## For release on delivery

## Statement by

## Arthur F. Burns

Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

before the

Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs

United States Senate

May 3, 1977

It is a pleasure to meet once again with this distinguished

Committee to present the Federal Reserve's report on the condition

of the national economy and the course of monetary policy.

When we last met to discuss these subjects in November, the economy was beginning to emerge from a period of relatively slow growth. That fact was not widely recognized at the time. By concentrating unduly on comprehensive measures of economic activity, many people failed to see the gathering momentum of positive economic forces. Then, early this year, the disruptions caused by unusual weather obscured further the underlying strength of the economy.

It is quite apparent today, however, that a reacceleration of economic growth did get under way late last year and that expansion is again proceeding vigorously. As 1976 drew to a close, final sales of goods and services picked up, reflecting primarily a resurgence of consumer buying and a strong advance in homebuilding. The improvement in sales enabled business firms to work off a good part of the excess inventories that had accumulated over the preceding months when buying was sluggish. With sales and stocks coming into better balance, the pace of new orders and production began to quicken. The demand for labor strengthened, and personal income expanded more rapidly.

The inclement winter weather and shortages in fuel supplies disrupted this expansionary process only briefly, and not nearly so severely as was suggested by early reports. As the weather took a turn for the better in the second half of February, industrial and commercial activities snapped back smartly in most parts of the country.

Even with the adverse effects of the weather, the
Nation's total production of goods and services rose in the
first quarter at about a 5-1/4 per cent annual rate -- twice
the pace of the preceding quarter. Conditions in the labor
market improved, as is evidenced by the sharp rise in hirings
while layoffs remained at a low level. The unemployment rate
fell by one-half percentage point as sizable gains in employment
more than offset the continued rapid growth of the labor force.
At the same time, the rate of utilization of our Nation's industrial
plant also edged higher during the first quarter and by March
reached 82 per cent in manufacturing.

In all, the recent behavior of economic activity has been encouraging, and the prospects for further economic advance are good. Trends in the consumer sector certainly are favorable. The expansion of jobs and incomes during the past half year has

served powerfully to improve consumer sentiment. During the final quarter of 1976 the percentage of disposable income devoted to consumer spending was the highest in several years; and in the first quarter of this year, the spending percentage rose still further. Our households, viewed collectively, did not let higher fuel bills interfere with other expenditures. In fact, automobile sales rose to the highest level since 1973, as an increasing number of consumers displayed a willingness to incur additional instalment debt in order to finance the purchase of big-ticket items.

The strong pace of consumer buying late in 1976 caused inventories to fall below desired levels in many lines of activity.

More recently, inventory investment has picked up as businessmen sought to keep larger stocks to accommodate their customers. The increasing volume of work at manufacturing plants has had a similar effect. Nevertheless, inventories generally remain quite lean, and inventory investment can be expected to continue rising as sales move up in the months ahead.

Homebuilding has also shown strength in recent months -especially in the single-family sector where new starts apparently
reached a record high in March. With credit readily available and
consumer confidence improving, sales of new homes were brisk

even during the harsh winter months. Activity in the multifamily sector, meanwhile, has recovered much more slowly from the depression brought on by overbuilding in the early 1970's. But vacancy rates are now generally falling, and it is thus reasonable to expect the construction of apartment units to gather strength as time passes.

The pace of business investment in new plant and equipment, while still lagging relative to earlier business-cycle expansions, is also gaining strength. Business equipment posted the largest advance of any major category of industrial production during the first quarter. The most recent surveys of business plans point to a substantial further increase in spending on plant and equipment this year. So, too, does the trend of orders for business capital goods, which have risen more than 20 per cent over the past year. With corporate profits improving and with rates of utilization of industrial capacity rising, the potential clearly exists for good gains in business fixed investment.

Governmental demand for goods and services also appears to be an expansive influence in the economy at this time. Budget estimates suggest that Federal purchases of goods and services in calendar 1977 will increase at a faster rate in constant-dollar terms than they did last year. In addition, a quickening pace of

spending by State and local governments is likely to take place in the months ahead. In the aggregate, State and local operating budgets have moved during the past two years from deficit to surplus, thanks to the combination of strongly rising tax receipts and relatively subdued expenditure growth. With this turnabout in their budgetary situation, State and local governments are likely to loosen their pursestrings. And the tendency in that direction may well be accelerated if Congress follows through with present plans to enlarge, both this year and next, the flow of Federal grants-in-aid to States and localities.

The only major component of final demand that is not exhibiting strength at present is our foreign trade balance.

The dollar value of oil imports increased sharply in the first quarter because of the cold weather, but other imports -- including coffee and other consumer goods -- also rose substantially. Meanwhile, the relatively slow recovery of many foreign economies kept down the expansion of our exports.

Only strength of investment income and other nontrade items has held the over-all current account deficit to moderate proportions. Although the deterioration in our trade balance may

be behind us, it is hard to see significant improvement over the remainder of the year.

With the exception of foreign trade, however, demand factors generally seem to point to good growth in our Nation's output of goods and services this year. Buttressing that expectation is the fact that financial conditions provide a satisfactory foundation for further economic expansion.

The Federal Reserve has continued to pursue a course of monetary policy intended to promote conditions conducive to substantial expansion in economic activity, while guarding against the release of new inflationary forces. During the past two years, the increases that have occurred in the stock of money have proved adequate to finance substantial gains in the physical volume of output and employment. This experience has demonstrated once again that consideration of the stock of money alone is not sufficient for assessment of the adequacy of the economy's liquidity. Money has a second dimension, namely, velocity, or -- in common parlance -- the intensity with which it is being used. Over short periods of time the truly dynamic factor is not so much the stock of money as the willingness of the public to use their money balances. Upswings in business and consumer confidence are commonly reflected in substantial increases of

monetary velocity. Moreover, in the case of the narrowly defined money supply, intensity of use has been increasing with special rapidity since 1975, reflecting numerous innovations in financial technology that serve to reduce reliance on demand deposits for handling monetary transactions.

Supplies of credit have been ample -- perhaps more than ample -- during the past six months, and most rates of interest are near their lowest level in several years. In this environment, many economic entities have been able to achieve a further strengthening of their financial condition.

Large business firms with high credit ratings issued a massive volume of long-term bonds during 1975 and the first half of 1976, and they used the proceeds largely to repay short-term debt and to acquire liquid assets. Such restructuring of balance sheets appears to have abated in the past half year or so, and many companies are now cautiously enlarging their borrowing from banks and through the commercial-paper market. This expansion of short- and intermediate-term liabilities has occurred unusually late in the current cyclical expansion and it has been moderate to date.

Meanwhile, some large corporations -- especially utility and communications firms -- have availed themselves of the attractive financing conditions by selling bonds to refund high coupon issues of earlier years. More important still, many smaller and lower-rated firms have found in the past several quarters a more receptive market for their long-term debt obligations, and they have thus been able to make progress in strengthening their balance sheets. Life insurance companies and other investors in the private placement market have been aggressively seeking lending opportunities and have recently supplied a record amount of credit to small and medium-sized firms. Moreover, the spread between interest rates on prime and lower-rated bond issues in the public market for securities -which had become unusually wide during the recent recession -has now narrowed to dimensions that are normal for a businesscycle expansion.

The favorable condition of financial markets has been of help as well to State and local governments during the past half year. Particularly in the final quarter of 1976, the proceeds of substantial issues of new long-term bonds were used to repay outstanding short-term debt, thus continuing a practice that

has prevailed since mid-1975. This process of debt restructuring, together with the progress made in strengthening budgetary positions, has improved dramatically the standing of many States and municipalities with the investment community.

Testifying to that is the fact that interest rates on municipal securities have declined more than interest rates on other fixed-income obligations. Not only that, the spread between yields on higher- and lower-quality bond issues has narrowed sharply in recent months. These developments have led to numerous advance refundings of existing debt, thereby lowering the future interest burden on taxpayers.

Despite larger loan demands from businesses and consumers since last fall, commercial banks have been able to maintain their sharply improved liquidity. Indeed, they have added further to their holdings of Treasury securities, which today are more than double what they were at the end of 1974. Many banks have strengthened their equity position during the past six months through earnings retention, and some have also augmented their capital by issuing new stock or subordinated long-term debt.

Savings banks and savings and loan associations too have been able to accommodate heavy credit demands without

reducing liquidity. The relatively low level of market rates
of interest has sustained good inflows of consumer time and
savings deposits to those institutions. Against this background,
outstanding mortgage commitments have risen to record levels
and mortgage interest rates have declined. These developments
have contributed to the brisk pace of home sales and to the up-

patterns that have been unfolding in key sectors of our economy justify considerable optimism about the immediate future.

Even so, there are some uncertainties in the present situation that deserve serious attention. The most important of these relate to energy and inflation.

One of the reasons capital spending has lagged during
this economic expansion has been a reluctance of businessmen
to undertake long-term investment projects without a clearer
yiew of the future cost and availability of petrochemical feedstocks
and various energy sources. The President's proposal of a broad
the energy program is a long-needed step toward creating a more
certain environment for decision making. However, with
Congressional action still to be taken and the final shape of any

as ever. Furthermore, in view of the prospect of significant tax or other incentives or disincentives in the not too distant future, there will be a tendency here and there to hold off on major expenditures a bit longer and to see what develops.

This could have the effect of retarding the advance not only of business capital outlays in the months ahead, but also of spending by households -- especially on automobiles and energy-saving home improvements.

Because of these possibilities, it obviously is important that Congress lose no time in considering the President's energy recommendations. I fully realize the practical obstacles to quick action in matters so complex, but I also feel bound to observe that significant risks to economic performance will exist as long as businessmen and the general public remain uncertain of what to expect in the energy area.

The course of economic expansion may also be significantly affected by the pace of inflation. Inflation has accelerated in recent months. Both wholesale and consumer prices advanced at an annual rate of about 10 per cent in the first quarter, with the flare-up in food prices only part of the explanation. Particularly worrisome is the fact that we have now experienced three successive quarters of increase at about an 8 per cent

annual rate in the critically important industrial-commodities component of the wholesale price index. This development reflects an upward climb during the past year of close to 6 per cent in the labor cost per unit of output for private business firms; it also reflects an effort on the part of many companies to widen profit margins from the low level to which they had fallen during the recession. With wage increases now showing some tendency to quicken and with the economy at a stage where productivity gains are likely to become smaller than they have been during the past two years, there is no relief in sight for the underlying cost pressures that businesses have been experiencing. This unhappy circumstance inevitably casts a cloud on our Nation's ability to maintain a satisfactory rate of economic growth into 1978 and beyond.

Experience teaches that when serious inflation persists, consumer confidence and purchasing power will ultimately erode. Continuing inflation at high rates likewise tends to work against sustained expansion in business investment activity, for it raises the risk premium that businessmen attach to new undertakings. Forward business planning becomes more hesitant in an environment in which managers are unable to assess cost and profit

prospects with any confidence over the long time horizons that are frequently involved in new investment projects.

Recognizing these difficulties, President Carter has outlined a multi-faceted program to fight inflation. I want to assure this Committee that the members of the Federal Reserve Board fully support the President's determination to bring down the rate of inflation. All of the measures in his projected program can be helpful, but there is no doubt in our minds that the main key to success in the battle against inflation is prudent management of the Nation's finances.

The Federal Open Market Committee was well aware of its heavy responsibility to encourage economic expansion and yet help to curb inflation when it met last month to discuss the longer-run growth of the monetary aggregates. The Committee decided to leave unchanged over the year ending in the first quarter of 1978 the previous growth range of 4-1/2 to 6-1/2 per cent in M<sub>1</sub>, which is a monetary measure confined to currency and demand deposits. For M<sub>2</sub>, and likewise for M<sub>3</sub>, the upper boundary of the growth range was reduced, however, by a half percentage point. Consequently, the growth ranges projected for the coming year are 7 to 9-1/2 per cent for M<sub>2</sub> and 8-1/2 to 11 per cent for M<sub>3</sub>. As the Committee may recall, M<sub>2</sub>

includes savings and consumer-type time deposits at commercial banks besides currency and demand deposits, while  $M_3$  is a still more comprehensive aggregate-- since it includes also the deposits at savings banks, savings and loan associations and credit unions.

As you can see, the Federal Open Market Committee has again moved very cautiously in adjusting the projected monetary growth ranges. In addition to taking account of the usual uncertainties about the relationship between money and economic activity, we recognized that the impact of the as yet unsettled energy program on aggregate supply and demand in the period ahead cannot be for eseen with any precision. Nonetheless, we did feel it appropriate to take another small step toward bringing the long-run growth of the monetary aggregates down to rates compatible with general price stability.

Sustained progress in this direction will, I believe, be absolutely necessary if President Carter's publicly announced goal of reducing the pace of inflation by two percentage points by the end of 1979 is to be achieved. The trend of growth in monetary aggregates is still rapid, perhaps much too rapid. To be sure, the Federal Reserve has moved fairly steadily toward lower ranges for monetary expansion during the past

two years. But that movement has been extremely gradual; indeed, at the current pace it would require nearly a decade to reach rates of growth that are consistent with a stable price level.

I must report, moreover, that despite the gradual reduction of projected growth ranges for the aggregates during the past two years, no meaningful reduction has as yet occurred in actual growth rates. That unintended consequence is partly the result of data deficiencies that complicate the already formidable task of adjusting or approximating monetary growth objectives. Initial estimates of the monetary aggregates sometimes differ considerably from estimates made later when fuller data became available.

A factor contributing to the measurement problem has been the inadequacy of deposit data for nonmember commercial banks. While our estimates of nonmember bank deposits -- which constitute a growing proportion of the money stock -- have often been on the mark, on occasions there have been significant errors. Our most recent benchmark revision of M1, based on nonmember bank data from the call report for last September, raised the estimate of growth over the year ended in the fourth quarter of 1976 from 5.4 to 5.7 per cent;

and this figure is still subject to subsequent revision on the basis of the call report for December.

We at the Federal Reserve are diligently trying to improve the quality of the Nation's monetary data. Last year a committee of distinguished economists, whose aid we had sought, completed a study of the statistics on monetary aggregates. Some of the recommendations of the committee are being implemented with the aid of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Other recommendations of the consultant committee are being studied by our staff, and further changes are likely to be instituted in the near future. Nevertheless, experience suggests that monetary measurement will continue to lack the precision of science, and so too will the Federal Reserve's actions aiming to influence developments in financial markets.

Fvents during the past several months have again demonstrated quite clearly that the twists and turns that occur in financial markets often are dominated by developments unrelated to Federal Reserve actions. For instance, from late in 1976 to late April, the Federal funds rate -- the one interest rate over which the Federal Reserve has close control -- traded within a narrow range between 4-5/8 per cent and 4-3/4 per cent; yet,

other market rates of interest in that period fluctuated over ranges as wide as a full percentage point. Those fluctuations in interest rates in large part reflected changing public perceptions of the outlook for the Federal budget. Thus, interest rates moved upward sharply when the Administration proposed a new fiscal policy, including the so-called rebate program; and they fell markedly when the President announced that he had dropped the rebate plan.

What this demonstrates anew is the fact that financial market participants have become acutely aware of the inflationary pressures created by Federal budget deficits and the resultant adverse impact on credit conditions. The caution of the Congress with respect to the tax rebate proposal and the President's willingness to adjust his fiscal program in light of emerging economic developments have done much to enhance confidence that our government is moving toward a more responsible financial posture.

In concluding this morning, I am obliged to observe
that we have still a considerable distance to go in putting our
financial house in order. Too often in the past, we have lacked
the courage or the patience to stay long enough on a monetary
and fiscal path that will lead to non-inflationary economic

growth. We cannot afford to backslide once again. Unless we achieve a less inflationary environment, there will be little chance of sustaining the expansion that is now in progress or of significantly reducing the high level of unemployment that is blighting the lives of millions of Americans. That, in a sentence, is the Board's central message to the Congress.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*