

1223 72 6 87  
Springfield, Ill. Dec 16-49

The Hon. Merriner Eccles  
of the Federal Reserve Board

Dear Sir

~~Testimony  
files~~

How Pearson in his "The Washington Merry-Go-Round" mention the difference of opinions between you and Secretary Snyder on inflation. I have always like your way, but in the present controversy I believe Snyder right, where in a contracting economy will these big holders of Governments find a place to put their money if they put it in Stocks and Bonds others will do the same until the big boys are forced to withdraw for safety sake. I believe both of you see the impossibility of either the Reserve or the Treasury to control an inflation, that's why you blame the Treasury and the Treasury Congress and you.

The fact is that the three of you combined can do but little to hinder or start an inflation in peacetimes, for that depends on how all of us individuals in the nation handle our money. If all of us go on a buying spree and those there sell up price because they can we will have inflation regardless of what you three do, on the other hand if we keep on saving more than can be used for plants as we are doing now we will have a gradual deflation, for when plantowners can not sell the output of their present capacity they will not borrow to extend and banks and insurances co. will not let them have it if they would. The whole trouble comes because there is not a general understanding of our mediums of exchange, this is

why we made fiat money out of about two hundred billions of dollars by refusing to pay for the war as we went in tax.

The monetary theory below I call the Sorenson theory and will prove the above, this theory is not to be confused with, Income-Expenditure or similar theory for it is based on and stems from that we as consumers have the money equal to the price of all the goods on the market for we made it in making transporting and distributing it, it took 22 years to develop it to its point.

We never receive a dollar currency as pay until after we have put a dollarsworth of goods on the market either by direct or indirect production, (all services are indirect production of goods) this is what gives the dollar its value and make it a

medium of exchange for people have found through usage that the one get this dollarsworth <sup>or its equivalent</sup> of goods for the dollarsworth they let us have for the dollar. We can not get an account at a bank until after we deposit at least paper there proves that we have put goods, new or old, finished or unfinished on the marked seal in value to the amount of our account, a check written against and within the amount of such an account is a medium of exchange for goods of equal value can be had for it to the value of that surrendered for it. Counterfeit ~~mon~~ currency and bad checks are not mediums of exchange for no goods was put on the market by those sending them there can be had for that surrendered for them. We made fiat money out of the money we

made on making wargoods and refused to use to pay for this wargoods in tax, for this goods were not on the market but was given to our armed forces and their dependents, hence there were nothing we could buy with this money but higher price, let an equal amount of counterfeit or bad check money come into being and the result will be the same. Money also comes into being equal to more production at the same price or the same production at a higher price, if it does not, people in the Marketplace will invent money there will. Money vanishes at less production at the same price or the same production at a lower price. if it does not, people in the Marketplace will invent a money system where this is true, that's why it does just this. Money coming into being and vanishing

6

in this way does away with the velocity theory of money when used in the sense that it can increase the quantity of money in the nation over and above the price of the goods in the nation, for at each turn-over one goods must move from backing it, and another immediately takes its place, making it in fact the same as a new dollar in the system, and so leaving the relation between money, goods and price the same <sup>no matter what the velocity</sup> ~~or~~ <sup>am writing</sup>.

Snyder a similar letter. I have written it to Douglass but did not give the base from which it sprung so he likely thinks it is some kind of version of the income-expenditure theory, none of this is based as mine nor do they give the value of money as the goods we have to put on the market to get any money, this also is the value behind gold coin. Because the way we all

7  
if we handle our money gives  
us the economic condition we  
have at anytime, then what you  
three do or do not do regarding  
finance has but little if any ef-  
fect, but Hauer and Snyder  
arguments over inflation may  
get people spending more and  
so offset Douglas's save more de-  
flationary talks which will get  
people to hang on to their money,  
The above should be taught  
in grade school, people then would  
be willing to act according when  
you say save more or spend  
more for they will understand  
the reason why, the same for taxes  
they would know that we should  
pay more taxes now for taxes are  
spent and that it is the money  
we have after taxes not being  
spent there is the reason <sup>for the</sup> creeping  
depression we now have.

J. B. Sorensen  
1223 North 6th Street,  
Springfield, Ill.