

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK NEW YORK 10019-6064

TELEPHONE (212) 373 3000

LLOYD K GARRISON (1946 1991)
RANDOLPH E PAUL (1946 1956)
SIMON H RIFKIND (1950 1995)
LOUIS S WEISS (1927 1950)
JOHN F WHARTON (1927 1977)

WRITER S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

212 373-3204

WRITER S DIRECT FACSIMILE

212 492-0204

WRITER S DIRECT E MAIL ADDRESS

egoldstein@paulweiss.com

UNIT 3601 FORTUNE PLAZA OFFICE TOWER A
NO 7 DONG SANHUAN ZHONGLU
CHAO YANG DISTRICT
BEIJING 100020
PEOPLE S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
TELEPHONE 86 10) 5828 6300

12TH FLOOR HONG KONG CLUB BUILDING
3A CHATER ROAD CENTRAL
HONG KONG
TELEPHONE (852) 2846 0300

ALDER CASTLE
10 NOBLE STREET
LONDON EC2V 7JU U K
TELEPHONE (44 20) 7367 1600

FUKOKU SEIMEI BUILDING
2 2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2 CHOME
CHIYODA KU TOKYO 100 0011 JAPAN
TELEPHONE (81 3) 3597 8101

2001 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20006 1047
TELEPHONE (202) 223 7300

500 DELAWARE AVENUE SUITE 200
POST OFFICE BOX 32
WILMINGTON DE 19899 0032
TELEPHONE (302) 655 4410

MATTHEW W ABBOTT
ALLAN J ARFFA
ROBERT A ATKINS
JOHN F BAUGHMAN
LYNN B BAYARD
DANIEL J BELLER
CRAIG A BENSON
MITCHELL L BERG
MARK S BERGMAN
BRUCE BIRENBOIM
H CHRISTOPHER BOEHNING
ANGELO BONVINO
HENK BRANDS
JAMES L BROCHIN
RICHARD J BRONSTEIN
DAVID W BROWN
SUSANNA M BUERGEL
PATRICK S CAMPBELL*
JEANETTE K CHAN
YVONNE Y CHAN
LEWIS R CLAYTON
JAY COHEN
KELLEY A CORNISH
CHARLES E DAVIDOW
DOUGLAS R DAVIS
THOMAS V DE LA BASTIDE III
ARIEL J DECKELBAUM
JAMES M DUBIN
ALICE BELISLE EATON
ANDREW J EHRLICH
LESLIE GORDON FAGEN
MARC FALCONE
ANDREW C FINCH
ROBERTO FINZI
PETER E FISCH
ROBERT C FLEDER
MARTIN FLUMENBAUM
ANDREW J FOLEY
HARRIS B FREIDUS
MANUEL S FREY
KENNETH A GALLO
MICHAEL E GERTZMAN
PAUL D GINSBERG
ROBERT D GOLDBAUM
ERIC S GOLDSTEIN
ERIC GOODISON
CHARLES H GOOGE JR
ANDREW G GORDON
BRUCE A GUTENPLAN
GAINES GWATHMEY III
ALAN S HALPERIN
CLAUDIA HAMMERMAN
GERARD E HARPER
BRIAN S HERMANN
ROBERT M HIRSH
MICHELE HIRSHMAN
JOYCE S HUANG
DAVID S HUNTINGTON
MEREDITH J KANE
ROBERTA A KAPLAN
BRAD S KARP
JOHN C KENNEDY
ALAN W KORNBERG

DANIEL J KRAMER
DAVID K LAKHDIR
STEPHEN P LAMB*
JOHN F LANGE
DANIEL J LEFFELL
XIAOYU GREG LIU
JEFFREY D MARELL
MARCO V MASOTTI
EDWIN S MAYNARD
DAVID W MAYO
ELIZABETH R MCCOLM
MARK F MENDELSON
TOBY S MYERSON
JOHN E NATHAN
CATHERINE NYARADY
ALEX YOUNG K OH
JOHN J O NEIL
KELLEY D PARKER
ROBERT P PARKER*
MARC E PERLMUTTER
MARK F POMERANTZ
VALERIE E RADWANER
CAREY R RAMOS
CARL L REISNER
WALTER G RICCIARDI
WALTER RIEMAN
RICHARD A ROSEN
ANDREW N ROSENBERG
PETER J ROTHENBERG
JACQUELINE P RUBIN
RAPHAEL M RUSSO
JEFFREY D SAFERSTEIN
JEFFREY B SAMUELS
DALE M SARRO
TERRY E SCHIMEK
KENNETH M SCHNEIDER
ROBERT B SCHUMER
JAMES H SCHWAB
STEPHEN J SHIMSHAK
DAVID R SICULAR
MOSES SILVERMAN
STEVEN SIMKIN
JOSEPH J SIMONS
MARILYN SOBEL
TARUN M STEWART
ERIC ALAN STONE
AIDAN SYNNOTT
ROBYN F TARNOFSKY
JUDITH R THOYER
DANIEL J TOAL
MARK A UNDERBERG
LIZA M VELAZQUEZ
LAWRENCE G WEE
THEODORE V WELLS JR
BETH A WILKINSON
STEVEN J WILLIAMS
LAWRENCE I WITDORCHIC
JULIA T M WOOD
JORDAN E YARETT
KAYE N YOSHINO
TONG YU
TRACEY A ZACCONE
T ROBERT ZOCCHOWSKI JR

NOT ADM TTED TO THE NEW YORK BAR

December 1, 2010

By Email and Federal Express

Gary J. Cohen, Esq.
General Counsel
Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission
1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20006-4614

**Re: Publication of Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission (“FCIC”)
Summaries of JPMorgan and Bear Stearns Interviews**

Dear Gary:

On behalf of JPMorgan Chase & Co. (with its subsidiaries, “JPMorgan”), I write to inform you of gross inaccuracies in the FCIC memoranda purporting to summarize FCIC interviews of JPMorgan and Bear Stearns witnesses (the “Memoranda”).

In our November 22, 2010 letter to the FCIC, we objected to the publication of JPMorgan and Bear Stearns interview summaries and audio files on the FCIC’s website as part of its “oral history” project. We emphasized that the FCIC had never before informed us of its intention to publish these interview summaries and audio files. To the contrary, we were repeatedly assured that the interviews would be treated confidentially and that their purpose was to aid the Commission in drafting its Report and briefing the Commissioners in advance of hearings. Indeed, more than one of the

Confidential Treatment Requested by JPMorgan

Memoranda make clear that witnesses were led to believe summaries of their interviews would not be published by the FCIC. For example, in one Memorandum, Sarah Knaus writes that Tom Krebs “informed [the witness] that I was just taking notes for Tom’s assistance.” In another Memorandum, Mr. Krebs told the witness “we’re taking notes to facilitate correct responses.” In our November 22 letter, we also pointed out that the publication of the interview summaries and audio files would result in gratuitous free discovery for plaintiffs in litigation against JPMorgan and Bear Stearns.

Although we had not had an opportunity to review the Memoranda prior to submitting our November 22 letter, we also specifically objected to the publication of the Memoranda based on our belief that they would be incomplete and inaccurate, resulting in misleading and incorrect information being provided to the public. Since writing to you on November 22, we have had the opportunity to conduct a preliminary review of the Memoranda, which has confirmed our concerns and reinforced our view that the Memoranda should not be published on the FCIC’s website or disclosed publicly except through the National Archives after a minimum waiting period of five years.

Given the short time remaining for the FCIC to complete its work, rather than wait until the completion of our review, we wanted to highlight now just a few of the many gross inaccuracies in the Memoranda that we’ve encountered to date:

First, many of the Memoranda are made to look like transcripts, which creates the misleading impression that they are accurate and complete records of what was said during the interviews when, in fact, they are incomplete (and often inaccurate) summary Memoranda. While disclaimers at the beginning of several of the Memoranda indicate that the Memoranda contain paraphrases of interview dialogue and are not transcripts, the caveats are either incomplete or unclear. And several of the transcript-style Memoranda contain no disclaimers at all.

Indeed, the FCIC itself cannot rely on its own Memoranda for complete and accurate quotations of witnesses. In the Commission’s letter to one Bear Stearns witness today, it seeks to confirm the accuracy of an alleged quote from the witness’s FCIC interview. However, neither the quote, nor any words remotely resembling the quote, appear in the FCIC’s Memorandum of the interview.

Second, the FCIC has omitted critical information and context that renders portions of the Memoranda misleading and inaccurate. For example, one Memorandum states that Bear Stearns was working on its consultants’ recommendations regarding risk management, but omits the witness’s statement that Bear Stearns had already undertaken the tasks recommended on its own initiative and had hired the consultants to confirm that the goals were worthwhile.

Third, the Memoranda are replete with grossly inaccurate paraphrases of the witnesses. For example, one Memorandum indicates that the witness said \$500

million was provided to certain hedge funds when, in fact, the witness said exactly the opposite—*i.e.*, that the money was not provided to the hedge funds, but instead was provided to an unrelated entity. Another Memorandum states that the hedge funds contained credit card related securities, when, in fact, the witness stated that the securities were *credit-based*. While these discrepancies may seem inconsequential to the FCIC, the extremely large number of grossly inaccurate paraphrases in the Memoranda should be reason alone to withhold them from publication.¹

Fourth, for those Memoranda not written in transcript style, it is often impossible to tell who the speaker is—*i.e.*, whether the witness is speaking, counsel is speaking, or the FCIC is providing editorial or explanatory commentary. In one particular Memorandum, the FCIC does not even identify a JPMorgan witness by name, and notes simply that the interview was with “personnel from JPMorgan and Paul Weiss.”

Fifth, the Memoranda frequently contain garbled passages where the FCIC’s accounts of the witnesses’ responses bear little relationship to what was actually said. For example, one witness’s explanation of a deal involving New York City bonds contains several sentences that are badly mangled, making the resulting narrative incoherent. Further, we note that the Memoranda often omit entire questions and/or responses, further contributing to the confused dialogue. For example, in several instances, the FCIC summarized the responses to questions, but failed to indicate the questions.

Sixth, in countless instances the Memoranda attribute statements to the wrong individuals. For example, in several Memoranda, numerous interview questions are incorrectly attributed to the witnesses and the responses are attributed to the FCIC questioners.

Seventh, the Memoranda often fail to record statements by witnesses that indicate they were guessing, and/or had no involvement in or responsibility for the issue being discussed. For example, in one Memorandum, the FCIC includes the witness’s criticism of a company decision, but omits the witness’s qualifications on his response—*i.e.*, that he was not involved in the decision, had no responsibility for the decision, and did not know if other alternatives to the decision were feasible.

Eighth, in many instances, basic information such as the interview date, time, location, and/or attendees is incorrectly recorded in the Memoranda. For instance,

¹ As the FCIC is aware, there also is ongoing litigation concerning many of the topics covered during FCIC interviews. Should the FCIC publish the Memoranda on its website, we believe it likely that plaintiffs in civil litigation will seek to depose the FCIC staffers who wrote the Memoranda and/or call them as witnesses at trial.

Gary J. Cohen, Esq.

4

in the summary of one Bear Stearns interview, the Memorandum incorrectly indicates that a prominent Morgan Stanley hedge fund manager attended the interview with his two lawyers.

Finally, we note that the Memoranda are riddled with typographical errors.

These are just a few examples of the many gross inaccuracies in the Memoranda. In light of the unreliable and misleading content of the Memoranda, we believe it would be unfair—not only to JPMorgan, but also to the individuals who voluntarily appeared for interviews—and wholly inappropriate for the FCIC to publish the Memoranda on its website. We reiterate our prior request that the FCIC withhold all JPMorgan and Bear Stearns interview summaries from publication, leaving the release of the Memoranda to the National Archives after a minimum waiting period of five years.

Thank you for your attention to these matters. We would be happy to answer any questions that you have.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Eric S. Goldstein in black ink, with a stylized 'MDC' at the end.

Eric S. Goldstein

cc: Brad S. Karp, Esq.