The Federation of Civic Associations

MEETS IN THE BOARD ROOM OF THE

DISTRICT BUILDING

Every Fourth Friday

January 26,1939.

WASHINGTON, D. C.

PRESIDENTS

of the

CIVIC ASSOCIATIONS

1937-38

T. R. Carey, Bennings-Glendale-Oakland
403 44th Street, N. E.

Wester-An-Princhkaelo-Bloomingdale
137 S Street, N. W.

Dr. Wm. T. Grady, Brookland Neighborhood
1303 Girard Street, N. E.

O. W. McDonald
4906 Blair Street, N. E.
George W. Beasley, Central Northwest
926 Tea Street, N. W.

Patrick Toliver, Deanwood
4909 Sheriff Road, N. E.
Miss Corrine Martin, East Central
1501 12th Street, N. W.

Walter Robertson, Eastland Gardens
4238 Lane Place, N. E.
Henry Johnson, Garfield
2806 Bruce Place, S. E.
Mercer Sampson, Hillsdale
2601 Pomeroy Road, S. E.
Enoch Gray, Ivy City
1835 Kendall Street, N. E.
W. W. Horad, Kingman Park
540 24th Street, N. E.
Dr. E. F. Harris, Lincoln
1809 22nd Street, N. W.

Mrs. Mary Booker, Marshall Heights
5400 Drake Place, S. E.
H. D. Woodson, Northeast Boundary
4918 Fitch Place, N. E.

Rev. A. Chichester, Northwest Brightwood
1848 Fort Drive, N. W.

Mrs. Velma Williams, Pleasant Plains
2700 Georgia Ave., N. W.

Dr. George H. Richardson, Public Interest
309 11th Street, N. E.

Dr. C. Herbert Marshall, Rock Creek
2712 P Street, N. W.

SETENDAL STREET, S. E.

John T. Rhines, Southwest
302 Eye Street, S. W.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTER

of the

21 AFFILIATED CIVIC ASSOCIATIONS

Charles M. Thomas, President 4922 Fitch Place, N. E.

Mrs. Velma G. Williams, Vlce President 2700 Georgia Ave., N. W.

Hugh Bradford, Vice President 1225 W Street, N. W.

Mrs. Ruby M. Kendrick, Recording Secretary 751-A Fairmont Street, N. W.

Mrs. Mary Curtis, Assistant Recording Secretary 4232 Lane Place, N. E.

Kenneth H. Carter, Corresponding Secretary 733 13th Street, N. E.

Col. Howard D. Queeen, Treasurer 106 53rd Street, N. E.

The Rev. David C. Lynch, Chaplain 217 D Street, S. W.

Dr. George H. Richardson, President Emeritus 309 11th Street, N. E.

George W. Beasley, Parliamentarian 926 Tea Street, N. W.

Hon. Marriner S. Eccles. Chairman, Board of Governors, Federal reserve System, Washington.

Sir:

Permit me the liberty to say a word in support of your very wise and clear defense of the policies of the Roosevelt adminstration. I am hoping that you may organize this program from many angles and thus aid in turning the tide against the old and decadent system of individual right to ownership exclusive of social contribution.

also: Executive-secretary, Colored National Democratic League Member, Tax evision Committee, D.C., 1938-39.

Adviser, Lodge 466, American ederation, Government

Employees.

Professor social science, Armstrong high school, D.C.

February 3, 1939

Mr. Charles M. Thomas 4922 Fitch Place, N. E. Washington, D. C.

My dear Mr. Thomas:

Chairman Eccles has asked me to acknowledge and thank you for your letter of January 26 with its enclosure, in which you commend his recent radio address before the National Radio Forum.

Yours very truly,

Lawrence Clayton Assistant to the Chairman

fgr



In re defense of Federal Program of Spending, by Hon. Marriner S.Eccles in radio addresses, 1939.

"Often a liberal entidote of experience supplies a soverign cure for a paralytic abstraction built upon a theory", says the late Mr. Justice Cardozo in The Paradoxes of Legal Science."

This "liberal doss" was supplied by your treatment of the program of the Roo sevelt administration, as any student of progressive economics recognizes, provided the study is flanked by actual experience.

Your concluding sentance is a Q E D, and is supported by several opinions of the U.S. Supreme Court itself, over a period of years: Locker vs New York, 198 U.S., 45; Adair vs United States, 208 U.S., 161; Coppage vs Kansas, 236 U.S. 1; Kuhn vs Common Council, 70 Mich. 534; Smith vs Texas, 235 U.S. 630; and People vs Grane, 214 N.Y., 154, to name those read and re-read.

In the last, the opinion says: "To better the condition of its own citizens, and it may be to prevent pauperism among them, the legislature has declared that the moneys of the state shall go to the people of the state."

In Smith vs Texas, the opinion, delivered by Mr. Justice Lemar(please note); says: "Life, liberty, property and the equal protection of the laws, grouped together in the Constitution, are so related that the deprivation of one of these separate and independent rights may lessen or extinguish the value of the other three. In so far as a man is deprived of the right to labor, his liberty is restricted, his capacity to earn wages and acquire property is lessened, and he is denied the protection which the law affords those who are permitted to work." That millions of Americans shell not be allowed to work in a democratic form of government, through faluty distribution, while a few receive constantly increasing income, threatens perpetuity.

Your denial of the similarity between government spending and that of an individual and business, should be more widely disseminated. It is the kernel of the argument for the rightness of the program of the administration, and was recommended in the comprehensive survey by the Hoover Commission, but, his party repudiated it.

Government debts are bookkeeping through the decades and centuries of that governments existence, while the circulation of moneys is the life-blood of economic movement in such an economy as ours. The fact is, a government in so favorable a position as the United States, should afford the principal avenue of investment of small savings at a very much lower interest than is now padd, while its planned programs for the future safety and welfare should be financed without let or hindrance, except for mal or misfeasance.

Fundamentally, the Roosevelt administration is opposed by the landholders inured to parasitism, curable only by drastic inheritance taxes and levies upon ground rents.