

## ARGUMENTS AGAINST AN OPEN MARKET COMMITTEE OF THREE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD AND TWO GOVERNORS.

The proposal in the bill is to set up a committee of five, three of whom shall be members of the Federal Reserve Board and two governors of Federal Reserve banks. This proposal would have the advantage of creating a small committee with undivided responsibility. It is not clear, however, that this arrangement is the best that can be devised for the desired purpose. The Federal Reserve Board, which is appointed by the President and approved by the Senate for the purpose of having general responsibility for the formulation of mometary policies, would under this proposal have to delegate its principal function to a committee, on which members of the Board would have a bare majority, while governors of the banks would have two out of five members.

From the point of view of the Board the disadvantages of this arrangement are that a minority of the Board could adopt a policy that would be opposed to one favored by the majority. It would even be possible for one member of the Board by joining with the two governors to adopt a policy that would be objectionable to the seven other members of the Board.

The placing of this authority in such a committee would also have the disadvantage of giving one important power, the power of open-market operations, to the Open-market Committee, while other fundamental powers are vested in the Board. These powers could be utilised to nullify the actions of the open-market committee. For example, the committee

might adopt a policy of easing credit, while the Federal Reserve
Board would be in a position to tighten credit, either by raising discount and bill rates or by increasing member bank reserve requirements.

Also the Board, through its power of prescribing regulations for
open-market operations, could conceivably interfere with the carrying out of the policies of the committee. While it is not contemplated
that such extreme situations would occur, it does not seem desirable
to amend the law in a manner that might result in such unreasonable
developments.

Upon further study it would appear that the best way in which to handle this proposal would be to place the responsibility for openmarket operations in the Federal Reserve Board as a whole and to provide for a committee of five governors of Federal reserve banks to advise with the Board in this matter. The Board should be required to obtain the views of this committee of governors before adopting a policy for open-market operations, discount rates, or changes in reserve requirements. Such an arrangement would result in the power to initiate openmarket operations by either a committee of the governors or by the Board, but would place the ultimate responsibility upon the Federal Reserve Board, which is created for that purpose. In this connection I should like to quote Woodrew Wilson, who in his address to the joint session of Congress on June 25, 1915, said: "The control of the system of banking and of issue.... must be vested in the Government itself, so that the banks may be the instruments, not the masters, of business and of individual enterprise and initiative."