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## SUPPLEMENT

## CURRENT ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS

Prepared for the Federal Open Market Committee

## The Domestic Economy

Book value of retail inventories rose at a $\$ 2.1$ billion annual rate in July-off sharply from the $\$ 9.5$ billion in June. For total manufacturing and trade, the July rate of increase was $\$ 18.0$ billion and the second quarter average rate was $\$ 22.9$ billion.

Manufacturing and trade sales rose rapidly in July and the inventory-sales ratio declined from 1.44 in June to 1.41 in July-quite low by historical standards. On a quarterly average sales basis, the ratio went from 1.46 in the first quarter to 1.45 in the second.

Manufacturers anticipated, when surveyed in June, that the book value of their inventories would increase at an annual rate of $\$ 10.4$ billion in the current quarter and $\$ 7.2$ billion in the fourth quarter. The actual second quarter rate of $\$ 11.2$ billion was considerably greater than had been anticipated in the earlier surveys.

Manufacturers expect sales to increase by 1.7 percent in the third quarter and to slip by 0.1 percent in the fourth. Inventoryshipments ratios of 1.60 and 1.63 are expected for the third and fourth quarters, respectively, compared with an actual second quarter ratio of 1.60 .

As described in the Greenbook, the Board's index of Industrial production declined 0.2 percent from July to August because of a 23 percent curtailment in auto and truck assemblies resulting from special circumstances. Apart from this sector, the index increased
0.5 percent. In early September, output of autos and trucks increased sharply. The following table presents industrial production, by major groups ،

INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION
(1967=100, seasonally adjusted)

|  | $\frac{1972}{\text { Aug. }}$ | 1973 |  |  | Percent change from |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June | July | Aug. | Month ago | A year ago |
| Total index | 116.3 | 125.6 | 126.5 | 126.2 | -. 2 | 8.5 |
| Consumer goods | 124.3 | 131.8 | 132.3 | 130.3 | -1.5 | 4.8 |
| Business equip. | 107.2 | 122.1 | 123.5 | 123.9 | . 3 | 15.6 |
| Defense equip. | 78.1 | 80.2 | 81.8 | 81.9 | . 1 | 4.9 |
| Materials | 118.8 | 129.1 | 130.6 | 130.9 | . 2 | 10.2 |
| steel | 108.1 | 119.9 | 120.0 | 120.0 | -* | 11.0 |
| Autos* | 8.5 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 8.0 | -22.3 | -5.9 |

*Seasonally adjusted annual rate.

New homes sold by merchant builders dropped a tenth further in July, to a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 596,000 units, the lowest since December 1970. Partly reflecting this development, builders' stocks of homes for sale advanced to a record 9 months' supply at the July rate of sales. Even so, the median price of new homes sold continued higher and, at $\$ 33,700$, was more than a fifth above a year earlier and still above the median price of homes for sale. The median price of existing homes sold also rose further in July--to $\$ 30,020$, with sales of such homes remaining comparatively strong at a level nearly a tenth above a year earlier.
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SALES, Stocks and prices of new stngle family homes

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Homes } \\ & \text { sold 1/ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Homes } \\ & \text { for sale 2/ } \end{aligned}$ | Months' supply | Median Homes sold | price of: <br> Homes for sale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Thousands of units) |  |  | (Thousands of dollars) |  |
| 1972 |  |  |  |  |  |
| QIII | 733 | 386 | 6.3 | 28.0 | 27.1 |
| QIV | 761 | 402 | 6.3 | 29.1 | 28.3 |
| 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |
| QI | 733 | 426 | 7.0 | 30.4 | 29.4 |
| QII (p) | 684 | 432 | 7.6 | 32.7 | 31.2 |
| May (r) | 706 | 424 | 7.2 | 32.0 | 30.9 |
| June | 669 | 432 | 7.8 | 33.2 | 31.2 |
| July | 596 | 446 | 9.0 | 33.7 | 31.4 |

## The Domestic Financial Situation

Only fragmentary data are available for deposit flows at nonbank thrift institutions in early September. At a sample of 17 large New York City mutual savings banks, net deposit outflows during the first 5 business days of September were slightly below those recorded during the comparable period in August. Moreover, the FHLBB staff estimates that S\&L's experienced a deposit inflow of about $\$ 100$ million during the first 10 days of the month. (At the request of the FHLBB, the intra-monthly estimates are to be considered confidential and for internal use only.)

Average interest rates on new commitments for conventional mortgages on new homes increased 30 basis points further in August, according to the HUD(FHA) field office opinion survey. At 8.70 percent, the rate exceeded the previous high of 8.60 percent registered in mid1970. Average rates for loans on existing homes also rose sharply--by 35 basis points to a new high of 8.75 percent. Even so, the average spread favoring gross yields on new-home mortgages over new issues of high-grade utility bonds remained relatively narrow. (Data are confidential until released by HUD.)

The FHA secondary market yield was not reported for August due to the small number of transactions at the new regulatory ceiling rate of 8.50 percent that became effective on August 25 .

AVERAGE RATES AND YIELDS ON NEW-HOME MORTGAGES

|  |  | Primary tharket:  <br> Conventional loans  <br>  Spread <br> Level (basis <br> (percent) points) |  | Level (percent) | andary ma <br> insured <br> Spread <br> (basis <br> points) | : <br> Discounts (points) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1971 \text { - Low } \begin{gathered} \text { High } \end{gathered}$ |  | 7.55 | -36 | 7.32 | -27 | 2.51 |
|  |  | 7.95 | 52 | 7.97 | 31 | 7.8 |
| 1972 - |  | 7.55 | 15 | 7.45 | 5 | 3.7 |
|  | High | 7.70 | 61 | 7.57 | 48 | 4.7 |
| 1973 - | Jan. | 7.70 | 32 | 7.55 | 17 | 4.5 |
|  | Feb. | 7.75 | 35 | 7.56 | 16 | 4.6 |
|  | Mar. | 7.80 | 31 | 7.63 | 14 | 5.2 |
|  | Apr. | 7.90 | 44 | 7.73 | 27 | 5.9 |
|  | May | 7.95 | 44 | 7.79 | 28 | 6.4 |
|  | June | 8.05 | 41 | 7.89 | 25 | 7.2 |
|  | July | 8.40 | 34 | 8.19 1/ | 18 1/ | $9.41 /$ |
|  | Aug. | 8.70 | 34 | 2/ | 2/ | 2/ |
| NOTE: | FHA series: interest rates on conventional first mortgages (excluding additional initial fees and charges) are rounded by FHA to the nearest 5 basis points. On FHA loans carrying the 7 percent ceiling rate in effect since mid-February 1971, a change of 1.0 points in discount is associated with a change of 12 to 14 basis points in yield. Gross yield spread is average mortgage return, before deducting servicing costs, minus average yield on new Aaa utility bonds. |  |  |  |  |  |
| e/ | Estimated. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/ | Data are for loans bearing the former celling rate of 7 percent. On July 6 , this rate was raised to $7-3 / 4$ percent and then to $8-1 / 2$ percent on August 25. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/ Not reported. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CONFIDENTIAL UNTIL RELEASED BY HUD

Federal finance. Legislation passed by the Senate and introduced in the House would provide for an immediate 5.9 percent increase in Social Security benefits. If enacted by November 1, an estimated $\$ 2.0$ billion would be added to Federal outlays in fiscal year 1974 (an annual rate of $\$ 3.4$ billion). Actual payment of any increase, however, would not occur until the spring of 1974 because of the demands currently being made on the Social Security Administration in its efforts to assume, by January 1, 1974, full responsibility for providing assistance to the aged, blind, and disabled, programs previously administered by the States.

CORRECTIONS :
Page 1-9: Percent per year change in personal consumption expenditures for nondurable goods projected for 1974 is 11.6 percent.

Page I-10: Line 9, the correct figure is 610,000 units, rather than 800,000.

## INTEREST RATES

|  | 1973 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highs | Lows | Aug. 20 | Sept. 13 |
| Short-Term Rates |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds (wkly. avg.) | 10.79(9/5) | 5.61(1/3) | 10.39 (8/15) | 10.74(9/12) |
| 3-month |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 9.05 (8/14) | 5.12(1/4) | 8.79 | 8.86 |
| Comm. paper (90-119 day) | 10.50(9/13) | 5.63(1/12) | 10.25 | 10.50 |
| Bankers' acceptances | 11.00(9/13) | 5.75(1/11) | 10.75 | 11.00 |
| Euro-dollars <br> CD's (prime NYC) 60-89 day | 11.69(8/9) | 5.81(1/5) | 11.50 | 11.44 |
| Most often quoted new | 10.50(9/12) | $5.38(1 / 3)$ | $10.38(8 / 15)$ | 10.50(9/12) |
| 6-month |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 9.00(9/13) | 5.38(1/4) | 8.77 | 9.00 |
| Comm. paper ( $4-6 \mathrm{mo}$. ) | 10.50(9/13) | 5.63(1/12) | 10.25 | 10.50 |
| Federal agencies CD's (prime NYC) 180-269 day | 9.83 (9/12) | $5.64(1 / 3)$ | 9.49 | 9.47 |
| Most often quoted new | $9.38(8 / 15)$ | 5.63(1/3) | $9.38(8 / 15)$ | 9.25 (9/12) |
| 1-year |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 8.50(9/13) | 5.40(1/4) | 8.29 | 8.50 |
| Federal agencies CD's (prime NYC) | 9.49 (8/13) | 5.86(1/2) | 9.33 | 9.10 |
| Most often quoted new | $8.50(9 / 12)$ | 5.75(1/3) | 8.50(8/15) | 8.50(9/12) |
| Prime municipals | $6.00(8 / 8)$ | 3.20(1/3) | 5.70(8/15) | 5.25(9/12) |
| Intermediate and Long-term |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury coupon issues |  |  |  |  |
| 5 -years | 8.13 (8/7) | 6.23(1/4) | 7.50 | 7.35 |
| 20-years | 7.83 (8/7) | 6.04(1/3) | 7.58 | 7.38 |
| Corporate |  |  |  |  |
| Seasoned Aaa | 7.77(8/24) | 7.10(1/2) | 7.77 | 7.63 |
| Baa | 8.68(8/30) | 7.88(1/12) | 8.59 | 8.64 |
| New Issue Aas Utility | 8.52 (8/8) | 7.29(1/10) | 8.30(8/15) | 7.74(9/12) |
| Municipal |  |  |  |  |
| Bond Buyer Index | 5.59(8/1) | 5.00(1/17) | 5.47 (8/15) | 5.18(9/12) |
| Mortgage--implicit yield |  |  |  |  |
| 1/ Yield on short-term forward and required purchase and year loan amortized over 15 | nitment aft of FNMA s. | allowance tock. Assu | for commitm nes discount | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nt fee } \\ & \text { on } 30- \end{aligned}$ |

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX A
BANK LENDING PRACTICES AS OF MID-AUGUST *

More than three-quarters of the banks participating in the Quarterly Survey of Changes in Bank Lending Practices reported stronger demand for comercial and industrial loans over the three month period ending August 15. (See Table 1.) The 125 banks reporting in the Survey have significantly tightened both price and nonprice terms of lending. A large number of banks commented that the higher cost of funds was the major reason for tightening credit, and many indicated that state usury laws prevented them from making home mortgages. However, more than half of the banks expect commercial and industrial loan demand to remain stable or decrease in the quarter beginning August 16.

Continuing and strongly reinforcing the trend of the two surveys earlier this year, the terms of lending tightened considerably across the country. Interest rates lead the move toward more restrictive credit conditions. More than 90 per cent of the respondents had adopted a firmer interest policy, reflected in the prime rate increases from 7 per cent to 9-1/4 per cent during the three month period. But there were still many comments that the prime rate was unrealistically low and that the spread between the cost of funds and loan rates was too narrow or even negative.

There was evidence of tightening in all nonprice terms of lending. The increased restrictiveness was significant in all areas, but was especially noticeable in compensating balances. The banks reported closer scrutiny of borrowers and the purpose of loans. There was a much more restrictive stance on new loan applications and enlarging credit lines--particularly from new or nonlocal customers. Many banks reported that loans were not being given for acquisitions or for speculation in inventories or real estate, but were granted only for "constructive" purposes to steady customers. But despite the more restrictive credit conditions generally, almost 90 per cent of the reporting banks indicated that their willingness to make consumer loans is the same or greater than three months earlier.

As shown in Tables 2 and 3, the pattern of tightening emerged consistently in both large and small banks and in every region of the country.

[^1]whahtrrly survey of changes in annk lfnuing practices at Sflfcted latge mankg in the U.S. $1 /$
ISTATUS OF HOLIGY UN AUGIIST 15. 1973 COMPARFO TO THRFE MONTHS EARLIERI (NUMHFK OF LANKS \& PERCFNT OF TOTAL RANKS PFPORTING)


1/ survey of lending phactires at 125 lakge ranks rfpurting in the ffoeral hfsfhve ouahtfrly intfrest hate survey AS UF AUGUST $15,1973$.

| $\begin{gathered} \triangle N S W \\ \text { nUr } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RING } \\ & \text { TION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { FIHMEL } \\ & \text { POLICY } \end{aligned}$ |  | MODERATFIY FIRMFR POLICY |  | FSSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLIry |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODFAATELY } \\ & \text { EASIEK } \\ & \text { POLICY } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { EASIER } \\ & \text { POLICY } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HANKS | PCT | RANKS | prt | HANKS | PCT | Aanks | PCT | HANKG | HCT | BANKS | PCT |
| 126 | 100.0 | 49 | 39.7 | 46 | 36. A | 30 | 24.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 125 | 100.0) | 43 | 34.4 | 44 | 35.2 | 3 H | 30.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

LENDING TO "NONCAPTTVF" FINANCE COMPANIES


2/ GOR THESF FACTUHS, FIRMES MEANS THE FACTORS WEPF CONSIUERED MORF TMPODTANT TN MAKING DFCISIONS FOA APPKOVING CREDIT GFQUESTS. AND FASTEH MEANG THEY WFRF LESS IMPORTANT.
 (STatue of policy on august lb, 197j, COMPapfi) to three monthe earlien) (NIMGEK OF HANKG IN EACH COLIIMN AS PFH CFNT OF TOTAL HANKG ANSWERING QUESTION)
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[^3] CREDIT RF GUFSTS, AND EASTEN MEANS TMEY WERF LESS IMPOHTANT.
mhamythly cuuvfy iff changes in hank lending phactices at gelected large ranks in the u. 5 . If GTATUS IF PULICY ON 4 IGGUST lh. 1973 COMPAREO TO THRFE MONTHS EARLIFR (NUMHER OF RANKS)


STAFNGTM DF MIM, WI + IH COMMFHCTAL ANID
INOUSTHIAL LOMN (AFTFH MILUWANCF FOH RANKIS USUPL GFMSSMAL VAPIATION)

COMPAHFH TH 3 mqINITHG AIOC 125

```
MUCh <t fungha
        MOITHATEIY STKONIGFH
        ESStP.TIAI I.Y INdChandiFD
        MOUEHATFIY "EAKFH
        MUCH WFINKFH
```

| 24 | 1 | 4 | $\ldots$ | 2 | $?$ | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 8 |
| 27 | 0 | 5 | ] | 3 | $n$ | 2 | 2 | 4 | $?$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $u$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

ANTICIPATEII GH GANC NEXT THREE "AONTH,

125

MOLHPATEIY GTHUMIGFA
ESS\&NTIALLY IMOHANGRD MOUEHATELY WFAKFL much afakfa

| 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 48 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| 54 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | $R$ | 2 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $n$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

LENDING 「O NGAFINAMCIAL RUSINESSFS

## tfRMS ANO rDivjitions

INTERFGT HATFS CHANGFA 125
MUCH FINIMFR PCLLICY WO MOUFRATEIY FIHMFR POLICY ESGFt Titally hinchangen polid cy MOUEHATEIY EASIEN POLICY
MUCH EASIER pULICY

| 5 | 12 | 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 5 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

7
3
1
0
0

| 5 | $H$ | 7 | $A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| 0 | 0 | $n$ | $n$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $n$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| A | 7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 2 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |

2
0
1
0
0


| 4 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 10 | 6 | 4 | $n$ |
| 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6
3
2
0
0

| 3 | 5 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 3 | 7 |
| 5 | 2 | 5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 4 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |


| 3 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 4 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |

 $4 S$ Or AUGIIST l5. 1973.
 GUSINESSES

TERMS ANT CUNITTIONS
STANDARDG UF CUR UIT WOLTHTNESS 125
MUCH FIRMER POLICY
MODERATELY FIHMER POLICY
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGFD POLICY
MODERATELY FASIEH POLICY
MUCH EASIEK POLICY

| 27 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| 53 | 4 | 12 | A | 4 | ? | 4 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 8 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | $n$ | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| 37 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 65 | 5 | 13 | H | 5 | , | 6 | 6 | 6 | 10 | $\llcorner$ | 0 | 2 | 3 | 10 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | $n$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

REVIEWING CREDIT I INES OR LUANS
MUCH FIRMER NOLICY
MOUFRATEIY FIHMFH POLICY
ESSFNTIALLY UNCHANGFD POLICY
MODERATELY EASIFHPOLICY
MUCH EASIFP POLICY

| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 7 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| 1 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

1
7
2
$n$
$n$

| 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 4 |
| 4 | 7 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |

$20+\pi-$
$0000=$
conk
1
2
0
0
0

| 6 | 7 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 6 | 3 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 9 | 7 | 6 |
| 5 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 6 |
| 3 | 9 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALL } \\ & \text { USTG } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOS- } \\ & \text { TON } \end{aligned}$ | total | $\begin{gathered} \text { NFW } \\ \text { CIT } \end{gathered}$ |  | RK OUTSIDE | PHTL= ADFL. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLEVE- } \\ & \text { LAND } \end{aligned}$ | RTCH- MOND | $\begin{gathered} \text { ATLAN- } \\ \text { TA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHIC- } \\ \text { AGO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ST: } \\ \text { LOUIS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MINNE- } \\ & \text { APOLIS } \end{aligned}$ | KANS. CITY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAL- } \\ & \text { LAS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAN } \\ & \text { FRAN } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LENDING TO NUVFIVANCIAL RUSINESSFS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RFVIENING RMFIIT I Incta or luans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NONI ICAL SEWVICF $\triangle N F A$ Clist | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUCH FIGMER PULICY | 5H | 3 | H |  | 1 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 4 |
| moderately + inmph piliter | 45 | 4 | 5 |  | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| FSSFATYALLY limehangat policy | 22 | 1 | 7 |  | 5 | 2 | $?$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| modefately easifn Policy | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | $n$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MUGH EASJER POLICY | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT ?/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| value as leporitur or sounce |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OF COLLATERAL HUSINFSS | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| much fitmife poltcy | 49 | 2 | 6 |  | 7 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 3 |
| MODEHATEIY FIHMFR POLICY | 46 | 2 | 7 |  | 7 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| ESStNTTALLY INNCHANGEO POLICY | 30 | 4 | 7 |  | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| MODERATEIY EASIFR OnLICY | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | $n$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| much easier fultcy | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | $n$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| intendfo use of loan | 175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUCH FTRMEH PULTCY | 43 | 2 | 9 |  | 4 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| MODERATHLY FIKMFR POLICY | 44 | 3 | 6 |  | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| ESSENTIALLY JACHANGED POLICY | 18 | 3 | $\zeta$ |  | , | 3 | ? | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| moderately easifu rolicy | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MUCH EASITH PULTCY | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | $n$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LENDING TO "noncartive" FINANCE COmpanies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TERMS ANT CONUITIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTERFST HATES CHARGET | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUCH FIHMEH POLTCY | 41 | 2 | 5 |  | 2 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 4 | $?$ | 3 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 6 |
| MODERATELY FIGMER POLICY | 33 | 0 | 5 |  | 1 | 4 | ? | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| ESSENTIALLY INCHANGFD POLICY | 51 | 6 | 10 |  | 6 | 4 | $?$ | 4 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| MODERATELY EASIFR POLICY | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MUCH EASIER PULICY | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2/ FOR THESE FACTURS, FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDEREO MORE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING CREDIt REGUFSTS. and EASIER mEANG THEY WFRE LESS IMPORTANT.



| comparison of selecten desponses | IN the |  | MAY | ANT | AUGUCT SURVEYS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MAY 15, 2973 |  | AUGUST 15, 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NUMDER |  | NUMEFR OF RANKS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | OF RANKS |  | STRONGFR | U | UNCHANGED |  | WEAKEH |
| strfngth of demand for commfrcial and INNUGTRIAL LOANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMPARED TO THREE MUNTHS AGO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRONGFP |  | Q? |  | 76 |  | 16 |  | 0 |
| UNCHANGED |  | 30 |  | 18 |  | 9 |  | 3 |
| WEAKEH |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 0 |
| anticipateo demand three months hence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRONGER |  | A? |  | 48 |  | 33 |  | 1 |
| unchanfen |  | 4) |  | 11 |  | 20 |  | 10 |
| WEAKFR |  | $?$ |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |
| Antiripateo demani thrfe montms hence |  |  |  | COMPARES |  | THREE MO | MONTHS | 9 AGO |
| STRONGER |  | Q 2 |  | 68 |  | 14 |  | 0 |
| unchangen |  | 41 |  | 25 |  | 13 |  | 3 |
| WEAKER |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| LFNIJINA TO NONFINANCIAL HUSINFSSES |  |  |  | Fipmea |  | linfhanged |  | EASIER |
| INTEREST RATFS CHARGEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FIPMER |  | 100 |  | 96 |  | 4 |  | 0 |
| UNCHANGED |  | 75 |  | 20 |  | 5 |  | 0 |
| EASIFR |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| COMPENSATING OR SUPPRRTING RALANCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FIRIAFR |  | *9 |  | 59 |  | 9 |  | 0 |
| UNCHANGED |  | 57 |  | 28 |  | 29 |  | n |
| EASIEK |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 11 |
| STAMIAHIS OF CHEDIT wORTHINESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FIRMFR |  | 55 |  | 44 |  | 11 |  | 0 |
| UNCHANGED |  | 49 |  | 27 |  | 42 |  | 0 |
| EASIER |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| MATUPITY OF TERM LOANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FIRMFR |  | 46 |  | 32 |  | 14 |  | 0 |
| UNCHANGED |  | 78 |  | 36 |  | 51 |  | 1 |
| tasier |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |



^ CHOSS-CLASGIFICATION OF SELECTFD RFSPONSES IN THF AIJGUST SUNVFY



| AUG. 15. 1973 | AURijet 15, 1973 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | NUMHEA OF RANKS |
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SURVEY OF TIME AND SAVINGS depos Its - JULY 31, 1973*

The July 31, 1973, Survey of Time and Savings Deposits (STSD) indicates that a large percentage of insured commercial banks raised their affering rates on all categories of time and savings deposits following the early July change in Regulation $Q$ ceilings. Of those banks offering various classes of deposits, more than two-thirds were offering the ceiling rate on most deposit categories, with the highest proportion of deposits at ceiling rates accounted for by the longer-term deposits (Table 1). A smaller proportion of banks was offering the ceiling rate on passbook accounts, especially among the large banks; this apparently reflected a strategy of attempting to minimize interest costs on the stock of liabilities owned by the least interest-sensitive (or most liquid conscious) depositors, while offering more attractive rates to the more interest-sensitive depositors. However, about 17 per cent of sample banks planned to increase offering rates on deposits after the July 31 date of the survey, including higher rates on passbook accounts (Table 2).

Only 38 per cent of insured commercial banks were issuing the new four year certificates exempt from Regulation $Q$ ceilings (Table 3). Even though over 75 per cent of the larger banks were issuing these certificates, the 35 per cent of the banks with total deposits of less than $\$ 100$ million issuing four year certificates were able to attract 40 per cent of the total amount of such deposits issued (Table 4). The relatively greater inflows at the smaller banks may reflect their higher offering rates. While banks with deposits over $\$ 100$ million offered, on average, 7.165 per cent in four year certificates, the smaller banks' average offering rate was 7.244 per cent; these higher rates were probably designed to offset the competitive edge of the larger banks.

Table 5 compares offering rates on the four year certificates at all insured comercial banks to FDIC-insured mutual savings banks and a sample of savings and loan associations. As indicated in the upper panel, while only 5 per cent of commercial banks were offering over 7.5 per cent, almost 17 per cent of the savings banks and 10 per cent of a sample of large S\&L's were offering over this rate. As noted in the lower panel, the commercial banks offering over 7.5 per cent accounted for less than 10 per cent of all the four year certificates issued by commercial banks; at the FDIC-insured mutual savings banks, institutions offering over 7.5 per cent accounted for over 40 per cent of the outstanding four year certificates of savings banks at the end of July. Comparable data are not available for S\&L's.
\# Prepared by Steven M. Roberts, Economist, Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

In the three months ended July 31, 1973 (covering the period since the last STSD survey), all commercial banks gained $\$ 3.5$ billion of small denomination time and savings deposits, IPC (Table 6); the table excludes the inflow of over $\$ 12$ billion of time deposits in denomination of over $\$ 100,000$ at insured comercial banks. All of the gain in small denomination deposits was at smaller banks; larger banks lost small denomination deposits in this period as their more interestsensitive deposits shifted to market securities. 1 / In the three month period ending July 31, banks of all sizes apparently had massive conversions of shorter-term certificates (line 3a) to longer-term certificates (line 3b)--mostly the new four year certificate (1ine 3c).

## GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION

Table 7 to 10 provides STSD data by Federal Reserve District. Table 7 suggests the proportion of banks offering ceiling rates was similar in all districts except Minneapolis. The Boston, Atlanta, and San Francisco districts had the largest proportion of banks offering four year certificates. Table 8 indicates that San Francisco banks were offering the lowest average rates on four year certificates and Atlanta banks the highest average rate on such deposits. Table 9 also indicates that Atlanta, Boston, Chicago, and Dallas had the largest number of banks offering over 7.5 per cent on four year certificates. Finally, Table 10 indicates that 60 per cent of all outstanding four year certificates outstanding at the end of July were at banks in the Atlanta, Chicago, New York and Philadelphia Districts.

1/ In August, after the date of the survey, these large banks again lost funds. From August 1 through August 29, the 328 weekly reporting banks had a net decrease in consumer type time and savings deposits of $\$ 134$ million. Their savings deposits continued to decline (by $\$ 1,056$ million), but their IPC small-denomination time deposits rose by $\$ 922$ million; this latter increase reflected four year certificate growth of $\$ 1,008$ million.

STSD Special Table $1^{*}$
Regulation $Q$ Ceiling Changes and the Percentage of Issuing Banks Paying New Ceiling Rates on Small Denomination Time and Savings Deposits by Bank Size
as of July 31. 1973

(a) $5.5 \%$ for Deposits 1 to 2 years and $5.75 \%$ for Deposits 2 years or more.
( $b$, See Table 3 for number of issuing banks.
Memn: A significant number of banks in the STSD sample expected to make rate changes to the new $Q$ Ceilings effective after the Survey date. Their responses are sumarized in Table 2 and are not included in the above data.

* Preliminary.

STSD SFECIAL TABIE 2
Sample Banks Reporting Changes in Maximum Interest Rates offered on Various Classes of Time and Savings Deposits to be Effective After the Survey Date, July 31, 1973 (Number of Banks in sample which Reported)

| FEDCRAL RESERVE DISTRICT | Number of Banks <br> Responding | Rates | Increased to Q Cerinng |  |  | Four Years or Over Denomination of $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 100,000$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less than } \\ \text { one year } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { One to } \\ 2-1 / 2 \text { years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2-1 / 2 \text { to } \\ 4 \text { years } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | New Offering | Increase Rates | Decrease Rates |
| Member Banks Boston | 12 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 3 | - | - |
| New York | 47 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 16 | 5 | 6 | 11 |
| Philadelphıa | 24 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 6 | 8 | - | - |
| cleveland | 27 | 12 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 7 | - | - |
| Riclimond | 17 | 14 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 3 | - | 3 |
| At lanta | 32 | 2 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 6 | - | 1 |
| Chicago | 53 | 20 | 23 | 27 | 17 | 8 | - | 1 |
| St. Louis | 12 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | - | - |
| Minneapolis | 21 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Kansas City | 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Dallas | 11 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| San Trancisco | 21 | 4 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 5 | - | 3 |
| Memper Iotal | 284 | 111 | 136 | 144 | 91 | 53 | 6 | 11 |
| Non Member | 124 | 81 | 92 | 99 | 78 | 15 | - | 1 |
| To al | 408 | 192 | 228 | 243 | 169 | 68 | 6 | 12 |

STSD Special iable $3^{*}$
Number and Percentage of Insured Commercial
Banks Issuing Small Denominations Time and Savings Depesit by Deposit Maturity and Bank Size
as of July 31, 1973

| Maturity | Total |  | With Total Deposits of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | less th | 11100 | $\begin{aligned} & 100-500 \\ & \text { mij1ign } \end{aligned}$ |  | 500 million or more |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Banks } \\ & \text { Issuing } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cenc } \\ & \text { of Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Banks } \\ & \text { Issuing } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Per cent of Total | \#F Banks Issuing | Per cent of Total | \#F Banks Issuing | Per cent of Total |
| Savings | 13,575 | 97.7 | 12,853 | 97.6 | 544 | 99.5 | 178 | 100.0 |
| Time Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 days to 1 year | 13,142 | 94.5 | 12,419 | 94.2 | 545 | 99.8 | 178 | 100.0 |
| 1 to 2-1/2 years | 13,160 | 94.7 | 12,446 | 94.5 | 539 | 98.7 | 175 | 98.3 |
| 2-1/2 to 4 years | 8,067 | 58.0 | 7,437 | 56.5 | 467 | 85.5 | 162 | 91.0 |
| 4 vears or over \$1,000 minimum | 5,249 | 37.8 | 4,689 | 35.6 | 419 | 76.7 | 140 | 79.1 |
| Total Number of Banks | 13,901 |  | 13,177 |  | 546 |  | 178 |  |

* Preliminary.

STSD SPECIAL TABLE 4
Frequency Distribution of Interest Rates Being Paid, and Amount Outstanding of Small Denomination Time Deposits With Original Maturity of Four Years or Over at

All Insured Commercial Banks by Size
as of July 31, 1973
(Millions of dollars, not seasonally adjusted)

| Rate Class <br> (per cent) | Total |  | WITH TOTAL, DEPOSITS OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & 100 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100-500 \\ & \text { mil110n } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 500 \text { million } \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | \# Banks | Amount | \# Banks | Amount | \# Banks | Amount | \# Banks | Amount |
| Those not issuing * | 8,651 | 13 | 8,488 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 37 | 13 |
| 5.500 or less | 38 | 55 | 29 | 15 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 27 |
| 5.501 to 6,000 | 103 | 132 | 85 | 8 | 14 | 68 | 5 | 56 |
| 6.001 to 6,500 | 97 | 92 | 67 | 63 | 22 | 25 | 8 | 4 |
| 6.501 to 6.750 | 77 | 11 | 71 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.751 to 7.000 | 2,982 | 1,262 | 2,702 | 528 | 208 | 263 | 71 | 471 |
| 7.001 to 7.250 | 522 | 371 | 448 | 123 | 52 | 82 | 21 | 166 |
| 7.251 to 7.500 | 1,146 | 1,081 | 1,030 | 412 | 89 | 200 | 27 | 469 |
| 7.501 to 7.750 | 67 | 27 | 60 | 22 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 7.751 to 8.000 | 197 | 180 | 184 | 122 | 13 | 51 | 1 | 7 |
| 8.001 to 8.250 | 3 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 19 |
| 8.251 to 8.500 | 14 | 57 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 53 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.501 to 8.750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.751 to 9.000 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tatal those issuing | 5,249 | 3,309 | 4,689 | 1,311 | 419 | 779 | 140 | 1,219 |
| Memo: Average issuing | 7.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  |  |  |

* Includes those banks which are no longer issuing.
Preliminary.

Table 5
Percentage Distribution of Offering Rates and Amounts at
Commercial Banks, Mutu~1 Savings Banks, and Suings and Loan Association (as of July 31, 1973)

| Offering Rates (Per Cent) | Insured Commercial Banks | 332 FDIC-Insured Mutual Savings Banks | Sample of 273 <br> Savings \& Loan Associations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( Number of Institutions ) |  |  |  |
| Under 7.0 | 13.9 | 9.8 | -- |
| 7.0 | 48.9 | 46.5 | 40.0 |
| 7.25 | 9.9 | 13.0 | 21.0 |
| 7.50 | 21.8 | 14.1 | 30.0 |
| 7.75 | 1.3 | 14.1 | 4.0 |
| 8.00 or more | 4.2 | 2.7 | 6.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Memo: <br> Percentage of |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Institutions offering 4 | 38.7 | 57.4 | n.a. |
| year certificates |  |  |  |

(Amount Outstanding)

| Inder 7.0 | 44.7 | 6.2 | n. a, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.0 | 18.1 | 43.3 | n. a, |
| 7.25 | 11.2 | 2.3 | n.a. |
| 7.50 | 32.7 | 7.4 | n.a. |
| 7.75 | 0.8 | 35.1 | n.a. |
| 8.00 or more | 8.4 | 5.7 | n.a. |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | n.a. |
| Memo: |  |  |  |
| 'ar Current standing | S 3.3 billion | \$ 2.0 billion | \$ 1.4 billion |

Table 6 *
Net Changes in Small Denominations IPC Time and Savings Deposits
at all Insured Commercial Banks
April 30, 1973 to July 31, 1973
(Million of Dollars, Not Seasonally adjusted)

|  | Banks with Deposits of |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | less than | \$100-\$500 | \$500 million |
| Deposit Class | Total | Sl00 million | million | and over |

1. Total IPC Time
and Savings Deposits
in Denominations of
less than $\$ 100,000$
2. SAVING S
3. TIME DEPOSTTS (Total)

| 3,553 | $\underline{4,027}$ | $\underline{142}$ | $-\underline{-616}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,786 | $2,38 j$ | -311 | -290 |
| 1,761 | 1,639 | 453 | -326 |
| $-\overline{3,173}$ | $\overline{-904}$ | $-\overline{545}$ | $-1, \overline{725}$ |
| 4,940 | $\underline{2,543}$ | $\underline{998}$ | $\underline{1,399}$ |
| 3,322 | 1,311 | 779 | 1,232 |

1/ Four-year certificates are outstandings as of July 31, Weekly Redorting bank data suggest that about $\$ 600 \mathrm{million}$ of these deposits were outstanding as of the end of June prior to the change in Regulation $Q$.

* Preliminary.

> STSD Special Table 7
> Number of Barks Issuing
and
Of Those Banks , Per cent Which are at New Q Ceiling Rates 1/
By Districts
July 31, 1973

$\underline{1 /}$ There is no ceiling on rates for deposits of four years or more with minimum denominations of $\$ 1,000$. The number of banks issuing these types of deposits and the percentage of banks represented, regardless of $1.3 s u i n g$ rates, are presented

* Preliminary.

STSD Special Table 8 *
Frequency Distribution of Interest Rates Being Paid and Amount Outstanding of Small Denomination Time Deposits with Original Maturity of Four Years or Over at all Insured Commercial Banks by Federal Reserve Districts
as of July 31, 1973
(Millions of dollars, not seasonally adjusted)

| Federal | 6.500 or less |  | 6.501-7.000 |  | 7.001-7.250 |  | 7.251-7.500 |  | $7.501-8.000$ |  | Over 8,001 |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reserve <br> District | No. Banks | Amt. | No. Banks | Ant. | No. Banks | Amt. | No. Banks | Amt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Bank } \end{aligned}$ | Art. | No. Banks | Amt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Bank } \end{aligned}$ | Amt: | Avg. <br> Rate |
| Boston | 12 | 21 | 35 | 17 | 85 | 19 | 45 | 30 | 46 | 13 | 1 | 9 | 224 | 109 | 7.04 |
| New York | 44 | 91 | 119 | 86 | 25 | 41 | 21 | 160 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 19 | 213 | 400 | 7.01 |
| Philadelphia | 12 | 30 | 88 | 103 | 1 | 9 | 82 | 186 | 4 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 187 | 356 | 7.22 |
| Cleveland | 26 | 11 | 264 | 159 | 6 | 11 | 27 | 99 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 329 | 290 | 7.14 |
| Richmond | 5 | 7 | 124 | 14 | 48 | 49 | 75 | 87 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 260 | 166 | 7. 30 |
| Atlanta | 54 | 54 | 451 | 148 | 185 | 143 | 261 | 110 | 90 | 82 | 11 | 66 | 1051 | 604 | 7.31 |
| Chicago | 10 | 2 | 696 | 376 | 102 | 58 | 269 | 185 | 54 | 43 | 2 | 1 | 1135 | 664 | 7.22 |
| St. Louis | 0 | 0 | 294 | 45 | 16 | 11 | 37 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 348 | 76 | 7.17 |
| Minneapolis | 0 | 0 | 76 | 23 | 17 | 4 | 41 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 44 | 7.22 |
| Kansas City | 35 | 2 | 530 | 137 | 15 | 5 | 122 | 64 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 708 | 210 | 7.15 |
| Dallas | 33 | 43 | 183 | 18 | 16 | 19 | 147 | 107 | 44 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 426 | 199 | 7.21 |
| San Francisco | 7 | 18 | 197 | 146 | 6 | 3 | 20 | 16 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 190 | 6.97 |
| TOTAL | 238 | 279 | 3057 | 1272 | 522 | 372 | 1147 | 1081 | 264 | 207 | 19 | 99 | 5249 | 3309 |  |

[^4]STSD Special Table 9*
Percentage Distribution of Interest Rates Being Paid and Amount Outstanding of Small Denomination Time Deposits with Original Maturity of Four Years or Over at all Insured Commercial Banks by Federal Reserve Districts
as of July 31, 1973

| Federal | 6.500 ar less |  | 6.501-7.000 |  | 7.001-7.250 |  | 7.251-7.500 |  | 7.501-8.000 |  | Over 8.001 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reserve <br> District |  | Ant. | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Banks } \end{gathered}$ | Amt. | No. Banks | Amt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Banks } \end{aligned}$ | Amt. | No. Banks | Amt. | No. Banks | Amt. | No. Banks | Ant. |
| Boston | 5.4 | 19.3 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 37.9 | 17.4 | 20.1 | 27.5 | 20.5 | 11.9 | 0.5 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| New York | 20.7 | 22.8 | 55.9 | 21.5 | 11.7 | 10.3 | 9.9 | 40.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Philadelphta | 6.4 | 8.4 | 47.1 | 28.9 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 43.9 | 52.2 | 2.1 | 8.0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cleveland | 7.9 | 3.8 | 80.2 | 54.8 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 8.2 | 34.1 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Richmond | 1.9 | 4.2 | 47.7 | 8.4 | 18.5 | 29.5 | 28.8 | 52.4 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Atlanta | 5.1 | 8.9 | 42.9 | 24.5 | 17.6 | 23.7 | 24.8 | 18.2 | 8.6 | 13.6 | 1.0 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Chicago | 0.9 | 0.3 | 61.3 | 56.6 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 23.7 | 27.9 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| St. Louis | 0 | 0 | 84.5 | 59.2 | 4.6 | 14.5 | 10.6 | 25.0 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Minneapolis | 0 | 0 | 56.7 | 52.3 | 12.7 | 9.1 | 30.6 | 38.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Kansas City | 4.9 | 1.0 | 74.9 | 65.2 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 17.2 | 30.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Dallas | 7.7 | 21.6 | 43.0 | 9.0 | 3.8 | 9.5 | 34.5 | 53.8 | 10.3 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| San Francisco | 3.0 | 9.5 | 84.2 | 76.8 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| total | 4.5 | 8.4 | 58.2 | 38.4 | 9.9 | 11.2 | 21.9 | 32.7 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

* Preliminary.

STSD SPECLAL TABLE 10*
Small Denomination Time Deposits With Original Maturity of Four Years or Over Number of Banks Offering and Amount Outstanding - Insured Commarcial Banks by Federal Reserve District and Deposit size
as of July 31, 1973
(Millions of dollars, not seasonally adjusted)

| Federal Reserve District | Total |  | WITH TOTAL DEPOSTTS OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & 100 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100-500 \\ & \text { milion } \end{aligned}$ |  | 500 million or more |  |
|  | \# Banks | Amount | /1 Banks | Amount | A Banks | Amount | - 洮 Banks | Amount |
| Boston | 224 | 109 | 188 | 34 | 30 | 34 | 8 | 41 |
| New York | 213 | 400 | 136 | 32 | 53 | 120 | 24 | 247 |
| Plis ladelphia | 187 | 356 | 138 | 57 | 37 | 127 | 13 | 172 |
| Cleve land | 329 | 290 | 281 | 72 | 31 | 50 | 15 | 168 |
| Richmond | 260 | 166 | 217 | 48 | 32 | 58 | 11 | 59 |
| Atlanta | 1,051 | 604 | 988 | 333 | 52 | 185 | 11 | 86 |
| Chicago | 1,135 | 664 | 1,036 | 293 | 78 | 124 | 20 | 247 |
| St. Louis | 348 | 76 | 330 | 58 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 14 |
| Minneapolis | 134 | 44 | 123 | 21 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 14 |
| Kunsas City | 708 | 210 | 677 | 186 | 26 | 19 | 5 | 5 |
| Dallas | 426 | 199 | 396 | 147 | 27 | 23 | 3 | 29 |
| San Francisco | 234 | 190 | 180 | 29 | 32 | 25 | 22 | 135 |
| Total | 5,249 | 3,309 | 4,689 | 1,311 | 419 | 779 | 141 | 1,219 |

* Preliminary.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In some cases, original copies needed to be photocopied before being scanned into electronic format. All scanned images were deskewed (to remove the effects of printer- and scanner-introduced tilting) and lightly cleaned (to remove dark spots caused by staple holes, hole punches, and other blemishes caused after initial printing).
    ${ }^{2}$ A two-step process was used. An advanced optical character recognition computer program (OCR) first created electronic text from the document image. Where the OCR results were inconclusive, staff checked and corrected the text as necessary. Please note that the numbers and text in charts and tables were not reliably recognized by the OCR process and were not checked or corrected by staff.

[^1]:    * Prepared by Paul W. Boltz, Economist, Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.
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