



1  
2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

3 **FINANCIAL CRISIS INQUIRY COMMISSION**

4 Official Transcript

5  
6 Hearing on

7 The Impact of the Financial Crisis - Miami, Florida

8 Tuesday, September 21, 2010, 9:00 a.m.

9 Florida International University, Modesto A. Maidique Campus

10 College of Law, Rafael Diaz-Balart Hall

11 11200 S.W. 8th Street

12 Miami, Florida 33199

13  
14 **COMMISSIONERS**

15 PHIL ANGELIDES, Chairman

16 HON. BILL THOMAS, Vice Chairman

17 BYRON S. GEORGIU, Commissioner

18 HON. SENATOR ROBERT GRAHAM, Commissioner

19 HEATHER MURREN, COMMISSIONER

20  
21 Reported by: Amber Cheek, Hearing Reporter

22 PAGES 1 - 229

23

24

25

## P R O C E E D I N G S

1  
2 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: This meeting of the  
3 Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission is called to  
4 order. I would like to introduce our chair,  
5 distinguished Phillip Angelides.

6 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Good morning. Thank you,  
7 Senator Graham, and thank you each and every one of  
8 you for being here this morning at this public  
9 hearing of the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission.  
10 with no further adieu, I'd like to start off today  
11 by asking Dean Alex Acosta to come forward and  
12 greet us on behalf a Florida International  
13 University, the College of Law.

14 Thank you, Dean.

15 DEAN ACOSTA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

16 Mr. Chairman, Mr. Vice President, and Members  
17 of the Commission, welcome to Florida International  
18 University's College of Law. I want to thank you  
19 for the critical work you're doing. Today's topic  
20 of mortgage fraud is an important one.

21 Prior to becoming Dean, I served as a United  
22 States Attorney here in South Florida for five  
23 years. I am far too familiar with the pernicious  
24 impact of mortgage fraud in our community and our  
25 nation. In 2007 I started a mortgage fraud task

1 Preliminary remarks

2 force combining federal and state authorities and  
3 it was successful and it investigated and it  
4 prosecuted mortgage fraud, and it continues to be  
5 in force today.

6 That said, prosecutorial success is not the  
7 answer. Preventing mortgage fraud before it  
8 happens in the first place is far more important  
9 than prosecuting it after it happens. Prevention  
10 is better than prosecution.

11 Now, as Law Dean, I see mortgage fraud from a  
12 very different perspective. Just yesterday  
13 upstairs a student approached me and asked to start  
14 a foreclosure clinic. Thousands of individuals are  
15 losing their homes, he said, and we as law students  
16 as future members of the Bar should help. He's  
17 right.

18 Here at FIU's College of Law, we started last  
19 year a bankruptcy clinic. Our students are working  
20 with the Florida Bar to help individuals who need  
21 to file bankruptcy yet whose financial resources  
22 are so limited, they can't afford to hire a lawyer.  
23 Here at FIU's College of Law, we started this year  
24 a small investment fraud clinic. Our students were  
25 to help small investors, often retirees who have

1 Preliminary remarks

2 lost their savings due to misconduct by  
3 broker/dealers. Yet these individuals have savings  
4 so small that no lawyer will take their case even  
5 on contingency. The need is there and the need is  
6 there in large part because of the financial crisis  
7 that your Commission is charged to investigate.

8 So as a former U.S. Attorney and now a Law  
9 Dean, I ask that when you consider today's  
10 testimony, please consider more than what is being  
11 done to prosecute mortgage fraud. Please consider  
12 what can be done to prevent this in the first  
13 place. Please consider what can be done so that  
14 today's state of affairs is not repeated in the  
15 future.

16 Your job is far from easy. It is a bipartisan  
17 commission. You have a special opportunity to  
18 break through the gridlock. You have an  
19 opportunity to have far-reaching impact. So I  
20 thank you for your work. FIU's College of Law  
21 stands ready to assist you in your endeavors in  
22 whatever way we can.

23 Thank you and welcome.

24 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Thank you very much, Dean  
25 Acosta, and thank you very much for your gracious

1 Preliminary remarks

2 hospitality and all the help of you and your staff.

3 What I'd like to do now is turn this hearing  
4 over to Senator Graham. And I will just say it's a  
5 tremendous honor to be in his home state. We have  
6 a tremendous amount of admiration for Senator  
7 Graham. He's obviously made a tremendous mark on  
8 civic life in this state and on the whole country  
9 both as Governor and as a Senator in the United  
10 States Senate.

11 And so with no further adieu, I will hand this  
12 gavel to Senator who I know knows how to wield it  
13 judicially and well and this hearing is his.

14 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman  
15 Angelides. It is an honor that you have decided to  
16 bring one of the hearings of this Commission to  
17 Miami. Unfortunately, as we will learn, the reason  
18 for it is that in many ways Miami is the center of  
19 a serious national scandal of predatory practices  
20 and mortgage fraud.

21 Before continuing, I would like to quickly  
22 introduce our guests who accompany me as members of  
23 the Commission. Starting from my right is  
24 Ms. Heather Murren of Las Vegas.

25 Thank you, Heather.

1 Preliminary remarks

2 To my left is Phil Angelides, Chair, from whom  
3 you will hear shortly, Mr. Bill Thomas from  
4 California, and also from Las Vegas, Mr. Byron  
5 Georgiou.

6 Thank you all for being with us today.

7 I would like to thank President Rosenberg who  
8 accepted our initial invitation to come to Florida  
9 International University as the site of this  
10 hearing and then to Dean Acosta for offering the  
11 beautiful facilities of the College of Law as our  
12 venue.

13 As I indicated earlier, Florida is among the  
14 states which have been most affected by the  
15 mortgage meltdown. As an example, in this South  
16 Florida region, of every 111 homes, one is in some  
17 stage of foreclosure. This compares to the nation  
18 as a whole where one out of 381 homes is in some  
19 stage of foreclosure. A shocking statistic to me  
20 and to anyone who lives in this state.

21 The losses to Florida's economy are directly  
22 connected to the ongoing financial crisis. With an  
23 unemployment rate of 11.6 percent, 2 percentage  
24 points higher than the national average, Florida's  
25 families and communities and its leaders are

1 Preliminary remarks

2 struggling to find means to recover.

3 The testimony, interviews and documents  
4 gathered by the Commission since Congress gave us  
5 our mandate last year, we have learned that from  
6 2003 to 2006, nationally the volume of higher-risk  
7 mortgages made to borrowers more than doubled and  
8 that by 2007 and 2008 in Florida, the reported  
9 incidents of mortgage fraud and suspect lending  
10 practices had also more than doubled. We now know  
11 that Florida leads all the 50 states in the number  
12 of borrowers who misrepresented their incomes or  
13 their ability to repay mortgages, according to the  
14 Federal National Mortgage Association. Fraud and  
15 predatory practices emerged in the origination of  
16 both new mortgages and the refinancing of existing  
17 mortgage.

18 Today the Commission has invited experts to  
19 this hearing who can help us understand the  
20 connections between these unsavory lending  
21 practices and the waves of mortgage defaults and  
22 foreclosures in Florida and nationally. I look  
23 forward to hearing from them today. I appreciate their  
24 willingness to assist us in understanding these  
25 important and frequently complex issues.

1 Preliminary remarks

2 I want to thank my fellow commissioners  
3 for traveling to my home community to be part of  
4 this hearing and look forward to our -- to your  
5 comments and answers to our questions.

6 I would now return the gavel, or at least the  
7 podium, to Chairman Angelides for his opening  
8 remarks.

9 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: You've got the gavel all  
10 day long. That's yours.

11 So, first of all, thank you very much for  
12 having us here in Florida. And I'm going to make  
13 some very brief comments, because I'd like to get  
14 on with the testimony of the witnesses and our  
15 questions to them today on this very important  
16 issue.

17 Just for perspective, this Commission, The  
18 Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission, was formed in  
19 May of 2009 with the passage of the Mortgage Fraud  
20 and Recovery Act signed by the President of the  
21 United States. But we are a ten-member commission,  
22 a bipartisan commission, with an important national  
23 mission, and that is to examine the causes of the  
24 financial and economic crisis that still grips this  
25 country today.



1 Preliminary remarks

2 When we started on our journey, there were  
3 many that said that by the time our report is  
4 issued to the President and the Congress and the  
5 American people by December of this year,  
6 December 15th, that the financial crisis would be a  
7 dim memory. Unfortunately, for the people of this  
8 country, it is still very much with us and likely  
9 to be for years ahead.

10 As we gather today in Florida, 27 million  
11 Americans are out of work, can't find full-time  
12 work, or have stopped looking for work. Two  
13 million American families have lost their homes to  
14 foreclosure. Another two million families are in  
15 the foreclosure process. And yet another 2 million  
16 families are seriously delinquent on their loans.  
17 Eleven trillion dollars of wealth of American  
18 households has been wiped away like a day trade  
19 gone bad.

20 So as we meet today, this crisis is still very  
21 much with us and we are charged with trying to help  
22 the American people and policymakers have a better  
23 understanding of what brought our financial system  
24 to its knees. Over the course of the last year  
25 with the resources we've had and with the time

1 Preliminary remarks

2 given us by the Congress, we've been hard at work  
3 to try to do the work necessary to try to explain  
4 this disaster, this financial disaster for our  
5 country.

6 We've conducted over 700 interviews of people  
7 in communities around this country, people who sat  
8 at trading desks, people ahead of the major  
9 financial institutions of our country and the  
10 people who were charged with regulating and keeping  
11 safe our financial system.

12 We have reviewed millions of pages of  
13 documents, and in Washington and New York we held  
14 14 days of hearings looking at issues like subprime  
15 lending and the growth of derivatives and the role  
16 of the credit rating agencies, and each and every  
17 one of those hearings have investigated and asked  
18 questions of the participants who are at the center  
19 of this storm.

20 Over the last several weeks, we've gone across  
21 this country to communities, hometowns of  
22 commissioners, that have been hard hit by the  
23 crisis. We started in Bakersfield, California, the  
24 home of the Vice Chairman, a place wracked by  
25 double-digit unemployment and rampant foreclosures.

1 Preliminary remarks

2 We went to Las Vegas, the hometown of Ms. Murren  
3 and Mr. Georgiou, a community where 72 percent of  
4 the homes are under water in terms of the home  
5 value versus the mortgage.

6 Today we are here in Miami, and then on  
7 Thursday of this week, we round up our hearings in  
8 my hometown of Sacramento, another community that  
9 is struggling very, very hard in the wake of the  
10 financial meltdown.

11 I'm looking forward to today's testimony. One  
12 of the things that from day one that I thought was  
13 central to our examination is to examine how the  
14 nature of lending went so terribly wrong in our  
15 country, how it came to be that toxic mortgages  
16 were made and how it came to be that those toxic  
17 mortgages infected our financial system. So I'm  
18 very anxious for today's hearing to learn about  
19 this issue of mortgage fraud and to learn about  
20 what happened here in South Florida.

21 Mr. Chairman, thank you very much for having  
22 us here today and I look forward to today's  
23 hearing.

24 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Bill Thomas, Vice  
25 Chair.

1 Preliminary remarks

2 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you very much,  
3 Senator.

4 Pleasure to be with you once again

5 I have not spent a lot of time in Florida. I  
6 grew up in Southern California, especially in the  
7 '50s and the '60s and the '70s. So in terms of  
8 rapid growth and expansion, glancing over at one of  
9 our first witnesses from the University of  
10 California, Irvine -- actually I knew it as the  
11 Buffalo Ranch on the way to Bal Island on the  
12 Pacific Coast Highway back then, and that was all  
13 there was, was nothing but a Buffalo ranch. So  
14 it's a pleasure to have you as well.

15 Most of my research and understanding of  
16 Florida I've taken as my primary text, those  
17 textbooks written by Carl Hiaasen, to fully  
18 understand the intricacies of the way things work  
19 in Florida, especially South Florida. And although  
20 it's a lot of fun reading him, the job that we have  
21 is a very serious one.

22 Obviously we have to explain what happened.  
23 But in those areas of difficulty and explaining  
24 what happened, I really think to a very great  
25 degree is mortgage fraud, trying to isolate it and

1 Preliminary remarks

2 understand it. I'm interested in comments about  
3 the tools we have to measure fraud, however you  
4 define that, and what we need to do perhaps to  
5 sharpen those tools and probably make more aware  
6 the real human damage done by those who were  
7 involved in an industry, once again relying on Carl  
8 Hiaasen and my experience in Southern California.

9 That tends to put it in a perspective that  
10 needs to be looked at much more carefully, and I  
11 guess Miami is the best -- one of the best places  
12 to be. I understand at its height, mortgage fraud  
13 was pushing Medicare fraud out of the number one  
14 spot. But I think Medicare has recaptured the  
15 lead. Our hope is that as we learn what happened  
16 and if we can do a good job of explaining what  
17 happened, the area of fraud has no role in any  
18 activities. But when you begin to examine the way  
19 in which fraud was involved in the housing bubble  
20 and the consequence collapse of that bubble, it is  
21 something that we should never have to investigate  
22 again to try to figure out what happened to whom  
23 and when.

24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

25 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much,

1 Preliminary remarks

2 Mr. Thomas.

3 I'm going to ask the witnesses to rise, as we  
4 have each of our public hearings and will today,  
5 we're asking each of the witnesses to be sworn.

6 Would you please raise your right hand.

7 Do you solemnly swear or affirm under the penalty of perjury that the testimony  
8 you are about to provide the Commission will be the truth, the whole truth, and  
9 nothing but the truth, to the best of your knowledge?

10 MS. FULMER: I do.

11 MR. PONTELL: I do.

12 MR. BLACK: I do.

13 (Witnesses sworn.)

14 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: All right. In front of  
15 you, you see this small box. This is the timer.  
16 Unfortunately, what you will see will be  
17 three-colored signals of green which indicates you  
18 are speaking on your time, a yellow which means  
19 that you have one minute, and then red which means  
20 that to please conclude your remarks. Each of you  
21 will have five minutes for your openings statement  
22 to be followed by questions from the members of the  
23 Commission.

24 Thank you.

25 Our first panel is led by Ms. Ann Fulmer who  
26 is the Vice President of Business Relations at

- 1 Interthinx and Co-founder of the Georgia Real
- 2 Estate Fraud Prevention and Awareness Coalition.

1                   Opening - Fulmer

2           She will be followed by Mr. Henry Pontell,  
3           Professor of Criminology, Law and Society and  
4           Sociology at the University of California, Irvine,  
5           and finally Mr. William K. Black, Associate  
6           Professor of Economics and Law at the University of  
7           Missouri-Kansas City campus.

8                   Thank you to each of you for your  
9           participation today.

10                   Missouri. I'm sorry. University of Missouri,  
11           Kansas City.

12                   Ms. Fulmer?

13                   MS. FULMER: Thank you.

14                   Mr. Chairman, Mr. Vice Chairman, Members of  
15           the Commission, my name is Ann Fulmer. I hold a  
16           Bachelor of Arts in Mass Media Communications and a  
17           law degree both from the University of Akron. I  
18           have studied the mortgage fraud against lenders and  
19           how to detect it and have worked diligently to  
20           prevent it since 1966 when criminals began to  
21           illegally flip houses in my neighborhood just  
22           outside Atlanta, Georgia.

23                   In this quest I have worked as a licensed  
24           private detective, a county tax assessor as an  
25           expert witness and briefly as a criminal



1                   Opening - Fulmer

2           prosecutor. I also co-founded the Georgia Real  
3           Estate Fraud, Prevention and Awareness Coalition  
4           whose mission includes bringing public awareness of  
5           the crime and the damage it brings to communities.

6                   For the past five years, I have been the Vice  
7           President of business relations at Interthinx and  
8           various analytic companies. Interthinx is a  
9           leading provider of automated fraud detection and  
10          prevention technology to the residential mortgage  
11          lending industry. In that capacity, I frequently  
12          lecture on the topic at industry conferences and  
13          have been called upon to provide training and  
14          assistance to the federal law enforcement agencies,  
15          including the FBI, the Secret Service, HUD's Office  
16          of Inspector General and federal prosecutors.

17                   I think it's important at the outset to  
18          clearly distinguish between mortgage fraud and  
19          predatory lending, because those outside the  
20          industry frequently use the terms interchangeably.

21                   In predatory lending cases, the borrower is  
22          the victim of the lender or broker's failure to  
23          make proper disclosure of the terms and fees  
24          associated with the loan or the loan containing  
25          terms harmful to the borrower or failure to provide

1                   Opening - Fulmer

2           a tangible benefit to the borrower. The majority  
3           of these cases are pursued in the civil courts,  
4           most recently as a defense of foreclosure.

5           In mortgage fraud cases, the victims are the  
6           lender, the communities in which it is perpetrated,  
7           and now, by virtue of the fact that more than 90  
8           percent of loans originated today are purchased,  
9           insured and guaranteed by the federal government,  
10          directly or indirectly through Fannie's and  
11          Freddie's conservatorship, the U.S. taxpayers.  
12          Violations of mortgage fraud are prosecuted as a  
13          criminal matter.

14          I was a stay-at-home mom in 1996 when I first  
15          became aware of mortgage fraud against lenders.  
16          Houses in my up-scale neighborhood that had been  
17          sitting on the market for years were finally  
18          beginning to sell. I soon began to hear rumors  
19          that the purchasers were leaving the closing table  
20          with large amounts of cash and neighbors began to  
21          complain about unusual activities at these houses.  
22          The new occupants actively avoided contact with our  
23          neighbors. They did not seem to have jobs. They  
24          didn't mow their yards or keep up the houses. Some  
25          covered their garage windows with paper and others

1                   Opening - Fulmer

2           had a lot of late-night car traffic.

3                   Then the neighbor told me that an IRS agent  
4           and an investigator from the State Department of  
5           Banking and Finance were investigating these sales  
6           and he asked me to help them. When I began to  
7           investigate, I discovered that a handful of people  
8           were involved in all of the unusual sales in my  
9           neighborhood; that they were buying and reselling  
10          these houses on the same day with price increases  
11          of up to \$300,000; and that they were doing this in  
12          communities throughout metropolitan Atlanta.

13                   That's when I discovered illegal flipping. In  
14          a typical flip, the perpetrator signs a contract to  
15          purchase the property at the asking price, but  
16          without making any improvements, he obtains an  
17          appraisal that shows a value that can be as much as  
18          300 percent higher than the actual value. The  
19          perpetrator then finds an end buyer or steals  
20          someone's identify and fabricates critical  
21          information on the mortgage application in order to  
22          fool the lender who would be granting the loan.  
23          The higher priced sale to the end buyer is closed  
24          just before the perpetrator's actual purchase at a  
25          lower price and the proceeds from the higher priced

1                   Opening - Fulmer

2           sale are used to fund the perpetrator's purchase at  
3           the lower price. The excess funds are pocketed by  
4           the perpetrator and shared with his collaborators.

5           Mortgage fraud is essentially bank robbery  
6           without a gun. Its perpetrators include street  
7           gangs, drug traffickers, real estate agents,  
8           closing attorneys, appraisers, mortgage brokers,  
9           bank executives, ministers, teachers and even  
10          police officers. It can and does happen anywhere  
11          in any community in every state at any price range  
12          during rising and falling markets and it leaves  
13          these communities devastated.

14          The variety seems to shift constantly  
15          depending on market conditions, and flipping did  
16          play a major role in the initial escalation of  
17          housing prices which drew speculative investors and  
18          more fraud into the market to the point where  
19          houses eventually became unaffordable in many  
20          markets.

21          This in turn led to the abuse of stated-income  
22          and no-document loan programs, particularly through  
23          the broker mortgage channel, in order to qualify  
24          borrowers for mortgages that if they had been fully  
25          amortized they could not afford to repay.

1                                   Opening - Fulmer

2                   When the housing market began to cool in 2005,  
3                   the riskiest borrowers began to default in large  
4                   numbers in what came to be known as the subprime  
5                   mortgage meltdown. These defaults eventually  
6                   became so pervasive that investors in residential  
7                   mortgage backed securities began to demand that the  
8                   originators repurchase entire pools of mortgage  
9                   loans.

10                  Since most lenders were originating mortgages  
11                  to sell on the secondary market, they did not have  
12                  the funds available to meet investor demand, and  
13                  when those lenders began to fail, it created a  
14                  liquidity crisis and ultimately led to the great  
15                  recession.

16                  I will take your questions now.

17                  COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much, Ms.  
18                  Fulmer.

19                  Mr. Henry Pontell?

20                  MR. PONTELL: Thank, you Senator Graham,  
21                  Chairman Angelides, and Members of the Commission.

22                  VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Pull the mic up. The  
23                  mics are pretty unidirectional. Bend it towards  
24                  you.

25                  MR. PONTELL: Okay. Thank you.

1                   Opening - Pontell

2                   Thank you very much for the opportunity to  
3 present testimony to you today on the workings of  
4 mortgage fraud and its effects of fraud.

5                   Senator Graham, as a side note, I should  
6 mention that about 20 years ago I testified in  
7 front of you on a Senate Banking Committee Hearing  
8 regarding the government response to savings and  
9 loan fraud. So it's nice to see you again.

10                  As a university-based criminologist, I have  
11 studied white-collar and corporate crime for three  
12 decades beginning with the first federally funded  
13 study of medical fraud in the 1980s. Following  
14 this I was a principal investigator over the U.S.  
15 Department of Justice funded study of the causes of  
16 the Savings and Loan Crisis and government  
17 response, which produced the award winning book Big  
18 Money Crime. I have written about the role of  
19 fraud in other major financial debacles, including  
20 the 1994 Orange County bankruptcy, the 2002  
21 corporate and accounting scandals and the current  
22 economic disaster.

23                  My findings indicate that fraud has played a  
24 significant role in causing the financial losses  
25 that led to major debacles occurring over the past

1                   Opening - Pontell

2           25 years. The only way we can effectively prevent  
3           future crises is to fully understand the nature and  
4           extent of fraud. Assigning major financial loss to  
5           "risky business" has resulted in highly destructive  
6           policymaking and ever-larger financial crisis. Lax  
7           or practically non-existent government oversight  
8           created what criminologists have labeled a  
9           crime-facilitative environment where crime can  
10          thrive.

11           The major losses occurring through mortgage  
12          frauds in Florida and throughout the country that  
13          brought on the current economic crisis were not due  
14          to scam artists, notwithstanding the fact that  
15          their crimes have now become collectively quite  
16          significant and warrant serious attention by  
17          authorities. Rather, the original losses were  
18          produced by large lending institutions and Wall  
19          Street companies that ran afoul of the law during  
20          endemic waves of fraud typically because of  
21          decisions that are made at the top that often  
22          exploit perverse market incentives and essentially  
23          turn the organization into a weapon with which to  
24          commit crime; Lincoln Savings and Loan and Charles  
25          Keating, Enron and Jeff Skilling and Ken Lay,

1                   Opening - Pontell

2           Countrywide and Angelo Mozilo, the list goes on.  
3           All of these examples have one major factor in  
4           common. Those in charge had enriched themselves by  
5           engaging in what is known as control fraud. In  
6           other words, controlling insiders had suborned both  
7           internal and external safeguards and checks and  
8           essentially looted their own companies.

9                   For example, the problems experienced at  
10           Countrywide Financial, the country's largest  
11           mortgage lender, that at its height, financed one  
12           out of every five American home loans -- and that  
13           has already settled a large civil case in  
14           Florida -- are illustrative of massive fraud in the  
15           industry.

16                   A senior Vice President for the company noted  
17           in his 2009 book that its business model of a "new  
18           system of loans and refis awarded to anyone with a  
19           pulse, was, in retrospect, long-term madness driven  
20           by short-term profit." Angelo Mozilo, the  
21           company's CEO and chairman, currently faces insider  
22           trading and securities fraud charges for failing to  
23           disclose the lax lending practices and the hyping  
24           of the company when he knew it was going south.  
25           Between 2001 and 2006 he took \$400 million in



1                   Opening - Pontell

2           salary, stock options and bonuses from the company.  
3           Moreover, the evidence seems damning on its face.  
4           Mozilo's e-mails to insiders contain messages such  
5           as, "In all my years in the business, I have never  
6           seen a more toxic product," and "Frankly, I  
7           consider that product line to be the poison of our  
8           time."

9           Regarding the current crisis, one recent study  
10          analyzed the responses of persons working in  
11          brokerage, lending, escrow, title, and appraisal  
12          offices documenting the rationalizations that were  
13          used to explain their involvement in  
14          mortgage-related crimes. These individuals fed the  
15          primary epidemic of control fraud which produced  
16          echo epidemics consisting of those who purchased  
17          the nonprime product. The findings detail accounts  
18          of mortgage frauds in the subprime lending industry  
19          that resulted from inadequate regulation, the  
20          indiscriminate use of alternative loan products,  
21          and the lack accountability in the industry.  
22          Perpetrators commonly perceived many acts of  
23          mortgage fraud, origination fraud, as inseparable  
24          from conventional lending practices that are  
25          necessary in any "successful" legitimate subprime

1                   Opening - Pontell

2           business. It came down to different manifestations  
3           of a common theme: "We are simply doing our jobs  
4           and getting our clients what they want. They are  
5           usually happy I got the loan for them."

6                   It's also instructive to look back on the  
7           Savings and Loan Crisis to understand how fraud  
8           permeates major crisis. Given the best available  
9           evidence, at least one thing is certain from this  
10          sad chapter in American history. The incredible  
11          financial losses directly attributable to  
12          white-collar crimes that were discovered and  
13          recorded in official statistics on the Savings and  
14          Loan Crisis represent only the tip of the iceberg.

15                  In terms of the current crisis, three major  
16          issues stand out. The first is that executive  
17          compensation policies turned private market  
18          discipline into perverse incentives encouraging  
19          massive control fraud even at the least -- at the  
20          most elite firms.

21                  Second, the FBI reacted to its severe capacity  
22          problems in a manner that failed to challenge Bush  
23          administration policies that virtually guaranteed  
24          that the FBI would fail to stem the tide of fraud.

25                  Third and finally and central to the high

1                   Opening - Black

2           incidence of subprime fraud was the fact that no  
3           one involved in the process of evaluated credit  
4           quality. Had they done so, they could not have  
5           missed or allowed the widespread and severe nature  
6           of these frauds.

7           I'm happy to answer any questions you may have  
8           related to my testimony.

9           COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much, Mr.  
10          Pontell.

11          Mr. Black?

12          MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Thank you, Members of the  
13          Commission, for your invitation. My primary  
14          appointment is in economics. I have a joint  
15          appointment in law. I'm a white-collar  
16          criminologist and I'm a former financial regulator  
17          active in regulating to prevent these kinds of  
18          frauds and helping to bring about the successful  
19          prosecution in the savings and loan context.

20          So what is different this time around?  
21          Mortgage fraud is vastly greater than Medicare  
22          fraud in terms of losses. And we have excellent  
23          numbers on that. If you look at the credit Suisse  
24          estimate, they estimate that stated-income loans  
25          became 49 percent of total originations, new

1                   Opening - Black

2                   originations by 2006. People can debate whether  
3                   it's 43 or 49, but it became huge.

4                   Those are liar loans. We also have excellent  
5                   information on liar's loans. Liar's loans, as the  
6                   industry's own expert said, warrant that phrase  
7                   "liar's loans" because they are, to quote them, an  
8                   open invitation to fraud. And we have consistently  
9                   seen fraud incidents with liar loans that starts in  
10                  the high 50s and goes to 100 percent literally of  
11                  the samples looked at. That means we are talking  
12                  about millions of fraudulent loans. I repeat  
13                  millions per year of fraudulent loans.

14                 Now step back a moment. The Dean, in his  
15                 invitation, rightly talked about prevention. This  
16                 industry historically in home mortgage lending for  
17                 all causes of losses, all causes, was able to keep  
18                 those losses under 1 percent. We are now talking  
19                 about losses in the 40 percent range. Something  
20                 massively different has occurred.

21                 And it can only come from the top and that's  
22                 what control fraud is all about. Someone had to  
23                 gut the underwriting. Because, for example, the  
24                 loan flipping described is easy to stop. Any  
25                 competent lender with honest underwriting would

1                   Opening - Black

2           prevent all of those frauds that were described.

3           And we did so for 40 years.

4                   Why then do we have this change? Because here  
5           is the recipe for a lender to optimize accounting  
6           fraud, reported income and, therefore, their  
7           bonuses in the modern era, one, grow like crazy;  
8           two, make really bad loans at a premium yield;  
9           three, have extreme leverage; four, provide only  
10          trivial loss reserves.

11                   If you do that, then both criminology,  
12          regulation, and economics from the Nobel Prize  
13          winning economist George Akerlof said you will  
14          produce, to quote Akerlof, a sure thing. You  
15          follow the strategy, you will report record  
16          profits. In the modern era, that means you will  
17          get record compensation.

18                   So how did they do this? They put people  
19          below them on compensation systems as well, and you  
20          got absolutely rich in this industry because they  
21          didn't care about loan quality. So the  
22          compensation would pay you at times \$10,000 if you  
23          would bring in a particularly high yield, which is  
24          to say definitely fraudulent loan. You could make  
25          \$10,000 doing that and they are -- then would not

1                   Opening - Black

2           look. They would not look for loan flipping. They  
3           would not use their own professional appraisers.

4                   In fact, what did they do? At Washington  
5           Mutual, and others we know from the investigation,  
6           Attorney General Cuomo, that they had a blacklist  
7           of appraisers. But you got on the blacklist if you  
8           were honest.

9                   So I ask you this: Why would an honest lender  
10          ever inflate or even allow to be routinely inflated  
11          appraisal values? It optimized fraudulent income,  
12          but it is suicidal for an honest lender. When you  
13          gut the underwriting, you do get all kinds of other  
14          opportunistic frauds. And I'm happy to put them in  
15          prison, but we need to put the people at the top of  
16          the food chain in prison, and I guarantee you, no  
17          one is going to put 6 million Americans in prison  
18          for mortgage fraud.

19                  Thank you.

20                  COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Black.

21                  I am going to start the questioning and then  
22          we will ask Ms. Murren, Georgiou, to be the lead  
23          questioners for this panel.

24                  Ms. Fulmer, you outlined particularly the  
25          flipping component of mortgage fraud. Since 2006

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 and '7 when this became so publicly available and  
3 known, what has been the response of governments at  
4 the state and federal level to restrain this  
5 practice?

6 MS. FULMER: On flipping?

7 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Yes.

8 MS. FULMER: Well, actually, the market has  
9 done most of the correction on the flipping itself,  
10 because flipping really only works in a rising  
11 market. The schemes now have shifted into what we  
12 call flopping where short sale properties --  
13 properties that are being involved in short  
14 sales -- the prices are being artificially deflated  
15 in order to create that elicited profit margin.

16 I think that to some extent, although it  
17 wasn't acknowledged as the purpose, the home  
18 valuation code of conduct and separation between --  
19 the wall, I guess, between loan originators and  
20 appraisers was a step that helped reduce some of  
21 the pressure on appraisers to come in at these  
22 values.

23 I think that one of the things that has slowed  
24 fraud down generally is the elimination of the  
25 stated and no-documentation loan programs and

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 lenders' much higher use of the 4506T which is a  
3 form that a borrower signs that allows the lender  
4 to go directly to the Internal Revenue Service and  
5 verify income. That has I think put -- slowed  
6 fraud down tremendously.

7 The concern that we have at Interthink is that  
8 because schemes are constantly shifting, lenders  
9 don't always recognize up front what's going on,  
10 and that's why we're seeing the shifts into REO  
11 properties and these are being flipped, and then  
12 also in the short sale market.

13 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Did you notice any  
14 difference between new loan originations and  
15 refinancings during this period? From '05 to  
16 '07 for the first time in recent history,  
17 refinancing exceeded new financings and at one  
18 point were over twice the number of new homes being  
19 financed. Did you see a difference in the type or  
20 level of activities as between those two?

21 MS. FULMER: I don't have those figures from  
22 our analysts, but one of the common schemes during  
23 the boom was for a perpetrator to acquire a  
24 property using a straw borrower to on paper grant  
25 that borrower a loan from a company that in reality



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 was a shell and then to present that straw  
3 borrower's application to the bank and then owner  
4 occupied cashes out and refinances it, so that what  
5 was actually a purchase transaction was shown to  
6 the bank as an owner occupied cash-out refi, and  
7 that was something that was I think started more to  
8 towards the middle of the 2000s.

9 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Pontell, the scheme  
10 that you have outlined depends upon somebody at the  
11 end of the day being willing to buy these  
12 mortgages, and typically they were firms that would  
13 buy mortgages for purposes of syndication and  
14 mortgage backed securities and other forms of  
15 collateralized derivatives.

16 Why were those people unaware of the quality  
17 and the process by which the mortgages that they  
18 were purchasing were manufactured?

19 MR. PONTELL: If I understand your question  
20 correctly, Senator, it's why were they unaware  
21 these were --

22 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Well, why did they buy  
23 and pay market rates for what appear now to be very  
24 much over-valued mortgages?

25 MR. PONTELL: Right.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 Well, the short answer to that question has to  
3 do with a couple of things. First, conservative  
4 ignorance on the part of those who were buying  
5 these loans. Conservative ignorance meaning that  
6 they intentionally didn't look at the quality of  
7 these loans. There was an incentive for those  
8 loans to move up the food chain and there were  
9 incentives going down.

10 So what I was talking about in my testimony,  
11 my written testimony, was these echo epidemics of  
12 fraud. And what happened was that there was an  
13 incentive for making these loans at the lower level  
14 and securitizing them at the upper level. So you  
15 had these perverse market conditions and people  
16 willing essentially to evaluate the credit quality.  
17 If they were, then they never would have put these  
18 securities packages together.

19 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Were there some warning  
20 signals that were available, and had they been  
21 followed, could have detected this level of fraud  
22 earlier and avoided its rampant application?

23 MR. PONTELL: Well, there were warnings as  
24 early as 2004. The FBI made those warnings public.  
25 But the policy that was followed was not to do what

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 was done during the '70s loan crisis, which was to  
3 immediately create a national task force, regional  
4 task forces, that would put together regulators and  
5 enforcement agents so that they could prosecute  
6 these frauds early on. By the time the FBI did get  
7 into examining these mortgage frauds, which they're  
8 doing now, the numbers have gone up.

9 So you have incredible system capacity  
10 problems that could have been abated if these  
11 warning signs were taken seriously as early as 2004  
12 when they were made public.

13 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: You mentioned the  
14 failure of the FBI. Was that a failure because  
15 they didn't have adequate personnel to enforce?  
16 Were the laws ineffective against the kind of fraud  
17 that was being practiced? What was the reason the  
18 FBI was not able to rein this in?

19 MR. PONTELL: Well, part of it had to do with  
20 resource allocations. As the FBI has been working  
21 on terrorism now obviously since 2000 -- since the  
22 9/11 attacks, but -- it has to do with system  
23 capacity problems, it also has to do with them  
24 focusing -- essentially because they didn't have  
25 these task forces, which were necessary to

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 prosecute higher level frauds, control frauds,  
3 during the Savings and Loan Crisis, they partnered  
4 with the Mortgage Bankers Association which  
5 essentially looks at frauds against lending  
6 institutions rather than frauds that might be  
7 committed by lending institutions. So that was a  
8 major problem for them. It still is.

9 But of course now we're looking at the effects  
10 of this crisis and the rebound frauds that are  
11 occurring in the current housing market and just  
12 cleaning those up is a major issue for the FBI. So  
13 it's really doubtful -- I think it's doubtful that  
14 they're going to be attacking the higher level  
15 frauds with the kind of energy that they were doing  
16 during the Savings and Loan Crisis just because  
17 there was a much better system in place at that  
18 time. So, again, a system capacity issue brought  
19 on by focusing more on the lower level frauds and  
20 getting into the prosecution of these frauds a bit  
21 later.

22 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Black, in listing  
23 some of the steps towards being an ultra profitable  
24 control fraud, you mentioned leverage. That's an  
25 issue that's come up in a number of different ways

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 in our previous hearings. How were you using the  
3 word "leverage" as a component of a good step to  
4 ultra profitability?

5 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: It simply means having  
6 very high debt in this context and that levers up  
7 your return on equity.

8 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: This is high debt in the  
9 mortgage originators?

10 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: This is high debt at the  
11 lender institution. But it follows up the food  
12 chain. As you know, you can use the SIBs and they  
13 did use the SIBs to create leverage ratios that  
14 were well beyond anything that lenders had  
15 directly. And as you probably already know, the  
16 Europeans were even worse, the European banks.

17 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: The Chairman, in his  
18 open remarks, mentioned the fact that this  
19 Commission is the product of federal legislation  
20 which had as its title "Mortgage Fraud," indicative  
21 of the priority that the Congress was giving to  
22 this component of the number of factors that  
23 contributed to the financial meltdown.

24 How effective do you evaluate the steps that  
25 the federal government has taken since this crisis

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 began to rein it in and hopefully avoid a  
3 repetition?

4 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: As to the first, wholly  
5 ineffective. As to the second, moderately.  
6 effective against the particular mechanisms that  
7 brought this fraud.

8 What do I mean by that? The FBI performed  
9 brilliantly originally. It realized and testified  
10 publicly in September 2004 that there was an  
11 epidemic of mortgage fraud and predicted it would  
12 cause a financial crisis. I mean, that's as good  
13 as it can possibly get.

14 The FBI did have severe limits. It lost 500  
15 of its white-collar specialists in response to the  
16 9/11 attacks, which we can all understand. Many of  
17 us don't understand why their requests to be  
18 allowed to replace them was rejected. But that  
19 happened. So white-collar prosecutions went down  
20 substantially in this time period.

21 What the terrible thing happened was that the  
22 FBI got virtually no assistance from the  
23 regulators, the banking regulators and the thrift  
24 regulators. Two things are going on in contrast to  
25 the savings and loan practice.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 First, roughly 80 percent of liar's loans came  
3 from nonregulated entities. All right. These are  
4 the mortgage bankers. So the regulators weren't  
5 there to make criminal referrals period with regard  
6 to that group.

7 But even as to the 20 percent roughly that  
8 came from the federally insured sector, it's just  
9 incredible. The Office of Thrift Supervision and  
10 the Office of Comptroller Currency, their official  
11 spokesperson told the Huffington Post that they  
12 made zero criminal referrals. Zero. We made  
13 thousands of criminal referrals in the Savings and  
14 Loan Crisis.

15 We as the regulators -- if I could put my old  
16 regulator hat on -- we're the Sherpas. All right.  
17 We do the heavy lifting and we do the guide  
18 function. Because the FBI can't possibly have the  
19 expertise in each particular industry. The  
20 regulators disappeared and their role instead was  
21 filled by the Mortgage Bankers Association which  
22 created this absurd supposed all -- you know, gal  
23 is divided in three parts. But to them, mortgage  
24 fraud is divided into two parts. And in both of  
25 them, guess what? They're the victims and their

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 CEOs are never criminals.

3 That's completely contrary to all prior  
4 history, Enron, Worldcom. I was the executive  
5 staff director for your predecessor commission that  
6 looked into the Savings and Loan Crisis. That  
7 report says that the typical large failure fraud  
8 was invariably present, and they meant at the top  
9 of the food chain.

10 So that hasn't happened. They've looked  
11 instead very low in the food chain. When you gut  
12 underwriting, as I said, you do get massive fraud.  
13 You create probably in the order of 6 million  
14 homeowners and 10,000 perhaps, 50,000 perhaps, of  
15 the flippers. Maybe a hundred thousand of the  
16 flippers. But unless you go at the people at the  
17 top, you're never going to be successful in  
18 prosecution with the kind of resources they would  
19 bring to the task.

20 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Ms. Murren?

21 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Thank you.

22 Mr. Black, if I could follow up on your last  
23 line of discussion. When you look at corporations,  
24 there is clearly a desire and a need for growth  
25 going into the future and an ability to demonstrate



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 that. So how do you reconcile these two things  
3 that seem to have such tension between them which  
4 is the desire to grow a company and yet the desire  
5 to be able to be responsible, and at what point  
6 does it cross the line into having an intention to  
7 commit fraud? And I wonder if that's not really  
8 why we haven't seen more prosecutions as  
9 establishing the intention at a much higher level  
10 within the company.

11 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Yes. I mean, the issue  
12 also is intent. Let me say we got over a thousand  
13 priority felony convictions in the savings and loan  
14 industry. Those are of elites. There have been  
15 zero in this crisis of the specialty -- the people  
16 that specialized in makes the liar's loans. The  
17 large lenders, zero senior executives.

18 COMMISSIONER MURREN: And to what do you  
19 attribute that difference?

20 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Well, if you don't look,  
21 you don't find. And they defined -- they literally  
22 adopted the definition of mortgage fraud -- you'll  
23 see it repeated endlessly -- under which the lender  
24 is never the fraud.

25 And by the lender, let me be more precise.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 It's not of course the institution. It's the  
3 individuals. And I repeat, there is no reason why  
4 an honest lender would ever do things like  
5 inflating an appraisal. More generally, in  
6 economics when you make liar's loans, you maximize  
7 what we call adverse selection.

8 COMMISSIONER MURREN: But, Mr. Black, if I  
9 could, in today's world where you're not holding it  
10 perpetually in a place where you originate the loan  
11 but then someone else assumes the risk of the loan,  
12 is that true anymore?

13 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Absolutely. Remember the  
14 emphasis on the word "honest." Because you have to  
15 sell it, and these were sold under reps and  
16 warranties, all right, that they were honest. And  
17 can you imagine a business otherwise? Hello. I  
18 make honest liar's loans? It's an oxymoron that  
19 didn't exist in the real world.

20 And if I could just real briefly. This has  
21 been forever. Right? This isn't new. We killed  
22 liar's loans in 1990 and 1991 as savings and loan  
23 regulators, when they were becoming significant in  
24 California savings and loans, and there was no  
25 crisis. People have forgotten this even existed.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 Why did we do that? Because we knew it created  
3 adverse selection. That means definitionally the  
4 expected value of making that loan is negative.

5 So this isn't a matter of growth. I would  
6 love my competitor to make bad loans that had a  
7 negative expected value. That would be good for  
8 me. All right. I don't lose anything by not  
9 making a bad loan. So, no, it isn't a pressure for  
10 growth by making bad loans. That never existed in  
11 the industry. It does exist in the perverse world  
12 where it optimizes your fraudulent income.

13 And, again, this is not just a criminologists'  
14 saying. I mean, we're kind of the Rodney  
15 Dangerfields. You know? I doubt that many of you  
16 ever talked to a white-collar criminologist before  
17 this day. But this is Nobel Prize winning  
18 economist, George Akerlof, saying, Yes, this is  
19 exactly what's happening. And that's disappeared  
20 from the discourse.

21 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Thank you.

22 Ms. Fulmer, if I could ask you a question.  
23 You had referenced in your testimony a national  
24 index of property value fraud risk, and I was  
25 wondering if you could talk a little bit about what

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 that is. Is there a way of measuring how much  
3 fraud may be present in the system or monitoring  
4 for such fraud, and is that something that was in  
5 common use over the course of the last five to ten  
6 years or is that a recent evolution?

7 MS. FULMER: The mortgage fraud risk index  
8 that I referred to is an index that we developed at  
9 Interthinx.

10 To answer a step back in one level, it is  
11 nearly impossible to measure the incidence of the  
12 frauds that's out there because Suspicious Activity  
13 Reports are the primary vehicle by which we have  
14 the most comprehensive information. Those are  
15 filed with the Financial Crimes Enforcement  
16 Network, but only about a third of the industry  
17 that has any information is required to sell.  
18 There is no safe harbor for people like mortgage  
19 insurers or title insurers or appraisers or real  
20 estate agents who are not regulated financial  
21 institutions. They're not protected from  
22 voluntarily reporting an incident that they  
23 observe.

24 So having said that, I have to distinguish  
25 what Interthinx does. We look at applications as

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 they're being submitted by lenders and we evaluate  
3 and stratify their risk for fraud, low, medium and  
4 high. And the reference I talked to you about, the  
5 Property Valuations Fraud Index, is what we looked  
6 at for the incidence of those kinds of indicators  
7 in the loans that we were scanning at the time  
8 going back to up to 2003.

9 COMMISSIONER MURREN: So what types of flags  
10 would be raised in such an analysis? I mean, how  
11 would you determine if the property values  
12 themselves were inflated if you couldn't do it  
13 after the fact? In other words, is there a  
14 prospective way to do this analysis or is it  
15 retrospective?

16 MS. FULMER: No, that's exactly what I'm  
17 talking it does is we take the application, which  
18 includes an appraisal information and value  
19 information, and we pull together -- we have a  
20 proprietary database. We buy private sales  
21 information for states where sales prices are not  
22 disclosed. We use automated valuations models, we  
23 use multiple listings service data, and we combine  
24 all that together. And I got the verbal gene, I  
25 don't have the math gene, so I cannot explain the

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 mathematics that go into this. But they take all  
3 of those factors and they evaluate that, look at  
4 sales in the neighborhoods, look for things like  
5 the same people selling constantly in the same  
6 market, and there's a variety of elements that we  
7 look at and compare which was presented to  
8 determine whether the value is inflated. We also  
9 look at the time lag between the last sale and the  
10 proposed sales and the spread between those two  
11 prices over time.

12 COMMISSIONER MURREN: This body of work that's  
13 done, is this the type of thing that would be done  
14 in the mortgage originator's office or in the bank  
15 itself during the course of the crisis? In other  
16 words, is this the kind of thing that should have  
17 been done and someone was assigned to do it within  
18 those institutions, but it was either not carried  
19 out or it was not actually mandated for anyone to  
20 do?

21 MS. FULMER: I joined Interthinx in 2005, so I  
22 can't really speak to directly what was going on,  
23 but I've been told that prior to that time, these  
24 fraud detection and prevention tools were primarily  
25 deployed by lenders in the post closing environment

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 as a quality control tool, which I suppose relates  
3 to the fact that they were selling loans to Wall  
4 Street and had those reps and warranties with  
5 respect to quality and integrity of the loans  
6 that they were originating. In 2005, when there  
7 started to be a much higher default rate that  
8 started to occur in certain segments of the lending  
9 market, then lenders began to prioritize using this  
10 tool as a prevention, but -- as a means of  
11 prevention.

12 Because the secondary market and Moody's and  
13 the other ratings agencies generally only requested  
14 that about 10 percent of loans be sampled for  
15 quality, the number of loans that are run through  
16 these tools tend to be in the minority and it does  
17 tend to be a sample. The most effective way I  
18 think to prevent fraud would be to run all of these  
19 loans, all originations, through these kinds of  
20 tools in order to find the ones that are most  
21 likely to have the most problems and then really  
22 focus the intensive underwriting and most  
23 experienced underwriters on dealing with those  
24 loans. In my opinion, that would be the best way  
25 to find and prevent fraud before the money goes out

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 the door and the bank is hurt and communities are  
3 ruined.

4 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Thank you, Ms. Fulmer.

5 Mr. Pontell, perhaps you could comment on this  
6 particular set of responsibilities that an  
7 originator might have or a bank as it relates to  
8 fraud within a corporation. Could you talk a  
9 little bit about how the underwriting quality and  
10 the processes through which these companies  
11 evaluated the probabilities of their loans being  
12 repaid was either fostered or hindered by the  
13 corporate culture.

14 MR. PONTELL: I think the -- I guess the major  
15 point I would make is the ethics in these companies  
16 flow from the top down and that the ethical  
17 standards will filter down to those below it. The  
18 people making these assessments at the lower levels  
19 essentially could easily rationalize or neutralize  
20 their work because of the support they were getting  
21 from the top; that when they were not doing the  
22 underwriting -- and, again, the originators, the  
23 brokers, the loan processers, the underwriters, the  
24 loan reps and the lender companies, the account  
25 managers all were able to easily rationalize their



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 behavior against doing what they were supposed to  
3 be doing. Essentially evaluating the credit  
4 qualities of those loans.

5 So in terms of corporate culture where you  
6 have people at the top who are not adequately  
7 training staff or showing staff the way and also  
8 creating the incentives for them to produce as much  
9 paper as possible, it's going to be very easily  
10 neutralized. We have some evidence of that from a  
11 recently published study of these folks that were  
12 easily rationalizing their behavior.

13 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Thank you.

14 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Ms. Murren.

15 Mr. Georgiou?

16 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: Thank you very much,  
17 Senator Graham.

18 You know, when I bought my first home in  
19 Nevada, I went to Countrywide and I put down  
20 20 percent, borrowed 80 percent, got a fixed fully  
21 amortized 15-year loan, and I realized at the time  
22 it was a little bit difficult to get to the quote  
23 on the loan. I guess it's probably because  
24 nobody's ever asked -- nobody ever asked for one  
25 and I may be the only one who ever got one out of

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 Countrywide for all those years as I've learned  
3 going through this process.

4 You know, I think your testimony is  
5 exceedingly disturbing to all three of you really  
6 with regard to this issue. I've spent a lot of  
7 time -- I've spent most of the last decade civilly  
8 prosecuting financial and securities fraud at  
9 Enron, Worldcom and certain other areas, and one  
10 thing I've learned in the course of this Commission  
11 is that a lot of the market participants  
12 essentially had no financial responsibility for the  
13 consequences of their creation at every level of  
14 the process from the mortgage originators to the  
15 securitizers of the investment banks to the lawyers  
16 who wrote up the prospectus as they audited, the  
17 credit agencies, and they were all compensated at  
18 the front end of the process for creation and with  
19 essentially no financial consequence for the  
20 failure of those either mortgages themselves or the  
21 securities that the mortgage was packaged into;  
22 mortgage-backed securities, CDAs, CDOs squared and  
23 cubed, synthetic CDOs, and the like.

24 I don't think I've ever met a white-collar  
25 criminologist, Mr. Black, so it's interesting

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 that -- I don't know how many of you there are, but  
3 I suspect there's not enough for a society to be  
4 put together. But I guess I'd really like to focus  
5 on this study that all of you have made that really  
6 from the top, the responsibility for the whole  
7 ethic of the building of this super structure that  
8 brought so many institutions down, how we can get  
9 at that.

10 I suppose prosecution is certainly one way. I  
11 think the S&L crisis that to the extent that people  
12 actually faced criminal prosecution was certainly a  
13 deterrent to some extent. But it seems to me that  
14 when you create a system in which people don't have  
15 to pay for the failure of their own creations and  
16 they get compensated fully when they're created,  
17 you're creating a system that's essentially doomed  
18 to failure.

19 Let me ask a question, if I could. Ms.  
20 Fulmer, you advised mortgage bankers and others, I  
21 take it, with your fraud detection work.

22 Is that right?

23 MS. FULMER: That's correct.

24 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: Do you find  
25 receptivity on the part of your clients getting

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 this information? Just to be candid, I mean, do  
3 you think they like to hear it or they'd rather  
4 ignore it or they'd rather not hear it and just  
5 fund the loans?

6 MS. FULMER: Well, fortunately the people that  
7 I work with directly are the quality control people  
8 and the risk management people and they're very  
9 receptive and they -- you know, they have been  
10 trying very hard to improve quality and to improve  
11 process and to reduce the incidence of fraud,  
12 especially those who I think have come to  
13 understand the effect in communities.

14 When we first started trying to talk with  
15 bankers back in 1996, they were absolutely  
16 horrified to find out what was happening at ground  
17 zero, because it seemed like fraud didn't happen  
18 that much and it was basically an issue for a  
19 profit and loss statement and that there was no  
20 real victim other than financial. But the people  
21 that I work with, yes, are very concerned.

22 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: Well, what's  
23 interesting to me, do they ever have a prefunding  
24 interview at the final hour or the hour when all  
25 the previous work has been done with the borrower?

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 Does anyone have a practice of assigning a  
3 particular person from the ultimate purchaser of  
4 this loan to sit down, for an hour say, with the  
5 borrower and confirm their tax returns that are in  
6 the file, confirm their employment, confirm that  
7 they're going to live in the home, confirm that  
8 they're actually a qualified borrower?

9 Maybe we ought to try to incentivize people by  
10 making that ultimate person who is the last step  
11 before funding responsible for the failure of the  
12 loan in some way. Maybe their pay gets docked in  
13 the future if that particular loan defaults.

14 MS. FULMER: I do not know of any banks that  
15 do that directly; however, that is theoretically  
16 part of the responsibilities of the closing  
17 settlement or escrow agent is to verify that the  
18 this information contained in the application,  
19 which would include things like intent to occupy,  
20 value, borrower's income and things like that, at  
21 the closing table.

22 Unfortunately, settlement agents don't always  
23 see it that way. Some of them misunderstand some  
24 of the consumer financial protection laws as  
25 prohibiting them from even asking about that

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 information, and others who are at the closing  
3 table are collaborators or corrupt.

4 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: But, you know, we all  
5 have this great image, you know, of the local  
6 thrift and loan that was immortalized in, you know,  
7 the Christmas movie that we always watch about  
8 somebody who actually knows their borrower only  
9 lends to them with the expectation that they'll  
10 actually pay it back and so forth, which obviously  
11 bears the financial consequences of the failure of  
12 that loan would pay a great deal of attention to.

13 I'm just wondering what it is that we can do  
14 as a society on a go-forward basis to try to create  
15 market mechanisms to enforce this kind of  
16 discipline on a go-forward basis.

17 Mr. Black, do you have any thoughts in that  
18 regard?

19 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: I have a number of  
20 specific suggestions in my written testimony, but  
21 directly apropos to what you've asked, here are the  
22 two most obvious.

23 First, executive compensation is based  
24 overwhelmingly on short-term reported accounting  
25 gains, and since the crisis, the percentage of

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 executive compensation based on short-term has  
3 increased instead of decreased. Now, that's insane  
4 and everybody knows that's insane; that it creates  
5 the worst possible perverse incentives. So change  
6 that.

7 Similarly, compensation is used to suborn  
8 professionals. Right. They were always able to  
9 get a clean opinion from a top-floor audit firm.  
10 They were always able to get Triple A from a  
11 top-three rating agency for stuff that wasn't even  
12 Single C. They were always able to get an  
13 appraisal that was in many cases inflated literally  
14 a hundred percent in terms of value.

15 So you have to deal with compensation of  
16 professionals as well. One of the best things to  
17 do there, I suggest for your consideration, is take  
18 the hiring decision away. In other words, we  
19 assign the credit rating agency to you. You don't  
20 get to pick them. You don't get to put them into  
21 competition. And then we look and see how  
22 successful are you as a credit rating agency. If  
23 you're successful, great. If you're a failure, we  
24 yank your designation. And allow competition in  
25 the rating industry context or the auditor context.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 Appraisers, for example, we've known how to  
3 do the appraisals right for 150 years, which is we  
4 don't leak to them what the loan amount is, which  
5 is done pervasively in this industry. And we hire  
6 them and we don't put them on a bonus system based  
7 upon volume but on the quality of the loans. You  
8 do that and you'll create the right incentive.

9 So we're all with you. You have to change the  
10 incentive structures. That's the message from  
11 white-collar criminologist, from economists, from  
12 competent regulators. And unless and until we do  
13 that, we're going to have recurrent crises and  
14 they're going to get worse.

15 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: I mean, I'm struck by  
16 this notion that you -- obviously a lending  
17 institution should have no incentive to make a loan  
18 that they think is highly likely to fail, to be not  
19 paid back, unless they have no ultimate  
20 consequence. And we've seen not only are the  
21 individual parties within the system not bearing  
22 the consequences of their creations when they file,  
23 but even the institutions themselves, because  
24 they've become for the most part too good to fail  
25 and were ultimate bailed out by the taxpayers, even



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 the institutions that didn't -- that didn't bear  
3 the consequences. So we've created a system that  
4 basically lacks accountability and market  
5 discipline at every level in the process.

6 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Private market discipline  
7 becomes perverse in the presence of accounting  
8 fraud. The market -- and this, again, is Akerlof  
9 in his Nobel Prize winning article refers to it as  
10 the "Gresham's Dynamic". Gresham's Dynamic is when  
11 bad ethics creates a competitive advantage. Bad  
12 ethics will drive good ethics out the marketplace.

13 Two really brief examples from part of life to  
14 show you how severe this can be. Infant formula in  
15 China. It's cheaper to put water than milk. China  
16 looks for that, so they put melamine in to spoof  
17 the protein test. Now you have something that has  
18 no nutritional value for the part of the population  
19 that most desperately needs nutrition and has  
20 contaminant that kills six kids and  
21 hospitalizes \$300,000 infants and drives every  
22 honest manufacturer of milk product out of business  
23 in China for about nine months.

24 And of course the other one here in Florida  
25 that's famous is Chinese drywall.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: Right.

3 Mr. Pontell, do you have any thoughts?

4 MR. PONTELL: No, I would essentially agree  
5 with what you've got, Commissioner.6 And I would also just say briefly in support  
7 of Professor Black's comments that I think it's  
8 very important to be able to relate -- to correctly  
9 relate historically the nature of fraud in these  
10 crises, and what we've seen in past crises is fraud  
11 that exists in major institutions. And that's  
12 necessary to do. Because if it's whitewashed or  
13 not taken seriously, we're going to essentially, as  
14 you correctly point out, if you review these  
15 crises, as it turns out historically, we leave them  
16 in greater and greater magnitude as time goes on.17 So it's important from a preventative stance  
18 to have effective regulations that understand the  
19 nature of these types of frauds. And not just the  
20 low-level frauds, but the higher level frauds which  
21 derive a lot of the low-level frauds which inflate  
22 bubbles and cause massive financial losses.23 And then of course once you do have those  
24 regulations that fully account for this type of  
25 fraud, you need to have regulation. You need to

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 have enforcement. Having the laws on the books is  
3 one thing, but from what we've seen in the current  
4 crisis is that there was -- as Professor Black  
5 correctly pointed out, there's essentially an  
6 absence of enforcement regulators who essentially  
7 did not believe in regulation. And so you have  
8 massive failure because no one was essentially  
9 looking.

10 CHAIRMAN GEORGIU: Thank you very much.

11 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Ladies and gentlemen, I  
12 apologize, but we are going to have to take a short  
13 break at this time. I'm asking if everyone could  
14 stay in their seats. Apparently there has been  
15 some problem with the audio for the web streaming  
16 that is being used to communicate this hearing. So  
17 if we could take a short break to get that problem  
18 fixed and then we will reconvene with Vice Chairman  
19 Thomas asking questions.

20 COMMISSIONER GERGIU: Does that mean all my  
21 questions disappeared into thin air?

22 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Not for lip readers.

23 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: There was an 18-minute  
24 gap.

25 (Break taken.)

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Ladies and gentlemen,  
3 our problem has been corrected and we will  
4 continue. Let me use this as an opportunity to ask  
5 of our witnesses, your testimony has been very  
6 fulsome and has raised many questions beyond  
7 those that we are going to be able to ask in the  
8 limited time we have. Would you be willing, if we  
9 submit written questions, to give us your written  
10 response? Thank you very much. We appreciate  
11 that, and those will be part of our official  
12 records as your comments are here this morning.

13 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Did I see Mr. Black's  
14 head nodding?

15 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Absolutely.

16 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: And he isn't in  
17 Missouri.

18 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: I knew this.

19 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: All right, Chairman.

20 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you very much,  
21 Senator.

22 Just to kind of get a flavor of what's going  
23 on, clearly what we would call criminal activity --  
24 and I think to a certainly extent some of the stuff  
25 that maybe went on didn't quite reach the criminal

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 level, although if folks fixed their attention and  
3 examined the full scope of the behavior, it would.

4 This is a question that any of you can answer,  
5 and I guess we can start with Ms. Fulmer and go  
6 across. So we have this criminal activity and I'm  
7 trying to get a profile. Because although clearly  
8 Medicare fraud in terms of the total amount in that  
9 short period of time was not the same as the  
10 mortgage fraud. The ongoing criminal aspects,  
11 hopefully blunted as we begin to get some  
12 regulations, to me is very similar; and that is  
13 when your chances of getting caught are absolutely  
14 minimal and that once you see what's going on, it's  
15 not that difficult to pick up the scam and then  
16 more and more people do it.

17 Did we see from any of your investigation or  
18 any of your knowledge in terms of professors that  
19 criminals moved into this area? Now we like to think they  
20 would have to  
21 take a real estate exam or some other kind of  
22 credentials to participate in this area or require  
23 some ability and training. Was it homegrown in  
24 terms of virtually nothing but the incentives and  
25 the compensation system of those who are already in  
26 the system or did you see movement of individuals

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 who see an opportunity to carry out scams moving  
3 into the mortgage area? Any evidence one way or  
4 the other?

5 MS. FULMER: Commissioner, what I've seen is  
6 primarily people who have moved -- either who did  
7 not have a criminal background at all and get  
8 sucked into things by a perpetrator --

9 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sucked into things?

10 MS. FULMER: I mean, one of the favorite terms  
11 during the boom, there's a misperception that  
12 loans -- primarily that this was concentrated in  
13 subprimes. And at the end, clearly with all the  
14 limited documentation loans and very risky  
15 borrowers, that was true. But in the beginning,  
16 the prime borrowers were one of the primary targets  
17 of perpetrators used through realty investment  
18 clubs, through investment seminars, free seminars  
19 at the hotels, looked for inexperienced people with  
20 good credit ratings who were prime borrowers to act  
21 as their straw buyers. They promise, you know,  
22 these incredible returns and no money down, cash  
23 back at closing. We'll manage everything for you.  
24 All you have to do is collect a check at the end of  
25 the month.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 In addition to that, there have been a lot of  
3 instances -- well, actually the first guy that was  
4 operating in my neighborhood that was arrested on  
5 mortgage fraud charges had been convicted several  
6 years earlier in California on intent to --  
7 possession with intent to distribute within a  
8 school zone, and he reportedly had banked his  
9 illicit drug trafficking profits to start him up in  
10 mortgage fraud.

11 And there were clearly -- there was a woman  
12 who also was operating in my neighborhood who went  
13 to jail who continued in Atlanta and she went to  
14 the Marianna Prison down here in Florida. She  
15 continued to run her operation from her jail cell  
16 and was recruiting people who were about to be  
17 released to go in and act as fraud.

18 A lot of times too these straw borrowers who  
19 learned how to do it, they would go off and start  
20 their own ring. So it was sort of like a hydrant.  
21 You chopped the head off one ring and there would  
22 be several more that would spring up to take its  
23 place.

24 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: And that was here in  
25 Florida?

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 MS. FULMER: Yes.

3 And often in Florida, before I forget --

4 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I assume there will be  
5 a Carol Hiaasen novel.

6 MS. FULMER: I'm sure there will be.

7 And I would be remiss to also recognize  
8 that -- and this is my written testimony -- that I  
9 think it was the Miami Herald did a survey, did a  
10 study, and they found out that there were  
11 5,000-something mortgage brokers and another  
12 5,000-something loan originators who were not  
13 regulated but who had criminal felony convictions  
14 which included bank fraud and other types of fraud  
15 who were originating during the boom.

16 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Professor Black?

17 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: You're right to focus on  
18 entry, vias of entry. That's a major factor in why  
19 you see these crises being so lumpy. And so in the  
20 Savings and Loan Crisis, it was easiest to enter in  
21 Texas and California and they had the weakest  
22 regulation, the broadest asset powers. Something  
23 like 70 percent of the total losses came from those  
24 two states in that crisis. There was a  
25 Texas-rent-a-bank scandal before the Savings and



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 Loan Crisis, and those that were not convicted in  
3 that showed up again in the savings and loan  
4 debacle.

5 You're quite right about the Miami Herald  
6 piece that found thousands of frauds, and it was  
7 because entry was so easy as a broker. It's very  
8 easy to enter as an appraiser as well. And in the  
9 past, it was -- there were virtually no barriers to  
10 entry. Wherever you have very easy entry, you're  
11 going to allow a very swift run-up in fraud.  
12 Because, you know, in any particular industry,  
13 maybe there are 5 percent sleaze-oids. But if it's  
14 really easy to enter, then you can get an enormous  
15 influx. And we had hundreds of real estate  
16 developers, for example, suddenly get new charters  
17 for savings and loans, because of course it's a  
18 perfect conflict of interest. So you're on exactly  
19 the right theme.

20 MR. PONTELL: And I would essentially agree  
21 with that. During the Savings and Loan Crisis, as  
22 history shows, the lack of regulations in Texas to  
23 California created such a vacuum that it literally,  
24 to use the word, sucked in a bunch of unsavory  
25 business characters. It also allowed legitimate

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 folks to get into the industry as well with no  
3 prior experience and saw the opportunity to make  
4 great profits and they did so many times  
5 illegitimately.

6 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It just seems to me  
7 oftentimes that we're on the other side of looking  
8 at the devastation of, quote/unquote, white-collar  
9 crime as opposed to some kind of violent crime.  
10 And you indicated, Professor Black, that the FBI  
11 shifted its resources.

12 I just have to tell you that in my community,  
13 there have been several people who have, I assume,  
14 jokingly approached me in terms of being frustrated  
15 in trying to get authorities and others to look at  
16 what's happened to neighborhoods and communities  
17 that have empty houses that get vandalized and the  
18 damage that has done and suggested, again I assume  
19 not seriously, that if they went around and bombed  
20 a few of them, that they would get the legal and  
21 community focus on exactly what was going on.

22 Is there still this -- when you watch any  
23 movie or television show, oftentimes the  
24 white-collar criminal is kind of a clever, cavalier  
25 kind of a person, kind of fun, because there's no

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 real victims to it.

3 Is this an attitude that you've seen when you  
4 talk, for example, Ms. Fulmer, with the people that  
5 you indicated are the ones who are in a position to  
6 do something about this; that there just doesn't  
7 seem to be the urgency that other kinds of criminal  
8 activity create in people?

9 MS. FULMER: Absolutely.

10 When I first put together the chart showing  
11 these illegal transactions in my neighborhood and  
12 myself and other members of the community  
13 association went to the U.S. Attorney's Office,  
14 he -- Look. I mean, it was crude at the time, but  
15 it had addresses. It had names underneath. It  
16 showed how all these houses were all in these  
17 transactions and they were all combined together.  
18 And the U.S. attorney looked at me and said, Is  
19 that all you have? I don't think we need -- you  
20 know, we don't need to have any kind of task force  
21 here. And he didn't come out and say it, but he  
22 essentially implied that I was a pretty bored  
23 housewife and I should get a better hobby.

24 He then did in fact open a case which sat on  
25 the desks of a U.S. -- an Assistant U.S. Attorney

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 for two years with little to no prosecution, and it  
3 wasn't until I had submitted a letter to then  
4 Governor Barnes on behalf of 15 neighborhoods that  
5 had been severely impacted by fraud and had put  
6 that also in the records with the U.S. Attorney's  
7 Office. The new Assistant U.S. Attorney named Gail  
8 McKenzie saw it and realized that there were real  
9 victims; that it wasn't just financial crime.

10 Unfortunately, now it's even worse because  
11 there are so many reported cases that it is my  
12 understanding that U.S. Attorney's Offices  
13 throughout the country have developed an informal  
14 threshold where they will not look at a case unless  
15 the aggregated damage to the lender is a million  
16 dollars. And of course since the FBI is not going  
17 to waste its resources looking at cases that aren't  
18 going to get prosecuted, they don't get prosecuted.

19 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We heard some of that  
20 testimony in Las Vegas along the same lines that  
21 unfortunately as you accumulate, quote/unquote,  
22 smaller amounts, the end amount is enormous, but  
23 the incidental aspect is very small.

24 Last questions over to the professor.

25 As you indicated, these loans carry with them

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 some responsibility as to the viability of the  
3 loan. And you had mentioned, and it's quite true,  
4 that Freddie and Fannie are holding a significant  
5 percentage of these loans. I saw in a news story  
6 that Freddie and Fannie are now thinking about  
7 taking action, going back to the sellers to try to  
8 recoup.

9 Don't you think that would be one way at  
10 reversing this compensation with no downside and  
11 creating an awareness of the consequences? Or is  
12 it liable to go the usual direction, since after  
13 all it's only the taxpayers who are left holding  
14 the bag, it doesn't create that threshold of being  
15 intensely focusing on the individuals who were at  
16 the front of that food chain, notwithstanding the  
17 fact the Freddie and Fannie were the buyers?

18 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Well, the proof is going  
19 to be in the pudding, right? Because --

20 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It always is. There  
21 are very few pudding parties in Washington.

22 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Your Commission has gotten  
23 some of the key testimony. I think it was a  
24 Mr. Bowen, the CitiCorp. individual who said that  
25 80 percent of what CitiCorp. sold was under false

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 reps and warranties and that it sold primarily to  
3 Fannie and Freddie, and then if I recall the  
4 testimony, that they sold roughly 50 billion a  
5 year. Well, is our Fannie and Freddie going to put  
6 that stuff back to Citi?

7 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: As they say, do the  
8 math.

9 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Exactly. That's why I  
10 don't think it's going to happen.

11 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, and that concerns  
12 me.

13 Last question, and not for your response now,  
14 but in a written response as we go forward, given  
15 your knowledge, involvement, especially the  
16 historical perspective across the landscape, the  
17 new law that's passed in terms of potential rates,  
18 any hope -- is this going to create the awareness  
19 and responsibilities in the officials who are  
20 charged with these duties versus where we've been  
21 recently?

22 That's not for response, but in a written form  
23 to the Commission. Thank you very much.

24 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Mr. Chairman, thank you.  
25 Excellent testimony.

1 Q & A - Session 1

2 Folks, thank you very much.

3 Some very quick questions here. I want to get  
4 to it to an extent. But before I do, I just want  
5 to be clear here essentially what I hear both of you  
6 saying -- and I'll ask you, Ms. Fulmer -- is that  
7 at the very least, the lending organizations  
8 themselves created the climate in which rampant  
9 fraud can exist at the minimum.

10 At the other end of the spectrum they  
11 actually, as you would say, were perpetrating  
12 controlled fraud by the nature of the system they  
13 had set up. But at the very minimum, you would say  
14 they created the environment in which this fraud  
15 can run rampant. Correct?

16 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Yes, they're necessary in  
17 the logic sense of the word.

18 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: And, Ms. Fulmer, do you  
19 agree with this?

20 MS. FULMER: Yes, but with a qualification.

21 I think that part of the reason this happened  
22 was because originators were making loan products  
23 that were designed to sell in the secondary market.  
24 The secondary market was being told -- well, all  
25 the lenders actually being told, especially around

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 2002, 2003, that people needed -- that everyone  
3 needed to own home because it was the surest way to  
4 wealth and were pushing lenders to make loans to  
5 increasingly risky borrowers. So I think -- and  
6 consumers at the same time wanted instant answers.  
7 They wanted a loan right now. They didn't want to  
8 have to pay a whole lot for it.

9 So to some extent, there was certainly market  
10 pressures and there were also government policy  
11 pressures that led the secondary market to say,  
12 Well, we can't meet any of these demands unless we  
13 lower the standards, and that was in fact in  
14 response to both government and market demand.

15 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Let me ask you, would you  
16 all agree that liar's loans is where this all  
17 happened? Was this the center of this; the  
18 elimination of documentation in term of income and  
19 assets? It was the big door that opened?

20 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: It was the biggest single,  
21 but there were multiple doors, including commercial  
22 real estate, which we haven't talked about at all,  
23 where very similar processes occurred.

24 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: But in the residential  
25 sector, it was the biggest door that opened was the



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 elimination of documentation?

3 MS. FULMER: It exploded, but it was epidemic  
4 in 2004 before that really took off. I mean, like  
5 I said, flipping started in 1996 and it was huge  
6 back then.

7 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: And, Mr. Black, let me  
8 ask you a question. Because I guess it was either  
9 your testimony or Dr. Pontell's testimony where it  
10 said that, you know, 80 percent -- I think the FBI  
11 noted that 80 percent of the fraud required some  
12 inside participation. Was that --

13 Whose testimony was that?

14 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: It's certainly in mine.

15 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: All right. So to what  
16 extent -- and I know it's case by case -- but what  
17 is the line, just to probe what Ms. Murren was  
18 asking, between recklessness and criminality in the  
19 organizations who are creating these products that  
20 end up being fraudulent products?

21 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Well, the key is you have  
22 to look to know. And because the regulators have  
23 been out of regulating, we've looked at about eight  
24 places now. We've looked at New Century. You can  
25 look at the examination report. That is completely

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 consistent with what I went through and how you  
3 optimize control fraud. The Senate has looked at  
4 WaMu. That is completely consistent with how you  
5 optimize a control fraud. We've looked a little  
6 bit at Aurora, the liar's loan outfit of Lehman  
7 Brothers.

8 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: When you say we --

9 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: That is the bankruptcy  
10 examiner. I mean as a system.

11 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: All right.

12 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: I looked because I  
13 testified about that in front of the House.

14 We've looked -- you've looked at CitiCorp and  
15 you had this 80 percent number. Countrywide, we  
16 have civil investigations that have led to the  
17 release of facts that, again, say they knew at the  
18 top about the quality of the product. So --

19 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: So your basic point, I  
20 understand, is that you will not understand that  
21 line between recklessness and criminality unless  
22 you look at the particulars and what executives  
23 knew and why they allowed the products to move into  
24 the marketplace?

25 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Correct. But liar's loans

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 I think you're going to find every executive has  
3 known for centuries lead to adverse selection and  
4 negative expected value of a transaction.

5 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: All right. I want to ask  
6 you a question about extent and impact. In your  
7 testimony I think, Mr. Black, or Dr. Black,  
8 whatever you go by, I think you did some quick math  
9 and said, Well, there's 63,000 SARs. I can't  
10 remember what year that was, but, you know,  
11 obviously at a peak year, 2007, 2008. You know,  
12 per the mutual testimony here, you said 80 percent  
13 of the lenders were not covered by that reporting  
14 requirement.

15 You said two-thirds. Let's just take the  
16 two-thirds for minute. That would -- if you say  
17 that -- you multiply the 63,000 by 3, you get  
18 189,000 loans in one year where, had you had full  
19 reporting, you would have gotten SARs.

20 And then I think you cited, Mr. Black, a New  
21 York Times story that said that someone indicated  
22 that the FBI had only about 20 percent of the loans  
23 with fraud were detected as having fraud at the  
24 front end.

25 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: That's correct.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: So you'd come up to about  
3 \$845,000 loans a year by that math. Not  
4 insubstantial.

5 Our own staff did some quick calculations,  
6 which I asked them for, where I guess in 2009, if  
7 you look at half a year, there's \$1.6 billion in  
8 losses from SARs. If you make that a full year,  
9 it's 3.2. If you times that by 3 to account for  
10 all the non-reporting entities, you get up to about  
11 9.6 billion in losses. But even then, only about  
12 7 percent of the SARs name a loss figure. So  
13 taking that into account, you'd come up with annual  
14 losses of 137 billion.

15 I'm going to stop there. A couple more  
16 things, Mr. Vice Chairman. Apparently the person  
17 at Fannie in charge of mortgage fraud has indicated  
18 that their review of loan files from 2006 to 2008  
19 indicate fraud in 70 percent of the files. It  
20 makes you wonder, by the way, Mr. Vice Chairman,  
21 why didn't they check before they bought versus  
22 now?

23 But my question for you is what's the extent  
24 of this? Are talking about a 5 percent problem?  
25 Are we talking about a 10 percent problem? Are we

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 talking about a 30 percent problem? What's the  
3 dollar magnitude if you have any date on this? I  
4 know it's a long question, but I think it's central  
5 to our inquiry about causes.

6 And I'll start with you, Mr. Black, and Ms.  
7 Fulmer, and to you, Dr. Pontell.

8 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: So to take your  
9 extrapolation, the thing you have to add to it is  
10 it's fine to extrapolate from SARs if when they  
11 find --

12 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: And by the way, for the  
13 audience, SARs, Suspicious Activity Reports, are  
14 required to be filed by only certain financial  
15 institutions when they see -- when they suspect  
16 financial crime.

17 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Right.

18 But we know from the lumpiness of SARs  
19 reporting that overwhelmingly insured institutions  
20 which have a duty to report aren't reporting even  
21 when they find fraud. Now, that should be  
22 intensely suspicious. They should go to the  
23 absolute top of the list. All right. So you have  
24 to add that into your extrapolation.

25 When you do that, you get exactly what we get

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 when we look at it from the other direction. You  
3 take the number of liar's loans and you can take  
4 the incidents of fraud in those liar's loans, and  
5 you get in the range of at least a million  
6 and-a-half per year during these peak years.

7 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: A million and-a-half  
8 loans that have some form of fraud in them?

9 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: That have some sort of  
10 fraud, that is correct.

11 Now, what you then do, that means that you  
12 have just right shifted the demand curve enormously  
13 for those of you who have an economics background.  
14 The marginal buyer was the liar's loan, and that  
15 means you made the bubble a lot worse as well.

16 And now the next point is you can deal with  
17 your economist and they will tell you about at that  
18 point we don't know whether losses are linear in  
19 jargon or not. In other words, a 5 percent  
20 increased bubble could produce a 20 percent  
21 increase in losses or 3 percent. But more likely  
22 the 20 is what I think your economists are going to  
23 say.

24 If you take into account the bubble effect,  
25 then you start talking about numbers not of

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 \$150 billion a year, which is about what you get  
3 from this at an absolute minimum, but you start  
4 talking in terms of trillions of dollars of market  
5 value losses.

6 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Do me a favor -- I'm  
7 going to go to Ms. Fulmer -- would you in response  
8 to the Chairman's question, would you provide us --

9 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Take that as a written  
10 request.

11 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Yes, on this one item of  
12 extent of impact.

13 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: Yes.

14 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Ms. Fulmer, extent of  
15 impact.

16 MS. FULMER: It is incredibly difficult for  
17 all those reasons to articulate on any kind of a  
18 number, but Interthinx has been doing audits in a  
19 context of the purchase demands and defaulted loans  
20 and our analyst in San Francisco estimates that  
21 based on a random sample, of the loans originated  
22 between 2005 and 2007, he estimated that 13 percent  
23 of all originations contain fraud which would be a  
24 market value of -- there were 37 million loans  
25 taken during the time and about \$8 trillion so that

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 approximately \$1 trillion worth of originations he  
3 says are proven fraud.

4 If you talk to lenders, it depends on what  
5 kinds of loan product that they were originating  
6 and more when it was originated, but --

7 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: What were those years  
8 again, the years again for your --

9 MS. FULMER: 2005 to 2007.

10 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: 2005 to 2007.

11 MS. FULMER: But when you're ball parking and  
12 you're at a quality control meeting or an MBA fraud  
13 issues meeting and you're talking with people who  
14 are in the front line looking at these things every  
15 day, they estimate that as much as 60 percent of  
16 loans originated, which would represent 4.8  
17 trillion in market value, were fraud at the  
18 origination between 2005 and 2007.

19 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: And that's from people  
20 who are in the field?

21 MS. FULMER: That's correct.

22 If I might go on just a bit little farther --

23 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Yes, keep going.

24 MS. FULMER: Based on what we've seen in the  
25 loans that we are auditing, approximately --



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: And you're auditing these  
3 on behalf of purchasers who are now putting them  
4 back?

5 MS. FULMER: Correct.

6 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Okay. For purposes of  
7 reps and warranties.

8 MS. FULMER: Or looking to see, you know, if  
9 they can defend against or refer this for fraud.

10 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Oh, okay. So you're  
11 doing analysis on both ends?

12 MS. FULMER: Yes.

13 And when you talk about loans that were  
14 foreclosed, have actually gone into foreclosure,  
15 the conservative, the very conservative estimate is  
16 16 percent of the loans that went into foreclosure  
17 contain fraud at origination and that's about  
18 \$170 billion worth of loans. The maximum again  
19 around --

20 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Again, in the 2005 to  
21 2007 --

22 MS. FULMER: Correct.

23 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: -- period?

24 MS. FULMER: Correct.

25 And the maximum sort of

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 around-the-water-cooler estimation is about 70  
3 which represents about 2.9 trillion in the loss  
4 severities.

5 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Again, around the water  
6 cooler, it's 70 percent of those in foreclosure?

7 MS. FULMER: Yes.

8 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Okay.

9 MS. FULMER: And then if you look at the loss  
10 severity, which is the amount a bank actually loses  
11 based on, you know, the original loan amount versus  
12 what they can recover through insurance -- and, by  
13 the way, there is no insurance for identified fraud  
14 or for selling the house out of foreclosure --  
15 range from 40 percent to 70 percent of the original  
16 loan amount, which for that 2005 to 2007  
17 origination spread would be 68 million to  
18 119 billion roughly.

19 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Stunning.

20 Also in response to a written interrogatory,  
21 could you provide us an answer --

22 MS. FULMER: Sure.

23 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: -- and in a sense amplify  
24 on what you've written here.

25 Dr. Pontell, I know I'm over my time, severely

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 1

2 over my time.

3 MR. PONTELL: I don't have much to add. I  
4 don't have any particular numbers for you other  
5 than to say --6 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: To spare me the ire of  
7 the Chairman, I have many more questions, but the  
8 magnitude is what I'm -- the magnitude and the  
9 breadth is what I'm really seeking.

10 Thank you.

11 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much,  
12 Mr. Chairman.13 I want to thank each of the members of this  
14 panel. I had high expectations of what we would  
15 learn, and you have exceeded those expectations.  
16 You have probably, because you've done that, called  
17 upon yourself to be asked for considerable written  
18 responses to further questions.

19 MR. WILLIAM BLACK: No good deed.

20 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: No good deed goes  
21 unpunished and I appreciate your willingness to do  
22 so.23 We are now about 20 minutes behind schedule,  
24 but we are going to take a short, and I would say a  
25 seven-minute, bathroom break. We will reconvene

1 Q & A - Session 1

2 here at 11:00.

3 (Recess taken.)

4 SESSION 2

5 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: The Commission will  
6 return to order. I would like to thank those who  
7 have agreed to participate in our second session  
8 which is uncovering mortgage fraud. It says in  
9 Miami. If you wish to go outside of that, to  
10 Florida and nationally, you're encouraged to do so.

11 Let me first introduce the members of this  
12 panel. First, Mr. Dennis J. Black, President of  
13 the D.J. Black & Company. Second is Mr. Edward  
14 Gallagher, Captain and Executive Officer of the  
15 Economic Crimes Bureau for Miami-Dade Police  
16 Department. Mr. Jack Rubin, Chief Underwriter of  
17 JP Morgan Chase & Company, and I believe Mr. Rubin  
18 is going to be focusing probably particularly on  
19 the national issue. And Ms. Ellen Wilcox, Special  
20 Agent, Florida Department of Law Enforcement.

21 I thank each of you for being here, and as we  
22 did with our first panel and as we have done with  
23 all panels during this Commission's activities, I'm  
24 going to ask you to rise and be sworn.

25 Please raise your right hand.

26 Do you solemnly swear or affirm under the penalty of perjury that

1 the testimony you are about to provide the Commission will be the  
2 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, to the best  
3 of your knowledge?

4 MR. BLACK: I do.

5 MR. GALLAGHER: I do.

6 MR. RUBIN: I do.

7 MS. WILCOX: I do.

8 (witnesses sworn)

9 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: All right. I asked of  
10 the previous panel a question that I will ask of  
11 you. I anticipate that the information that you're  
12 going to provide will raise more questions than we  
13 are able to ask verbally during this session and,  
14 therefore, I would request your willingness to  
15 respond to written questions in areas that we are  
16 unable to fully cover this morning.

17 Would you be willing to do so?

18 ALL WITNESSES: Yes.

19 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much.

20 Mr. Black?

21 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Yes.

22 I will be speaking about appraisal fraud. One  
23 of the things I'm going to start out with, I'm  
24 going to use the term "USPAP". For those of you  
25 not familiar with that, the --

26 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Mr. Black, would you  
27 pull -- yes. Thank you.

1

MR. DENNIS BLACK: Is that better?

1                   Opening - Black

2                   COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Yes.

3                   MR. DENNIS BLACK: We use the term "USPAP"  
4                   instead of the "Uniform Standards Professional  
5                   Appraisal Practice". And I want to start out by  
6                   pointing out that the Uniform Standards requires an  
7                   appraiser to be independent, impartial and  
8                   objective, and essentially that has been the crux  
9                   of the appraisal fraud problem. Appraisers who  
10                  refuse to be team players, who would not hit the  
11                  numbers for originators, would find themselves not  
12                  receiving repeat business. There have been untold  
13                  stories of lender pressure against appraisers. And  
14                  this is not something that appraisers have been  
15                  silent about.

16                  In late 2000, a petition was begun signed, by  
17                  the time it was closed, by 11,000 appraisers  
18                  pointing out that they were being pressured to  
19                  inflate values and no repeat business would be  
20                  coming if they did not comply. That petition was  
21                  sent not only to Ben Henson, who at that time was  
22                  the Chairman of the Appraisal Subcommittee, but it  
23                  also was sent out to many members of Congress and  
24                  the news media leading up to the time they closed  
25                  that petition in 2007 for signatures.

1                   Opening - Black

2                   So certainly the appraisal world, aside from  
3                   the professional organizations, independent  
4                   appraisers have been screaming the Clarion Call  
5                   that those who were not acting independently,  
6                   partially and objectively quite often were pushed  
7                   aside for those who would be team players and make  
8                   the deal move forward.

9                   It is important to keep in mind, because in  
10                  order for a lot of mortgage fraud to move forward,  
11                  it also required appraisal fraud and it also  
12                  compounded the problem. So even if you had a  
13                  situation where you had a borrower who is not  
14                  committing mortgage fraud, there may have been  
15                  appraisal fraud involved and there was an  
16                  inaccurate valuation of the collateral.

17                 And this was talked about by Commissioner  
18                 Georgiou in the prior session about the movie of  
19                 It's a Wonderful Life and knowing your borrower.  
20                 But in the world of what I was using the term  
21                 "financial hot potato," if you're not the holder of  
22                 this note, it doesn't become your problem.

23                 So consequently gone are the days where the  
24                 lender would be very diligent in their selection of  
25                 an appraiser, because diligent appraisers did not



1                   Opening - Black

2           move the financial hot potato forward. So,  
3           unfortunately, as an appraiser profession, we have  
4           seen many of the honest, hardworking, competent  
5           appraisers leave the profession because they're not  
6           the ones getting the work.

7           A lot of this was also evident for anyone who  
8           wanted to look. If my 30 years of being an  
9           appraiser, it has always amazed me the few times  
10          that I have been contacted by lending institutions  
11          about straightforward quality control work. And  
12          the prior session talked about those issues. If  
13          you're not looking, you're not going to find any.

14          And in situations where appraisals come to me  
15          now for review, it is not uncommon for 70 or  
16          80 percent of them to be easily identified as being  
17          inaccurate, incompetent or unethically prepared.  
18          And it doesn't take sometimes 15, 20 minutes to  
19          realize that. A little quick looking, using the  
20          tools that are available to us, will show us a  
21          wealth of information.

22          I have provided in my written testimony eleven  
23          examples of cases that I can speak about with --  
24          well, all first-hand knowledge, but in some I  
25          cannot give you entire details because they are

1                   Opening - Black

2           still pending cases. But I want to talk about --

3                   Example one, there was a condominium complex  
4           in Orlando where the developer was promising also  
5           sorts of things, such as two years' worth of rent,  
6           no homeowners' association fees, and things like  
7           that, and consequently today's sale became  
8           tomorrow's bad comparable and the appraisers were  
9           not doing any due diligence, would not bring that  
10          information forward. So it just compounds itself.

11                  Another interesting case was the case that has  
12          now been settled where a banker pled guilty to  
13          fraud. That is the failure of Coast Bank of  
14          Florida in Bradenton. Being that case, the  
15          property that he pled guilty to, the appraisal was  
16          on a -- the subject was a 10,000 square foot  
17          residential lot that looked into somebody else's  
18          kitchen and the comparables came from -- in a  
19          D-restricted community with a golf course behind  
20          the house and lakes and all sorts of things like  
21          that. It was apparent, despite an aerial  
22          photograph, these are not comparable. If you had  
23          just gone looking, it was all there.

24                  And I see the red light is on, so I could give  
25          you more example after more example of the same thing;

1                   Opening - Black

2       just no due diligence being performed or just hiding it.

3                   COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much, Mr.  
4       Black. And I'm certain you're going to have a  
5       chance to elaborate once we get into the  
6       questioning period.

7                   Next Mr. Edward Gallagher.

8                   MR. GALLAGHER: Mr. Chairman, distinguished  
9       members of the committee, I'm Captain Edward  
10      Gallagher of the Miami-Dade Police Department and  
11      Economics Crimes Bureau. I have a statement here.

12                  The Miami-Dade Police Department has always  
13      actively handled mortgage fraud cases even before  
14      it became endemic. Mortgage fraud cases were  
15      received and investigated by Miami-Dade Police  
16      Department Economic Crimes Bureau of investigators  
17      whenever they were reported. Such cases were  
18      prosecuted under the State of Florida grand theft  
19      statute.

20                  However, from 2006, Economic Crimes  
21      Investigators realized that reports of mortgage  
22      fraud were on the rise. Economic Crimes Bureau  
23      personnel kept an eye on the emerging trend and  
24      consulted with other law enforcement agencies to  
25      determine if they had noticed a similar increase in

1                   Opening - Gallagher

2           reports of mortgage fraud within their  
3           jurisdictions. Much to their surprise, they  
4           discovered a trend that appeared to be nationwide  
5           in scope.

6           Upon discussing the trend with law enforcement  
7           from the State of Florida in 2007, ECB personnel  
8           learn -- Economic Crimes Bureau -- personnel  
9           learned that they had instituted a new state law  
10          targeting mortgage fraud in an effort to contain  
11          the growing trend. Economic Crimes Bureau  
12          personnel obtained a copy of the Georgia statute  
13          and presented it to the Office of Mayor Carlos  
14          Alvarez with a request to champion the creation of  
15          a similar statute in the State of Florida.

16          Subsequently, the 2007 session of the Florida  
17          Legislature adopted and passed Florida Statute  
18          Section 817.545, Mortgage Fraud. The Governor  
19          signed the bill into law which became effective  
20          October 1, 2007. The Mortgage Fraud Statute  
21          created a felony of the third degree for mortgage  
22          fraud and provides that a person commits the  
23          offense of mortgage fraud if, with the intent to  
24          defraud, the person knowingly makes any material  
25          misstatement, misrepresentation, or omission during

1                   Opening - Gallagher

2           the mortgage lending process with the intention  
3           that the information will be relied upon by a party  
4           in the mortgage lending process; uses or  
5           facilitates the use of any material misstatement,  
6           misrepresentation, or omission during the mortgage  
7           lending process with the intention that the  
8           information will be relied upon by a party in the  
9           mortgage lending process; receives any proceeds or  
10          any other funds in connection with the mortgage  
11          lending process that the person knew resulted from  
12          such misstatement, misrepresentation, or omission;  
13          files with the clerk of the court for any county in  
14          Florida a document involved in the mortgage lending  
15          process which contains a material misstatement,  
16          misrepresentation, or omission.

17                 The law also provides that any mortgage fraud  
18                 violation is considered to have been committed in a  
19                 county in which the real property is located or in  
20                 any county in which a material act was performed.

21                 Concurrently, the Miami-Dade Police Department  
22                 Command Staff were briefed on the alarming increase  
23                 in mortgage fraud reports that were being received  
24                 at the Economic Crimes Bureau in 2007. The Police  
25                 Department Command Staff and Mayor Carlos Alvarez

1                   Opening - Gallagher

2           reacted by creating the Mortgage Fraud Task Force.  
3           The Mortgage Fraud Task Force is a public/private  
4           partnership created to reduce mortgage fraud and  
5           prevent victimization of individuals and businesses  
6           through effective education, legislation,  
7           regulation, law enforcement and prosecution.

8                   The Task Force consists of an executive board  
9           that is responsible for policy, decision-making,  
10          vision and direction. The executive board  
11          consisted of political figures, public sector  
12          leaders, business leaders, law enforcement  
13          professionals, and prosecutors.

14                  The Task Force has five separate committees.  
15          Each committee is responsible for an important  
16          portion of the Mortgage Fraud Task Force  
17          Commission.

18                  The first one: The Law Enforcement Committee  
19          is responsible for the detection, investigation,  
20          apprehension and prosecution of mortgage fraud  
21          subjects and enterprises.

22                  The Legislative Committee is responsible for  
23          enhancing current laws, creating new laws and  
24          ordinances. All these efforts are in furtherance  
25          of the Task Force mission.

1                   Opening - Gallagher

2                   The Regulatory Committee is responsible for  
3                   enhancing and enforcing regulations on all parties  
4                   involved in the mortgage transaction.

5                   The Business Partnership Committee is  
6                   responsible for the creation and transmission of  
7                   effective business practices to enhance cooperation  
8                   with law enforcement and the various professionals  
9                   involved in the mortgage transaction. The  
10                  Committee is comprised of banks, title insurance  
11                  companies, realtors, appraisers and mortgage  
12                  brokers.

13                  The Education Committee is responsible for  
14                  creating public awareness through printed  
15                  literature, newspaper articles, and television  
16                  reports. The committee is championed by elected  
17                  officials and media representative.

18                  The Mortgage Fraud Task Force is one of only a  
19                  few created throughout the United States. However,  
20                  the uniqueness of this Task Force is the  
21                  public/private partnership that is fostered. The  
22                  mortgage fraud epidemic cannot be solved by law  
23                  enforcement alone. A concerted global effort to  
24                  attack mortgage fraud on all levels must be  
25                  undertaken. The Mortgage Fraud Task Force changed

1                   Opening - Rubin

2           the way business is done, prevents those who have  
3           defrauded from ever being able to do so again,  
4           educates the public to prevent victimization, and  
5           swiftly arrests and prosecutes violators of  
6           mortgage fraud.

7                   On the law enforcement side, Miami-Dade Police  
8           Department assigned 18 law enforcement personnel to the newly  
9           created Mortgage Fraud Task Force.

10                   And I see I'm running out of time. But  
11           essentially we have created a task force to address  
12           mortgage fraud and we have reported a number of  
13           resources to address it.

14                   COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much,  
15           Mr. Gallagher.

16                   Mr. Rubin?

17                   MR. RUBIN: Senator Graham, thank you for the  
18           opportunity to appear here today.

19                   Chairman Angelides, Vice Chairman Thomas, and  
20           Members of the Commission, my name is Jack Rubin.  
21           I'm a Senior Vice President and Chief Underwriter  
22           supporting Chase Home's Lending Division. I joined  
23           Chase Bank back in 1983. During my 26-plus years  
24           at the firm, I've worked in a variety positions in  
25           the mortgage origination business and have held



1                   Opening - Rubin

2           management positions in underwriting and  
3           operations.

4           I understand that the Commission has asked me  
5           to address primarily JP Morgan Chase's efforts to  
6           discover and impede mortgage fraud. As part of its  
7           focus on risk management, JP Morgan Chase commits  
8           significant resources in the form of people,  
9           training, tools and technology to the detection and  
10          prevention of mortgage fraud.

11          For example, in 2006, JP Morgan Chase hired a  
12          senior fraud manager to expand the Fraud Operations  
13          Department and bring in mortgage fraud expertise.  
14          As JP Morgan strives to mitigate risk in the  
15          mortgage loan origination process, it also makes  
16          changes and improvements to its programs, products  
17          and processes.

18          For example, in September 2007, the firm  
19          eliminated the so-called no-doc and no-ratio loans,  
20          and in the first half of 2008, eliminated all  
21          stated-income and asset-loan products, which has  
22          assisted JP Morgan Chase in curtailing mortgage  
23          fraud.

24          As these examples show, JP Morgan Chase has  
25          recognized the critical importance of effective

1                   Opening - Wilcox

2           risk management in mortgage originations,  
3           consistently devoting substantial resources to  
4           mortgage fraud detection and prevention and setting  
5           a tone at the very top of the firm that encourages  
6           prudent risk management across JP Morgan Chase,  
7           including its Home Lending Division.

8           I look forward to providing the Commission  
9           with additional details regarding JP Morgan Chase's  
10          mortgage fraud detection efforts and to answer any  
11          of your questions.

12          Thank you very much.

13          COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Rubin.

14          Ms. Ellen Wilcox.

15          MS. WILCOX: Hi. Mr. Chairman and  
16          distinguished Members of the Committee, my name is  
17          Ellen Wilcox and I'm with the Florida Department of  
18          Law Enforcement.

19          FDLE Special Agents investigate complex felony  
20          cases that cross jurisdictional lines. I would  
21          like to cover some of the problem areas that we  
22          have encountered in investigating mortgage fraud.

23          Number one, our mortgage fraud investigations  
24          are complex, paper intense and lengthy. The cost  
25          and length of these investigations make them less

1                   Opening - Wilcox

2           attractive to most investigative agencies and  
3           prosecutors trying to justify their budgets based  
4           on investigative statistics.

5           The FDLE case known as Florida Beautiful was  
6           opened in 2005. The case had already been  
7           developed and worked by Tampa Police Department and  
8           the Hillsborough County Consumer Protection Agency  
9           for almost a year before FDLE became involved.

10          During the five-year investigation, ten  
11          investigators and two prosecutors contributed  
12          significant time to this task force. Over 250  
13          subpoenas were served resulting in tens of  
14          thousands which were all reviewed and analyzed.  
15          The investigation resulted in 18 arrests. The  
16          defendants ranged from the construction foreman to  
17          the processor for the mortgage broker business to  
18          the mortgage broker and up to a Vice President of a  
19          national subprime lender. Sixteen of the eighteen  
20          have been convicted at trial or have pled guilty.

21          Our second problem is Statue of Limitations.  
22          Most mortgage fraud will not be reported until the  
23          loan has gone bad, but the crime occurred when the  
24          money was lent. So if there was a fraud in  
25          granting a mortgage loan in 2004, in Florida the

1                   Opening - Wilcox

2           Statute of Limitations has already run.

3                   Need for a witness from the lender. The  
4           witness must identify the document that was  
5           critical to their lending decision. The witness  
6           must then testify that if he had known these  
7           documents were fraudulent, he wouldn't have loaned  
8           the money.

9                   We have spent an exorbitant amount of time  
10          trying to find a witness for a now defunct lender.  
11          When I find a former underwriter or account  
12          manager, I explain to them that he needs to be  
13          available to testify on behalf of a company that he  
14          no longer works for. Then I tell them that we will  
15          be paying actual expenses plus a \$5 witness fee. A  
16          trial subpoena requires their current employer to  
17          release him to testify, but it does not require  
18          that employer to compensate him for the time  
19          missed. This doesn't leave a lot of incentive for  
20          people to testify on behalf of the State.

21                  Our fourth problem is a need for documentation  
22          from both the lender and the title company. The  
23          lender's file provides the information about the  
24          lending decision and the documentation provided by  
25          the borrower and/or the broker to support that

1                   Opening - Wilcox

2           lending decision. The title file allows the  
3           investigator to follow the money.

4           If the lender and the title company are out of  
5           business, how can we find the records? Under  
6           Florida law, the records retention for these  
7           companies is not standardize, and if a company goes  
8           out of business, most destroy their records. In  
9           most cases, a copy of the lender file can be  
10          obtained by contacting the loan servicer, but we  
11          are now facing court challenges but for the use of  
12          this file from a loan servicer.

13          Number five, no originals of the originating  
14          information for the borrower. If the information  
15          on the loan application is false, how does an  
16          investigator prove who put down that false  
17          information? Was it the borrower, the mortgage  
18          broker, the lender representative?

19          One defendant has put forth the defense that  
20          the information and the documentation passed  
21          through so many hands that the State could never  
22          prove exactly who put down false information and  
23          provided the false supporting documentation.

24          Number six, in Florida, title companies are  
25          allowed to use mobile notaries to handle any

## 1                   Opening - Wilcox

2                   closings that do not occur in their office. A  
3                   mobile notary does not go over any documents being  
4                   signed. He just points out where the documents  
5                   must be signed. In almost every investigation  
6                   where the borrower or an investor claimed that they  
7                   just signed the documents, a mobile notary was  
8                   used. In the Florida Beautiful case, the mortgage  
9                   broker paid his employees to become notaries so  
10                  that they could handle the closing and control what  
11                  a borrower saw and signed.

12                 Seven, our last problem, and it's probably our  
13                 biggest, is intent. We have numerous cases where  
14                 the investors were brought into the scheme to make  
15                 money from flipping houses. What do we do with  
16                 that investor? If the State charges the investor  
17                 with submitting a false loan document, his first  
18                 offense is that he gave the correct information to  
19                 the mortgage broker and it was the broker that  
20                 passed on the information to the lender.

21                 A prominent defense attorneys stated that  
22                 there is a distinction between false documents and  
23                 fraudulent documents. Fraudulent documents imply  
24                 intent. His client may have signed documents with  
25                 false information but did not have any intent to

1                   Opening - Wilcox

2           defraud that lender. Therefore, with no intent,  
3           the client has not committed a crime.

4           These are the type of problems that we're  
5           having to deal with and the mortgage fraud problem  
6           is not stopping. It's just continuing. And  
7           charging these investors with the mortgage fraud is  
8           doing very little to defer future mortgage frauds.

9           Thank you.

10           COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Ms. Wilcox.

11           Mr. Black,

12   MR. BLACK: Yes, Senator

13   COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: reference your testimony on  
14           appraisal fraud, you seem to say that the  
15           marketplace has not shown the capacity to control  
16           this problem; that is, that the ultimate users of  
17           the information, the lenders, are not looking  
18           behind the appraisal to determine its credibility.

19           MR. DENNIS BLACK: Well, they have a  
20           little reading to do, because like the prior  
21           session talked about, these people are paid based  
22           on production. Their compensation packages are  
23           based upon selling money, and an appraisal is only  
24           a bump in the road.

25           So I once remember something coming out of  
26           Fannie Mae where they were talking about the fact

1           that the overwhelming number of the appraisals that



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 they see committed the sales price. It's an open  
3 secret in the appraisal profession that you -- that  
4 mortgage brokers and lenders would shop for an  
5 appraisal until they got the one that supported the  
6 number they needed and the other one they received  
7 would just be tossed.

8 So of course the one that ends up being in the  
9 secondary mortgage matched the sales price, because  
10 that first originator shopped until they got the  
11 number they needed. And they were also culling the  
12 herd, because any appraiser that didn't come up  
13 with that number wasn't getting a call the next  
14 time.

15 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: We held a hearing in New  
16 York on the issue of the rating agencies where  
17 there was somewhat the same criticism that a person  
18 who is about to issue some publicly traded  
19 instrument would shop among the three or four  
20 rating agencies until they found one that would  
21 give them the rating that they needed in order to  
22 be successful in their marketing effort. This led  
23 to a provision in the recently passed federal  
24 legislation that essentially is going to now set up  
25 a system wherein rating agencies are blindly

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 assigned to applicants for a rating for a  
3 particular security, so the applicant won't be able  
4 to shop around for the most favorable one.

5 Would something analogous to that be practical  
6 in the appraisal business?

7 MR. DENNIS BLACK: I think it would be  
8 disastrous, because not all appraisers are created  
9 equal. One of the problems now is the home  
10 valuation code on conduct essentially removes  
11 originators from the selection process, but the  
12 selection process became through a series of  
13 appraisal management companies and they would go by  
14 low bidder. There have been stories over the past  
15 18 months of appraisers traveling a hundred miles  
16 to come to another market to perform the valuation  
17 of a property that they knew nothing about the  
18 local market.

19 So blindly picking certified appraisers I  
20 think can be a tremendous disservice and actually  
21 becomes problematic. I think the correction  
22 becomes from the standpoint of, well, just the  
23 stick, no carrot. The appraisal boards across this  
24 country need to be sufficiently funded so that  
25 appraisers who step out of line find themselves on

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 the outside very quickly. And so instead of being  
3 left with the people who are willing to hit  
4 numbers, we are left with the honest, ethical,  
5 competent ones. So it doesn't matter who the  
6 lender chooses, because you're picking from a good  
7 pile.

8 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Here in Florida what has  
9 been the record of the licensure agencies for  
10 appraisers?

11 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Here in Florida it has been  
12 exemplary. One of the things that was in my  
13 qualifications, I also sat on a -- as a national  
14 director for one of the appraisal organizations and  
15 also on the National Professional Standards Board.  
16 So I've had quite a bit of interaction with people  
17 from the boards across the country.

18 Here in Florida, tremendous efforts. When  
19 they have a solid case, they proceed forward and  
20 the punishment that is meted out is quite  
21 substantial. Over the past two years, they have  
22 been averaging 25 revocations or long-term  
23 suspensions at each meeting, and they meet six  
24 times a year. So they're showing 150 people a year  
25 the door.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: And what's the universe?  
3 What's the total number?

4 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Well, the total number of  
5 appraisers within the state of Florida at the  
6 height was approaching 20,000. That number is  
7 probably down around 11,000. We're about to go  
8 through a renewal cycle December 1st and I believe  
9 we will see more people dropping out.

10 Unfortunately, the people we're seeing drop  
11 out are the people who can't make it in today's  
12 world. I'm very fortunate because of my background  
13 and I'm handling a lot of the litigation work  
14 relating to those cases. But the typical  
15 residential appraiser who was just trying to put  
16 forth an honest opinion of value that was  
17 supportable, too often the person does not get  
18 called back for a second assignment.

19 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Gallagher, you talked  
20 about the task force that's been established and 18  
21 personnel assigned to enforce mortgage fraud, the  
22 new state legislation. How many prosecutions have  
23 been held let's say in the last three years in Dade  
24 County on mortgage fraud?

25 MR. GALLAGHER: Prosecutions, I can't give you

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 that figure, but I can tell you how many people  
3 we've arrested. We have arrested since 2007 --  
4 October 2007 was the inception of the task force --  
5 we've arrested 239 people for mortgage fraud which  
6 represents approximately 91 individual cases. We  
7 take those cases to the State Attorney's Office, to  
8 the statewide prosecutor, and to the U.S.  
9 Attorney's Office. And we've had prosecutions in  
10 all three of those arenas.

11 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: One of our witnesses in  
12 the previous panel, Mr. Black, talked about the  
13 control fraud and the fact that many of these  
14 fraudulent activities are the result of the  
15 decisions made at the highest levels of financial  
16 institutions. Are some of those 235 people that  
17 have been arrested, did they meet that definition?

18 MR. GALLAGHER: I would say that the way we  
19 work our cases is we generally -- we get a  
20 complaint, and usually it's at the lower level, and  
21 we work our way up. Do we have cases that have  
22 reached into some banking institutions? We do.  
23 However, I don't know that any of our closed cases  
24 have reached that level.

25 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: We'll probably be

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 following up with some written questions to get  
3 more details about the state of prosecution.

4 Mr. Rubin, your institution has the reputation  
5 of being one of the first who has desisted from  
6 activities which had the appearance of facilitating  
7 fraud. What were the warning signals that JP  
8 Morgan saw and what did you find to be the most  
9 effective, productive responses to those warning  
10 signals?

11 MR. RUBIN: In terms of the warning signs, we  
12 saw an expansion of an array of mortgage products,  
13 including some products that we chose not to offer  
14 such as negative amortization loans, which would  
15 mean the principal would exceed at the time of  
16 payment of the loan. We saw a loosening of  
17 underwriting standards, as I mentioned, the no-doc  
18 and no-income-check loans. We certainly saw that  
19 the third party lending by mortgage brokers where  
20 we were not as the institution dealing directly  
21 with a consumer, yet a third party was involved, we  
22 saw problems in that book of business as we looked  
23 back.

24 So we quickly undertook to curtail and  
25 stop certain items. So there are about five

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 different items that I want to mention briefly.

3 First are the program changes. We eliminated  
4 back in '07 no-doc, no-ratios, as I mentioned. We  
5 eliminated stated-income and asset in '08. We  
6 discontinued our wholesale line of business in  
7 January of '09 so that we do not originate with  
8 third party brokers. This substantially  
9 enhanced -- we substantially -- excuse me --  
10 enhanced our mortgage underwriting standards  
11 returning to more traditional 80 percent  
12 loan-to-value ratios entered by the borrower to  
13 document their income.

14 We relate it to the appraisal comment. We are  
15 very much in favor of appraisal independence.  
16 We've limited the Chase communication to our  
17 appraisers so we did not disclose, an example, who  
18 the appraiser was to our originators. We didn't  
19 disclose the loan amount to our originators to give  
20 the opportunity for the appraiser to provide an  
21 independent evaluation. We installed automated  
22 fraud tools so that we could provide tools to our  
23 underwriters to detect fraud. We required training  
24 of all of our personnel, not just underwriters, but  
25 loan officers as well.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 And training was not a one-time thing. It's  
3 ongoing training. We do it today. As an example,  
4 we are always looking at the latest things and we  
5 actually take case studies from loans that went bad  
6 and teach the underwriters, You see, here were the  
7 warning signs, here were the things that you could  
8 have done differently, and then we go ahead and put  
9 in new policies.

10 And finally, we've introduced very specific  
11 performance monitoring to monitor the underwriters  
12 and the loan officers on the quality of their book.  
13 Not how many loans they originated, but how well  
14 are the loans that they originated performing? And  
15 that is a part of their performance management  
16 process, so that they know and we know that quality  
17 is not nice to have, but is the most critical  
18 element in the production of a loan. And we want  
19 to make sure that we're providing our buyers the  
20 ability to pay and we want to make sure that all  
21 staff at JP Morgan Chase, from underwriters to loan  
22 officers, are adhering to that philosophy.

23 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: In the previous panel,  
24 one of the reasons given for the failure of people  
25 up the chain of the mortgage business not



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 intervening aggressively was that their  
3 compensation was in many cases based on the volume  
4 of throughput and not the quality of what went  
5 through the system.

6 What kind of compensation did you have for the  
7 people who were making these decisions to shelter  
8 yourself from these potentially fraudulent loans?  
9 How did you reverse the incentive structure?

10 MR. RUBIN: I'll take that in two parts, one,  
11 to describe what was done and then to briefly  
12 describe what we're doing today.

13 At the time, we always maintained an  
14 independence of operations so that the operations  
15 and underwriting staff were always apart and  
16 separate from the loan originators. So loan  
17 originators, their job was to take the application  
18 and they got compensated based on the closed loan.  
19 The back office, the underwriters and operations,  
20 were instead compensated based on decisions. So  
21 whether they declined a loan, approved a loan, it  
22 was really -- it was not indifferent. It was  
23 indifferent to us. We wanted to make sure that  
24 they had the proper tools to do their job.

25 To strengthen that, we've recently implemented

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 some additional criteria. So, as an example, for  
3 our underwriters today, we have -- each underwriter  
4 is measured on a score card which will look at  
5 their performance on the basis of first payment  
6 default, on early payment defaults, how many loans,  
7 for example, went 60 days late within the first  
8 months of operation. We do -- and I think earlier  
9 in one of the panel's discussions, they asked does  
10 any bank do prefunding reviews? We actually  
11 re-review a minimum of three loans per underwriter  
12 per month that we grade and assess how well they're  
13 doing. And that's a very important component to  
14 their work that they do.

15 But the bottom line is quality is the  
16 pass/fail. If you don't pass quality, you get no  
17 incentive. So that is, again, a critical mission  
18 that if you ask any underwriter out there, quality  
19 is number one in their head.

20 Thank you.

21 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Rubin.

22 I am going to exercise the prerogative of the  
23 chair and assign myself an additional one minute so  
24 I can ask Ms. Wilcox a question.

25 Ms. Wilcox, under the 2007 state law that was

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 referred to by Mr. Gallagher, have you had  
3 referrals by either law enforcement agencies or the  
4 private parties to FDLE which have led to an  
5 investigation into mortgage fraud?

6 MS. WILCOX: The cases that I've been involved  
7 in, we have not yet used that statute. The  
8 statute went into effect in 2007, so we can't  
9 charge it unless the loan was originated after the  
10 statute was enacted. So we are still using the  
11 grant theft statute and the racketeering statute  
12 and the organizing scheme to defraud statute in our  
13 cases, because we're still looking at 2005/2006  
14 loans.

15 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: And you have not had any  
16 referrals to you under the 2007 --

17 MS. WILCOX: We do have referrals, all kinds  
18 of referrals all the time from -- a lot of our  
19 cases are based on the SARs.

20 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much.

21 Ms. Murren?

22 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Thank you, Senator  
23 Graham.

24 If I could begin with a question for  
25 Ms. Wilcox and Captain Gallagher about the way that

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 you focus your activities as it relates to mortgage  
3 fraud. Because one of the things that strikes me  
4 too was that there is a lot of discussion about  
5 mortgage fraud that is perpetrated by the person  
6 who is the recipient of the loan as opposed to the  
7 lender, the broker or the appraiser, and I was  
8 wondering if you could comment on your desire or  
9 capability to be able to explore fraud that may  
10 take different forms.

11 Would you like to begin, Captain?

12 MR. GALLAGHER: Sure.

13 Well, the Mortgage Fraud Task Force, the law  
14 enforce component in our department is an Economic  
15 Crimes Bureau. We deal with all kinds of fraud.  
16 So whenever someone comes and presents us with some  
17 sort of scheme, whether it involved mortgage or  
18 not, we're going to look at it. We don't shy away  
19 from anything. Whatever they bring us, we'll take  
20 a look at it and we'll analyze it, and if it does  
21 appear to us that there's some sort of crime, we'll  
22 consult with a prosecutor -- again, it could be any  
23 one of the three levels -- and we'll determine  
24 whether there is merit to continue an investigation  
25 into it basically looking at if the elements of a

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 crime exist. And if they do, we'll go ahead and  
3 we'll investigate it.

4 We partner regularly with federal agencies and  
5 state agencies. So if it's a question of  
6 jurisdiction or something like that, if it's  
7 out-and-out jurisdiction, out of town, obviously if  
8 it's not Florida, we're probably just going to go  
9 ahead and give it to the appropriate agency. But  
10 if's something that has a link to Miami-Dade County  
11 and it goes beyond our boundaries, we'll either  
12 partner with the state or with the federal  
13 government.

14 COMMISSIONER MURREN: We've heard testimony  
15 previously from folks from different agencies who  
16 have said that sometimes they choose not to pursue  
17 certain things because the threshold dollar amount  
18 may not be sufficient to warrant their attention.  
19 That is not a limitation for you?

20 MR. GALLAGHER: No, no mortgage fraud case --  
21 we don't have a threshold for mortgage fraud as far  
22 as dollar amount is concerned.

23 COMMISSIONER MURREN: And how about the  
24 different parties involved? Is it your feeling  
25 that you end up spending more of your time on

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 people that have taken a loan as opposed to other  
3 people that have been involved in the process? For  
4 example, how many appraisers have you brought a  
5 criminal investigation against?

6 MR. GALLAGHER: I don't know exactly how many  
7 appraisers, but I can tell you that we do end up  
8 arresting a number of -- well, we have arrested a  
9 number of mortgage brokers. We have arrested --  
10 it's the full spectrum. We've gone from getting  
11 attorneys and individuals who work at banks all the  
12 way down to the guy who has been committing crimes  
13 for the last 20 years of his life.

14 So you have people of all walks of life  
15 involved. We're going to focus on whoever is  
16 involved. If the attorney is involved, we'll focus  
17 on the attorney and we'll address that. And if  
18 it's just a straw buyer who has no legitimate  
19 standing in the real estate industry but they  
20 committed the crime, we'll also focus on them. But  
21 it depends on what the case brings. Every case is  
22 different.

23 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Thank you.

24 Ms. Wilcox?

25 MS. WILCOX: The Florida Department of Law

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 Enforcement, by statute we have to look at cases  
3 that are multi-jurisdictional. So we look at the  
4 bigger cases, the more lengthy cases that involve  
5 the mortgage brokers, that involve a ring of  
6 organized criminals trying to defraud the industry.  
7 So we will include the appraisers, the mortgage  
8 brokers, the realtors, the lenders. Like I said,  
9 in Florida Beautiful, we were able to get a Vice  
10 President of the subprime lender in that case. So  
11 we will try to address the higher levels and maybe  
12 not necessarily charge individual borrowers, but  
13 use them to make our case against the next level of  
14 the perpetrators.

15 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Thank you.

16 I have a question now for Mr. Rubin. If you  
17 could talk a little bit about your involvement  
18 currently with the mortgage modification program.  
19 I would think as the underwriting chief that you  
20 would be knowledgeable about that, and perhaps if  
21 you could comment a little bit on what's happening  
22 with that process. A lot of the testimony we've  
23 heard actually in the field hearings is related to  
24 the fact that people, broadly speaking, have had  
25 difficulty being able to utilize some of the

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 programs that have been put in place, and I was  
3 wondering if you could talk about what your feeling  
4 is about that.

5 MR. RUBIN: First, just by way of background,  
6 I am the chief underwriter of our production shop,  
7 which means when loans are originated from start to  
8 when the loan funds. I have some limited knowledge  
9 of the modification program which I will address  
10 which is your question, but I'm not an expert in  
11 the modification space.

12 We clearly focus our initial efforts on  
13 providing affordable payments for those who want to  
14 maintain their homes and have a reasonable ability  
15 to make and sustain affordable monthly mortgage  
16 payments.

17 We have Chase homeownership centers. Here,  
18 for example, we have opened -- there are 11 in the  
19 state of Florida, one here in Miami, where we  
20 encourage borrowers who are having difficulties  
21 either making their payments, need to talk to  
22 someone, have counseling, can come in, meet with  
23 our individual staff. And these homeownership  
24 centers are located throughout the country so that  
25 we can help and navigate a consumer through, what I



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 believe you're addressing, some of the difficulties  
3 in completing the paperwork.

4 We have offered over 700,000 modifications to  
5 struggling homeowners and completed over 110,000  
6 permanent mods under what's called the HAMP  
7 Program, the Home Affordable Modification Program,  
8 and other modification programs offered by the GSEs  
9 or by FHA and VA. So these are programs designed  
10 for those who are struggling and can't pay.

11 There are also programs on the origination  
12 side, which I'm even more familiar with, the  
13 Homeowner Relief Program. Some of the agency  
14 modification programs, the no-cash-out finance  
15 where borrowers have an ability to lower their  
16 monthly payment. And we have originated many,  
17 many, many hundreds and thousands of loans so that  
18 it's really both for those who are able to pay but  
19 need the lower their payment and the future  
20 difficulty as well as those who are having  
21 difficulty through the modification program.

22 COMMISSIONER MURREN: As an outside observer  
23 of this process, it does seem as though there is  
24 gridlock in the system for being able to modify.  
25 Again, broadly speaking. Not specific to your

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 firm.

3 As an insider who is familiar with the  
4 process, what do you think is wrong? Do you think  
5 that there are too many people that are going in to  
6 have modifications at one time so that the  
7 infrastructure that's in place is overloaded? Do  
8 you think that people are not accustomed to this  
9 process and have to learn it from someone who's at  
10 the bank and on the outside? Or do you think it's  
11 that the bank's lack of financial incentive to  
12 actually complete some of these transactions? Just  
13 based on your knowledge of the industry.

14 MR. RUBIN: Based on my -- to the best of my  
15 knowledge, it certainly is not the latter. It's in  
16 our best interest at JP Morgan Chase to make as  
17 many of the modification offers. We want customers  
18 staying in their homes. We want people making  
19 their monthly payments.

20 I believe some of the difficulties are exactly  
21 some of the points that you had made. We, for  
22 example, I know needed to hire many staff. I don't  
23 have the exact number, but I know it was more than  
24 double our staff to handle the influx of volume.  
25 It's complicated. There's paperwork involved. We

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 want to make sure that we are documenting the note  
3 and documenting incomes so that we are sure there  
4 is a need and that we're providing the  
5 modifications to those who need it. We want to  
6 make sure that we don't repeat some of the other  
7 lessons that we've learned in the past.

8 But certainly this is an incentive for us to  
9 do it, and we are always looking to find ways to  
10 enhance the process. And one of the reasons why we  
11 opened these homeowner centers, we felt it was very  
12 important for an individual to be able to reach out  
13 and talk to someone and meet in person,  
14 particularly in the impacted areas.

15 So I believe Chase has been a bit more  
16 successful than others, but it's clearly a daily  
17 struggle and we're always looking for ways to  
18 improve and enhance to ensure that we're giving  
19 modifications to those who are deserving of it.

20 COMMISSIONER MURREN: I'm not sure that I  
21 understand what the incentive is for the banks to  
22 modify the mortgages. Because my understanding is  
23 when a loan is modified, that there is an immediate  
24 write-down that has to occur in the quarter that it  
25 was taken. Is that correct? Do you know if that

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 is true?

3 MR. RUBIN: I'm not familiar with accounting  
4 treatment. But in terms of the incentive, a loan  
5 that is not performing, the customer is not making  
6 their payments, is a negative consequence to both  
7 Chase as an institution and our reputation as well  
8 as if the loan were sold to whoever the investor  
9 was.

10 But, again, the way we think of it and I think  
11 the way everyone really should be thinking about  
12 it, regardless of whether originating a loan for  
13 sale for our own portfolio, we did it. We started  
14 it. We want to make sure that we have done all the  
15 due diligence. So it's important that that  
16 customer is paying. If they're not paying, then we  
17 do everything to we can to keep the monthly stream  
18 in place. Foreclosure doesn't really help anybody  
19 except, you know, we're trying to do the right  
20 thing first. And that's why we've dedicated our  
21 efforts, and I believe most of the other --  
22 certainly our peer groups  
23 are doing the same.

24 COMMISSIONER MURREN: All right. Thank you.  
25 I don't mean to pick on you on this subject, but

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 it's come up repeatedly as we've talked to people  
3 in the community. Thank you.

4 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Georgiou?

5 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: Thank you, Mr.  
6 Chairman.

7 Captain Gallagher or Agent Wilcox, I want to  
8 commend you both for your hard work in moving these  
9 criminal prosecutions along. And of course I think  
10 that work is extraordinarily important in ensuring  
11 the integrity going forward in the marketplace.  
12 But by the nature of criminal investigations and  
13 prosecutions, you're working on cases that have  
14 already occurred where mortgage fraud has already  
15 happened and, you know, you have to do the extremely  
16 taxing and demanding work to do the investigations,  
17 document it, and try to establish intent and all  
18 the other criteria that go into a criminal  
19 prosecution.

20 Again, I commend you for your work. But I'm  
21 trying to focus on private market incentives. And  
22 I want to turn to the other two of the panelists to  
23 ask a question, a couple of questions in this  
24 regard, to see what practices you believe  
25 contributed to the decline in underwriting

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 standards and the decline in quality of  
3 originations of mortgages themselves and the other  
4 stages in the process.

5 Mr. Rubin, I wonder if you could tell me in  
6 your compensation structures what, if any,  
7 disincentives, that is declines or clawbacks in  
8 compensation or declines in the awarding of  
9 bonuses, results from the various parties that you  
10 supervise who underwrite and award and permit a  
11 loan to be made that ultimately defaults to the  
12 detriment of either your institution or the  
13 ultimate purchaser of the loan.

14 MR. RUBIN: In terms of the underwriter  
15 incentive, what I'm most familiar with, our current  
16 plan today provides a base salary to an individual  
17 and --

18 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: I'm sorry. If you  
19 could turn your mic a little more towards you if  
20 you could please.

21 MR. RUBIN: I'm sorry. Can you hear me now?

22 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: Yes.

23 MR. RUBIN: In terms of those who I supervise,  
24 the underwriting staff, each underwriter has a  
25 salary and then an incentive basis. The way

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 incentive is derived, as I was mentioning earlier,  
3 is specifically first and foremost quality of their  
4 originations, meaning how well have those loans  
5 performed and how well is the underwriter adhering  
6 to the underwriting standards that we've set forth.  
7 So --

8 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: How do you evaluate  
9 how they've performed? How long a tail do you  
10 evaluate those loans?

11 MR. RUBIN: So we look at a variety of  
12 factors. The first is payment default, which is  
13 the first 60 days. So typically if there was a  
14 mistake made up front as the underwriter wrote it,  
15 we find out pretty quickly. We also look at them  
16 from a longer term horizon. We look at -- over a  
17 24-month period, we look at that -- during the last  
18 12 months, did any of those loans go bad 90 days or  
19 more? So, again, the implication of longer-term  
20 incentive, but -- longer-term performance.

21 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: And what financial  
22 consequence occurs to the underwriter if there is a  
23 failure, if there is an early default, first payment default or a  
24 subsequent default?

25 MR. RUBIN: Right. So if we look at each of

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 those and if it was something that the underwriter  
3 could have, should have seen, done differently,  
4 then certainly they would receive no incentive  
5 bonus for that period.

6 If it's something, for example, a life event,  
7 a life cycle event where someone unfortunately lost  
8 their job and there was nothing that they could  
9 have done differently that would have avoided that  
10 particular default, then we would not have impacted  
11 them. But we also look at patterns and so we want  
12 to be reasonable to make sure that it was in fact  
13 their fault.

14 But on the other hand, if there is a pattern  
15 of excessive delinquency, we want to be able to  
16 look at any excessive delinquencies that again  
17 result in no incentives for an underwriter.

18 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: And this is -- these  
19 are loans underwritten directly by JP Morgan Chase?

20 MR. RUBIN: That is correct.

21 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: And how do these  
22 standards -- you said "we have implemented new  
23 standards". Those standards were implemented when?  
24 Did you say 2007 or '8?

25 MR. RUBIN: The standards that I'm describing



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 right now are in place today in 2010. In 2000 -- I  
3 don't know the exact time frame. We always measure  
4 our underwriters on the basis of quality. We have  
5 continued to make it more sophisticated. In the  
6 past, we didn't take as long a term of view in  
7 terms of looking at loans over a 24-month period.  
8 It was a shorter period of time. I don't remember  
9 the exact details.

10 But the basic concept of what I'm describing  
11 of quality being from underwriter standpoint, their  
12 main measurement and metric of success has been in  
13 place -- I took this role in August of '08 as the  
14 chief underwriter, and certainly at that time we  
15 had strengthened -- began to strength the quality  
16 component.

17 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: And of course by that  
18 time, a lot of the bad loans had already  
19 originated. And your focus is simply on the loans  
20 directly originated by JP Morgan Chase, not loans  
21 that you might have purchased from other  
22 originators?

23 MR. RUBIN: Well, as I mentioned, we no longer  
24 originate through third parties, the mortgage  
25 broker business. We are no longer a part of the --

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: When did that stop?

3 MR. RUBIN: January of '09 we discontinued our  
4 wholesale line of business.5 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: But before that, you  
6 had a fairly extensive wholesale line?

7 MR. RUBIN: Yes.

8 So the wholesale business at that time, we  
9 still -- we underwrote the loans that were done by  
10 the brokers, third-party brokers, and we looked at  
11 the documentation they provided and the underwriter  
12 did what they -- they did their due diligence; but  
13 it was clearly something that we felt we needed to  
14 strengthen and ultimately eliminate because the  
15 best underwriters can still be looking at an income  
16 statement and a pay stub and think it's okay and  
17 find -- and underwriting to that effect only to  
18 find out later that it was a falsified document.  
19 But just to -- knowing that risk and knowing the  
20 delinquency, the decision we made to exit that  
21 business.22 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: And you say that their  
23 up-side incentive is contingent on those standards.  
24 Do they have any down-side incentive? Is there  
25 ever clawback that results from the origination of

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 the underwriting of a loan that defaulted?

3 MR. RUBIN: So, again, at the individual  
4 underwriter level, these are our first-line,  
5 second-line staff. So it's all based on current  
6 production for them at a senior level. There are  
7 other incentive programs which I'm not expert on to  
8 talk to on the underwriting side. There is no  
9 specific clawback for an underwriter.

10 But as I mention often to my staff,  
11 performance management -- incentive is not a  
12 substitute in performance management. Performance  
13 management is you're an underwriter. We provide  
14 you all the tools to do your job. We provide you  
15 with the training and support. And if you're  
16 underwriting and making bad decisions, you won't be  
17 working here.

18 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: All right.

19 Mr. Black, you said that one of the  
20 disincentives to high-integrity appraising was the  
21 selective hiring by originators and others who  
22 needed your appraisal services of people who didn't  
23 engage in this high-integrity appraisal process.  
24 Correct?

25 MR. GALLAGHER: Yes, sir.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: Can you tell us as an  
3 experienced appraiser and somebody who has been in  
4 this business for how many years? Twenty-five or  
5 so years?

6 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Thirty years.

7 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: Thirty years.

8 What market discipline would you apply to  
9 govern the appraisal process, which obviously we  
10 all know contributed fairly significantly to the  
11 inflation in housing prices to unreasonable  
12 inflation in housing prices?

13 MR. DENNIS BLACK: I think the best method is  
14 to have those regulatory bodies in each state be  
15 better funded and have more investigators and have  
16 more attorneys that can provide disincentives for  
17 not acting ethically.

18 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: I'm sorry?

19 MR. DENNIS BLACK: For not acting ethically.

20 The appraisal boards across the country are  
21 overwhelmed, here in Florida particularly. If I  
22 recollect, in 2008, they had 800 complaints in the  
23 state of Florida, against for - approximately 15,000  
24 appraisers. They - overwhelmed.

25 I don't think there is a market mechanism,

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 because too many of the origination side, they're  
3 not interested in it. And I can tell a story of a  
4 situation where a lender -- in a civil case that I  
5 was involved, the lender hired a review appraiser  
6 to check on the original appraiser. The reviewer  
7 they hired had been an appraiser certified for four  
8 months. Now, they had served a two-year  
9 apprenticeship as a trainee prior to that and I do  
10 want to talk a little bit about appraisal entry.

11 January 1st, 2008, the qualifications for  
12 becoming an appraiser changed dramatically as  
13 compared to what happened prior to that. But this  
14 person had been a certified appraiser for four  
15 months and that's who the lender turned to to  
16 review the quality of someone else. Well, the  
17 funny thing is by the time I was involved in this  
18 case 18 months later, that review appraiser had  
19 already been disciplined and revoked by the State  
20 of Florida.

21 So that I think state has to be that the  
22 regulatory bodies are able to really demonstrate to  
23 appraisers that there is someone watching them;  
24 that if they step outside the bounds of good  
25 conduct, they will not be long for this profession.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: Is there -- can you --  
3 you don't think market mechanisms work or you can't  
4 think of a market mechanism --

5 MR. DENNIS BLACK: I can't think of a market  
6 mechanism.

7 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: -- in which you would  
8 attach in some way economic accountability to the  
9 appraiser in terms of the quality of their  
10 appraisals?

11 MR. DENNIS BLACK: There was something done by  
12 FHA back I believe it was 2005 or maybe 2006 that  
13 they started to grade appraisers based upon loan  
14 defaults. Well, the appraiser has nothing to do  
15 with loan default necessarily. As Mr. Rubin  
16 testified, there could have been life events or  
17 there would have been other things that happened  
18 that the borrower did a liar loan. The appraisal  
19 may have been entirely accurately and honestly  
20 prepared. So that becomes a problematic market  
21 solution by tying appraiser performance to loan  
22 performance.

23 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: What else would you be  
24 able to tie it to? I mean, I suppose one could  
25 argue that obviously the larger the appraisal, the

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 larger the loan, the greater the risk of default,  
3 because the borrower is in a precarious position  
4 regardless of the life changing event. So there  
5 are some consequences. There is a heightened  
6 likelihood of default created by a mortgage that's  
7 inflated by an inflated appraisal.

8 MR. DENNIS BLACK: If it's created by an  
9 inflated appraisal, I agree one hundred percent.  
10 But it may have -- the problem with default may  
11 have been for an entirely separate reason other  
12 than the appraisal being inflated

13 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: Right.

14 MR. DENNIS BLACK: - or improperly  
15 prepared.

16 I wish I could come up with a market mechanism  
17 that would work because I would have people lining  
18 up to buy my product. I have thought long and hard  
19 about this. I cannot think of a market mechanism  
20 that I could step to the majority of lenders and  
21 say, You need this quality control. Approximately  
22 ten years ago, I attempted to form a company full  
23 of people who were certified instructors across the  
24 country and to lenders. These individuals were  
25 certified faculty members of the appraisal  
26 organizations within the country. And there was

1            little interest in having appraisals reviewed by



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 people who were highly qualified. So I don't know  
3 what the market mechanism would be.

4 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: How often is it the  
5 case, if you know --

6 Am I over? Just one more minute. Just this final  
7 question.

8 How often is it the case in your experience  
9 that appraisers are advised of the target price  
10 that they're asked to return an appraisal on?

11 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Well, that's a double edge sword.

12 Fannie Mae requires in their regulations that  
13 appraisers be supplied a copy of the contract. The  
14 uniform standards also require that we analyze and  
15 report any current contract. But the uniform  
16 standards is also very clear that it should not be or  
17 intended to be a target. It is merely for  
18 informational purposes.

19 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: Well, but these  
20 informational purposes are essentially used--

21 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Too often --

22 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: -- as a guide and not  
23 for what the parties are expecting the appraisal to  
24 come back as?

25 MR. DENNIS BLACK: To often that is the case.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 I agree.

3 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: Thank you very much  
4 for your courtesy.5 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I realize that we've run  
6 a little bit over. Can I just ask a question with a concise  
7 answer? In that case that you  
8 cited where the institution hired the four-months  
9 person to be the overseer of appraisals, was that  
10 institution a bank or some other lender?11 MR. DENNIS BLACK: If I recall correctly, it  
12 was a mortgage brokerage firm.13 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: And was there any  
14 sanction against the mortgage broker for having  
15 hired such an apparently incompetent person?16 MR. DENNIS BLACK: I don't know if they were  
17 sanctioned, but I certainly can point out that I  
18 told the attorneys who were involved in the civil  
19 case that that might be another path for them to  
20 investigate to demonstrate that there was lax  
21 underwriting standards when you're going and hiring  
22 somebody who's been a certified appraiser for four  
23 months to be your quality control reviewer.

24 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Thomas?

25 Thank you.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you,  
3 Mr. Chairman.

4 You know, I've heard this story before. In  
5 your opinion, Mr. Black, what percent of the  
6 certified appraisers -- is that what you call them,  
7 certified appraisers?

8 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Yeah.

9 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What percent of them  
10 are honest?

11 MR. DENNIS BLACK: I don't think I have  
12 insight to that.

13 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sure you do. Sure you  
14 do. You know who it was that was doing it. You  
15 just gave us an example.

16 MR. DENNIS BLACK: I gave you an example. I  
17 can answer --

18 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: How many examples could  
19 you give us? And then we could kind of get to the  
20 percentage. I don't have that much time.

21 Look, what you said was you need more  
22 government to stop us from behaving badly. That's  
23 what you just said; set up a government -- more  
24 guys in government to oversee what you're doing.  
25 That doesn't work.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 Now, I understand the peer group pressure, and  
3 normally the cliché is you don't want to be the  
4 skunk at the garden party. Apropos by location,  
5 you don't want to be the alligator at the garden  
6 party.

7 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Or the panther.

8 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Or the panther. I  
9 guess we're getting a few more panthers. We've had  
10 them for a long time and didn't kill them all off.

11 You know, when they talk about bad doctors,  
12 you know, you throw a figure -- I'm sure it's  
13 improbable, but pretty close -- 10 percent of the  
14 doctors commit 90 percent of the malpractice. And  
15 if you had reasonable peer group review, if you  
16 really were fundamentally proud of your  
17 profession -- I don't know. That's why I asked you  
18 the percentage. Three percent, two percent,  
19 one percent, or are you the Lone Ranger?

20 MR. DENNIS BLACK: I can give you some insight  
21 this way. Approximately 15 percent of the  
22 appraisers who are certified in the country belong  
23 to a professional organization. So that's the  
24 first thing. Eighty-five percent don't even want  
25 to belong to a professional organization.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 To answer the question --

3 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is that because the  
4 professional organization doesn't do anything  
5 except collect dues and go to nice places outside  
6 of Florida for -- well, I guess for conventions you  
7 might want to stay here.

8 MR. DENNIS BLACK: This isn't a fact of the  
9 choosing of a quality appraiser. You will note in  
10 my qualifications that I hold the highest  
11 designation from three of the members of the  
12 appraisal foundation. Unfortunately, rarely does  
13 that come into play when someone is asking about  
14 hiring me. They ask me a question about price.  
15 They don't ask me a question about qualification.

16 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Just let me say  
17 this and then I'll move on: If you were serious, I  
18 think you could find enough folk, even the crew of  
19 the highest honored multiple rewarded people, to  
20 start naming names.

21 MR. DENNIS BLACK: As far as --

22 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: If we could get the  
23 doctors to do that, you would see significant  
24 reduction in malpractice. Peer review is the best  
25 way to control it, but if the peers refuse to do

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 what they bemoan about others from a professional  
3 point of view, it will never happen. You don't  
4 have to respond, because I know this is a concept  
5 that's really hard to understand.

6 MR. DENNIS BLACK: May I respond?

7 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sure.

8 MR. DENNIS BLACK: All right.

9 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Apparently I have  
10 unlimited time.

11 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Under the guise of creating  
12 more government, that would be no different than  
13 Captain Gallagher's task force.

14 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Actually, it is because  
15 it would be you in the profession policing  
16 yourselves.

17 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Well, those complaints  
18 though are made to the licensing agencies in each  
19 state, and appraisers and organizations such as JP  
20 Morgan Chase regularly file complaints with the  
21 Florida Real Estate Appraisal Board and the  
22 Division of Real Estate for them to investigate and  
23 move forward.

24 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What about running an  
25 ad in the real estate section of the paper naming

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 the appraisers who clearly have not been  
3 professional in what they've done?

4 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Well, I think prior to an  
5 adjudication of them being guilty of fraud or some  
6 other crime, I think it would be slanderous.

7 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Do you know some that  
8 it wouldn't be slanderous about? In fact, it would  
9 be true?

10 MR. DENNIS BLACK: I think that's something  
11 that needs to be proven in a court of law before I  
12 want to stick my neck out.

13 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No, I just asked you.  
14 Do you -- you don't have to -- you're not going to  
15 give me names. Do you know some?

16 MR. DENNIS BLACK: I suspect some.

17 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You suspect some?

18 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Well, I also have real --  
19 if you want to speak during the lunch break.

20 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, yeah. See, this  
21 is one of the real problems. I hear it all the  
22 time.

23 Captain Gallagher, I'm looking at the evidence  
24 that you show in terms of fraud. You've got the  
25 one example of the sisters --

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 MR. GALLAGHER: Yes.

3 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: -- on the HUD-1 form.

4 They give the seller the HUD-1 form that says  
5 1,050,000 and they submit to Wells Fargo another  
6 HUD-1 that says 1,400,000.

7 MR. GALLAGHER: That's correct.

8 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: How much investigative  
9 resources were involved in -- I mean, that's  
10 stupid, isn't it?11 MR. GALLAGHER: But it's very common. It's --  
12 the thing about this is they leave a paper trail  
13 when they commit the mortgage fraud. The hardest  
14 part is usually finding the paper. Because, again,  
15 you do have lenders that have gone under and it's a  
16 question of finding the documentation. But once we  
17 find the documentation, we're able to prove that  
18 they essentially created two HUD-1s. You'll find  
19 that one HUD-1 is for a larger amount.

20 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sure.

21 MR. DENNIS BLACK: And they'll split the  
22 difference amongst themselves.23 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So once you discovered  
24 that that may be something that's happening, you'd  
25 set up a routine comparison process or you've urged



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 this to be done? Because that would simply -- all  
3 it is is a match, and when you get a mismatch,  
4 you've got the case.

5 Have you set up a structure to do that or  
6 talked about laws to do that?

7 MR. GALLAGHER: You mean in the private sector  
8 or are you just talking law enforcement?

9 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, if they filed  
10 it -- the HUD-1, you have to file it with some  
11 government agency.

12 MR. GALLAGHER: Well, yes.

13 What happens is, if I'm not mistaken, you've  
14 got the broker's office who's got a HUD-1.

15 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah.

16 MR. GALLAGHER: You'll have the title company  
17 who will have a copy of the HUD-1 and then you'll  
18 have the lender who's got a copy of the HUD-1.

19 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So there's a lot of  
20 HUD-1s around.

21 MR. GALLAGHER: Correct.

22 And then the question is, you have to take a  
23 look at all of them and see if there is any  
24 discrepancies. And when you do find a discrepancy,  
25 now you know you've got mortgage fraud. Then it

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 becomes a question of who is benefiting from the  
3 discrepancy? Is it the mortgage broker? Is it the  
4 lender? Who is it? And so there is where the  
5 investigation comes in. And then you have to also  
6 determine who created the discrepancy.

7 Again --

8 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Do the appraisers get a  
9 copy of the HUD-1?

10 MR. GALLAGHER: I don't know. No, we do not.

11 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. You're off the  
12 hook on that one.

13 Jack Rubin, I'm trying to figure out how much  
14 we should charge you for the commercial you've  
15 delivered so far. You referred to your peer  
16 groups. Who in your opinion are the peer groups  
17 that you were referencing?

18 MR. RUBIN: The other large national lenders.

19 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, run off some names.  
20 Or are we not allowed to ask you that?

21 MR. RUBIN: Citi, B of A, Wells.

22 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Anyone here tried to get--  
23 we've had some people earlier who I knew, but I  
24 can't see them now. Anyone here tried to modify  
25 your loan and not been successful? Who are you

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 with?

3 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm a mediator. I do  
4 foreclosure mediations.5 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No, I want a real  
6 person who's gotten stuck. We've had them at other  
7 hearings. You can give me third-party examples.  
8 That's okay. I know you have them. But apparently  
9 JP Morgan Chase is.

10 How long have you been JP Morgan Chase?

11 MR. RUBIN: I don't know the exact point when  
12 JP Morgan and Chase merged. I don't know the exact  
13 date.14 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: This century, the 21st  
15 Century?

16 MR. RUBIN: Recently.

17 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Recently.

18 When did you move from Chase to JP Morgan?

19 MR. RUBIN: I've been employed -- I started my  
20 career at Chemical Bank in 1983 and later merged  
21 with Chase and I've been a Heritage/Chase employee  
22 for many, many years. And JP Morgan was really --  
23 from a mortgage perspective was really the Chase  
24 entity and the arm that continues to --

25 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, when did JP

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 Morgan pick up Chase?

3 MR. RUBIN: I don't have the exact -- I can  
4 get it to you.5 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, you moved over  
6 from Chase to JP Morgan Chase.

7 MR. RUBIN: I didn't --

8 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You don't know?

9 MR. RUBIN: My business card changed, but my  
10 position stayed the same, where I sat stayed the  
11 same, my phone number stayed the same.12 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: And the exemplary Five  
13 Point Program that you've been involved in has  
14 stayed the same? No, it's changed. Your third  
15 party -- your rejection of third party origination  
16 was in January of '09. You have that date.

17 MR. RUBIN: That's correct.

18 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. So you acquired  
19 some bad loans?

20 MR. RUBIN: Yes.

21 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: How did you resolve it  
22 with those in the company that processed them?

23 MR. RUBIN: We closed down those operations.

24 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: And what about the  
25 people? Was there a pattern in terms of some doing

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 it more often than others?

3 MR. RUBIN: We looked at each of the  
4 underwriters that were involved in those particular  
5 loans, and when opportunities came up for  
6 employment in other parts of Chase, those that  
7 had -- that we believe were from a delinquency  
8 point of view had poor quality production were not  
9 offered any employment.

10 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: They were not offered  
11 any employment.

12 MR. RUBIN: Let me be clear.

13 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You fired them or you  
14 moved them to a different division?

15 MR. RUBIN: When we closed our mortgage broker  
16 channel, we closed all of our offices, which means  
17 all of those employees were let go.

18 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Last question.  
19 I've got four minutes left on my overrun.

20 Ms. Wilcox, you talked about multiple  
21 opportunities for state law change initially in  
22 terms of all the frustrations you've had in trying  
23 to accomplish what you accomplished, but we had  
24 noted the '07 law that was passed.

25 Did that close all of them, some of them, or

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 are you aware of major loopholes that have not yet  
3 been closed by the '07 law?

4 MS. WILCOX: Yeah, we still have -- there are  
5 still some areas that we could probably get some  
6 new laws or changes to some laws that would help.

7 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So some of the  
8 practices, notwithstanding being investigated by  
9 law enforcement, have not been changed under state  
10 law?

11 MS. WILCOX: No, some of them have not. Some  
12 of them we are still trying to get some changes,  
13 yes.

14 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. So Carl Hiaasen  
15 has a chance for yet another novel focused on  
16 Floridian activity.

17 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

18 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Well, we have made one  
19 contribution to expanding the marketplace, I think  
20 one for reading.

21 Mr. Chairman?

22 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Yes. Thank you.

23 Mr. Black, let me ask you about this 2007,  
24 this petition in December 2000 and signed by 11,000  
25 appraisers. This was addressed to Executive

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 Director of Appraisals Subcommittee of?

3 MR. DENNIS BLACK: This Appraisal Subcommittee  
4 is formed with the financial -- by way of a law  
5 signed in 1998, the Executive Subcommittee was  
6 created and it consists of a chair in the Federal  
7 Reserve, the FDIC/OTS, national credit unions. So  
8 that is the Appraisal Subcommittee of the United  
9 States Congress.

10 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: The Subcommittee of what?

11 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Of the U.S. Congress.

12 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No.

13 MR. DENNIS BLACK: The Appraisal Subcommittee  
14 is the way it's always been referred. It is  
15 comprised of those five entities.

16 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: And they wouldn't be  
17 related to the Congress.

18 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Well, it's federal.

19 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: So what you're saying is subject to FIRREA -

20 -

21 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Correct.

22 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: -- an entity was  
23 established in the federal government --

24 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Correct.

25 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: -- with respect to  
26 appraisal standards?

1

MR. DENNIS BLACK: Yes.



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: All right. Well, I'll  
3 either ask our staff or you if we can get some  
4 clarification on the actual nature of the entity.

5 So just to be clear, this petition  
6 was started in 2000 expressing concerns about  
7 significant problems in the appraisal business; the  
8 withholding of business, if there was a refusal to  
9 inflate values, withholding of business if we  
10 refuse to guarantee a predetermined value. So  
11 11,000 appraisers signed that you said from the  
12 time it commenced prior to its closing for  
13 signatures.

14 Do you remember when that closing was?

15 MR. DENNIS BLACK: I believe it to be a  
16 closure of signatures in 2006 or '7.

17 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Okay. So during that  
18 six-year period, 11,000 appraisers took the time to  
19 go on and essentially warn the federal government  
20 about problems in the industry?

21 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Yes.

22 And a good percentage of people. For example,  
23 I was signature I believe 647 and I signed it about  
24 one month after its inception. So very quickly a  
25 large percentage of those 11,000 were on board.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Were you aware of any  
3 action taken by the Appraisal Subcommittee in  
4 response to that petition?

5 MR. DENNIS BLACK: No, I'm not.

6 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: All right. I'm going to  
7 ask our staff if we could follow up on that.

8 To what extent -- you know, I know  
9 Mr. Georgiou asked you about some business model  
10 questions and let me just follow up. To what  
11 extent do you believe that the pressures you faced  
12 were caused by the business model of the  
13 origination to distribute model?

14 MR. DENNIS BLACK: I think a large percentage  
15 of one, because it was that financial hot potato.  
16 I originate this loan and I move the risk on. I  
17 collect my commission. So I'm not all that worried  
18 about whether or not the appraisal valuation and  
19 the valuation of collateral was accurate and  
20 honest.

21 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Was this lender driven --  
22 from your perspective lender driven or mortgage  
23 broker driven? Who at your level was driving the  
24 train that pushed the envelope?

25 MR. DENNIS BLACK: I think all parties were

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 guilty, but if you get into the mortgage brokerage  
3 world, the third-party originators were more apt to  
4 go down that path as opposed to in-house  
5 originators. Because they were clearly --

6 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Compensation structures?

7 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Pardon me?

8 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Compensation structures?

9 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Absolutely.

10 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Less control?

11 MR. DENNIS BLACK: Yes.

12 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: All right.

13 Mr. Rubin, let me ask you this question: You  
14 talked about JP Morgan change and reform in its  
15 practices. Let me just take you back, because  
16 you've obviously been with the institution for a  
17 while. What was the rationale behind what the  
18 industry even itself began to refer to as liar  
19 loans? What was -- you know, I know that some  
20 people have told me, Well, technology advances,  
21 rapidity of movement. But I'm thinking how hard is  
22 this to supply W2s and verification of income?  
23 Really what's the rationale or justification for a  
24 lender eliminating that essential credit check?  
25 And internally when this was happening, give me your

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 view. Not so much about the fact that it was  
3 eliminating '07, '08, with all due respect, after  
4 the horse is out the barn.

5 When did JP Morgan Chase go to this form of  
6 loan to what share of the market was it in the  
7 heyday and what was the rationale?

8 MR. RUBIN: I don't know the exact timing, but  
9 I do know we were relatively late to offer the  
10 no-doc loans.

11 At the time -- and this has gone back -- we  
12 felt we were providing a loan that appealed to a  
13 specific segments of borrowers that we believed  
14 were creditworthy but didn't want to provide what  
15 at the time may have been very complex  
16 documentation.

17 So at the time when we started it --

18 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Complex documentation  
19 being what?

20 MR. RUBIN: So if you were a self-employed  
21 borrower and had multiple tax returns, instead of  
22 just providing the normal 1040, we would analyze  
23 the business returns. So if you went to a borrower  
24 and asked for --

25 I'm sorry.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: If you have an S-Corp,  
3 you provide the S-Corp or -- right?

4 MR. RUBIN: But many had multiple businesses.

5 So at the time -- and, again, this is -- you  
6 asked me to kind of retrospectively look back.  
7 That was the rationale that we thought there were  
8 generally higher net worth borrowers that this made  
9 sense for that didn't want to go through the  
10 hassles of giving the paperwork. And that was  
11 certainly the rationale at the time. Speed on the  
12 process may have been another. But honestly it was  
13 really more the creditworthiness is how we got into  
14 it.

15 Unfortunately, as we all now know, it became a  
16 tool for many enabling opportunities to those  
17 interested in committing mortgage fraud to lie  
18 about it, and we changed it. We would have  
19 certainly preferred to have changed it much earlier  
20 than we had. But at the time we went into it, it  
21 wasn't, Let's go in with a loan -- so it doesn't  
22 have to disclose their income and let's give it to  
23 them. It was totally --

24 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Well, I know it started  
25 with high-net-worth individuals generally, repeat

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 borrowers, so let me ask you. The 2005 to 2007  
3 time frame, what percentage of your residential  
4 loans became some form of a no-doc-stated-income  
5 loan?

6 MR. RUBIN: I don't have those figures as to  
7 when, but I can certainly get those to you.

8 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: What was the magnitude  
9 roughly? Are we talking about one in ten, one in  
10 three?

11 MR. RUBIN: I don't know. I really can't  
12 venture a guess. It wasn't the predominant -- that  
13 I can tell you. It wasn't the predominant loan,  
14 but it started off slowly because of the type of  
15 borrower we were targeting. But it got bigger.  
16 But I don't have the exact number.

17 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: All right. Follow up and  
18 please get us that.

19 The other question I have for you, just very  
20 briefly -- I'm going to make a commitment not to go  
21 over my time. Just very briefly, what specific  
22 measures did you undertake in your shop with  
23 respect to fraud protection?

24 MR. RUBIN: We, for example, did post reviews,  
25 so after the fact we have a quality assurance

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 department that would go back and --

3 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: What about pre?

4 MR. RUBIN: Prefunding, we would get an  
5 executed 4506-T which is a document that allows us  
6 to go to the IRS to get the actual tax return. We  
7 would go ahead and -- for example, in the state of  
8 Florida, that was one of our requirements that we  
9 not only got it signed but executed, meaning we got  
10 copies of tax returns, compared it to what was  
11 submitted, and if there was any discrepancy, then  
12 we did not -- any material discrepancy, we didn't  
13 do the loan. That's one example.

14 We did independent verifications of  
15 employment.

16 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: That was on every no-doc  
17 or limited-doc loan?

18 MR. RUBIN: Every no-doc and -- yes.

19 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: So you would then --

20 MR. RUBIN: Excuse me. The 4506-T we did not  
21 implement until July of '08 is when we mandated --

22 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Yeah. Forget that for a  
23 minute. I'm asking during the run-up. What did  
24 you do in the '05 to '07 -- by '08 the market is  
25 dead. So what did you do specifically in the kind

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 of '04/'05 run-up period? What were the specific  
3 measures taken by your shop to detect fraud?

4 MR. RUBIN: So the training that we provided  
5 our underwriters was one of our critical elements.  
6 Providing them, you know, specific opportunities to  
7 look at here's a Social Security Number here, a  
8 Social Security Number there. You need to do a  
9 comparison. For appraisals, you need to do a  
10 comparison of are they using the right comparables?

11 So it was an intense training program for  
12 underwriters to recognize what we call red flags in  
13 the file. When you're calling a borrower's  
14 employment and they are not present, that's another  
15 red flag.

16 And so literally we looked at it by occupancy.  
17 If someone is buying a property outside of the  
18 marketplace and still intended to work there, that  
19 should raise a red flag. Well, how could this  
20 possibly be a primary residence if you're buying a  
21 house that's 200 miles away? Because we were not  
22 only looking at the income piece. We were trying  
23 to make sure that we understood who was the  
24 primary -- who is intended to occupy. Because one  
25 of the things we were really trying to weed out was



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 the investment population.

3 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Okay. I just violated my  
4 rule. Very quickly, did you have a set of written  
5 procedures in place for fraud detection?

6 MR. RUBIN: We had a credit policy, a written  
7 credit policy for all of our underwriters to  
8 follow. I don't recall offhand back three, four,  
9 five years ago if there was a specific fraud  
10 section.

11 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Will you please provide  
12 us if you had any policies. And then, secondly,  
13 organizationally was this solely in the hand of the  
14 underwriters or was there any sampling or  
15 verification by a fraud detection unit who in a  
16 sense backstopped, trained professionals who  
17 actually know how to look for problems?

18 MR. RUBIN: Yeah. Each of our underwriters  
19 has the ability to refer. So in the cases that I  
20 just gave, they would turn it over to our fraud --  
21 we have a specific fraud group that are experienced  
22 trained professionals that would take that and then  
23 do whatever is necessary.

24 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: How many referrals did  
25 you make?

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 2

2 MR. RUBIN: So, as an example, today a  
3 thousand a month.

4 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Today. I want to go  
5 back. I'm more interested in, you know, the period  
6 when the fuse is burning towards the explosion.  
7 What about the 2005/2007 period?

8 MR. RUBIN: I don't know.

9 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Would you have records of  
10 that?

11 MR. RUBIN: I really don't know.

12 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Okay. I would assume you  
13 would if you had a protocol and you had a reporting  
14 process. So perhaps we could ask you to provide  
15 that to us.

16 I'm over my time.

17 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Good. Thank you.

18 And I wish to thank this panel for their  
19 excellent contribution to our understanding of this  
20 problem. As I indicated, I expect that there will  
21 be some follow-up questions in writing. I  
22 appreciate your willingness to receive and respond  
23 to those.

24 It is now 12:30. We will take a 15-minute  
25 lunch break and we reconvene at 12:45.

## 1 Proceedings

2 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: And we'll be back with  
3 Indigestion in fifteen minutes. He's the task master.

4 (Recess was taken.)

## 5 SESSION 3

6 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I'll call the Commission  
7 back into session. The third and final panel for  
8 today will be on the regulation, oversight and  
9 prosecution of mortgage fraud. Again, it says in  
10 Miami, but we are going to be looking more broadly  
11 than that. As we have done with the two previous  
12 panels, when I complete your introduction, I'm  
13 going to ask that you stand and be sworn.

14 Our first panelist will be Mr. Tom  
15 Cardwell who is the Commissioner of the Office of  
16 Financial Regulations for the State of Florida.

17 Mr. Cardwell, thank you for being here today.

18 Next is Mr. Wilfredo Ferrer, the United States  
19 Attorney for the Southern District of Florida.

20 Thank you.

21 And, finally, Mr. R. Scott Palmer, Special  
22 Counsel in Chief of the Mortgage Fraud Task Force  
23 of the Attorney General's Office of Florida.

24 Thank you.

25 If you would please stand and raise your right  
26 hand.

## 1 Proceedings

2 Do you solemnly swear or affirm under the penalty of perjury that  
3 the testimony you are about to provide the Commission will be the  
4 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, to the best of  
5 your knowledge?

6 MS. CARDWELL: I do.

7 MR. FERRER: I do.

8 MR. PALMER: I do.

9 (witnesses sworn)

10 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you.

11 One other item. I anticipate that we will not  
12 be able to ask as many questions orally as we would  
13 like and, therefore, we ask your indulgence  
14 if we had written questions to be submitted later.

15 All: Yes/Of course/That would be fine.

16 Thank you very much.

17 Mr. Cardwell?

18 MR. CARDWELL: Thank you.

19 Chairman Angelides, Vice Chairman Thomas,  
20 Senator Graham, Members of the Commission, my name  
21 is Tom Cardwell and I am the Commissioner of the  
22 Office of Financial Regulation in the state of  
23 Florida, a position in which I have served now for  
24 one year. Prior to assuming this position, I was a  
25 lawyer in private practice for longer than I care  
26 to comment with the Akerman Senterfit firm, a

1           500-attorney firm based in Florida, where I served  
2           as Chairman and CEO and headed the Financial  
3           Institutions Practice Group.

4                   Relative to this appearance, I served on the

1                   Opening - Cardwell

2           Florida Supreme Court Mortgage Foreclosure Task  
3           Force which made recommendations to deal with the  
4           crisis in our Florida courts regarding mortgage  
5           foreclosures. And might I add, I have known  
6           Senator Graham for many years.

7                   The Office of Financial Regulation has  
8           jurisdiction over the state-chartered banking  
9           industry, securities industry, mortgage brokers and  
10          other financial industries. We have 453 employees,  
11          a budget of \$43 million with which to carry out our  
12          responsibilities for licensing, examination and  
13          enforcement in all of these areas.

14                  The real estate mania or bubble that overtook  
15          much of the nation certainly manifested itself in  
16          Florida. As in almost every bubble, there are  
17          opportunities for fraud and those who will avail  
18          themselves of that opportunity and the mortgage  
19          industry was no exception. The events that led up  
20          to the mortgage foreclosure crisis in Florida  
21          revealed weaknesses in the statutory scheme and the  
22          regulatory execution of that scheme. There have  
23          been significant changes and improvements since  
24          that time.

25                  Among the statutory weaknesses were that many

1                   Opening - Cardwell

2           persons engaged in originating the loans were not  
3           required to be licensed, and for those who were  
4           required to be licensed, background checks were  
5           only required at the time of the initial licensing  
6           and not on the renewal of licenses.

7           On the regulatory side, regulators were slow  
8           to implement federal criminal background checks and  
9           regulators were not as responsive to complaints and  
10          practices that they heard from the public as they  
11          could have been.

12          Florida has taken a number of steps to address  
13          these weaknesses. As you may know, on July 30th,  
14          2008, the President signed the Secure and Fair  
15          Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act, the acronym  
16          for which is the S.A.F.E. Act.

17          Florida is in compliance with that Act and has  
18          in fact gone beyond its requirements. Florida now  
19          requires that all persons engaged in the mortgage  
20          origination process be licensed unless exempt.  
21          This addresses the issue of unlicensed persons  
22          dealing with the public.

23          Next, each licensee is required to meet a new  
24          strict standard that include passing a detailed  
25          criminal and credit history background,

1                   Opening - Cardwell

2           demonstrating professional competency by  
3           successfully passing national and state  
4           examinations and having the background checks  
5           repeated annually as a part of the license renewal  
6           process.

7           Further, the background checking process has  
8           been enhanced, and as one of the complaints was a  
9           number of unsavory characters that were allowed to  
10          participate in the mortgage business. Under new  
11          Florida law, all participants are required to have  
12          the yearly background checks and credit histories.

13          Now, individuals with certain credit --  
14          criminal records are now barred from the mortgage  
15          industry and our license requirements are higher  
16          than those that are required under the S.A.F.E.  
17          Act. For example, in Florida any crime of moral  
18          turpitude can be a bar for participation, not just  
19          financially related crimes. In addition, Florida  
20          imposes the same background checks that S.A.F.E.  
21          imposes on individuals, on the officers and  
22          directors of the businesses with whom those  
23          originators were.

24          I think the S.A.F.E. Act is an important act.  
25          I think there are some fundamental changes that in



## 1                   Opening - Cardwell

2           both Florida and nationally will change the  
3           mortgage origination business. It will become much  
4           more professionalized. It will become better  
5           educated. I hope this will allow an increase in  
6           consumer confidence and there will be much stronger  
7           gatekeeping with respect to those criminal  
8           backgrounds. And I think these changes will go  
9           some significant way in addressing fraud in the  
10          origination process.

11                 On the regulatory side, we have developed  
12          rules to implement the restrictions of those having  
13          criminal records from entering the business. We  
14          have tightened our procedures to make sure the  
15          applications are processed timely and completely.  
16          We have developed and implemented state-of-the-art  
17          software for regulating mortgage brokers that let's  
18          us make sure that any of the issues are less likely  
19          to fall into the cracks and to look at all the  
20          records in a single database which we were not  
21          capable of doing before.

22                 Now, our agency does not have criminal  
23          prosecutorial authority; however, when a complaint  
24          leads to examination and fraud, we do partner with  
25          an agency that does, many of these here, and we are

1                   Opening - Cardwell

2           a resource to other agencies.

3                   COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Cardwell, if you  
4           would summarize.

5                   MR. CARDWELL: I shall.

6                   And I'd like to speak just very briefly about  
7           the world of mortgage regulation in the future.  
8           And to that I would say the financial crisis has  
9           framed the question to the regulatory community  
10          "What could we have done better?" And the  
11          challenges for all regulators I think are to get  
12          ahead of the curve.

13                   It's the story of Wayne Gretzky. What he did,  
14          he would skate to where the puck was going to be  
15          and not where it is. And I think in regulation we  
16          have not done that as well as we should, and I  
17          think that is the challenge for us going forward.

18                   I see that my time has expired, so with that,  
19          thank you.

20                   COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much.

21                   Mr. Ferrer?

22                   MR. FERRER: Good afternoon, Ladies and  
23          Gentlemen of the Commission. My name is Wilfredo  
24          Ferrer and I've had the pleasure of serving as the  
25          U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of Florida

1                   Opening - Ferrer

2           for the last four months. I want to thank you for  
3           inviting me and I'm very pleased to be here to  
4           assist you in your fact-finding process.

5           As you know, the mission of the Department of  
6           Justice and of all the U.S. Attorneys' Offices  
7           across the country is to enforce our nation's laws  
8           by investigating, prosecuting and punishing those  
9           who commit crimes, including financial crimes and  
10          fraud. And in this context, the Department of  
11          Justice and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the  
12          Southern District of Florida have waged an  
13          aggressive campaign to help stem the tide of  
14          mortgage fraud that has tarnished our communities  
15          and our nation.

16          But our prosecutorial efforts, no matter how  
17          aggressive and focused, are defined and limited by  
18          our role in the justice system, and our role is to  
19          bring to justice those who have committed or have  
20          conspired to commit fraud. Now, unfortunately,  
21          that often means that the fraud has already been  
22          committed and the harm has already been done by the  
23          time we become involved. Still, we believe that  
24          our prosecutions, and the resulting punishment,  
25          help prevent fraud by deterring others from

1                   Opening - Ferrer

2           committing similar crimes in the future.

3                   Now, despite our District's increased scrutiny  
4           and continually rising prosecutions, mortgage fraud  
5           continues to be a serious problem in my hometown  
6           and here in South Florida. Earlier in the decade,  
7           South Florida benefited from tremendous growth  
8           during the real estate boom. But as a result,  
9           however, we were hit particularly hard with the  
10          market's eventual fall.

11                   In 2009, for example, the Miami-Fort  
12          Lauderdale metropolitan area was ranked by  
13          RealtyTrac, the year-end report, among the top ten  
14          U.S. metropolitan areas for foreclosure rates, with  
15          1 out of every 14 homes facing foreclosure  
16          proceedings. In addition, Fannie Mae ranked  
17          Florida number one in loan origination fraud in  
18          2008 and number three in 2009. And according to  
19          FinCEN and the Department of Treasury, California  
20          and Florida led the nation in the number of  
21          mortgage fraud loan subjects reported in their  
22          Suspicious Activity Reports, also known as SARs,  
23          for 2009. In addition, the Mortgage Asset Research  
24          Institute, known as MARI, ranked Florida number one  
25          for mortgage fraud for the four straight years

1                   Opening - Ferrer

2           prior since 2006.

3                   Recent figures estimate nationwide mortgage  
4           fraud losses for 2009 are approximately \$14  
5           billion. In addition to staggering losses, our  
6           cases reflect that mortgage fraud breeds other  
7           crimes. We continue to see mortgage fraud tied to  
8           other serious crimes, such as identify theft, money  
9           laundering, credit card fraud and even arson, just  
10          to name a few. The use of the Internet and related  
11          technology to receive and process loan applications  
12          is increasing and that makes the fraudsters  
13          anonymous and easier to hide.

14                  Our prosecutions reveal that the perpetrators  
15          of mortgage fraud have infiltrated every level of  
16          the loan industry. We're talking from straw buyers  
17          who pose as legitimate purchasers to corrupt  
18          mortgage brokers, appraisers, complicit title  
19          agents, attorneys and bank loan officers.

20                  Now, to address the mortgage fraud problem in  
21          South Florida, in September of 2007, our office,  
22          the U.S. Attorney's Office, announced its Mortgage  
23          Fraud Initiative. Then we built upon the success  
24          of that Initiative and we created a Mortgage Fraud  
25          Strike Force comprised of experienced federal

1                   Opening - Ferrer

2           prosecutors and state and local agents, officers  
3           and financial analysts dedicated exclusively to  
4           investigating and prosecuting mortgage fraud cases.

5           Using this model -- which, by the way, was a  
6           model for the nation -- of federal, state and local  
7           cooperation, law enforcement is working together,  
8           efficiently and quickly in sharing information and  
9           focusing on common goals.

10          Our Mortgage Strike Force has yielded  
11          substantial results. As of September 20th,  
12          actually yesterday, from the time we started our  
13          initiative in 2007, we have prosecuted 401 mortgage  
14          fraud defendants at all levels of the mortgage  
15          process responsible for almost half a billion  
16          dollars in fraud. And more recently, the Financial  
17          Fraud Enforcement Task Force, established in  
18          November of 2009 by the President, has helped shed  
19          a national spotlight and renewed multi-agency  
20          emphasis on mortgage fraud investigations and  
21          prosecutions.

22          This leads me to my final point. While  
23          prosecutions play an important role in deterring  
24          mortgage fraud, prosecutions are not the solution  
25          to the mortgage fraud problem. We can very well

1                   Opening - Ferrer

2           double our prosecutions and still not slow down the  
3           tide of fraud. Prevention, that is the real  
4           answer, and in that regard, private industry, law  
5           enforcement and regulators must join forces,  
6           communicate and coordinate to better prevent the  
7           fraud on the front end.

8                   This is where the President's Financial Fraud  
9           Enforcement Task Force comes into play and it has  
10          its greatest impact. By educating the industry and  
11          law enforcement about emerging frauds, learning  
12          from victims at town hall meetings, educating the  
13          public on how to avoid becoming the victim of  
14          fraud, sharing lessons learned, and spearheading  
15          national projects like we did in June of this year  
16          which is called Operation Stolen Dreams, the  
17          Financial Crimes Enforcement Task Force provides a  
18          crucial tool to combat financial fraud.

19                   Thank you very much for inviting me once again  
20          and I look forward to your questions.

21                   COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much,  
22          Mr. Ferrer.

23                   Mr. Palmer?

24                   MR. PALMER: Yes, sir.

25                   On behalf of Attorney General Bill McCollum, I

1                   Opening - Palmer

2           wish to extend our thanks to the Commission for  
3           being invited here to testify about how the Florida  
4           Attorney General's Office has addressed the  
5           mortgage fraud problem in Florida. As you noted,  
6           I'm Scott Palmer. I'm special counsel to the  
7           Attorney General and I'm also head of an internal  
8           mortgage fraud task force. And I also teach at  
9           Florida State University Law School. I teach  
10          white-collar crime.

11                 When I arrived back at the Attorney General's  
12          Office in 2007, mortgage fraud was already on the  
13          radar screen. Historically, the Attorney General's  
14          Office only has the authority to prosecute mortgage  
15          fraud civilly under the Florida Unfair and  
16          Deceptive Trade Practices Act. The Unfair and  
17          Deceptive Trade Practices Act allows the Attorney  
18          General to seek damages, penalties, restitution,  
19          dissolution and other equitable remedies.

20                 Since the late 1980s, the Office of Statewide  
21          Prosecution has been indeed housed within the  
22          Attorney General's Office and they have the ability  
23          to pursue multi-jurisdictional cases that would  
24          involve the crimes that are involved in the  
25          mortgage fraud.



1                   Opening - Palmer

2                   Now, in the summer of 2007, we, the Florida  
3                   Attorney General's Office, had a citizen services  
4                   consumer hotline, and they began to receive  
5                   complaints about something we call mortgage rescue  
6                   fraud. They also began receiving complaints about  
7                   questionable real estate deals and complaints about  
8                   lenders.

9                   As a result of these complaints, we formed our  
10                  internal task force and we had to use investigators  
11                  and attorneys that had actually other duties and  
12                  volunteered to be on this task force. We triaged  
13                  these cases and then we either prosecuted them  
14                  civilly or referred them to local prosecutors  
15                  criminally.

16                  Now, I've described the most egregious cases  
17                  that we found in my written testimony and I won't  
18                  go over that here.

19                  And another thing that we did is we did form a  
20                  small unit to analyze various property transfers  
21                  based on tips that we received in the citizens  
22                  services hotline. And what we saw primarily were  
23                  properties that were listed, for example, for  
24                  \$400,000 one day and then relisted for \$600,000 the  
25                  next day and then there was an immediate sale.

1                   Opening - Palmer

2                   Now, we used this type of information as  
3 information to open cases and to subpoena records  
4 from title companies and other various people to  
5 investigate these cases. One such suit that we  
6 filed is described in my written testimony as the  
7 American Heritage case.

8                   We also of course received complaints about  
9 lenders. We received most complaints about  
10 Countrywide. Countrywide, we launched an  
11 investigation into Countrywide. We found out that  
12 Countrywide didn't even follow their own  
13 underwriting standards. They didn't follow  
14 industry underwriting standards. They placed  
15 borrowers into loans that they couldn't afford.  
16 They failed to properly disclose the loan terms.  
17 They placed borrowers in inappropriate mortgages  
18 and they compensated underwriters with bonuses that  
19 were based on volume instead of quality and all  
20 things I'm sure you've heard before.

21                   At same time that these civil prosecutions  
22 were pending, our Office of Statewide Prosecution  
23 was also prosecuting cases criminally. And I think  
24 Agent Wilcox mentioned the Argent Mortgage case and  
25 that was one of the cases and many others

1                   Opening - Palmer

2           prosecuted by our Office of Statewide Prosecution.

3                   One of the things we discovered was  
4           shortcomings in our laws. First, realtors and  
5           appraisers couldn't be included in our civil suits  
6           because under the Unfair and Deceptive Trade  
7           Practice Act, they were statutorily excluded on the  
8           theory that regulatory agencies needed to take  
9           corrective action against them. Sometimes they did  
10          and sometimes they didn't.

11                   Second, even though the Attorney General had  
12          the power to investigate and file civil  
13          racketeering cases, the proceedings were basically  
14          under state law in rem proceedings. They had to be  
15          filed against property. The cases that we were  
16          looking at, the property has been dissipated, and  
17          under Florida law, you didn't have a racketeering  
18          case because you had no property to file against.  
19          Under federal law, the civil racketeering -- you  
20          can file a civil racketeering case against the  
21          person and then seize substitute property, and  
22          that's not the case in Florida law. So our hands  
23          were tied there.

24                   And of course in the Countrywide case, that  
25          was taken over by Bank of America. Under Florida

1                   Opening - Palmer

2           law, we can't investigate federally chartered  
3           banks, so we had to file suit against Countrywide  
4           the day before they were purchased by Bank of  
5           America. Otherwise, we would have lost the entire  
6           case.

7                   Now, we accomplished some statutory reform,  
8           and with the passage of the Florida Statute  
9           501.1377, we basically made it illegal to take any  
10          type of up-front fee in any kind of mortgage  
11          modification. And that pretty well shut down the  
12          business.

13                   Also, as Mr. Cardwell has noted, under the  
14          S.A.F.E. Act now, a mortgage -- any type of  
15          mortgage modification person has to be licensed  
16          under the State of Florida. And those two things  
17          have really brought down the incidence of mortgage  
18          risk and fraud.

19                   Also, in response to the fact that our laws  
20          have shortcomings, we formed an inter-agency task  
21          force which put together the Attorney General's  
22          Office, the Office of Financial Regulation, the  
23          Florida Department of Law Enforcement and other  
24          local law enforcement agencies so we could triage  
25          cases and refer them appropriately.

1                   Opening - Palmer

2                   And I see I'm out of time. But what I would  
3                   like to say also in closing is that mortgage fraud  
4                   is a very unique crime, because in most instances  
5                   the victims are also the perpetrators and the  
6                   perpetrators are the victims. Those who are the  
7                   victims of the mortgage rescue scheme invariably  
8                   commit the serious felonies of misrepresenting the  
9                   assets or other things when they obtain the  
10                  mortgage in the first place.

11                  Lending institutions that suffered these  
12                  unfathomable losses were often guilty of predatory  
13                  lending practices and sometimes even encouraged the  
14                  commission of felonies beseeching mortgage brokers  
15                  to write mortgages through any means necessary so  
16                  that they would have a portfolio to sell on Wall  
17                  Street.

18                  COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Would you summarize  
19                  please.

20                  MR. PALMER: Yes.

21                  And mortgage brokers and others were also  
22                  involved.

23                  So in case, in closing, the recent dramatic  
24                  increases in the private criminal prosecution of  
25                  mortgage fraud perpetrators at all levels is

1                   Opening - Palmer

2           commendable and necessary. But I agree with the  
3           U.S. Attorney in that the best way to do it is to  
4           develop systems that will detect mortgage fraud at  
5           the time it's occurring and not prosecute people  
6           after it has occurred.

7           Thank you.

8           COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much.

9           In one way or the other, you've  
10          all touched on the issue of prevention as being the  
11          superior strategy to chasing after the event. From  
12          your experience, what have you found to be the most  
13          effective measures, either using government  
14          regulatory enforcement measures or using the  
15          marketplace? What have been the most effective  
16          preventive tactics against mortgage fraud?

17          MR. PALMER: The most effective preventative  
18          tactics revolve around having sufficient  
19          intelligence about what's going on and then acting  
20          quickly to stop the problem. A criminal  
21          prosecution --

22          COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Pull your mic --

23          MR. PALMER: Oh, I'm sorry.

24          A criminal prosecution takes a long time to  
25          develop. You can hit -- if you develop a case that

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 has -- that you can prove, say, to the  
3 preponderance of the evidence instead of beyond and  
4 to the exclusion of every reasonable doubt, you  
5 might be able to go in and hit the people in frauds  
6 with a civil injunction to stop the activity from  
7 occurring and then refer it for criminal  
8 prosecution. And that's what we were attempting to  
9 do.

10 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Ferrer, any thoughts  
11 on what you found to be effective preventive  
12 tactics?

13 MR. FERRER: I think that what we are doing  
14 now nationwide with the President's Financial Fraud  
15 Enforcement Task Force, that is a great example of  
16 an effective prevention tactic, and that is because  
17 we are now elevating the problem nationwide. In  
18 June of this year, we announced the takedown on  
19 Operation Stolen Dreams where in a three-month  
20 period here in the Southern District of Florida, we  
21 indicted 86 defendants who were responsible for  
22 \$76 million in fraud, in loans that were issued by  
23 fraud.

24 By elevating that, having press conferences,  
25 every time you have a mortgage fraud case, we issue

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 a press release letting the public know what the  
3 schemes are that we are seeing and how to prevent  
4 it and what to look out for.

5 I have also just -- I've been on the job for  
6 four months. Two weeks ago I went with the  
7 Assistant Attorney General, Tony West, to the  
8 National Hispanic Prosecution and Bar Association  
9 nationwide, and we talked about mortgage fraud in a panel.

10 And what I think is very effective is

11 when the regulators sit down with us and they hear  
12 from us as to what we're seeing in our cases so  
13 that they see lessons learned, in other words, and  
14 know what to look out for from here on into the  
15 future and what we're seeing in our cases. And I  
16 think that's been very effective.

17 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Cardwell?

18 MR. CARDWELL: I think this panel touched  
19 on the most important aspect of prevention earlier  
20 this morning. I think that the incentives in the  
21 system to create frauds are the most pervasive and  
22 serious problem. A bubble is an incubator of  
23 fraud. It really starts with that. Whenever you  
24 have an economic bubble like this, it is going to  
25 attract people into fraud. If the money is



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 there, the people are going to be there.

3 In my experience, I saw the amount of money  
4 poured into the housing market by the banks and  
5 investors. I saw the lax lending standards. I saw  
6 the lax of accountability throughout the system  
7 that you all discussed this morning. I saw  
8 out-and-out greed. I saw economic illiteracy by a  
9 number of people. And all of those created, if you  
10 would, here in South Florida a perfect storm to  
11 have a great deal of fraud. And while we as a  
12 regulator can help by controlling who gets into the  
13 industry and while Mr. Ferrer and Mr. Palmer can  
14 work on prosecuting it, those are in the sense  
15 activities that we all engage in that are shutting  
16 the barn after the horses have fled.

17 And so if the topic is prevention, I firmly  
18 believe that you're going to have to deal with the  
19 market incentives and policies which create  
20 conditions in which fraud is rife.

21 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Ferrer, I am going  
22 to raise a somewhat sensitive topic, but you  
23 referred to the fact that you had recently attended  
24 a meeting of Hispanic prosecutors. Is there  
25 anything about this issue that is different in a

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 community such as this one with a high degree of  
3 diversity vis-a-vis a community that is more  
4 homogeneous?

5 MR. FERRER: Actually, what I have seen, Mr.  
6 Commissioner, Senator Graham, is that because of  
7 the diversity that exists in the Southern District  
8 of Florida, we fall -- the population here is more  
9 vulnerable. I have seen one of the cruelest  
10 schemes which is when two defendants went after the  
11 Haitian-American community purportedly for  
12 immigration services and they asked them to come  
13 forward to get assistance in their immigration  
14 proceedings, their housing, government programs.  
15 And what they did was they stole their identities.  
16 The fraudsters took advantage of language barriers,  
17 cultural differences, the fact that they were in  
18 need of other services, and they stole their  
19 identity, and then they got mortgages based on  
20 those stolen identities and then they sold homes  
21 and flipped them based on the identities of these  
22 victims.

23 I think that in a population of diversity,  
24 which is our greatest strength, also makes it very  
25 vulnerable and fraudsters to come and take

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 advantage.

3 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Ferrer, I'd like to  
4 pursue something that was said at the first panel,  
5 and you eluded to it in your remarks, and that is  
6 the level of prosecution. It was stated that while  
7 there were a thousand or more people prosecuted as  
8 a result of the Savings and Loan Crisis of 20-or-so  
9 years ago, that there have been no prosecutions  
10 during this current financial crisis.

11 Now, you indicate and I think you gave the  
12 number of 401 cases. Is that correct?

13 MR. FERRER: Yes, Senator. Yes.

14 Actually, that could be -- I mean, at least in  
15 this district, that could be no further from the  
16 truth. I mean, we actually in the last three years  
17 alone from 2007 when we started our Initiative  
18 until yesterday, we've prosecuted 401 defendants  
19 who were responsible for almost half a billion  
20 dollars in fraudulent loans.

21 Mortgage fraud is not new to our district. We  
22 have been prosecuting these cases since the  
23 beginning of the decade, even beforehand. In 1999,  
24 for example, just as a quick example, we brought  
25 down a substantial case. It was called Operation

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 Flipper and it involved 250 properties, more than  
3 that actually, more than 250 properties, that were  
4 illegally flipped. And we did that in 1999. That  
5 was a 19-month investigation. Nine defendants.  
6 They were responsible for \$36 million in fraud.  
7 And then we had Operation Flipper Part 2 in 2001  
8 which involved more than 50 properties.

9 So we've seen the fraud here in South Florida  
10 and our office has effectively and aggressively  
11 prosecuted those cases. So -- and I will also tell  
12 you that there is expected more to come. We have  
13 pending investigations as do the law enforcement  
14 agency that we work with.

15 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: In that same panel,  
16 there was a lot of discussion about what is  
17 referred to as control fraud, which is where the  
18 institution, such as a mortgage originator or a  
19 bank, is part of the fraudulent activity by  
20 allowing conditions to exist which promote fraud.

21 Have you seen here in South Florida evidences  
22 of this so-called control fraud, fraud from the top  
23 of the financial food chain?

24 MR. FERRER: Well, the way we work our cases  
25 is that we follow the evidence wherever it leads

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 us. What we have found so far in the Southern  
3 District of Florida is -- and we have prosecuted  
4 insiders from the bank, from the financial  
5 institutions, such as bank managers, loan officers  
6 and the such.

7 Again, this is an area where we are continuing  
8 to investigate. We will always follow the  
9 evidence. We have in the past on other matters --  
10 this month -- I mean, this year, for example, on  
11 Wachovia, you remember that we filed an Information  
12 against the bank for not having an anti-money  
13 laundering program.

14 So we are following the evidence, and right  
15 now what we have brought so far to date have been  
16 bank insiders, such as managers and loan officers,  
17 but not institutions.

18 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Palmer, you indicate  
19 that in Florida, the Attorney General can either  
20 directly prosecute mortgage fraud and the similar  
21 cases or can refer it to a local State's Attorney  
22 for prosecution. Did I hear correct?

23 MR. PALMER: We can civilly prosecute.

24 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: You can not criminally?

25 MR. PALMER: We cannot criminally prosecute.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 That can only be done by either the statewide  
3 prosecutor or the local State Attorneys.

4 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Do you know how many  
5 referrals you have made for criminal prosecution of  
6 mortgage fraud to either State's Attorneys or the  
7 statewide prosecutor?

8 MR. PALMER: I don't have a number with me,  
9 but I'll be happy to provide that.

10 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: All right. You also  
11 suggested that there might be some further changes  
12 in the Florida law which would facilitate  
13 prosecution of these cases.

14 MR. PALMER: That's correct.

15 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Maybe in written form  
16 could you give us what you think some of those  
17 changes should be.

18 MR. PALMER: Certainly.

19 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Ferrer, you  
20 mentioned SARs reports. In the -- again, in that  
21 earlier panel, a statement was made that only  
22 one-third of the persons who potentially might  
23 become aware of a suspect activity were today  
24 covered by the SARs statute, whereas I guess banks  
25 that are under some regulatory regime, their

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 employees are required to report suspicious  
3 activities. But if you are a mortgage broker and  
4 you see suspicious activity, I believe they are  
5 outside the SARs net.

6 Is that a serious issue in terms of your  
7 ability to get eyes onto the activities that may be  
8 a precursor of fraud?

9 MR. FERRER: You're correct, Senator. My  
10 understanding is that SARs, only banks files these  
11 SARs.

12 However, I do want to point out that we get  
13 cases referred to us from many, many sources. We  
14 have victims, bank insiders who cooperate with us.  
15 Even defendants sometimes will come forward to  
16 reduce their exposure. Other brokers who will give  
17 us information about others who are committing  
18 crimes. So we get referrals from all different  
19 types of sources. And even -- and we welcome any  
20 opportunity or any changes that would, you know,  
21 bring us more referrals.

22 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Do you think that the  
23 SARs law should be amended to widen its  
24 applicability?

25 MR. FERRER: Well, I wouldn't happen to have

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 the expertise, I'm sorry, Senator, to opine on  
3 that.

4 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I will accept that,  
5 although I respect your judgment and opinion and  
6 maybe we might pursue that.

7 MR. FERRER: Absolutely.

8 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Cardwell, under the  
9 new laws at the state and the federal level that  
10 have passed relative to mortgage brokers, what's  
11 your assessment of the current level of enforcement  
12 of those inside Florida? Are we doing a better job  
13 of ferreting out the charlatans and those who  
14 should not be preying upon our people?

15 MR. CARDWELL: Yes, we are.

16 In terms of timing of that, the actual systems  
17 of implementing -- and I won't go into the details  
18 of it -- but really take effect on October 31st of  
19 this year. And then beginning the 1st of the year,  
20 the changes that were passed -- the 2008 federal  
21 legislation came into effect. In 2009, Florida  
22 passed the implementing of legislation. 2010 it's  
23 actually being implemented.

24 I expect that we will be able to do this  
25 seamlessly. I expect this to have a strong



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 salutary effect on the mortgage origination  
3 business, because you're going to have to  
4 significant educational requirements to get into  
5 the business or you're not going to be allowed to  
6 interact with customers directly. And we're going  
7 to have extensive criminal background checks  
8 frequently followed up. And I have no -- we  
9 obviously have no statistics on this yet, but I  
10 truly think it is going to professionalize this  
11 business.

12 During the run-up of the problems that we have  
13 now, everybody and their brother got into the  
14 business. They raced to it. Our statistics show  
15 that applications -- that the number of active  
16 mortgage brokers in 2002 in Florida was 30,000  
17 plus. And by the 1967, it was 81,000 people had  
18 become active mortgage brokers in the state of  
19 Florida. And a lot of that was probably people who  
20 should not have been in the business.

21 So I think that the S.A.F.E. Act  
22 implementation of that will have a very positive  
23 effect on getting a -- what's the right word to  
24 use -- a more professional grade of person in the  
25 business.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Let me ask one last  
3 question. Do you -- some of the regulatory  
4 agencies in Florida are self-financing; that is,  
5 those persons who are regulating pay are what  
6 support the activity of the regulatory agency.

7 Is that a case with mortgage brokers?

8 MR. CARDWELL: Having been the former Governor  
9 of the state of Florida, you may know the answer to  
10 that. The answer is that the fees that the  
11 industries pay go into trust funds which are for  
12 the purpose of executing that regulation. I would  
13 have to say in full candor that occasionally the  
14 legislative process has been known to reach into  
15 those trust funds, and so we do not always have the  
16 full benefit of the fees that that industry has  
17 paid in self-regulation.

18 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I won't ask you to  
19 answer this question today, but my concern is that  
20 as we increase the standards of enforcement, we're  
21 likely to drive down the number of people who are  
22 in the industry as those who shouldn't be are  
23 exiting. But those new enforcement standards have  
24 a dollar assigned to them in terms of what it costs  
25 to implement; that we could have strong standards

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 on the books with strong enforcement, but if we  
3 don't have the resources to make them real, we have  
4 created another form of fraud on the people.

5 So I would like maybe to ask you by written  
6 question to give me some assessment of how  
7 financially capable the agencies are going to be to  
8 carry out this new responsibility.

9 MR. CARDWELL: All right. I shall do that.

10 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: The Vice Chair has got  
11 some other commitments and has asked if he could go  
12 second, and after a long period of consideration, I  
13 have decided to grant him that request.

14 The floor is yours, Mr. Thomas.

15 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you very much.  
16 And I will only take my time and maybe even less.

17 I guess I can find this out in another way,  
18 but since we've got Floridians here that understand  
19 the way in which your government is structured and  
20 the state governments are structured in a lot of  
21 different ways.

22 Mr. Cardwell, the jurisdiction of the Office  
23 of Financial Regulation, frankly from a Washington  
24 point of view, would be envied because you do have  
25 a degree of scope. You indicated that there were

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 some activities not under you, but my gosh, you've  
3 got a good cross-section.

4 Is the Office of Financial Regulation under  
5 the Governor?

6 MR. CARDWELL: Independent. It's an  
7 independent agency.

8 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's an independent  
9 agency.

10 MR. CARDWELL: The head of which is appointed  
11 by the four elected state officers.

12 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, okay, okay. I'm  
13 familiar with that model on other arrangements.

14 And, Mr. Palmer, obviously the Attorney  
15 General is elected separately. But you indicated a  
16 coordinated effort with the Office of Financial  
17 Regulation.

18 MR. PALMER: That's correct.

19 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Let me ask Mr. Cardwell  
20 first, because he's been around longer. How is the  
21 coordination ordinarily between independent  
22 agencies and key state government functions like  
23 the Attorney General's Office normally carried out  
24 versus the current arrangement? Is that more  
25 personalities or is it a function of jurisdiction

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 or the scope of the problem that you're trying to  
3 deal with?

4 MR. PALMER: I think --

5 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You can't choose all of  
6 the above.

7 MR. CARDWELL: Right.

8 I think there is no formalized structure by  
9 which coordination is implemented. We have very  
10 good working relationships both with the Attorney  
11 General's Office, with the federal offices as well.  
12 It is a matter of initiative. In this particular  
13 area of mortgage foreclosure, there has been a lot  
14 of cooperation, and I will say in part because of  
15 the heat of the issues that have been raised.

16 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I was a little  
17 surprised at your example in terms of what you  
18 ought to do versus what happens using the great one  
19 and an ice hockey illusion in Florida. Talk to  
20 most duck hunters and they'll tell you if you shoot  
21 at the duck, you won't be having duck for dinner.  
22 You'll have to lead them.

23 My only problem is with decades of  
24 experience -- and I'm sure the Senator will  
25 reinforce it -- normally when you have government

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 go in and examine, it is a reaction and not an  
3 action. And what government would have done, it  
4 would have been gone to the ice hockey player who  
5 initially struck the puck that was on its way  
6 rather than try to go to where it's going to go.  
7 And I think that's frustrating among a lot of us  
8 and I've heard those comments that it's much better  
9 to get out front and try to prevent the potential  
10 for fraud rather than trying to move back through  
11 the structure, detect it and then carry out some  
12 kind of law enforcement initiative.

13 I'd love to hear your idea as to how you do  
14 that, especially if it requires laws passed by a  
15 state legislation or Congress. It's tough enough  
16 to get them to pass laws on a reaction basis let  
17 alone in an anticipation basis.

18 MR. CARDWELL: I think it's an institutional  
19 framework. In our office, we have started asking  
20 the question, What do we see coming down the road?

21 A quick example with the loan modifications,  
22 we saw people who had been originating the loans,  
23 then turning around and going into the loan  
24 modification business with the same loans that they  
25 had originated were failing. And we put together a

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 task force at the beginning of this year internally  
3 to look at it. We've issued a number of cease and  
4 desist orders. We have also, in addition to that,  
5 tried to publicize these. We've gotten the media  
6 in with us so that people would know that this is  
7 going on.

8 There is no statutory formula for it. We sit  
9 at staff meetings and say, What do we see coming up  
10 next? It is extremely difficult. I think in my  
11 view, the recent passage of the Financial  
12 Regulatory Reform Act with respect to its systemic  
13 risk approach, however that works, is an idea that  
14 gives -- is an attempt to flush out, pushing  
15 regulators into a place where frankly, you were  
16 correct, they're not always very comfortable.

17 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, and historically  
18 it's been so divided and broken up, I would think  
19 that your ability to sit and think about what's  
20 next is in part due to the scope of activities  
21 covered by the Office of Financial Regulation in  
22 Florida that may not be available to many others.

23 I want to ask a question just because I'm not  
24 from Florida. I do recall having gone through an  
25 earthquake in California, getting on an airplane

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 and then landing at Dulles and surprised to find  
3 that there were cameras and interviewers asking the  
4 passengers getting off the plane if they were going  
5 to go back to California. Because after all, the  
6 earth moved in California, and of course those of  
7 us who grew up getting knocked out of bed from  
8 earthquakes not very frequently wondered why people  
9 on the east coast would ask that question when they  
10 not only get knocked out of their beds, especially  
11 in this area of the U.S, but get knocked out of  
12 their homes on a fairly periodic basis. And of  
13 course Florida in recent years suffering massive  
14 and repeated damage.

15 So here's my question: Did anybody detect any  
16 of this mortgage fraud over those periods through  
17 insurers or others who were dealing with fairly  
18 significant disruptions in the housing market both  
19 in terms of sales, damage, insurance activities?  
20 Did anyone look at any of that and was anything  
21 evident from some of the patterns?

22 MR. CARDWELL: I am not aware of those  
23 patterns being there now. Remember, I was in  
24 another place and a regulator at the time. But  
25 what I think what we all saw is what everybody saw



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 in the nation --

3 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: But what did you know  
4 and when did you know it, I guess?

5 MR. CARDWELL: Right.

6 I think we all knew that there was a  
7 tremendous growth in housing values and that none  
8 of us wanted to admit -- and by us, I mean the  
9 public at large beyond the regulatory community but  
10 certainly within it -- that this potentially was  
11 going to be a problem. We heard the same talking  
12 heads on TV and all that things are going to be all  
13 right. And we would walk around our own streets  
14 and say, I can't believe that anybody would pay  
15 that amount for that house.16 Now that I'm a regulator, one of the things  
17 that I have come to realize is that one of the  
18 hardest things to do is to recognize the nature and  
19 extent of a problem while you are in the middle of  
20 it. The retroscope is a wonderful device, but  
21 that's really the best answer that I can give to  
22 you.23 VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I know it's difficult,  
24 but you need to know that in our New York hearing,  
25 we asked much the same question of someone who has

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 a reputation of being ahead of the curve, Warren  
3 Buffet, and he said that they hadn't anticipated;  
4 that obviously there's books out now with the very  
5 few who were swimming against the stream, but  
6 that's why there is a book out about it, because  
7 there were very few of them.

8 Obviously some areas in California have been  
9 similarly hit. I do think that the scope of your  
10 ability to look at an industry and not look at it  
11 segmentally is very valuable, and I'm going to take  
12 a look at what you've done in Florida and see if we  
13 can try to create some structure. Because frankly,  
14 in the long-run, creating task forces after the  
15 fact simply isn't going to deal with this issue.  
16 And although a lot of us like to think that this  
17 won't repeat itself, you've been around long enough  
18 and I'm beginning to have been around long enough  
19 in California to note that as well. We just hope  
20 that we learn from this particular crisis, because  
21 there are an awful lot of people out there who are  
22 hoping that it doesn't happen again.

23 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

24 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much,  
25 Mr. Thomas.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 Ms. Murren?

3 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Thank you, Senator  
4 Graham. Thanks to all of you for being here today.5 I wanted to follow up on some of our questions  
6 earlier specifically relating to how it is that  
7 fraud cases or instances of fraud come to your  
8 attention.9 And I think, Mr. Ferrer, you had eluded to it  
10 in your commentary, but I wonder if you could also  
11 comment on how it is that you make determinations  
12 about which cases you will advance. Do you have an  
13 obligation to look at each one of them as they come  
14 in, and then at what threshold do you use to make  
15 decisions about that going forward?

16 MR. FERRER: Yes, Madam Commissioner.

17 As I said before, we get our cases from a  
18 variety of sources, from our law enforcement  
19 partners, in other words, federal law enforcement  
20 agencies that are reviewing and looking at these  
21 cases, our state and local partners as well. We've  
22 created a federal and state law enforcement task  
23 force. So that's how we get a lot of the  
24 referrals.

25 In addition, as I said before, victims, folks

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 who are left with a home that they can no longer  
3 afford, now they look back and realize that the  
4 appraisals were artificially high. We get  
5 referrals from insiders from the industry who want  
6 to cooperate with us and expose some fraud.

7 And when the cases are referred to our office,  
8 first they go to the agencies that are the  
9 investigative agencies and they start an initial  
10 investigation. Once that's ready to be presented  
11 to the U.S. Attorney's Office, they come to us. We  
12 have a procedure, and I like to call it a flexible  
13 intake procedure, and we look at it case by case.  
14 Because we want to make sure with our resources  
15 that we have that we put the -- that we prosecute  
16 the matters that make the best use of resources and  
17 have the greatest impact in our community in terms  
18 of punishment, deterrents and then education.  
19 Which goes back to the prevention question from  
20 Senator Graham, what of those kind of cases are  
21 going to have the greatest impact given what we  
22 have to work with?

23 Then we look at to see also the types of  
24 cases, the nature of the victims, the types of  
25 targets. What are the roles of those targets in

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 the industry? Are they insiders? That's going to  
3 make a case much more impactful.

4 Safety is something that we look at. You  
5 know, as I said in my testimony, these crimes breed  
6 other crimes. We had a case where there was arson,  
7 attempted arson, where there were two public  
8 adjusters who saw a house under water and decided  
9 that they were going to just torch the house and  
10 then file false insurance documents. Thankfully we  
11 were able to thwart that. Those are the kind of  
12 cases that we jump on first.

13 We also prioritize by looking at cases that  
14 are fraud-for-profit and opposed to  
15 fraud-for-property. Fraud-for-profit are those who  
16 are basically flipping the properties just to line  
17 their pocket. There's no intention of living  
18 there. There's no intention of paying the  
19 mortgage.

20 The fraud-for-property are those  
21 single-mortgage cases where someone does lie and  
22 commit fraud, but they really -- they intend to  
23 live in the property and intend to make the  
24 mortgage payments. That's still illegal, but  
25 because of our resources, we focus more on the

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 fraud-for-profit.

3 There is no threshold amount to answer your  
4 last question.

5 There are cases -- again, it depends on --  
6 fraud amount and the loss is a factor. It is a  
7 factor, but it is not the only factor. And so we  
8 have cases ranging from thousands to over a million  
9 dollars.

10 COMMISSIONER MURREN: So you do feel that for  
11 people that may not have a huge dollar amount  
12 associated with being victimized by fraud, that  
13 they still have some resource in the system as it's  
14 currently received?

15 MR. FERRER: Absolutely.

16 I would tell them, Come forward, call us. The  
17 beauty of having a federal state task force is that  
18 if a case is better suited to go to the state, we  
19 will do so. And if it's better suited to come to  
20 the federal authorities, we will do that as well.

21 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Mr. Palmer, would you  
22 like to add anything to that?

23 MR. PALMER: Well, just that the Attorney  
24 General's Office is in quite a different position  
25 because we prosecute cases civilly. And so what we

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 look for is cases where a civil suit or an  
3 injunction would make an immediate impact and stop  
4 the illegal activities. We have very few -- very  
5 limited resources available to do this. We have  
6 had as many as 5,000 complaints in a single week  
7 regarding mortgage fraud, and we don't even have  
8 the personnel to read them all or to analyze them  
9 all.

10 We once applied for a \$6 million grant with  
11 the federal government to try to beef up our  
12 Mortgage Fraud Task Force and that was declined.  
13 So we're a little bit under the U.S. Attorney's  
14 office.

15 COMMISSIONER MURREN: In your testimony though  
16 you mentioned as a result of a change in the  
17 regulatory structure as it related people being  
18 able to engage mortgage modifications, that there  
19 was a significant decline in complaints --

20 MR. PALMER: There was.

21 COMMISSIONER MURREN: -- after that was  
22 passed.

23 Do you see other opportunities for that type  
24 of action or do you feel like the current state of  
25 the regulatory structure is where it needs to be?

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 MR. PALMER: With the type of criminal  
3 activity that has been going on, the state of the  
4 regulatory structure is where it needs to be right  
5 now. But, you know, the past is not much of a  
6 predictor of the future, so I don't know what's  
7 going to happen.

8 COMMISSIONER MURREN: To follow up on the  
9 cooperation between the various agencies and  
10 regulators, some of the commentary that we've heard  
11 previously from people who have testified relates  
12 to either an inability to cover all of the ground  
13 that needs to be covered because of the way that  
14 the different agencies may be siloed in terms of  
15 their authorities or an inability to cooperate  
16 because of barriers to communication.

17 Do you feel like the federal and the state  
18 laws and regulations are currently covering all of  
19 what needs to be covered or do you feel like they  
20 present any kind of impediment to you being able to  
21 do your jobs and do you feel like they did at any  
22 point in time in the past?

23 MR. PALMER: Well, as far as state and federal  
24 laws to prosecute mortgage fraud, I think we have  
25 more than enough laws; fire fraud, mail fraud,



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 theft, racketeering. I don't think we need more  
3 laws to be able to prosecute mortgage fraud. What  
4 we need is more resources.

5 In my interactions with other agencies, the  
6 only thing that has been a limiting factor really  
7 is not their ability or their desire to prosecute  
8 cases or to take cases forward. It's been a matter  
9 of resources.

10 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Mr. Ferrer?

11 MR. FERRER: Yes, I agree with Mr. Palmer in  
12 terms of the laws. We've been very successful  
13 actually using the laws on the books. And,  
14 actually, we have now more tools, because last year  
15 the Financial Enforcement Recovery Act of 2009 gave  
16 us more. The Statute of Limitations in wire and  
17 mail fraud cases that deal with financial  
18 institutions went up. Statutory maximum penalties  
19 for wire fraud and mail fraud cases dealing with  
20 financial institutions also increased from 20 to 30  
21 years. I think we're well equipped in that sense.

22 Resources, I would welcome more resources,  
23 because we just have so much of mortgage fraud here  
24 in South Florida. But we've been successful. We  
25 have in our office dedicated more than a quarter of

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 our economic crimes Assistant U.S. Attorneys just  
3 on mortgage frauds. And, remember, we're also in  
4 addition to mortgage fraud -- and Senator Graham  
5 I'm sure knows this. We're also the healthcare  
6 fraud capital of the country.

7 So we're stretched thin. We're working very  
8 hard. And I know that the President's budget is  
9 asking for more. They're asking for more Assistant  
10 U.S. Attorneys to deal with white-collar crimes and  
11 more FBI agents to deal with white-collar crimes.  
12 So I hope that this goes through.

13 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Just one final question  
14 for Mr. Palmer. You had mentioned in your written  
15 testimony that no category of perpetrator should be  
16 ignored.

17 MR. PALMER: Yes, ma'am.

18 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Is there one that you  
19 feel is being ignored?

20 MR. PALMER: If there is one that's being --  
21 well, I think there may be some ignoring from the  
22 prosecution of straw buyers, the people that  
23 initially fill out the applications for the  
24 fraudulent loans. And then when you get up the  
25 chain, if there has been bank involvement, for

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 example, in soliciting these loans or soliciting  
3 felonious types of applications, I think those  
4 aren't being looked at.

5 COMMISSIONER MURREN: Thank you.

6 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Georgiou?

7 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: Thank you,  
8 Mr. Chairman.

9 Mr. Cardwell, obviously there's a focus in  
10 your testimony -- it almost goes without saying --  
11 that ex-felons previously convicted of financial  
12 fraud ought not to be permitted to originate  
13 mortgages. But I think that is really two steps  
14 too low a bar, if you will, for the review of  
15 people in that business. And, you know, your  
16 reference to Wayne Gretzky, obviously not too many  
17 public official regulators have the skill of Wayne  
18 Gretzky to know where the puck is going to be  
19 rather than where it is.

20 So I've been focusing to some extent on  
21 this -- in these hearings on trying to identify the  
22 lack of market discipline and accountability that  
23 may have contributed to the financial crisis, and  
24 in this particular hearing to the generation of  
25 mortgage fraud.

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 You were the General Counsel of the Florida  
3 Bankers Association. You spent your private career  
4 in Akerman Senterfit, which is one of the -- I  
5 guess the largest firm based in Florida. You were  
6 its Chair and CEO. So you had a full variety of  
7 experiences in the private sector. And without  
8 asking you to disclose any confidences with your  
9 clients, can you tell us what particular areas you  
10 think that the person who actually financed these  
11 loans, who enabled the money to be available for  
12 mortgage loans, failed in their duties to avoid the  
13 generation of fraudulent loans?

14 MR. CARDWELL: It's a very-good and it's a  
15 very broad-and-difficult-to-answer question. I  
16 heard I think one of our speakers earlier this  
17 morning talk about the topic that the attitudes and  
18 the ways in which large organizations behave  
19 themselves comes down from the top. And I think  
20 from my outside view, not having been in any of  
21 these large corporations, a willingness at times in  
22 some fairly public examples to tolerate or to turn,  
23 if you would, a blind eye to practices which, even  
24 if not strictly illegal, bordered on conduct that  
25 most of us, perhaps at another time, had said, as

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 my mother used to tell me, decent people don't do  
3 that.

4 I think that the market --

5 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: And what would that  
6 be? Could you identify a couple of them.

7 MR. CARDWELL: I think that it comes -- I  
8 don't know that I have a specific example. But the  
9 idea of the drive for short-term profits is whether  
10 the discipline, the underwriting discipline, would  
11 be an example of the sort of thing where it has  
12 been very difficult in the banking industry --

13 Well, let me give you an example of a problem  
14 that I don't know the cure for, but it touches on  
15 this. Bankers have told me that they've been  
16 lending in the commercial real estate area for a  
17 long time. The long-time customer would come in.  
18 They would look at the loan and they would say to  
19 them, you know, This isn't a good loan and this  
20 project doesn't look good and I'm not going to loan  
21 you the money on it. So the person would go down  
22 the street to the banker down the street who might  
23 decide to make the loan, and it was essentially  
24 what some have described as a race to the bottom.

25 And none of the loans were illegal. They were

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 matters of a judgment call. But the interest that  
3 people had to gain, the economic benefit of making  
4 the loan, perhaps turned a blind eye to the risks  
5 that were involved. That's what I'm trying to articulate.

6 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: Understood.

7 But of course it's really not in the interest  
8 of a lender to make a loan that's not likely to be  
9 paid back, is it?

10 MR. CARDWELL: As an abstract statement, that  
11 is one hundred percent true. Sitting with a  
12 borrower in front of you, sitting with a  
13 possibility of getting a loan origination fee at  
14 the front end of it, considering the possibility  
15 that you may sell the loan off, considering the  
16 fact that maybe you can really talk yourself into  
17 the fact that one more hotel down the street is  
18 going to work.

19 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: Right.

20 MR. CARDWELL: And what I've seen is people  
21 talk themselves into it.

22 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: Right.

23 But of course part of the problem is that the  
24 negative consequences of the failure of those  
25 various loans and the securities built on them,

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 really the system didn't visit those consequences  
3 on any of the participants who were incentivized to  
4 originate them, but without really financial  
5 consequences to themselves for their failure.

6 MR. CARDWELL: I would agree with you.

7 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: Yeah.

8 And of course we took that all the way up to  
9 the institutional aspect where the institutions  
10 that sent -- in many institutions that failed as a  
11 result of either originating or purchasing products  
12 that turned out to fail ended up having their own  
13 failures, you know, having been cushioned from the  
14 otherwise market impact that their failures would  
15 have on their financial future. And I wonder if  
16 there is any particular area -- any other areas that  
17 comes immediately to mind that your former clients,  
18 if you will, took responsibility for their role in  
19 this process.

20 MR. CARDWELL: Well, I don't think I'm in a  
21 position to talk about my former clients in here.

22 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: Yeah, I don't mean --  
23 I guess that's probably -- that was an unfair  
24 question. I didn't mean to identify particular  
25 clients. But as a general aspect of the industry,

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 have you had any discussions where bankers felt  
3 that they -- you know, that they a role in the  
4 permission of this mortgage fraud epidemic that we  
5 found in South Florida and elsewhere?

6 MR. CARDWELL: I have never been a part of a  
7 conversation in which anybody confessed. As you  
8 know, confessions are very rare in life. And  
9 having been a lawyer, you have for many years, very  
10 few people on the stand say, you know, I did it.

11 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: Yeah, I agree.

12 Well, I think maybe I should turn back to the  
13 prosecutors and see if I can -- I want to commend  
14 both of you for all your work. I mean, we had an  
15 opportunity, U.S. Attorney Ferrer, to hear also  
16 from the U.S. Attorney of the district of Nevada,  
17 Daniel Bogden, the week before last on the  
18 extensive prosecutions there. And these  
19 prosecutions are extraordinarily important.

20 And I guess I would highlight and ask Mr.  
21 Palmer to continue the focus up the chain. It seems  
22 to me that if we are to have a deterrent effect  
23 from these prosecutions, it really has to -- you  
24 really need to follow the prosecutorial leads all  
25 the way up to the people who enabled them really,



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 and I wonder if you could describe, you know, where  
3 are the highest levels that you're reaching in your  
4 prosecutorial discretion, at this point?

5 MR. FERRER: Well, at this point, as I had  
6 stated before, we've gone pretty high up. Just last  
7 week, I think it was -- oh, last month -- I'm  
8 sorry -- we indicted one of our first commercial  
9 loan mortgage fraud cases. That's the new --  
10 that's one of the emerging schemes. And there was  
11 a loan officer who was involved, and a CPA as well,  
12 for \$12 million that was fraudulently and  
13 deceptively -- Wells Fargo was deceived and the y  
14 issued a \$12 million equity line.

15 We've gone up the chain. We have indicted  
16 straw buyers, brokers, real estate agents, bank  
17 managers, and loan officers, as I stated before.  
18 So we've -- we're prosecuting cases up and down the  
19 line.

20 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: Mr. Palmer, did you  
21 hear the earlier testimony of Mr. Black about  
22 control fraud?

23 MR. PALMER: Yes, I did.

24 COMMISSIONER GEORGIOU: Were you here for  
25 that?

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 MR. PALMER: I heard part of it.

3 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: And what's your view  
4 of what impact control fraud had in the mortgage  
5 originations here in South Florida?6 MR. PALMER: Well, I think it had a  
7 substantial impact on it, because the motivation  
8 for issuing these mortgages was the immediate sale  
9 and securitization of the mortgages, and I don't  
10 think that anyone in the organization really cared  
11 whether the mortgages would be paid back or not. I  
12 know I heard what you just said. It's illogical  
13 that you would issue a mortgage that wouldn't be  
14 paid back. But under the system that was here in  
15 2006 and 2007, it was entirely logical to issue  
16 mortgages that weren't going to be paid back,  
17 because they were going to be immediately sold to  
18 Wall Street, securitized and resold again  
19 misrepresented along each chain of distribution.20 COMMISSIONER GEORGIU: Thank you. Thank you  
21 for your participation today.

22 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Chairman?

23 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Yes, thank you, Senator  
24 Graham.

25 So let me start with this: If you look at the

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 historical record, there were a number of warnings,  
3 indications that mortgage fraud was on the rise.  
4 Clearly, nationally and in regions across the  
5 country, the number of Suspicious Activity Reports  
6 rose dramatically from 2000 on.

7 In 2003, MARI surveyed its members, and of the  
8 respondents, 60 percent said they saw a moderate to  
9 significant increase in mortgage fraud.

10 FinCen, in April of 2003, had issued a  
11 Notice of Proposed Rule Making to subject title  
12 attorneys, realtors, mortgage brokers -- title  
13 companies -- excuse me -- realtors, mortgage  
14 brokers to SARs reporting.

15 In 2004, of course, there is a warning by  
16 Assistant Director for Criminal Investigations,  
17 Chris Swecker, that mortgage fraud has, quote, the  
18 potential to become an epidemic.

19 In 2005, the warnings continued.

20 In 2006, MARI delivers what's its eighth  
21 report to the Mortgage Broker Association  
22 indicating that, quote/unquote, competitive forces  
23 were leading to a greater use of products that had  
24 a potential for fraud.

25 In May of 2006, MARI does a sample of a

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 hundred loan files and finds that 90 percent have  
3 their incomes exaggerated by 5 percent, but more  
4 troubling, 60 percent had their incomes exaggerated  
5 by more than 50 percent.

6 Now, I want to ask each of you, in the light  
7 of all these warnings, Mr. Ferrer, you were at the  
8 U.S. Attorney's Office, and particularly in the  
9 light of the warning in 2004 by the FBI's Assistant  
10 Director, what did you guys do to respond, amp up  
11 your efforts, in a sense move to where the puck  
12 clearly had moved?

13 MR. FERRER: Yeah. First of all, in 2004, I  
14 was in the office. I was working on healthcare  
15 fraud cases and then I moved on to public  
16 integrity. So, unfortunately, I wouldn't be aware  
17 as precisely of what we were doing in  
18 mortgage fraud cases in particular.

19 However, I will tell you this: As I said,  
20 mortgage fraud is not new to South Florida. We  
21 have been systematically and aggressively  
22 prosecuting these cases. We did it in 1999 with  
23 the case I mentioned, over 250 properties. We did  
24 it in 2001 in another major case. What did change,  
25 again from our perspective, because we're -- it's

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 frustrating because we're at the end of the game.  
3 You know, we get the cases after the fraud has been  
4 committed.

5 What we saw is a dramatic increase in the  
6 referrals of cases which started in 2007, and at  
7 that point, we responded to the number of increases  
8 in the level of fraud and the number of cases  
9 referred to us; therefore, we created the  
10 Initiative in 2007. Then the office built on that  
11 success and created the Federal State Mortgage  
12 Fraud Task Force in 2008. And so, in other words,  
13 our office has responded to the cases that's been  
14 referred to it.

15 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Could you do this for me,  
16 would you please go back and as part of a follow-up  
17 to the Commission --

18 MR. FERRER: Yes.

19 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: -- indicate what steps  
20 were taken in 2003 on before the 2007 step that you  
21 referred to.

22 MR. FERRER: Glad to.

23 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Mr. Palmer, were you at  
24 the AG's Office during that time frame?

25 MR. PALMER: No, I wasn't. I was happily in

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 private practice during that time.

3 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Have you done any  
4 assessments in the Attorney General's Office in  
5 terms of that time frame and what the office did  
6 do, should have done, might have done?

7 MR. PALMER: No, I have not.

8 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: All right. And I guess,  
9 Mr. Cardwell, I know you're new to it. But as you  
10 came in, obviously there was significant changes  
11 made, not only federal legislation, but then the  
12 state licensing legislation.

13 Did you do a retrospective examination of your  
14 agency to look at how it did or did not respond and  
15 why it did or did not respond to the rise of  
16 mortgage fraud?

17 MR. CARDWELL: Nothing formal in the sense of  
18 any kind of formal study in there. I think in our  
19 case, we are not a prosecutorial agency. What we  
20 did do was see a rise in complaints. We passed  
21 these on to agencies which had the ability to  
22 prosecute them.

23 But, no, you know, I have not frankly gone  
24 back and done a retrospective as to what the agency  
25 knew and was aware of. A lot of the kinds of

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 issues that you've raised here are the things that  
3 they know or really things are a little better  
4 known in industry -- not to excuse us for now  
5 knowing it -- but are better known in the industry  
6 circles than they are with us.

7 What we tend to see on our end is after the  
8 problem has hit, the complaints arise at the time  
9 that the instruments start to fail. In other  
10 words, nobody says they've been defrauded until  
11 they -- until the issue actually arises.

12 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Mr. Ferrer, are you  
13 seeing a high correlation between --

14 I'm going to ask you this also, Mr. Palmer,  
15 about both you and your investigations, criminal  
16 and civil.

17 Are you seeing a high correlation between the  
18 fraud cases and the, quote/unquote, liar loans,  
19 no-doc loans?

20 MR. PALMER: I'll answer that yes, most  
21 definitely. I don't think the --

22 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Any sense of  
23 proportion --

24 MR. PALMER: No.

25 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: -- of the number of fraud

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 cases that are no-doc loans? Overwhelming?

3 MR. PALMER: Well, I can tell you this: Every  
4 fraud case that we've looked at was linked to  
5 no-doc loans.

6 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: All right.

7 MR. PALMER: Or income-stated loans. Or  
8 low-doc I guess is the --

9 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Low-doc, minimal-doc, no  
10 income stated?

11 MR. PALMER: Yeah.

12 And when they were low-doc loans and, for  
13 example, the bank statements and the employment  
14 were often forged and falsified, and when the banks  
15 got these, they never looked behind them. They  
16 just accepted them as they were.

17 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: All right. Mr. Ferrer?

18 MR. FERRER: Same here. What we've seen more  
19 than anything else is fraud committed in -- when  
20 they bring forward the application, their I.D.s. A  
21 lot of fraud in the loan origination and the  
22 applications in cases that we've seen have been, as  
23 Mr. Palmer was stating, simplified mortgage  
24 applications, very little verification or  
25 documentation to back up the identity or the source



## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 of the applicant.

3 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: All right. So I want to  
4 press this -- in my remaining time, I want to press  
5 this issue again, Mr. Ferrer, with going up the  
6 chain. You know, when you describe the chain, you  
7 don't -- and if it was ladder, it doesn't seem like  
8 you're many steps up it. I mean, there's mortgage  
9 brokers. There's realtors, title company folks.

10 I guess, you know, back to Mr. Black's  
11 statement, if you don't look, you will not find, to  
12 what extent have you focused -- I mean, given that  
13 this was -- there were systemic elements to this,  
14 products were put in the marketplace that were  
15 easily abused, I know you've identified some bank  
16 loan officers, but have you looked at systemic  
17 levels of fraud by institutions?

18 MR. FERRER: We are looking. As I said, we  
19 follow the evidence wherever that evidence takes  
20 us. Our office does not shy away from prosecuting  
21 institutions if the evidence supports that. We did  
22 it with Wachovia just this year. We're looking.  
23 We are working aggressively.

24 And, again, this has been a big problem in  
25 South Florida. We've prosecuted the most

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 defendants in the whole country, our office did,  
3 last year. We have AUSAs, Assistant U.S.  
4 Attorneys, dedicated exclusively to mortgage fraud  
5 and we're working very closely with our state and  
6 local partners. If the evidence is there, we will  
7 pursue it.

8 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Mr. Palmer, I know that  
9 you pursued Countrywide and other institutions.  
10 Give me your perspective on this.

11 It does seem to me -- I just want to pick up  
12 on this. It's just on observation. It does seem  
13 to me that the focus of efforts across the country  
14 have been highly on the relationship between  
15 borrower, originator in whatever form, and very  
16 little about the systemic breakdown here that led  
17 to such widespread fraud.

18 MR. PALMER: And that might be the difference  
19 between the mortgage fraud, as Mr. Ferrer has been  
20 describing, that happens early on, because there's  
21 always been people lying to get mortgage loans back  
22 probably until Jimmy Stewart and It's a Wonderful  
23 Life. But what's happened, the reason it's  
24 different now is because of the systemic problem  
25 that you're talking about. Because no one is

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 looking at the applications. No one is keeping the  
3 loans. So that just provided that opportunity for  
4 all this to happen.

5 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: No looking, no keeping.  
6 I mean, very fundamental changes.

7 MR. PALMER: And I will say, if you look at  
8 the chart that's in my written testimony, if you  
9 look at the lending institutions, by the time you  
10 file suit, I don't think any of the lending  
11 institutions were there anymore. Because they  
12 had -- they were the ones that were issuing the  
13 liar loans and just they simply didn't survive. So  
14 there wasn't much a point in suing them.

15 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: All right. I yield the  
16 balance of my 17 seconds. Thank you very much.

17 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much,  
18 Mr. Chairman. Thank you to the other Members of  
19 the Commission for being here today and to  
20 Mr. Thomas.

21 I also would like to thank all of you who have  
22 participated today as parts of our panel or as  
23 parts of our audience. The Commission is grateful  
24 to each of you. We are especially grateful to the  
25 witnesses that have taken the time and effort to

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 come and share with us their experience and  
3 understanding.

4 I would like to thank the members of the  
5 public and the people of Miami, some of whom  
6 have joined us personally and some have joined us  
7 electronically by viewing these proceedings  
8 on-line. You, the public, can participate in our  
9 inquiry by submitting written testimony about how  
10 the financial crisis has effected you or your  
11 community. If you submit that to the Commission,  
12 it will become part of our final report. Please go  
13 to [fcic.gov](http://fcic.gov), that's [fcic.gov](http://fcic.gov), where you will find  
14 instructions on how to provide a written submission  
15 to this hearings under the "Contact Us" tab.

16 We'd also like to thank FIU President  
17 Rosenberg and Dean Acosta for their generosity in  
18 hosting the Commission today. You, Mr. President,  
19 Mr. Dean, have an outstanding staff and we have  
20 noted their many contributions, including  
21 specifically Ms. Nilda Pedrosa, Deborah Sheridan,  
22 Frantz Pierre and the IT staff and all of those who  
23 have served us through the catering department.

24 A huge thank you to our staff of the  
25 Commission and the ground coordinators for helping

## 1 Q &amp; A - Session 3

2 on the logistics of this field hearing. And I'd  
3 specifically like to thank Chip Burpee who keeps me  
4 organized and helped keep us organized today.  
5 Thank you to each of you.

6 All right. If there is no further business to  
7 come before the Commission, I thank you for coming  
8 to our community to give us an opportunity to talk  
9 about not a happy subject, but a subject which we  
10 will be better for the understanding that this hearing  
11 has given us and hopefully the motivation that will  
12 come from this to avoid a repetition.

13 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

14 CHAIRMAN ANGELIDES: Thank you very much,  
15 Senator Graham, and thank you, Commissioners, and  
16 thank you, members of the public for being here.  
17 Thank you very, very much.

18 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: The meeting is  
19 adjourned.

20 (Time noted: 2:19 p.m.)  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26