mui Marriner Eccles. 3/1/46 Tederal Buene. Washington. U.C. Dear Mr. Eecles. If the 10 % rul m cost of lining is put in effect. I has no union to fight

there by can not your

Strike: high prices was a War measure not & le a sermannent Lan for the american suspli Personal of the personal difference of the perso

are still sed-standard: The sa most humileating How adout a raise for the Mit callar Employe. of the fore vill be raiso. The cost of liney co % stat in 120.00 Der not day with meome tay taken about your more: per 200. I have to pay the bugh clest of lung & cell Mere hoping that the not callin Eraployee Can get a raise nots

FRASER S A Streke:

(Miss) Lillie Y. Kiefer

Digitized for FRASER

Miss Lillie J. Kiefer, 147 West 105th Street, New York 25. New York.

Dear Miss Kiefer:

This is to acknowledge your letter of March 1 with regard to rising living costs. Some of the newspaper accounts misrepresented my answers to questions when I appeared before the House Banking and Currency Committee in advocacy of the extension of price controls. I did not state that the cost of living would rise another 10 per cent because that would merely be a guess at best.

The official figure used by the OPA is that living costs have risen 33 per cent since the beginning of the war. In answer to a question by Congressman Patman, I said that based on the new wage-price policy we might get some further increase, and I added, "It is estimated that there is a possibility of it reaching as high as a maximum of 40 per cent." I added that if we could hold the line at that point we would have done a fairly good job all things considered, in view of the enormous inflationary pressures resulting from the financing of the war.

I recognize, of course, the plight of white-collar workers and fixed-income groups in any inflationary period, and certainly sympathize with you in the situation in which you find yourself as a result of increased costs.

Sincerely yours,

M. 5. Eccles, Chairman.

ET:b