for American products. However, whatever reforms we adopt to increase our propensity as a nation to save should, in my view, come to the top of our policy agenda only after we have addressed the pressing issue of the budget deficit. Otherwise, we might find well-meaning reforms designed to alter Americans' long-established savings habits are being introduced so abruptly that we inadvertently send the economy into a temporary tailspin.

Conclusion

In conclusion, I see the U.S. economic outlook as basically sound. We are closer to the maximum non-inflationary expansion rate we can expect to sustain over time. From my perspective, the intermittent performance of the economy we experienced earlier this year is attributable primarily to the large federal budget deficit and the imbalances it has introduced into the economy. We can overcome these imbalances and launch the economy onto a sustained trajectory of growth, but to do so will require reducing the deficit as quickly as possible and then devising policy measures designed to alter the savings customs of Americans. We have faced equally difficult problems in the past and resolved them. I am optimistic that we have the will as a people to take the necessary steps to rise to today's economic challenges.