Prefatory Note

The attached document represents the most complete and accurate version available based on original copies culled from the files of the FOMC Secretariat at the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. This electronic document was created through a comprehensive digitization process which included identifying the best-preserved paper copies, scanning those copies, ¹ and then making the scanned versions text-searchable.² Though a stringent quality assurance process was employed, some imperfections may remain.

Please note that some material may have been redacted from this document if that material was received on a confidential basis. Redacted material is indicated by occasional gaps in the text or by gray boxes around non-text content. All redacted passages are exempt from disclosure under applicable provisions of the Freedom of Information Act.

¹ In some cases, original copies needed to be photocopied before being scanned into electronic format. All scanned images were deskewed (to remove the effects of printer- and scanner-introduced tilting) and lightly cleaned (to remove dark spots caused by staple holes, hole punches, and other blemishes caused after initial printing).

 $^{^{2}}$ A two-step process was used. An advanced optical character recognition computer program (OCR) first created electronic text from the document image. Where the OCR results were inconclusive, staff checked and corrected the text as necessary. Please note that the numbers and text in charts and tables were not reliably recognized by the OCR process and were not checked or corrected by staff.

CONFIDENTIAL (FR)

SUPPLEMENT

CURRENT ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS

Prepared for the Federal Open Market Committee

September 14, 1973

By the Staff Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

The Domestic Economy

Book value of retail inventories rose at a \$2.1 billion annual rate in July--off sharply from the \$9.5 billion in June. For <u>total manufacturing and trade</u>, the July rate of increase was \$18.0 billion and the second quarter average rate was \$22.9 billion.

Manufacturing and trade sales rose rapidly in July and the <u>inventory-sales ratio</u> declined from 1.44 in June to 1.41 in July--quite low by historical standards. On a quarterly average sales basis, the ratio went from 1.46 in the first quarter to 1.45 in the second.

<u>Manufacturers anticipated</u>, when surveyed in June, that the <u>book value</u> of their <u>inventories</u> would increase at an annual rate of \$10.4 billion in the current quarter and \$7.2 billion in the fourth quarter. The actual second quarter rate of \$11.2 billion was considerably greater than had been anticipated in the earlier surveys.

<u>Manufacturers expect sales</u> to increase by 1.7 percent in the third quarter and to slip by 0.1 percent in the fourth. <u>Inventory-</u> <u>shipments ratios</u> of 1.60 and 1.63 are expected for the third and fourth quarters, respectively, compared with an actual second quarter ratio of 1.60.

As described in the Greenbook, the Board's <u>index of industrial</u> <u>production</u> declined 0.2 percent from July to August because of a 23 percent curtailment in auto and truck assemblies resulting from special circumstances. Apart from this sector, the index increased 0.5 percent. In early September, output of autos and trucks increased sharply. The following table presents industrial production, by major groups.

	1972		1973		Percent of	change from
	Aug.	June	July	Aug.	Month ago	A year ago
Total index	116.3	125.6	126.5	126.2	2	8.5
Consumer goods	124.3	131.8	132.3	130.3	-1.5	4.8
Business equip.	107.2	122.1	123.5	123.9	.3	15.6
Defense equip.	78.1	80.2	81.8	81.9	.1	4.9
Materials	118.8	129.1	130.6	130.9	.2	10.2
steel	108.1	119.9	120.0	120.0		11.0
Autos*	8.5	10.2	10.3	8.0	-22.3	-5.9

INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION (1967=100, seasonally adjusted)

Seasonally adjusted annual rate.

New homes sold by merchant builders dropped a tenth further in July, to a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 596,000 units, the lowest since December 1970. Partly reflecting this development, builders' stocks of homes for sale advanced to a record 9 months' supply at the July rate of sales. Even so, the median price of new homes sold continued higher and, at \$33,700, was more than a fifth above a year earlier and still above the median price of homes for sale. The median price of existing homes sold also rose further in July--to \$30,020, with sales of such homes remaining comparatively strong at a level nearly a tenth above a year earlier.

	Homes sold <u>1</u> /	Homes for sale <u>2</u> /	Months' supply	Median Homes sold	price of: Homes for sale
	(Thousand	is of units)		(Thousand	s of dollars)
<u>1972</u>					
QIII	733	386	6.3	28.0	27.1
QIV	761	402	6.3	29.1	28.3
<u>1973</u>					
QI	733	426	7.0	30.4	29.4
QII (p)	684	432	7.6	32.7	31.2
May (r)	706	424	7.2	32.0	30.9
June	669	432	7.8	33.2	31.2
July	596	446	9.0	33.7	31.4

SALES, STOCKS AND PRICES OF NEW SINGLE FAMILY HOMES

1/ SAAR. 2/ SA, end of period.

The Domestic Financial Situation

Only fragmentary data are available for <u>deposit flows</u> at <u>nonbank thrift institutions</u> in early September. At a sample of 17 large New York City mutual savings banks, net deposit outflows during the first 5 business days of September were slightly below those recorded during the comparable period in August. Moreover, the FHLBB staff estimates that S&L's experienced a deposit inflow of about \$100 million during the first 10 days of the month. (At the request of the FHLBB, the intra-monthly estimates are to be considered confidential and for internal use only.)

Average interest rates on new commitments for conventional mortgages on new homes increased 30 basis points further in August, according to the HUD(FHA) field office opinion survey. At 8.70 percent, the rate exceeded the previous high of 8.60 percent registered in mid-1970. Average rates for loans on existing homes also rose sharply-by 35 basis points to a new high of 8.75 percent. Even so, the average spread favoring gross yields on new-home mortgages over new issues of high-grade utility bonds remained relatively narrow. (Data are confidential until released by HUD.)

The <u>FHA</u> secondary market yield was not reported for August due to the small number of transactions at the new regulatory ceiling rate of 8.50 percent that became effective on August 25.

- 4 -

	Primary		Secondary market:									
	Convention		FE	A-insured lo	ans							
		Spread		Spread								
	Level	(basis	Level	(basis	Discounts							
	(percent)	points)	(percent)	points)	(points)							
1971 - Low	7.55	-36	7.32	-27	2.5e							
High	7.95	52	7.97	31	7.8							
1972 - Low	7.55	15	7.45	5	3.7							
High	7.70	61	7.57	48	4.7							
1973 - Jan.	7.70	32	7.55	17	4.5							
Feb.	7.75	35	7.56	16	4.6							
Mar.	7.80	31	7.63	14	5.2							
Apr.	7.90	44	7.73	27	5.9							
May	7.95	44	7.79	28	6.4							
June	8.05	41	7.89	25	7.2							
July	8.40	34	8.19 1/	18 1/	9.4 1/							
Aug.	8.70	34	<u>2</u> /	2/	2/							

AVERAGE RATES AND YIELDS ON NEW-HOME MORTGAGES

NOTE: FHA series: interest rates on conventional first mortgages (excluding additional initial fees and charges) are rounded by FHA to the nearest 5 basis points. On FHA loans carrying the 7 percent ceiling rate in effect since mid-February 1971, a change of 1.0 points in discount is associated with a change of 12 to 14 basis points in yield. Gross yield spread is average mortgage return, before deducting servicing costs, minus average yield on new Aaa utility bonds.

- <u>e</u>/ Estimated.
- 1/ Data are for loans bearing the former ceiling rate of 7 percent. On July 6, this rate was raised to 7-3/4 percent and then to 8-1/2 percent on August 25.

2/ Not reported.

CONFIDENTIAL UNTIL RELEASED BY HUD

Federal finance. Legislation passed by the Senate and introduced in the House would provide for an immediate 5.9 percent increase in Social Security benefits. If enacted by November 1, an estimated \$2.0 billion would be added to Federal outlays in fiscal year 1974 (an annual rate of \$3.4 billion). Actual payment of any increase, however, would not occur until the spring of 1974 because of the demands currently being made on the Social Security Administration in its efforts to assume, by January 1, 1974, full responsibility for providing assistance to the aged, blind, and disabled, programs previously administered by the States.

CORRECTIONS:

- Page I-9: Percent per year change in personal consumption expenditures for nondurable goods projected for 1974 is 11.6 percent.
- Page I-10: Line 9, the correct figure is 610,000 units, rather than 800,000.

		19		
	Highs	Lows	Aug. 20	Sept. 13
Short-Term Rates				
Federal funds (wkly. avg.)	10.79(9/5)	5.61(1/3)	10.39(8/15)	10.74(9/12)
3-month				
Treasury bills (bid)	9.05(8/14)	5.12(1/4)	8.79	8.86
Comm. paper (90-119 day)	10.50(9/13)	5.63(1/12)	10.25	10.50
Bankers' acceptances	11.00(9/13)	5.75(1/11)	10.75	11.00
Euro-dollars	11.69(8/9)	5.81(1/5)	11.50	11.44
CD's (prime NYC) 60-89 day	• • •	• • •		
Most often quoted new	10.50(9/12)	5.38(1/3)	10.38(8/15)	10.50(9/12)
6-month				
Treasury bills (bid)	9.00(9/13)	5.38(1/4)	8.77	9.00
Comm. paper (4-6 mo.)	10.50(9/13)	5.63(1/12)		10.50
Federal agencies	9.83(9/12)	5.64(1/3)	9.49	9.47
CD's (prime NYC) 180-269 day				
Most often quoted new	9.38(8/15)	5.63(1/3)	9.38(8/15)	9.25(9/12)
l-year				
Treasury bills (bid)	8.50(9/13)	5.40(1/4)	8.29	8.50
Federal agencies CD's (prime NYC)	9.49(8/13)	5.86(1/2)	9.33	9.10
Most often quoted new	8.50(9/12)	5.75(1/3)	8.50(8/15)	8.50(9/12)
Prime municipals	6.00(8/8)	3.20(1/3)	5.70(8/15)	5.25(9/12)
Intermediate and Long-term				
Treasury coupon issues				
5-years	8.13(8/7)	6.23(1/4)	7.50	7.35
20-years	7.83(8/7)	6.04(1/3)	7.58	7.38
Corporate				
Seasoned Aaa	7.77(8/24)	7.10(1/2)	7.77	7.63
Baa	8.68(8/30)	7.88(1/12)	8.59	8.64
New Issue Aas Utility	8.52(8/8)	7.29(1/10)	8.30(8/15)	7.74(9/12)
Aunicipal				
Bond Buyer Index	5.59(8/1)	5.00(1/17)	5.47(8/15)	5.18(9/12)
Mortgageimplicit yield				
in FNMA auction <u>1</u> /	9.27(9/4)	7.69(1/8)	8,71(8/6)	9.27(9/4)

1/ Yield on short-term forward commitment after allowance for commitment fee and required purchase and holding of FNMA stock. Assumes discount on 30year loan amortized over 15 years.

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX A

BANK LENDING PRACTICES AS OF MID-AUGUST *

More than three-quarters of the banks participating in the Quarterly Survey of Changes in Bank Lending Practices reported stronger demand for commercial and industrial loans over the three month period ending August 15. (See Table 1.) The 125 banks reporting in the Survey have significantly tightened both price and nonprice terms of lending. A large number of banks commented that the higher cost of funds was the major reason for tightening credit, and many indicated that state usury laws prevented them from making home mortgages. However, more than half of the banks expect commercial and industrial loan demand to remain stable or decrease in the quarter beginning August 16.

Continuing and strongly reinforcing the trend of the two surveys earlier this year, the terms of lending tightened considerably across the country. Interest rates lead the move toward more restrictive credit conditions. More than 90 per cent of the respondents had adopted a firmer interest policy, reflected in the prime rate increases from 7 per cent to 9-1/4 per cent during the three month period. But there were still many comments that the prime rate was unrealistically low and that the spread between the cost of funds and loan rates was too narrow or even negative.

There was evidence of tightening in all nonprice terms of lending. The increased restrictiveness was significant in all areas, but was especially noticeable in compensating balances. The banks reported closer scrutiny of borrowers and the purpose of loans. There was a much more restrictive stance on new loan applications and enlarging credit lines--particularly from new or nonlocal customers. Many banks reported that loans were not being given for acquisitions or for speculation in inventories or real estate, but were granted only for "constructive" purposes to steady customers. But despite the more restrictive credit conditions generally, almost 90 per cent of the reporting banks indicated that their willingness to make consumer loans is the same or greater than three months earlier.

As shown in Tables 2 and 3, the pattern of tightening emerged consistently in both large and small banks and in every region of the country.

^{*} Prepared by Paul W. Boltz, Economist, Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

NOT FOR JUDIATION OF PUBLICATION

TABLE 1

WUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN AANK LENDING PRACTICES AT SELECTED LARGE BANKS IN THE U.S. 1/ (STATUS OF POLICY UN AUGUST 15, 1973 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIER) (NUMMER OF MANKS & PERCENT OF TOTAL BANKS REPORTING)

	тот	۵L	MUC STROI		MONER		FSSEN1 UNCH		MODERA WEAK		MU(WEAM	
STRENGTE OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL LOANS (AFTER ALLOWANCE FOR BANKIS USUAL SEASONAL VARIATION)	HVNKS	РСТ	HANKS	PCT	PANKS	РСТ	PANKS	PCT	HANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT
COMPANED TO THREE MONTHS AGU	125	100.0	24	19.2	71	56.8	27	21.6	3	2.4	0	0.0
ANTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 MONTHS	125	100.0	12	9.6	48	18.4	54	43.2	11	8.8	0	0.0
	ANSWE QUES	RING Tiun	FJ	UCH Rme¤ _ICY	MODER: Firi Pol:	MFR	FSSENT UNCHA POLI	NGED	MODERA EASI POLI	EH	EAS	JCH SIER .ICY
LENDING TO MUNETNANCIAL HUSINESSES	HANKS	PCT	HANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	PANKS	PCT	BANKS	РСТ	BANKS	PCT
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:												
INTEREST PATES CHARGED	125	100.0	H ()	64.0	36	28.A	9	7.2	n	0.0	0	0.0
COMPENSATION OF SUPPORTING HALANCES	125	100.0	41	32.8	46	76.A	38	30.4	0	0.0	υ	0.0
STANDARDS OF CREDIT WORTHINFSS	125	100.0	27	21.6	45	36.0	53	42.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
MATURITY OF TERM LOANS	125	100.0	22	17.6	37	29.6	65	52.0	1	0.8	0	0.0
REVIEWING CHEDIT LINES OF LOAN APPLICATIO	INS											
ESTABLISHED CUSTOMERS	125	100.0	13	10,4	60	48.0	52	41.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
NEW CUSTOMERS	127	100.0	72	57.6	38	34.4	15	12.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
LOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS	125	100.0	12	9.6	58	46.4	55	44.0	n	0.0	0	0.0
NONLUCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS	125	100.0	58	46.4	45	36.0	22	17.6	0	0.0	0	0.0

1/ SURVEY OF LENDING PRACTICES AT 125 LANGE RANKS REPUNTING IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST HATE SURVEY AS OF AUGUST 15, 1973.

A2 -

.

TAHLE 1 (CONTINHED)

		ANSWERING OUFSTION		UCH 4M£ 4 UCH	MODER/ FIRM POL1	FR		FIALLY ANGED ICY	MUDEPA EASI POLI	EH	EAS	JCH SIER .ICY
	HANKS	PCT	RANKS	PCT	HANKS	PCT	AANKS	PCT	HANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT
FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT 2/												
VALUE AS DEPOSITUR OR Source of collateral rusimess	125	100.0	49	39,2	46	36.A	30	24.0	0	u .0	0	0.0
INTENDED USE OF THE LOAN	125	100.0	43	34.4	44	35.2	38	30.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
LENDING TO "NUNCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES												
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:												
INTEREST PATES CHANGED	125	100.0	41	32.8	33	26.4	51	40.8	0	J.O	0	0.0
COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING HALANCES	125	100.0	15	12.0	21	21.6	нз	66.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
ENFORCEMENT OF HALANCE REQUIREMENTS	125	100.0	20	16.0	45	36.0	60	48.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
ESTABLISHING NEW OR LANGER CREDIT LINES	125	100.0	65	52.0	31	24.8	28	22.4	1	(F . 8	0	0.0
		FRING	CONSID Le WIL		MODERA LF° WILL	5	FSSEN UNCH	T T ALLY ANGED	MODERA Moh Will	Ł	CUNSIDE Mor Will	E
	PANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	HANKS	PCT	HANKS	PCT	HANKS	РСТ	BANKS	PCT
WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LOANS	105		20	34 0	63	4.3. /	4.5	11 4			0	0 ()
TERM LOANS TO BUSINESSES	125	100.0		24.0		42.4	41		1	0 .8	0	0.0
CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS	124	100.0	و	2.4	12	¥•7	102		6	4.8	1	0.H
SINGLE FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS	122	100.0	25	20.5	39	32.0	54	44.2	4	3.3	0	0.0
MULTI-FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS	121	100+0	36	29.A	43	35.5	42	34.7	0	0.0	Ű	0.0
ALL OTHER MORTGAGE LOANS	121	100.0	33	27.3	52	43.0	36	29.1	0	U.U	0	0.0
PARTICIPATION LOANS WITH Correspondent Hanks	125	100.0	10	8.0	46	36 . H	64	54.4	1	u.d	0	0.0
LOANS TO PROKERS	124	100.0		26.6		29.0	55		0	0.0	0	0.0

2/ FOR THESE FACTORS. FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED MORE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING CREDIT REQUESTS. AND FASTER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

NOT FOR MOTATION OF PUBLICATION

TAHLE 2

COMPANISON OF QUARTFRLY CHANGES IN HANK LENDING PRACTICES AT RANKS GROUPED BY SIZE OF TOTAL DEPOSITS 1/ (STATUS OF POLICY ON AUGUST 15, 1973, COMPARED TO THREE HONTHS EARLIER) (NUMMER OF HANKS IN EACH COLUMN AS PER CENT OF TOTAL HANKS ANSWERING QUESTION)

		млсн мог		517F	OF BANK	T	OTAL DEP	POSTTS 1	N BILLI)NS			
				MODER STRO			TIALLY		ATELY KFR		KER		
	STRENGTH OF DEMANL FOR COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL LOANS (AFTER ALLOWANCE FOR BANKIS USUAL SEASONAL VARTATION)	51 N Uvfr	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Ovfr	UNDER ¢1	\$1 K Over	UNDER \$1	¶î & ∩VER	UNDEH \$1	SI & Qver	UNDER 1	51 & UVER	UNDËR S1
	COMPARED TO THALE MONTHS AGO	100	100	17	اد	65	51	18	24	0	4	Û	0
	ANTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 MONTHS	100	100	11	Ą	46	32	39	47	4	13	0	0
		T	ΟΤΑΙ		UCH RMFD	MODER			TIALLY ANGED		ATELY Iem		UCH Sier
- 43 -	LENDING TO NUMFINANCIAL HUSINESSES	\$1 N UVER	UNDEH \$1	\$1 & በVF#	UN 169 41	\$1 & OVER	UNDFH \$1	41 S OVER	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Uver	UNDER SI	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1
	TERMS AND CONDITIONS:												
	INTEREST HATES CHARGED	100	100	52	7 1	39	21	9	6	0	Û	0	0,
	COMPENSATING OF SUPPORTING HALANCES	100	100	26	74	41	34	33	28	0	0	0	0
	STANDARDS OF CREDIT WORTHINESS	1 2 0	100	11	30	39	34	50	36	0	U	0	0
	MATURITY OF TERM LOANS	100	100	7	25	24	34	67	41	?	U	0	0
	REVIEWING CHEDIT LINES OR LOAN APPLICATI	05											
	ESTABLISHED CUSTOMERS	100	100	13	A	37	54	50	36	0	0	0	0
	NEW CUSTOMERS	100	100	52	62	28	32	20	6	٥	U	0	0
	LOCAL SERVICE AHEA CUSTOMERS	100	100	13	7	37	54	50	39	0	U	0	0
	NONLOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS	100	100	٦ 0	59	44	30	26	11	0	U	0	0

1/ SURVEY OF LENDING PRACTICES AT 54 LARGE RANKS (DEPUSITS OF \$1 BILLION OR MORE) AND 71 SMALL BANKS (DEPOSITS OF LESS THAN SI HILLION) REPORTING IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST PATE SURVEY AS OF AUGUST 15, 1973.

2/ FOR THESE FACTORS, FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED MORE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING CREDIT REGUESTS, AND EASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

	COMPENSATING UP SUPPORTING HALANCES	100	100	ų	14	20	23	71	63	0	U	0	0
	ENFORCEMENT OF PALANCE REDUIREMENTS	100	10,	15	۱7	37	35	4H	48	n	υ	0	0
	ESTABLISHING NEW ON LARGER CREDIT LINES	100	100	46	5	28	23	25	20	0	1	0	U
1		ANS	WERING FSTION	CONSID LF WIL		MODER LF' WILL			TIALLY	N 0	HATELY RE LIN13	MQ	EPAHLY RE LING
		81 A DVEH	UNDER \$1	41 K Over	UN FR ¢1	\$1 & Over	NDFR 13	SI &	UNDER Si	51 6 UVFR	UNUER 1¢	SI & OVER	UNDER \$1
ł	ILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LOANS						-		_			_	-
	TERM LUANS TO BUSINESSES	100	100	19	28	37	46	44	25	0	1	0	0
	CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS	100	100	5	3	6	13	86	78	4	6	2	U
	SINGLE FAMILY MUNTGAGE LOANS	100	100	13	26	23	39	62	31	2	4	0	0
	MULTI-FAMILY MONTGAGE LOANS	100	100	23	35	25	41	52	22	U	U	0	υ
	ALL OTHER MORTGAGE LOANS	100	100	19	34	40	44	41	20	0	υ	0	υ
	PARTICIPATION LOANS WITH Correspondent banks	100	100	6	10	41	34	51	56	2	U	0	0
	LOANS TO PROKERS	100	100	24	29	30	29	46	42	0	U	0	0

LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES

TERMS AND CONDITIONS:

- 74 -

INTEREST GATES CHARGED

	QUESTION		POLICY		POLICY		POLICY		POLICY		POLICY		
FACTORS PELATING TO APPLICANT 2/	SI A Over	UNDEH \$1	51 & Over	UNDER ¢}	\$1 & Uvfr	HNDFR \$1	S1 S OVER	UNDER \$1	SI & Over	UNDER \$1	S] & Over	UNDER \$1	
VALUE AS DEPOSITOR OR Source of cullateral rusiness	100	100	35	47	37	37	28	21	0	υ	0	0	
INTENDED USE OF THE LOAN	100	100	30	RF	37	34	33	28	0	U	0	0	

30

35

NUMAER

100 100

ANS#FRING

NOT FOR QUOTATION OR PUBLICATION

TABLE 2 (CONTINUED)

MUCH

FIRMER

SIZE OF RANK -- TOTAL DEPOSITS, IN BILLIONS

FSSENTIALLY

UNCHANGED

38

44

MODERATELY

EASIER

0

U

MUCH

EASIER

U

0

MODERATELY

FIRMER

26

27

NOT FOR QUOINTING OF FUBLICATION

- OUANTERLY SUPVEY OF CHANGES IN HANK LENDING PRACTICES AT SELECTED LARGE BANKS IN THE U.S. 1/ STATUS OF PULICY ON AUGUST 15, 1973 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIER (NUMHER OF PANKS)

		ALL	405- TON	N TUT&L	FW YORH CITY UL		PHTL- ADFL.	CLEVE- LAND	RTCH- MOND	ATLAN- TA		ST. LOUIS	MTNNE- APOLIS	KANS. CITY	DAL- Las	SAN FRAN
	STRENGTH OF DEMIND FOR COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL LOANS (AFTER ALLOWAR BANKIS USUAL SEASOMAL VARIATION	CF FUH	2													
	COMPANED TO 3 MUNTHS AND	125														
	MUCH STRUNGER Moderately Strunger Essentially Unchanged Moderately Weaker Much Weaker	24 71 27 3 0	1 7 0 0 0	4 11 5 0 0	0 0 V V V	2 6 3 0 U	2 4 0 0	4 5 2 0	1 8 2 1 0	2 4 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0	3 2 1 0	1 1 0 0	2 5 2 0 0	1 5 2 1 0	0 8 5 0 0
	ANTICIPATED OFMAND NEXT Three Months	125														
- 45 -	MUCH STRUNGED MODEPATELY STRUNGER ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED MODERATELY WEAKER MUCH WEAKER LENDING TO NOAFINANCIAL RUSINESSES TERMS AND CONJITIONS	12 48 54 11 0	1 4 3 0 0	1 9 10 0 0	1 4 6 0	0 5 6 0 0	1 5 0 2	1 5 4 1 0	1 2 6 1 0	1 4 7 3 0	2 6 7 1) 1)	3 2 2 0	0 2 1 0 0	1 3 5 0 0	0 2 6 1 0	0 4 6 3 0
	INTEREST HATES CHANGED	125														
	MUCH FIRMER POLICY Moderately firmer policy ESSEPTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY Moderately lasier policy Much Easter Pulicy	н () 36 9 () 0	5 2 0 0	12 5 3 0	5 2 7 0 0	7 3 1 0 0	ה ח ח ח	H 3 0 0 0	7 5 0 0	6 4 0 0	A 6 1 0 0	7 2 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 0	н 1 0 0	7 2 0 0	5 6 2 0 0
	COMPENSATING HALANCES	125														
	MUCH FIRMER POLICY Moderately firmfr Policy Essentially unchanged policy Moderately fasifh Policy Much Easier Policy	41 46 38 0 0	4 3 1 0 U	5 1 u 5 0 0	0 5 3 0 0	5 4 2 0 0	3 0 0 0	6 3 2 0 0	3 4 5 0 0	5 3 2 0 0	3 7 5 0 0	4 2 3 0 0	1 1 0 0	3 3 0 0	3 4 2 0 0	1 6 0 0

1/ SURVEY OF LENDING PRACTICES AT 125 LARGE RANKS REPORTING IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY AS OF AUGUST 15. 1973.

		ALL DSTS	HOS- Ton		NEW YOR CITY O		PHJL≖ ADFL∎	CLEVE-	RTCH-	ATLAN- TA		ST. LOUIS	MINNE- APOLIS	KANS. CITY	DAL- Las	SAN FRAN
	LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL Businesses									• •						
	TERMS AND CUNDITIONS															
	STANDARDS OF CHEDIT WORTHINESS	125														
	MUCH FIRMER POLICY	27	2	3	Ű	3	3	2	2	5	0	1	1	5	2	1
	MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY	45	2	5	1	4	3	5	5	1	8	6	0	1	5	4
	ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY	53	4	12	8	4	n	4	5	4	7	2	2	3	2	8
	MODERATELY FASIER POLICY	0	Û	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	MUCH EASIER POLICY	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	MATURITY OF TERM LOANS	125														
	MUCH FIRMER POLICY	22	1	2	n	2	٦	1	0	3	n	3	2	4	3	0
	MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY	37	2	5	1	4	1	4	6	1	5	4	1	3	3	2
	ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY	65	5	13	, H	5	2	6	6	6	10	2	ō	2	3	10
	MODERATELY EASIFR POLICY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	Ō	õ	ō	1
	MUCH EASIER POLICY	0	Û	U	U	U	n	0	0	0	0	O	Ó	0	Ō	ō
	REVIEWING CREDIT LINES OR LUAN	s														
ı v		125														
- A6		13	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	U)	3	1	1	3	1
•	MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY	60	7	11	4	ž	٦	7	-	5	6	3	2	5	4	3
	ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY		i	8	4	4	>	4	7	4	ŷ	3	0	3	ž	9
	MODERATELY LASIFH POLICY	ō	ō	Û	Ô	Ŭ	n	ò	, 0	0 0	Ő	Ū	õ	0	Ō	ő
	MUCH EASIFP PULICY	ō	ů	Ő	Ő	õ	ń	õ	0	n	ũ	Ŭ	ŏ	õ	ŏ	õ
	NEW CUSTOMERS	125														
	MUCH FIRMER PULICY	72	5	13	6	7	4	7	7		7	5	2			,
		38	2	-		4				6	7	-	2	6	6	4
	MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY		1	4	י נ	• U	1	3 1	4 1	3	5	ال ا	1	3	3	5
	ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY									1		1	0	0	0	4
	MODERATELY EASIER POLICY	0 0	0	0	0	U D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	MUCH EASIER POLICY	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0	0
	LOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS	125														
	MUCH FIRMER POLICY	12	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	3	Q	2	1	1	3	0
	MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY	58	3	9	٦	6	7	7	6	6	6	4	5	4	5	З
	ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY	55	5	9	5	4	2	4	5	3	9	Ŀ	0	4	1	10
	MODEHATELY EASIER POLICY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0
	MUCH EASIEH PULICY	0	0	0	0	0	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

NOT FOR QUOTATION OF PUBLICATION

TARLE 3 (CONTINUED)

	LENDING TO NUNFINANCIAL RUSINESSES	ALL DST5	HOS. Ton		NEW YOR CITY (RK DUTSIDE	PHIL- ADFL.	CLEVE- LAND	RICH- Mond	ATLAN- Ta	CHIC- Ago	ST. Louis	MINNE- APOLIS	KANS. CITY	DAL- Las	SAN FRAN
	REVIEWING CREDIT LINES OR LUANS	s														
	NONLOCAL SERVICE APPEA CUST	125														
	MUCH FIHMER POLICY MODERATELY FIHMER POLICY FSSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	5H 45 22 0 0	3 4 1 0 0	8 5 7 0 0	1 3 5 0 0	7 2 0 0	4 0 7 0 0	2 7 2 0	8 2 0 0	6 3 1 0 0	3 10 2 0 0	5 4 0 0 0	2 1 0 0 0	7 1 1 0 0	6 2 1 0 0	4 6 3 0 0
	FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT 2/															
	VALUE AS DEPOSITOR OR SOURCE OF COLLATERAL HUSINESS	125														
- 1	MUCH FIHMER POLICY Moderately Fihmer Policy Essentially inchanged Policy Moderately Easier Policy Much Easier Pulicy	49 46 30 0	2 2 4 0 0	6 7 7 0 0	2 7 5 0	4 5 2 0 0	4 1 1 0	5 4 2 0 0	3 9 0 0	4 3 3 0 0	6 3 0 0	5 3 1 0 0	2 1 0 0 0	3 3 0 0	6 3 0 0	3 4 6 0
- A7	INTENDED USE OF LOAN	125														
	MUCH FIRMER PULICY Moderately firmer Policy ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY Moderately Easier Policy MUCH EASIER PULICY	43 44 38 0 0	2 3 0 0	9 6 5 0 0	4 3 7 0 0	5 3 3 0 0	3 1 7 1 0	6 1 4 0 0	4 5 3 0 0	3 5 2 0 0	3 9 3 0 0	4 4 0 0	0 2 1 0 0	3 3 9 0 0	3 4 2 0 0	3 4 6 0 0
	LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES															
	TERMS AND CONDITIONS															
	INTEREST RATES CHARGED	125														
	MUCH FIRMER POLICY Moderately firmer policy Essentially unchanged policy Moderately easier policy Much Easier Policy	41 33 51 0	2 0 0 0	5 5 10 0 0	2 1 6 0 0	3 4 4 0 0	7 7 0 0	2 5 4 0 0	4 5 3 0 0	2 4 0 0	3 2 10 0	3 4 2 0 0	2 0 1 0 0	6 1 2 0 0	▲ 2 3 0 0	6 3 4 0 0

2/ FOR THESE FACTORS, FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED MORE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING CREDIT REDUFSTS, AND EASTER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT. NOT FOR QUOTATION OF PUBLICATION

TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

		ALL USTS	HOS- Ton	NI TOTAL	FW YORK City Ou		PHIL- ADFL.	CLEVE- LAND	RICH- Mond	ATLAN- Ta	CHIC-	ST. Louis	MINNE- APOLIS	KANS. CITY	DAL- Las	SAN FRAN
	LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES															
	TERMS AND CONDITIONS:															
	SIZE OF COMPENSATING RALANCES	125														
	MUCH FIRMER POLICY Moderately Firmer Policy Essentially Unchanged Policy Moderately Easier Policy Much Easier Policy	15 27 83 0 0	1 0 7 0 0	1 7 12 0 0	0 2 7 0	1 5 5 0	n 1 5 0 0	1 6 4 0 0	0 3 9 0 0	3 2 5 0 0	2 0 13 0 0	1 3 5 0 0	0 1 2 0 0	2 2 5 0 0	2 1 6 0 0	2 1 10 0
	ENFORCEMENT OF Balance requirement	125														
	MUCH FIRMER POLICY Moderately Firmfr Policy Essentially unchanged Policy Moderately Easifr Policy Much Easier Policy	20 45 60 0	1 4 3 0 0	1 14 5 0 0	1) 6 3 0 0	1 8 2 0 0	2 0 4 0 0	2 4 5 0 0	1 4 7 0 0	3 4 3 0 0	2 3 10 0	1 3 5 0 0	0 0 3 0 0	3 2 4 0 0	2 3 4 0 0	2 4 7 0
ı	ESTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES	125														
- A8	MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMFR POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIFR POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	65 31 28 1 0	3 2 3 0 0	10 5 4 1 0	5 2 2 0 0	5 3 2 1 0	ר ר 0 0	5 3 0 0	6 5 1 0 0	5 3 7 0	5 7 3 0 0	5 3 1 0 0	2 1 0 0 0	8 0 1 0 0	6 1 2 0 0	7 0 6 0 0
	WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LOANS															
	TERM LOANS TO HUSINESSES	125														
	CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING MODERATELY LESS WILLING ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED MODERATELY MORE WILLING CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	30 53 41 1 0	2 4 0 0	3 9 8 0 0	0 5 4 0 0	3 4 0 U	4 0 2 0 0	1 8 2 0 0	2 6 3 1 0	35200	0 9 6 0	4 3 2 0 0	2 0 0	4 3 2 0 0	3 2 4 0	2 3 8 0 0
	CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS	124														
	CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING Moderately Less Willing Essentially unchanged Moderately More Willing Considerably More Willing	3 12 102 6 1	0 1 7 0 0	0 1 17 1 0	0 0 8 0 0	0 1 9 1 0	0 n 6 n n	0 0 11 0 0	0 4 6 2 0	0 1 8 1 0	0 1 14 0 0	U 0 8 1 U	0 1 2 0 0	1 3 5 0 0	1 0 7 1 0	1 0 11 0 1

TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

		ALI DST5	HOS- Ton		EW YOR	K UTSIDE	PHTL-	CLEVE-	RICH- MOND	ATLAN~ TA	CHIC- AGO	SI. LOUIS	MINNE- APOLIS	KANS. CITY	DAL- LAS	SAN FRAN
	WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LOAMS			_			•	2,0			205	20012		••••	223	
	SINGLE FAMILY MURTURANE LURAS	122														
	CONSIDERAILY LESS WILLING	25	0	م	n	2	4	5	4	2	1	1	2	,	1	2
	MODERATELY LESS WILLING	39	4	A	0	8	,	2	4	2	4	4	1	5	i	3
	ESSENTTALLY UNCHANGED	54	4	8	7	ì	i	4	3	3	10	4	ů 0	3	7	7
	MODERATELY MORE WILLING	4	0	n	Ô	ñ	ņ	Ó	ī	2	0	ů.	ŏ	õ	ò	i
	CONSTREMABLY MURE WILLING	O	U	0	0	U	n	Ō	ō	0	Ő	Ŭ	ō	Ō	õ	Ō
	MULTIFAMILY MURTGAGE LOANS	171														
	CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	36	υ	3	0	3	٦	5	5	4	3	2	3	z	1	5
	MODERATELY LESS WILLING	43	3	7	1	6	2	3	6	3	5	6	õ	6	;	ī
	ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	42	5	7	6	1	1	3	1	ž	7	1	Ŭ	ĩ	7	;
	MODERATELY MORE WILLING	0	0	0	0	ŏ	ō	õ	ō	ō	ó	Ū	ō	ō	'n	ò
	CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	0	0	0	0	U	n	Ō	Õ	õ	Ö	ŏ	ō	ů	ŏ	õ
	ALL OTHER MORTGAGE LOANS	151														
	CONSTREMANLY LESS WILLING	33	0	2	ø	2	٦	6	4	з	3	3	2	4	1	2
	MODERATELY LESS WILLING	52	5	9	٦	6	1	4	7	ž	7	<u> </u>	1	Å	ż	5
a	FSSENTIALLY HINCHANGED	36	2	н	5	3	2	1	i	2	5	2	ò	ĩ	6	6
		0	0	0	ō	Ō	n	0	0	ō	õ	0	õ	ň	0	õ
	CONSIDERARLY MUSE WILLING	0	0	Û	0	0	n	Ō	Ő	ñ	ŏ	ő	õ	Ŭ	ö	ŏ
	PARTICIPATION LOAMS WITH															
	CORRESPONDENT JANKS	152														
	CONSTURPABLY LESS WILLING	10	n	2	n	2	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	2	0
	MODERATELY LESS WILLING	46	5	9	5	4	2	6	4	6	3	1	ĩ	-	2	3
	ESSENTTALLY UNCHANGED	68	3	9	4	5	'n	4	6	4	12	i	ż	4	Å	10
	MODERATELY MORE WILLING	1	0	0	n	U	0	0	0	0	ō	Ó	ō	, n	i	õ
	CONSIDERABLY MODE ATLLING	0	U	0	0	0	n	0	0	0	Ō	ō	Ō	Ŭ	ō	ŏ
	LOANS TO HHOKERS	124														
	CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	33	5	5	Ð	5	2	4	3	4	3	1	0	3	٦	3
	MODEPATELY LESS WILLING	76	Э	4	2	2	1	6	3	3	5	2	õ	4	2	ž
	ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	55	З	11	7	4	3	1	6	3	7	6	3	1	Ĩ.	7
	MODERATELY MORE WILLING	Û	Ō	0	0	0	õ	ō	ō	õ	ò	ō	õ	ō	ō	ó
	CONSIDERABLY MODE WILLING	0	0	0	0	0	Ő	0	õ	Ō	ō	ō	ō	Ő	ŏ	ŏ

125

TAPLE 4

	MAY 15+ 1973	AUGUS	T 15, 1973	
	NUMPER	NUM	BER OF BANK	5
	OF BANKS	STRONGER	UNCHANGED	WEAKER
STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL LOANS		2		
COMPARED TO THREE MUNTHS AGO				
STRONGER	92	76	16	0
UNCHANGED	30	18	9	3
WEAKER	3	1	2	0
ANTICIPATED DEMAND THREE MONTHS HENCI	E			
STRONGER	82	48	33	1
UNCHANGED	4]	11	20	10
WEAKER	2	1	1	0
ANTICIPATED DEMAND THREE MONTHS HENC	E	COMPARED T	O THREE MON	THS AGO
STRONGER	82	68	14	0
UNCHANGED	41	25	13	3
WEAKER	2	2	U	0
LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL HUSINESSES		FIRMER	UNCHANGED	EASIER
INTEREST RATES CHARGED				
FIRMER	100	96	4	n
UNCHANGED	25	20	5	0
EASIFR	0	0	0	0
COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES				
FIRMER	68	59	9	0
UNCHANGED	57	28	29	n
EASIER	n	0	0	()
STANDARDS OF CREDIT WORTHINESS				
F I RMFR	55	44	11	0
UNCHANGED	59	27	42	0
EASIER	1	1	0	n
MATUPITY OF TERM LOANS				
FIRMER	46	32	14	0
UNCHANGED	78	26	51	1
LASIER	1	1	0	0

- A10 -

NOT FOR QUOTATION OR PUBLICATION

	MAY 15, 1973	AUGU	57 15, 1973	
	NUMBER	-	HER OF BANK	
	OF BANKS	FIRMER	UNCHANGED	EASIEM
REVIEWING CREDIT LINES OR LOAN APPL	ICATION			
ESTABLISHED CUSTOMERS				
FIRMER	41	32	9	0
UNCHANGED	AB	40	43	0
LASIER	1	1	0	0
NEW CUSTOMERS				
FIRMER	76	71	5	0
UNCHANGED	48	38	10	ŏ
LASIFR	1	1	-0	ŏ
	•	•		v
LOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS				
FIRMER	36	31	5	0
UNCHANGED	89	39	50	0
EASIER	ŋ	0	0	0
NUNLACAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS				
FIRMER	67	62	5	0
UNCHANGED	57	41	16	0
LASIFR	1	0	1	n
FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT VALUE AS DEPOSITOR OP SOURCE OF COLLATEVAL PUSINESS				
FIRMER	76	64	12	0
UNCHANGED	49	31	18	ñ
EASIFH	0	Ō	0	0
INTENDED USE OF THE LOAN				
FIRMER	49	40	9	0
UNCHANGED	76	47	29	0
EASIER	0	n	0	Ø
LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FIMANCE COM	PANIES			
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:				
INTEREST RATES CHARGED				
FIRMER FIRMER	66	53	13	0
UNCHANGED	59	21	38	Ő
LASIER	n	ō	0	ō
COMPENSATING ON SUPPORTING RALANC	ES			
FIRMER	29	16	13	0
UNCHANGED	95	26	69	0
LASIER	1	σ	1	0

- All -

	MAY 15. 1973	AUGL	IST 15+ 1973	
	NUMBER OF BANKS	NU FIRMER	UNCHANGED	EASIER
LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPA	NIES			
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:				
ENFORCEMENT OF BALANCE REQUIREMENTS				
FIRMER	39	29	10	0
UNCHANGED	86	36	50	0
LASIER	0	0	0	0
ESTARLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT L	INES			
FIRMFR	70	62	8	0
UNCHANGED	55	34	20	1
EASIER	n	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LO	ANS	LFSS	UNCHANGED	MURE
TERM LOANS TO BUSINESSES				
LESS	54	42	12	0
UNCHANGED	58	40	28	0
MURE	3	1	1	1
CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS				
LESS	1	0	1	0
UNCHANGED	111	14	94	3
MORE	12	1	7	4
SINGLE FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS				
LESS	19	13	5	1
UNCHANGED	100	51	47	1
MORE	4	0	2	5
MULTJ-FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS				
LESS	30	27	ف	0
UNCHANGED	92	52	39	0
MORE	0	0	0	0
ALL OTHER MORTGAGE LOANS				
LESS	33	28	4	0
UNCHANGED	BB	54	32	0
MORE	٦	3	0	0
PARTICIPATION LOANS WITH CORRESPOND				_
LESS	25	16	6	0
UNCHANGED MORE	100	39 0	60 1	1 0
	•	-	-	·
LOANS TO BROKERS LESS	45	33	12	0
UNCHANGED	77	34	43	ő
MORE	2	2	0	0

1 A12

ı

TAHLE 5

A CHOSS-CLASSIFICATION OF SELECTED RESPONSES IN THE AUGUST SURVEY

	AUG. 15, 1973	AUGU	ST 15+ 1973		
		NU	MHER OF BANK	S	
	NUMPER		ESSENTIALLY	,	
LEMUTNG TO NONFINANCIAL RUSINESSES	OF RANKS	FIRMER	UNCHANGED	EASIER	
TNTEHEST HATES CHARGED		COMPENS	ATING OR SUP	PORTING BAL	ANCES
FIHMER	116	84	32	0	
FSSENTTALLY UNCHANGED	9	3		n	
EASIER	n	ō	õ	0	
NEW CUSTOMERS		FSTAHL I	SHED CUSTOME	RS	
FIRMER	11 1	73	37	0	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	15	0	15	0	
EASIER	n	0	Ū.	0	
VALUE AS DEPOSITOR OR					
SOURCE OF COLLATERAL BUSINESS		INTENDE	D USF OF THE	LOAN	
FIRMER	95	71	24	0	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	30	16	14	0	
FASIER	n	0	0	a	
LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE CUMPAN	ILS				
INTEREST RATES CHARGED		COMPENS	ATTNG OR SUP	PORTING BAL	ANCES
F IR'IFR	74	40	34	0	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	51	2	49	0	
EASIER	n	0	0	0	
COMPENSATING OF SUPPORTING HALANCES		ENFORCE	MENT OF BALA	NCE REQUIRE	MENTS
FIRMER	42	38	4	0	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	83	27	56	0	
EAS1ER	n	0	0	0	
COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING PALANCES		FSTAHLI	SHING NEW OR	LARGER CRE	DIT LINES
FIHMER	42	39	2	1	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	83	57	26	0	
EASIER	n	0	0	0	

TABLE 5 (CONTINUED)

A CROSS-CLASSIFICATION OF SELECTED RESPONSES IN THE AUGUST SURVEY

		AUG. 15. 1973	AUGU	ST 15, 1973	
			NU	MER OF BANKS	
		NUMHER		ESSENTIALLY	
		OF BANKS	LFSS	UNCHANGED	MURE
LLINGNESS TO MAK	E OTHER TYPES OF LOA	NS			
TERM LOANS TO BU	SINESSES		MATURIT	Y OF TERM LOA	NS
LE	55	87	49	34	0
ES	SENTIALLY UNCHANGED	41	9	31	1
MO	RE	1	1	0	0
SINGLE FAMILY MO	RTGAGE LOANS		MULTI-F	AMILY MORTGAG	E LOANS
LE		64	57	6	0
ES	SENTIALLY UNCHANGED	54	21	33	0
MO	RE	4	1	3	0
SINGLE EAMILY MO	RTGAGE LOANS		ALL OTH	ER MORTGAGE L	0ANS
LE	\$5	64	56	7	0
ES	SENTIALLY UNCHANGED	54	26	27	Ű
мО	RF	4	2	2	0
TERM LOANS TO BU	SINESSES		CONSUME	R INSTALMENT	LUANS
LF	55	83	13	68	1
£S	SENTIALLY UNCHANGED	41	2	34	5
MO	RE	1	0	U	1
			PARTICI	PATION LOANS	WITH
TERM LOANS TO HU	SINESSES		CORRESP	ONDENT BANKS	
LE	55	87	4 H	35	n
ES	SENTIALLY UNCHANGED	41	8	32	1
MO	RE	1	n	1	ŋ
TERM LUANS TO BU	SINESSES		LOANS T	O BROKERS	
LE	SS	87	55	28	0
ES	SENTIALLY UNCHANGED	41	14	26	0
мо	RE	1	n	1	n

- Al4 -

NOT FOR MOTITION OF PUBLICATION

TAHLE 5 (CONTINUED)

A CRESS-CLASSIFICATION OF SELECTED RESPONSES IN THE AUGUST SUNVEY

AUG. 15. 1973 AUGUST 15. 1973

		NU	MHER OF HANKS	i	
	NUMAER	E TOMEN	ESSENTIALLY	C.C.T.L.	
STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL LUANS	OF BANKS	FIRMEH	UNCHANGED	EASIER	
		INTERFS	T RATES CHARG	ED	
COMPARED TO THREE MUNTHS AND		TO NONE	INANCIAL BUSI	NESSES	
STRONGER	95	91	4	0	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	27	22	5	0	
WEAKFR	٦	3	0	0	
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO		COMPENS	ATING OR SUPP	ORTING BALANCI	ES
STRONGFR	94	69	26	0	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	27	15	12	n	
WEAKER	7	3	0	0	
CUMPARED TO THREE MUNTHS AGO		STANDAR	DS OF CREDIT	WORTHINESS	
5 [HONG+ R	9r	5.7	34	Û	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	27	13	14	0	
WEAKER	r	2	1	n	
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO		MATURIT	Y OF TERM LOA	NS	
51+1116+2	Ģ¢,	5)	43	1	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	27	5	22	U	
WEAKER	٦	3	4	0	
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO		NEW CUS	TUMEUS		
STRUNGER	94	87	8	n	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	27	ں حر	7	0	
WEAKER	Э	3	0	0	
		(HNONCA	PTIVE FINANCE	COMPANIES!	
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO		FNFURCE	MENT OF HALAN	ICE REQUIREMEN	15
STRUNGER	95	5	40	0	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	27	н	19	0	
WEANEr	3	Z	1	n	
			PTIVE FINANCE		
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO		FSTAHLI	SHING NEW OR	LARGER CREDIT	LINE
STRONGER	95	78	16	1	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	27	16	11	0	
WFAKFH	વ	2	1	0	

TABLE 5 (CONTINUED)

A CROSS-CLASSIFICATION OF SELECTED RESPONSES IN THE AUGUST SURVEY

	AUG. 15. 1973	4UG	UST 15, 1973	
		N	MARE OF BANKS	
	NUMJER		ESSENTIALLY	
	OF HANKS	LESS	UNCHANGED	MURE
STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL LOANS				
		WILLING	GNESS TO MAKE	
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO		TEPM (DANS TO BUSINE	SSES
STRONGER	95	68	26	1
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGE	D 27	14	13	0
WEAKEN	3	1	5	ç
		WILLING	GNESS TO MAKE	
COMPARED TO THREE MUNTHS AGO			FR INSTALMENT	IGANS
STRUNGFR	95	15	76	3
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGE	-	0	25	ž
WEAKER	ן <u>ר</u> י ק	0	1	ź
		_	GNESS TO MAKE	
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO		•••	FAMILY MORTGA	
STRUNGER	95	52	41)	م
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGE	U 27	11	12	2
WEAKER	4	1	5	0
		WILLING	SNESS TO MAKE	
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO		MU TI-	FAMILY MONTGAG	E LUANS
STRUNGER	45	59	34	0
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGE	D 27	18	1	Û
WEAKER	٦	S	1	n
		WILLING	SNESS TO MAKE	
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO			HER MORTGAGE L	UANS
STRUNGER	95	64	29	0
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGE	• •	18	8	0
WEAKER	3	้า	Ŭ	ũ
		WTILTN	SNESS TO MAKE	
			IPATION LOANS	
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO			PONDENT HANKS	•11/4
STRUNGER	95	48	46	1
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGE		7	20	0
WEAKER	3	í	20	ů.
		WTILIN	INESS TO MAKE	
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO			TO BROKERS	
STRUNGER	95	56	38	0
ESENTIALLY UNCHANGE		11	16	n i
	ע <i>בו</i> ק	5	1	0
WEAKER	٩	۶	1	

A CHUSS-CLASSIFICATION OF SELECTED RESPONSES IN THE AUGUST SURVEY

AUG. 15. 1973 AUGUST 15. 1973

		NU	MRER OF RANK	5	
	NUMEER OF BANKS	FIRMER	ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	FASIER	
STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL AND Industrial Luans					
ANTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 MONTHS		COMPENS	ATING OR SUP	PORTING BAL	ANCES
STRUNGFR	61	45	15	0	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	54	35	19	0	
WEAKER	11	7	4	0	
ANTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 MONTHS		STANDAR	DS OF CREDIT	WORTHINESS	
STRONGER	61	36	24	0	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	54	0٦	24	0	
WEAKER	11	6	5	n	
ANTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 MONTHS		MATURIT	Y OF TERM LO	ANS	
STRONGER	6 n	32	28	0	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	54	>?	31	1	
WF AN FH	11	5	6	U	
APTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 40NTHS		NEW CHS	TOMERS		
STRUNGER	6/1	53	7	n	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	54	48	6	0	
WLAKER	11	9	2	Û	
		(INDNCA	PTIVE FINANC	E COMPANIES	")
ANTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 MUNTHS		FSTABLI	SHING NEW OR	LARGER CREI	DIT LINES
STRUNGEP	60	51	9	0	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	54	38	15	1	
WEAKFR	11	7	4	0	
			ESSENTIALLY		
		LFSS	UNCHANGED	MORE	
		WILLING	NESS TO MAKE		
ANTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 MONTHS		TERM 10	ANS TO RUSIN	ESSES	
STRONGER	60	41	18	1	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	54	36	18	0	
WEAKER	11	6	5	0	

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX B

SURVEY OF TIME AND SAVINGS DEPOSITS - JULY 31, 1973"

The July 31, 1973, Survey of Time and Savings Deposits (STSD) indicates that a large percentage of insured commercial banks raised their offering rates on all categories of time and savings deposits following the early July change in Regulation Q ceilings. Of those banks offering various classes of deposits, more than two-thirds were offering the ceiling rate on most deposit categories, with the highest proportion of deposits at ceiling rates accounted for by the longer-term deposits (Table 1). A smaller proportion of banks was offering the ceiling rate on passbook accounts, especially among the large banks; this apparently reflected a strategy of attempting to minimize interest costs on the stock of liabilities owned by the least interest-sensitive (or most liquid conscious) depositors, while offering more attractive rates to the more interest-sensitive depositors. However, about 17 per cent of sample banks planned to increase offering rates on deposits after the July 31 date of the survey, including higher rates on passbook accounts (Table 2).

Only 38 per cent of insured commercial banks were issuing the new four year certificates exempt from Regulation Q ceilings (Table 3). Even though over 75 per cent of the larger banks were issuing these certificates, the 35 per cent of the banks with total deposits of less than \$100 million issuing four year certificates were able to attract 40 per cent of the total amount of such deposits issued (Table 4). The relatively greater inflows at the smaller banks may reflect their higher offering rates. While banks with deposits over \$100 million offered, on average, 7.165 per cent in four year certificates, the smaller banks' average offering rate was 7.244 per cent; these higher rates were probably designed to offset the competitive edge of the larger banks.

Table 5 compares offering rates on the four year certificates at all insured commercial banks to FDIC-insured mutual savings banks and a sample of savings and loan associations. As indicated in the upper panel, while only 5 per cent of commercial banks were offering over 7.5 per cent, almost 17 per cent of the savings banks and 10 per cent of a sample of large S&L's were offering over this rate. As noted in the lower panel, the commercial banks offering over 7.5 per cent accounted for less than 10 per cent of all the four year certificates issued by commercial banks; at the FDIC-insured mutual savings banks, institutions offering over 7.5 per cent accounted for over 40 per cent of the outstanding four year certificates of savings banks at the end of July. Comparable data are not available for S&L's.

^{*} Prepared by Steven M. Roberts, Economist, Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

In the three months ended July 31, 1973 (covering the period since the last STSD survey), all commercial banks gained \$3.5 billion of small denomination time and savings deposits, IPC (Table 6); the table excludes the inflow of over \$12 billion of time deposits in denomination of over \$100,000 at insured commercial banks. All of the gain in small denomination deposits was at smaller banks; larger banks lost small denomination deposits in this period as their more interestsensitive deposits shifted to market securities.1/ In the three month period ending July 31, banks of all sizes apparently had massive conversions of shorter-term certificates (line 3a) to longer-term certificates (line 3b)--mostly the new four year certificate (line 3c).

GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION

Table 7 to 10 provides STSD data by Federal Reserve District. Table 7 suggests the proportion of banks offering ceiling rates was similar in all districts except Minneapolis. The Boston, Atlanta, and San Francisco districts had the largest proportion of banks offering four year certificates. Table 8 indicates that San Francisco banks were offering the lowest average rates on four year certificates and Atlanta banks the highest average rate on such deposits. Table 9 also indicates that Atlanta, Boston, Chicago, and Dallas had the largest number of banks offering over 7.5 per cent on four year certificates. Finally, Table 10 indicates that 60 per cent of all outstanding four year certificates outstanding at the end of July were at banks in the Atlanta, Chicago, New York and Philadelphia Districts.

^{1/} In August, after the date of the survey, these large banks again lost funds. From August 1 through August 29, the 328 weekly reporting banks had a net decrease in consumer type time and savings deposits of \$134 million. Their savings deposits continued to decline (by \$1,056 million), but their IPC small-denomination time deposits rose by \$922 million; this latter increase reflected four year certificate growth of \$1,008 million.

STSD Special Table 1

Regulation Q Ceiling Changes and the Percentage of Issuing Banks Paying New Ceiling Rates on Small Denomination Time and Savings Deposits by Bank Size as of July 31, 1973

	Regulation	n Q Ceilings	Percenta	ge of Issuing Bar	n ks at New Q (Ceilings (b)		
				With Total Deposits of				
Maturity	01d	New	T otal	less than 100 million	100-500 million	500 Million or more		
Savings	4.5	5.0	63.8	63.9	65.3	55.1		
Time Deposits:								
90 Days to 1 year	5.0	5.5	65,9	65.7	72.5	69.7		
1 to 2-1/2 years	(a)	6.0	65.9	65.5	74.8	73.8		
2-1/2 to 4 years	(a)	6.5	85.8	85.9	86.1	80.2		

(a) 5.5 % for Deposits 1 to 2 years and 5.75 % for Deposits 2 years or more.

(b) See Table 3 for number of issuing banks.

- Nemo: A significant number of banks in the STSD sample expected to make rate changes to the new Q Ceilings effective after the Survey date. Their responses are summarized in Table 2 and are not included in the above data.
- * Preliminary.

- 183 -

STSD SFECIAL TABLE 2 Sample Banks Reporting Changes in Maximum Interest Rates Offered on Various Classes of Time and Savings Deposits to be Effective After the Survey Date, July 31, 1973 (Number of Banks in sample which Reported)

	Number of	Rates to	Be Increased		Four Years or Over Denomination of				
FEDERAL RESERVE	Banks			omination less t		1	\$1,000 to \$10	00,000	
DISTRICT	Responding	Savings	Less than one year	One to 2-1/2 years	2-1/2 to _4 years	New Offering	Increase Rate:	Decrease Rates	
Member Banks									
Boston	12	5	7	7	3	3		-	
New York	47	23	25	22	16	5	6	\$1	
Philadelphia	24	6	10	13	6	8	-	-	
Cleveland	27	12	7	11	7	7] -	-	
Richmond	17	14	7	8	6	3	-	3	
Atlanta	32	2	18	16	14	6		1	
Chicago	53	20	23	27	17	8	-	1	
St. Louis	12	6	5	5	3	3	-	-	
Minneapolis	21	1.2	14	14	6	3	-	1	
Kansas City	7	5	4	3	2	1	-	1	
Dallas	11	2	5	7	2	1	-	-	
San Francisco	21	4	11	11	9	5	-	3	
Memper Total	284	111	136	144	91	53	6	11	
Non Member	124	81	92	99	78	15	-	1	
fo al	408	192	228	243	169	68	6	12	

STSD Special rable 3 *

Number and Percentage of Insured Commercial Banks Issuing Small Denominations Time and Savings Deposit by Deposit Maturity and Bank Size as of July 31, 1973

					ts of	s of			
	Tota	1	100 m()		100-500 million		500 million or more		
Maturity	# Banks Issuing	Per cent of Total	# Banks		# Banks Issuing	Per cent of Total	# Banks .Tssuing	Per cent of Total	
Savings	13,575	97.7	12,853	97 .6	544	99.5	178	100,0	
Time Deposits:									
90 days to 1 year	13,142	94.5	12,419	94.2	545	99.8	178	100.0	
1 to 2-1/2 years	13,160	94.7	12,446	94.5	539	98.7	175	98.3	
2-1/2 to 4 years	8,067	58.0	7,437	56.5	467	85.5	162	91.0	
4 vears or over \$1,000 minimum	5,249	37.8	4,689	35.6	419	76.7	140	79.1	
Total Number of Banks	13,901		13 ,1 77		546		178		

* Preliminary.

STSD SPECIAL TABLE 4 **

Frequency Distribution of Interest Rates Being Paid, and Amount Outstanding

of Small Denomination Time Deposits With Original Maturity of Four Years or Over at

All Insured Commercial Banks by Size

as of July 31, 1973

(Millions of dollars, not seasonally adjusted)

and the second					WITH T	OTAL DEPOS	SITS OF		
	1		Less	than	100	-500	500 m	illion	
Rate Class		<u>ta1</u>	<u> </u>	<u>illion</u>	mi1	lion	or more		
(per cent)	# Banks	Amount	# Banks	Amount	# Banks	Amount	# Banks	Amount	
Those not issuing	8,651	13	8,488	0	127	0	37	13	
5.500 or less	38	55	29	15	5	13	5	27	
5.501 to 6.000	103	132	85	8	14	68	5	56	
6.001 to 6,500	97	92	67	63	22	25	8	4	
6.501 to 6.750	77	11	71	8	5	3	0	0	
6.751 to 7.000	2,982	1,262	2,702	528	208	263	71	471	
7.001 to 7.250	522	371	448	123	52	82	21	166	
7.251 to 7.500	1,146	1,081	1,030	412	89	200	27	469	
7.501 to 7.750	67	27	60	22	5	4	1	1	
7.751 to 8.000	197	180	184	122	13	51	1	7	
8,001 to 8.250	3	35	0	0	2	16	1	19	
8.251 to 8.500	14	57	11	4	3	53	0	0	
8.501 to 8.750	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8.751 to 9,000	2	6	2	6	0	0	0	0	
Total those issuing	5,249	3,309	4,689	1,311	419	779	140	1,219	
Memo: Average issuing rate			7.2	244	7.1	96	7.146		

* Includes those banks which are no longer issuing.

Preliminary.

1 36 1

Table 5

Percentage Distribution of Offering Rates and Amounts at Commercial Banks, Muturl Savings Banks, and Sivings and Loan Association (As of July 31, 1973)

Offering Rates (Per Cent)	Insured Commercial Banks	332 FDIC-Insured Mutual Savings Banks	Sample of 273 <u>1</u> / Savings & Loan Associations
	(Number of Institutions	·)
Under 7.0	13.9	9.8	
7.0	48.9	46.5	40.0
7.25	9.9	13.0	21,0
7.50	21.8	14.1	30.0
7.75	1.3	14.1	4.0
8.00 or more	4.2	2.7	6.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100. 0
Memo: Percentage of Institutions offering 4 year certificates	38.7 5	57.4	n.a.
		(Amount Outstanding)	
Inder 7.0	44.7	6,2	n.a.
7.0	18.1	43.3	n.a.
7.25	11.2	2,3	n.a.
7.50	32.7	7.4	n.a.
7.75	0.8	35.1	n.a.
8.00 or more	8.4	5.7	n.a.
Total	100.0	100. 0	n. a.
Memo: Dol'ar Current			
Outstanding	\$ 3.3 billion	\$ 2.0 billion	\$ 1.4 billion

1/ sample accounting for 38 per cent of Savings and Loan deposits.

Table 6 *

Net Changes in Small Denominations IPC Time and Savings Deposits

at all Insured Commercial Banks

April 30, 1973 to July 31, 1973

(Million of Dollars, Not Seasonally adjusted)

			Banks with De	posits of	
			less than	\$100-\$500	\$500 million
	<u>Deposit Class</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>\$100 million</u>	million	and over
1. 1	Cotal IPC Time and Savings Deposits in Denominations of				
	less than \$100,000	3,553	4,027	<u>142</u>	- <u>616</u>
2. 3.	SAVINGS TIME DEPOSITS (Total) With Original Maturity of:	1,786 1,761	2,387 1,639	-311 453	-290 -326
3a. 3b. 3c.	less than l'year <u>1 year or more</u> Memo: 4 year certificates 1/	-3,173 4,940	-904 2,543	- 545 998	-1,725 1,399
	included in line $3b^{-1/2}$	3,322	1,311	779	1,232

1/ Four-year certificates are outstandings as of July 31. Weekly Reporting bank data suggest that about \$600 million of these deposits were outstanding as of the end of June prior to the change in Regulation Q. * Preliminary.

STSD Special Table 7 * Number of Banks Issuing and Of Those Banks , Per cent Which are at New Q Ceiling Rates 1/ By Districts July 31, 1973

	Total #	Savings		90 Days t	to 1 Year	<u>1 to 2-1/2 years</u>		2-1/2 to 4 Years		4 Years or More (\$1000 minimum)		
Districts	Banks in Districts	# Banks Issuing	% Issuing at Ceiling	# Banks Issuing	% Issuing at Ceiling		% Issuing at Ceiling	# Banks Issuing	% Issuing at Ceiling	# Banks Issuing	% of Total	
Boston	378	370	82.6	332	56.9	258	54 3	195	76 4	224	59 3	
New York	506	503	52.7	504	49.0	476	50.6	334	62,0	213	42.1	
Philadelphia	425	425	30.8	374	46.0	377	41.9	209	73.2	187	44 0	
Cleveland	774	772	57.9	718	54.5	711	46.8	466	88.4	329	42 5	
Richmond	747	747	70.3	654	75 2	690	76.8	401	88.8	260	34 8	
Atlanta	1,764	1,764	63.2	1,709	73.7	1,655	74.6	1,122	89.5	1,051	59 6	
Chicago	2,619	2,619	61.7	2,465	70.8	2,550	69.4	1,727	84.8	1,135	43 3	
St. Louis	1,398	1,290	62.5	1,340	75.1	1,343	72 9	714	95 0	348	24.9	
Minneapolis	1,383	1,374	33.5	1,286	24.6	1,383	28.5	439	54.0	134	97	
Kansas City	2,118	1,970	80.5	2,030	75 1	2,048	80.4	1,215	93.0	708	33 4	
Dallas	1,390	1,341	93.1	1,352	78.8	1,296	75.5	936	922	426	30.6	
San Francisco	398	398	593	377	67.9	377	72.7	316	84.8	234	58 8	
All Insured Commercial	13,900	13,573	63 8	13,141	65.9	13,164	65 9	8,074	85.8	5,249	37.8	

- B9 -

1/ There is no ceiling on rates for deposits of four years or more with minimum denominations of \$1,000. The number of banks issuing these types of deposits and the percentage of banks represented, regardless of issuing rates, are presented

* Preliminary.

STSD Special Table 8 * Frequency Distribution of Interest Rates Being Paid and Amount Outstanding of Small Denomination Time Deposits with Original Maturity of Four Years or Over at all Insured Commercial Banks by Federal Reserve Districts

- B10
- ı

1

as of July 31, 1973 (Millions of dollars, not seasonally adjusted)

Federal	6.500 or less		6.501-7.000		7.001-7.250		7,251-7,500		7.501-	7.501-8.000		Over 8.001		Total		
Reserve District	No. Banks	Amt.	No. Banks	Amt.	No. Banks	Amt.	No. Banks	Amt.	No. Banks	Art.	No. Banks	Amt.	No. Banks	Amt.	Avg. Rate	
DISCILCE	Danks		Danks	- Alle -	Daliko	Alles	Danka	All + .	Danks	All Co	Danko				يتري المتركب	
Boston	12	21	35	17	85	19	45	30	46	13	1	9	224	109	7.04	
New York	44	91	119	86	25	41	21	160	2	3	1	19	213	400	7.01	
Philadelphia	12	30	88	103	1	9	82	186	4	28	0	0	187	356	7.22	
Cleveland	26	11	264	159	6	11	27	99	5	10	0	Ö	329	290	7.14	
Richmond	5	7	124	14	48	49	75	87	8	9	0	0	260	166	7.30	
Atlanta	54	54	451	148	185	143	261	110	90	82	11	66	1051	604	7.31	
Chicago	10	2	696	376	102	58	269	185	54	43	2	1	1135	6 6 4	7.22	
St. Louis	0	0	294	45	16	11	37	19	1	1	0	0	348	76	7.17	
Minneapolis	0	0	76	23	17	4	41	18	0	0	0	0	134	44	7.22	
Kansas City	35	2	530	137	15	5	122	64	6	2	0	0	708	210	7.15	
Dal las	33	43	183	18	16	19	147	107	44	9	4	4	426	199	7.21	
San Francisco	7	18	197	146	6	3	20	16	4	7	0	0	234	190	6.97	
TOTAL	238	279	3057	1272	522	372	1147	1081	264	207	19	99	5249	3309		

* Preliminary.

STSD Special Table. 9 * Percentage Distribution of Interest Rates Being Paid and Amount Outstanding of Small Denomination Time Deposits with Original Maturity of Four Years or Over at all Insured Commercial Banks by Federal Reserve Districts

as of July 31, 1973

Federal	6.500 0	r less	6,501-	7.000	7.001-	7.250	7.251-	7.500	7.501-	8.000	Over 8	.001	Tot	a1
Reserve	No.	A+	No.	A	No.	A	No. Banks	Amt	No. Banks	۸mt	No. Banks	Amt.	No. Banks	Amt.
District	Banks	Amt.	Banks	Amt.	Banks	Amt,	Banks	Amt.	Ballks	Amt.	Daliks	_ All L.	DallKS	Alle
Boston	5.4	19.3	15.6	15.6	37.9	17.4	20.1	27.5	20.5	11.9	0.5	8.3	100.0	100.0
New York	20.7	22.8	55.9	21.5	11.7	10.3	9.9	40.0	0.9	0.8	0.9	4.6	100.0	100.0
Philadelphia	6.4	8.4	47.1	28.9	0.5	2.5	43.9	52.2	2.1	8.0	0	0	100.0	100.0
Cleveland	7.9	3.8	80.2	54.8	1.8	3.8	8,2	34.1	1.9	3.5	0	0	100.0	100.0
Richmond	1.9	4.2	47.7	8.4	18.5	29.5	28.8	52.4	3.1	5.5	0	0	100.0	100.0
Atlanta	5.1	8.9	42.9	24.5	17.6	23.7	24.8	18.2	8.6	13.6	1.0	11.1	100.0	100.0
Chicago	0.9	0.3	61 .3	56.6	9.0	8.7	23.7	27.9	4.8	6.5	0.3	0.2	100.0	100.0
St. Louis	0	0	84.5	59.2	4.6	14.5	10.6	25.0	0.3	1.3	0	0	100.0	100.0
linneapolis	0	0	56.7	52.3	12.7	9.1	30.6	38.6	0	0	0	0	100.0	100.0
Kansas City	4.9	1.0	74.9	65.2	2.1	2.4	17.2	30.5	0.9	0.9	0	0	100.0	100.0
)alla s	7.7	21.6	43.0	9.0	3.8	9.5	34.5	53.8	10.3	4.5	0.7	1.6	100.0	100.0
San Francisco	3.0	9.5	84.2	76.8	2.6	1.6	8.5	8.4	1.7	3.7	0	0	100.0	100.0
TOTAL	4.5	8.4	58.2	38.4	9.9	11.2	21.9	32.7	5.0	6.3	0.5	3.0	100,0	100.0

* Preliminary.

- B11 -

STSD SPECIAL TABLE 10*

Small Denomination Time Deposits With Original Maturity of Four Years or Over Number of Banks Offering and Amount Outstanding - Insured Commercial Banks by Federal Reserve District and Deposit Size as of July 31, 1973 (Millions of dollars, not seasonally adjusted)

		1		[]		WITH T	OTAL DEPOS	SITS OF		
	Federal Reserve	To	tal	Less 100 m	than illion		-500 lion	500 million or more		
	District	# Banks	Amount	# Banks	Amount	# Banks	Amount	🕂 🛊 Banks	Amount	
	Boston	224	109	188	34 32	30 53	34 120	8 24	41 247	
	New York	213	400	136	32	دد	120	24	247	
	Philadel phia	187	356	138	57	37	127	13	172	
	Clevel and	329	290	281	72	31	50	15	168	
	Richmond	260	166	217	48	32	58	11	59	
1	Atlanta	1,051	604	988	333	52	185	11	86	
B12	Chicago	1,135	664	1,036	293	78	124	20	247	
I.	St. Louis	348	76	330	58	13	5	5	14	
	Minneapolis	134	44	123	21	7	9	3	14	
	Kansas City	708	210	677	186	26	19	5	5	
	Dallas	426	199	396	147	27	23	3	29	
	San Francisco	234 1	<u>190 j</u>	180	29	32	25	22	135	
	Total	5,249	3,309	4,689	1,311	419	779	141	1,219	

* Preliminary.