

BOARD OF GOVERNORS  
OF THE  
FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

## Office Correspondence

Date October 24, 1936.To Chairman EcclesSubject: Stabilization Fund Operations.From Lauchlin Currie

G P O 16-852

The examples I sent you yesterday I had prepared for me by a man in the Foreign Section. He has just reworked the figures and discovered some arithmetical mistakes. They do not affect the principle but they cut down the loss a little. On the off chance that you might have occasion to use the examples sometime, I am enclosing a corrected draft.

## Office Correspondence

Date October 24, 1936.To Chairman EcclesSubject: Stabilization Fund Operations.From Lauchlin Currie

e p o 16-852

Examples illustrating loss to Stabilization Fund if operations are engaged upon to maintain the  $\text{\$-L}$  rate despite a rise in the price of gold in England or to drive up the  $\text{\$-L}$  rate after it has fallen and the price of gold has risen correspondingly:

1. a.  $\text{L-}\text{\$}$  rate:  $\text{\$4.90}$
- b. British Fund's selling price for gold:  $142\text{s. } 9\frac{1}{2}\text{d.}$
- c. U. S. Fund (1) sells 1 oz. of gold to Treasury and receives  $\text{\$35}$ ; (2) buys  $\text{L}7.14$  at rate of  $\text{\$4.90}$ ; (3) buys 1 oz. gold from British Fund at  $142\text{s. } 9\frac{1}{2}\text{ d.}$

Net result: no gold loss.

2. a. As result of capital movement from England to U. S.,  $\text{L-}\text{\$}$  rate falls to  $\text{\$4.85}$
- b. British Fund, therefore, raises selling price of gold to  $144\text{s. } 4\frac{3}{4}\text{d.}$
- c. U.S. Fund (1) sells 1 oz. gold to Treasury and receives  $\text{\$35}$ ; (2) buys  $\text{L}7.22$  at rate of  $\text{\$4.85}$ ; (3) buys 1 oz. gold from British Fund at  $144\text{s. } 4\frac{3}{4}\text{d.}$

Net result: no gold loss.

3. a. U. S. Fund desires to push  $\text{L-}\text{\$}$  rate back to  $\text{\$4.90}$ .
- b. British Fund leaves gold price unchanged at  $144\text{s. } 4\frac{3}{4}\text{d.}$
- c. U. S. Fund (1) sells 1 oz. gold to Treasury and receives  $\text{\$35}$ ; (2) buys  $\text{L}7.14$  at rate of  $\text{\$4.90}$ ; (3) buys 0.989 oz. gold from British Fund at  $144\text{s. } 4\frac{3}{4}\text{d.}$

Net result: loss of 0.011 oz. gold, =  $\text{\$0.38}$  at  $\text{\$35}$  an oz.

4. a.  $\text{L-}\text{\$}$  rate:  $\text{\$4.90}$
- b. British Fund raises price of gold from  $142\text{s. } 9\frac{1}{2}\text{d.}$  to  $144\text{s. } 4\frac{3}{4}\text{d.}$
- c. U.S. Fund wishes to maintain rate at  $\text{\$4.90}$  and prevent it from falling to  $\text{\$4.85}$ .  
(1) Sells 1 oz. gold to Treasury and receives  $\text{\$35}$ ; (2) buys  $\text{L}7.14$  at rate of  $\text{\$4.90}$ ; (3) buys 0.989 oz. gold from

British Fund at 144s  $4\frac{3}{4}$ d. Net result: loss of 0.011  
oz. gold = \$0.38 at \$35 an oz.

General Conclusion:

U. S. Fund can maintain the ~~\$-L~~ rate without loss so long as the ~~\$-L~~ rate is such and the price of gold in sterling is such as to make gold equal to \$35 an oz. in England. If the price of gold in England rises more than in proportion to the fall in sterling, the U. S. Fund cannot maintain the ~~\$-L~~ rate without paying more than \$35 an oz. for gold.