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## The Domestic Economy

Autos. Seasonally adjusted sales of new domestic-type autos in the first 10 days of June were at an 8.6 million unit annual rate, a little below the same period last year, and appreciably below the 10.1 million average of the first five months of this year.

New homes sold by merchant builders dropped further in April to a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 667,000 , the lowest in more than a year. While homes available for sale edged down from their recent peak, they equaled a record 7.6 months' supply at the reduced April rate of sales. Partly reflecting upgrading in the mix of demands, however, the median price of homes sold in April advanced further--to $\$ 32,800$, up more than $\$ 6,000$ from a year earlier and well above the median price of homes still for sale.

The median price of existing homes sold in April was $\$ 28,670$, little changed from March and about 8 percent higher than in April of last year. Unlike merchant-builder sales of new homes, unit sales of existing homes were quite strong, at a level 13 percent above a year earlier.

NEW SINGLE FAMILY HOMES SOLD AND FOR SALE

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Homes } \\ & \text { Sold 1/ } \end{aligned}$ | Homes <br> for sale 2/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Medie } \\ & \text { Sold } \end{aligned}$ | of homes For sale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Thousands of units) |  | (Thousands of dollars) |  |
| 1972 |  |  |  |  |
| QI | 688 | 318 | 26.2 | 26.1 |
| QIII | 733 | 386 | 27.9 | 27.1 |
| QIV (r) | 761 | 402 | 29.0 | 28,3 |
| 1973 |  |  |  |  |
| QI (p) | 725 | 426 | 30.5 | 29.4 |
| February ( r ) | 728 | 426 | 29.8 | 29.1 |
| March (r) | 717 | 426 | 31.4 | 29.4 |
| April (p) | 667 | 424 | 32.8 | 30.1 |

1/ SAAR.
2/ SA, end of period.
p-Preliminary $\mathbf{r}$ - Revised.

The Domestic Financial Situation
Nonbank thrift institutions. Estimates of deposits flows into mutual savings banks have been revised upward for the month of May. It now appears that the 5 percent annual growth rate recorded in April was maintained during May. Moreover, data from the New York State mutual savings banks indicate that net deposit flows during the first 5 business days of June were $\$ 34$ million, about equal to the \$37 million inflow in the comparable period in May, although below the \$52 million received in early June a year ago.

Mortgage rates. Average rates on home mortgages increased slightly further during May. According to the HUD(FHA) field office opinion survey which reflects market conditions toward the end of the month, the average contract interest rate on conventional first newhome mortgages in the primary market rose 5 basis points to 7.95 percent, the highest level since January 1971 and 40 basis points above the recent low in March 1972. The corresponding rate for conventional existing-home mortgages increased by 10 basis points to 8.00 percent. The average yield on FHA/VA mortgages in the private secondary market (including loans eligible for GNMA discount subsidy but excluding loans in the FNMA auction) increased 6 basis points to 7.79 percent-- 34 basis points above the low in March 1972.

Based on data for early May, the average effective interest rate on the limited volume of new commitments being made to prospective homebayers for FHA/VA home mortgages was up by 7 basis points, according to the HUD series initiated last year. Rates on new-home loan commitments iacreased by more ( 14 basis points) than rates on existing-home loan commitments (5 basis points). DATA CONFIDENTIAL UNTIL RELEASED BY HUD.


CONFIDENTIAL UNTIL RELEASED BY HUD

CORRECTION:
The May 11, 1973 Supplement had an error in Appendix B, "The Treasury's Proposals for Tax Change". The revenue effect noted on page B-3 that would result from simplification of tax forms should have read " $\$ 0.4$ billion", instead of "\$4 billion".

Consumer instalment credit delinquencies. The delinquency
rate on consumer instalment loans at commercial banks has increased further, according to the ABA series to be released later this month. For loans delinquent 30 to 89 days, the seasonally adjusted delinquency rate was 1.81 percent at the end of April, up from 1.72 percent in February and 1.55 percent a year earlier. Within categories, the rate rose on personal, home appliance, and indirect auto loans, and declined somewhat on direct auto loans.

At major finance companies the delinquency rate on auto contracts declined in April for the third consecutive month after seasonal adjustment. Contracts delinquent over 30 days averaged 2.19 percent of the total, approaching the more moderate levels of mid-1972. Other measures of collection experience, such as contracts refinanced, units repossessed, and losses on repossessions sold, were virtually unchanged from a year ago.

INMRREST RATES


## A-1 <br> SUPPLEMENTAL APPERDIX A: bank credit revision

Reflecting data now available from the December 31, 1972, Call Report, seasonally adjusted bank credit data (last-Wednesday of month series) have been revised for the period July 1972 through April 1973. These revised data have been used in the current Greenbook tables.

December Call Report data indicate that growth in commercial bank credit was at a somewhat faster pace in the second hald of 1972 than had been estimated previously on the basis of incomplete information. The additional credit expansion was reflected in all three credit areas--1oans, U.S. Treasury securities, and other securities (Table I). A substantial part of the upward revision was associated with a too low estimate of credit growth between the last-Wednesday of December (December 27) and December 31. In addition to the usual "window dressing" during this period, a Treasury note financing occurred and net bank acquisitions of these securities were apparently underestimated. But holdings of other securities and loans also increased more rapidiy in the last few days of December than had been indicated previously.

Over the second half of 1972, (Table II) total bank credit increased at an annual rate of 15.5 per cent, compared with an estimated 14.2 per cent. Corresponding figures for the fourth quarter were 16.4 per cent and 14.4 per cent, with the month of December showing the most substantial differences. Again, reflecting in part the "window dressing" error, credit growth in the first quarter of 1973 was lower than estimated--18.4 per cent versus 20.3 per cent.

Business, real estate, agricultural, security, and nonbank financial loans were all higher on the December call date than had been previously estimated. However, business loans were only nominally higher with practically no change in annual rates. Real estate loans were considerably higher increasing at an annual rate of 19.7 per cent over the second half of 1972 compared with an estimated 17.9 per cent. Consumer loans--which are taken from Consumer Credit statistics--were not affected by the benchmark revision.

Differences between total loans on the old and revised series also reflect the incorporation of revised data for comercial interbank loans which are deducted from total loans. The method of estimating these interbank loans was changed somewhat recently and the use of the new series contributed to differences in levels and month-to-month changes in total loans.

[^1]Table I
$\frac{\text { Seasonally Adjusted Bank Credit }}{} \quad \frac{\text { // }}{\text { Comparison of Old and Revised Series }}$

| Period |  | Totalloans andinvestments $\quad$ / |  | U.S. Treasury securities |  | Other securities |  | Total loans 2/ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bus iness } \\ \text { loans } / \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { estate loans } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 01d | Revised | 01d | Revised | 01d | Revised | Old | Revised | Old | Revised | 01d | Revised |
| 1972--Ju1y | 26 | 524.2 | 523.7 | 62.3 | 62.3 | 111.2 | 111.3 | 350.7 | 350.1 | 122.9 | 122.9 | 90.6 | 90.7 |
| Aug. | 30 | 532.2 | 531.5 | 61.4 | 61.4 | 112.3 | 112.5 | 358.6 | 357.7 | 125.4 | 125.4 | 92.1 | 92.3 |
| Sept. | 27 | 537.5 | 537.9 | 62.0 | 62.0 | 113.3 | 113.5 | 362.3 | 362.4 | 126.0 | 126.1 | 93.2 | 93.6 |
| Oct. | 25 | 542.6 | 542.7 | 59.9 | 59.9 | 113.3 | 113.6 | 369.4 | 369.2 | 128.2 | 128.1 | 94.5 | 95.0 |
| Nov. | 29 | 551.9 | 552.3 | 60.6 | 60.6 | 115.1 | 115.6 | 376.1 | 376.1 | 129.9 | 129.8 | 96.1 | 96.7 |
| Dec. | 31 | 556.8 | 560.0 | 62.0 | 62.4 | 115.6 | 116.9 | 379.2 | 380.8 | 130.8 | 131.0 | 97.3 | 98.1 |
| 1973--Jan. | 31 | 565.4 | 567.2 | 62.0 | 61.9 | 116.5 | 117.1 | 386.9 | 388.2 | 134.7 | 134.9 | 98.6 | 99.4 |
| Feb. | 28 | 575.7 | 576.8 | 60.2 | 60.2 | 116.6 | 117.2 | 398.8 | 399.3 | 140.0 | 140.2 | 99.9 | 100.7 |
| Mar. | 28 | 585.0 | 585.8 | 60.6 | 60.6 | 116.6 | 117.2 | 407.8 | 408.1 | 143.6 | 143.8 | 101.2 | 102.0 |
| Apr. | 25 | 588.1 | 588.9 | 60.6 | 60.6 | 116.0 | 116.6 | 411.5 | 411.6 | 146.2 | 146.4 | 102.3 | 103.1 |

1/ Last Wednesday of month series.
$\underline{\underline{2} /}$ Includes outstanding amounts of loans reported as sold outright by banks to their own holding companies, affiliates, subsidiaries, and foreign branches.
NOTE: Data revised to reflect adjustments to December 31, 1972 Call Report benchmarks.

Table II
Seasonally Ad justed Bank Credit ${ }^{1 /}$
Comparison of Old and Revised Series
(Seasonally adjusted changes at annual percentage rates)

| Period | ```Total loans and investments 2/``` |  | U.S. Treasury securities |  | Other securities |  | Total loans 2/ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Business } \\ \text { loans } \end{gathered}$ |  | Real estate loans |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 01d | Revised | 01d | Revised | O1d | Revised | 01d | Revised | 01d | Revised | 01d | Revised |
| 1972--Year | 14.0 | 14.6 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 10. 6 | 11.9 | 17.3 | 17.7 | 12.1 | 12.3 | 19.5 | 20.5 |
| 2nd Half | 14.2 | 15.5 | -3.8 | -2.5 | 9.0 | 11.4 | 19.2 | 20.1 | 14.1 | 14.4 | 17.9 | 19.7 |
| 3rd Qtr. | 13.6 | 13.9 | -7.6 | -7.6 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 18.8 | 19.0 | 12.4 | 12.8 | 17.5 | 19.3 |
| 4th 0tr. | 14.4 | 16.4 | -- | 2.6 | 8.1 | 12.0 | 18.7 | 20.3 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 19.2 |
| Oct. | 11.4 | 10.7 | $-40.6$ | -40.6 | -- | 1.1 | 23.5 | 22.5 | 21.0 | 19.0 | 16.7 | 17.9 |
| Nov. | 20.6 | 21.2 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 19.1 | 21.1 | 21.8 | 22.4 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 20.3 | 21.5 |
| Dec. | 10.7 | 16.7 | 27.7 | 35.6 | 5.2 | 13.5 | 9.9 | 15.0 | 8.3 | 10.9 | 15.0 | 17.4 |
| 1973--1st Qtr. | 20.3 | 18.4 | -9.0 | -11.5 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 30.2 | 28.6 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 16.0 | 15.9 |
| Jan. | 18.6 | 15.4 | -- | -9.6 | 9.3 | 2.1 | 24.4 | 23.3 | 35.8 | 35.7 | 16.0 | 15.9 |
| Feb. | 21.9 | 20.3 | $-34.8$ | -33.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 36.9 | 34.3 | 47.2 | 47.1 | 15.8 | 15.7 |
| Mar. | 19.4 | 18.7 | 8.0 | 8.0 | -- | -- | 27.1 | 26.1 | 30.9 | 30.8 | 15.6 | 15.5 |
| Apr. | 6.4 | 6.4 | -- | -- | -6.2 | -6. 1 | 10.9 | 10.6 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 13.0 | 12.9 |

1/ Last Wednesday of month series.
2/ Includes outstanding amount of loans.reported as sold outright by banks to their own holding companies, affiliates, subsidiaries, and foreign branches.
NOTE: Data revised to reflect adjustments to December 31, 1972, Call Report benchmarks.

## SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX B

## Quarteriy survey of changes in bank lending practices * May 15, 1973

Approximately three-fourths of the respondents in the Bank Lending Practices Survey as of May 15 reported stronger C\&I loan demands, while approximately two-thirds anticipated further strengthening of C\&I demands over the next three months. (Table 1) Terms and conditions surrounding C\&I lending virtually without exception were more restrictive, yielding the classic picture of tightening in a period of monetary restraint. In addition, banks were notably less willing to make nonresidential mortgage loans, participation loans, loans to brokers and dealers, and term loans to business, though there was no evidence of less willingness to lend to consumers.

Significant tightening occurred in all terms and conditions surrounding C\&I lending, with the greatest move toward restriction in interest rates. The prime rate rose one percentage point, over the interval covered by the survey, and 80 per cent of the respondents indicated more restrictive interest rate policies. The next greatest swing was in tighter policies regarding compensating balances, where over half of the banks reported greater firmness. Appreciably more stringent standards of credit worthiness and lessened willingness to make term loans to nonfinancial business were reported as well.

In reviewing credit lines and loan applications bankers also adopted firmer attitudes. Over half focused restraint on new or nonlocal service area customers. Coments made on the Survey indicated that with pressure to keep loan commitments under control there was a closer evaluation of the long-term benefits of new relationships and greater selectivity in the establishment of new or increased credit lines.

Terms and conditions associated with lending to finance companies, as might be expected, were also more restrictive--particularly regarding interest rates and lines of credit. Bankers, moreover, indicated less willingness to make term loans to business--with comments suggesting that uncertainty over the future course of interest rates may be a factor. Broker-dealer loans and mortgages--especially mortgages for nonresidential construction--also have fallen from favor. In fact, with only one exception, consumer instalment loans, bankers, on balance, were less willing to make loans of all types. The relative attractiveness of consumer instalment loans may be attributable to the current rate etructure where there are pressures to hold down the prime rate and only sluggish upward movements in mortgage rates.

[^2]In examining differences in responses by bank size in Table 2, it appears that a somewhat greater proportion of larger banks ( $\$ 1$ billion and above in total deposits) expected stronger C\&I loan demands in the future. Perhaps because they service a greater number of large national customers, a smaller per cent of the bigger banks reported more restrictive policies regarding credit worthiness and nonlocal service area customers. There were relatively few other substantial differences by bank size. But the larger banks were more restrictive towards term loan maturity, and placed less emphasis on the value of loan applicants as depositors.

Although by District, there were differences in rasponses on specific questions, these seemed to be largely random and not systematically associated with one area or the other. (Table 3)

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES
ISTATUS OF POLICY ON
AT SELECTED LARGE BANKS IN PHE U.S. $1 /$
(STATUS OF POLICY ON MAS MAY 15 , 1973 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIER)
(NUMEEA OF BANKS G PERCENT OF TOTAL BANKS REPORTING)

$1 /$ SURVEY OF LENDING PRACTICES AT I 25 LARGE BANKS REPORTING IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY AS OF MAY 15 : 1973.

NOT FOR QUOTATION OR PUBLICATION


LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE\# FINANCE COMPANIES TERMS ANO CONDITIONS:

2f FOR these factors, finmer means the factors were considered more important in making decisions for approving CREDIT REQUESTS, AND EASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

COMPARISON OF QUARTERLY CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES AT BANKS GROUPED EY SIZE OF TOTAL DEPOSITS I/ (STATUS OF POLICY ON MAY 15,1973 , COMPARED TO THREE MONTMS EARLIER) (NUMBER OF BANKS IN EACH COLUMN AS PER CENT OF TOTAL GANKS ANSWERING QUESTION)

|  | TOTAL |  | SIZE OF B <br> MUCH STRONGER |  | - TOTAL DEPOSITS IN BILLIONS |  |  |  |  |  | MUCH WEAKER |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Moderately STRONGER | ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED |  | moderately WEAKER |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 18$ OVER | UNDER 51 |  |  | 516 OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\$ 18$ OVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \text { \$I } \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 18$ OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\$ 18$ OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\$ 1$ * OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ |
| STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL AND <br> INDUSTRIAL LOANS IAFTER ALLOWANCE FOR <br> BANK'S USUAL SEASONAL VARIATION) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMPARED TO PHREE MONTHS AGO | 100 | 100 | 20 | 17 | 52 | 58 | 22 | 25 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ANTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 months | 100 | 100 | 11 | 10 | 59 | 52 | 26 | 38 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOPAL |  | MUCH Firmen |  | MODERATELY FIRMER |  | ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED |  | moderately EASIER |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { EASIER } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 518 OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | 51 t OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | 318 OVER | UNDER <br> $\$ 1$ | $\$ 16$ OVER | UNDER 51 | 318 OVER | UNOER <br> 51 | 516 OVER | UNDER <br> $\$ 1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TERMS AND CONDITIONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTEREST RATES CHAROED | 100 | 100 | 17 | 21 | 65 | 58 | 18 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES | 100 | 100 | 19 | 10 | 37 | 44 | 44 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STANDARDS OF CREDIT WORTHINESS | 100 | 100 | 9 | 11 | 31 | 35 | 60 | 53 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| MATURITY OF TERM LOANS | 100 | 100 | 2 | 8 | 39 | 25 | 59 | 66 | 0 | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 0 |
| REVIEWING CREDIT LINES OR LOAN APPLICATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESYABLISHED CUSTOMERS | 100 | 100 | 4 | 3 | 28 | 31 | 68 | 65 | 0 | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 0 |
| NEW CUSTOMERS | 200 | 100 | 20 | 24 | 39 | 38 | 41 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| LOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS | 100 | 100 | 4 | 3 | 22 | 28 | 74 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NONLOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS | 100 | 200 | 13 | 25 | 33 | 34 | 54 | 40 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

[^3]TABLE 2 (CONTINUED)

FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT 2/

| VALUE AS OEPOSITOR OR |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SOURCE OF COLLATERAL BUSINESS | 100 | 100 |
| INTENDED USE OF THE LOAN | 100 | 100 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SIZE OF } \\ & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { FIRMER } \\ & \text { POLICY } \end{aligned}$ |  | - TOTAL DEPOSITS IN BILLIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | moderately <br> FIRMER <br> POLICY |  | ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY |  | MODERATELY <br> EASIER <br> POLICY |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { EASIER } \\ & \text { POLICY } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\$ 18$ OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | 518 OVER | UNOER $51$ | 518 OVER | UNDER 51 | 51 6 OVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$! \end{gathered}$ | 318 OVER | UNDER S1 |
| 11 | 17 | 44 | 48 | 45 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 11 | 33 | 25 | 58 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES TERMS AND CONDITIONS:

| INTEREST RATES CHARGED | 100 | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES | 100 | 100 |
| ENFORCEMENT OF BALANCE REQUIREMENTS | 100 | 100 |
| ESTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES | 100 | 100 |


| NUMBER |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ANSWERINS |  |
| QUESTION |  |
| S1 | UNDER |
| OVER | S1 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { CONSIDERABLY } \\ \text { LESS } \\ \text { WILLINB } \end{gathered}$ |  | moderately LESS <br> WILLING |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 518 OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\$ 18$ OVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNOER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 9 | 11 | 33 | 32 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 15 | 11 |
| 6 | 4 | 17 | 21 |
| 6 | 6 | 17 | 24 |
| 2 | 6 | 19 | 10 |
| 7 | 10 | 31 | 24 |


| ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED |  | MODERATELY MORE WILLING |  | ```CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 18$ OVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \text { SI } \end{gathered}$ | \$1 8 OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | Sl 8 OVER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNDER } \\ & \$ 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 56 | 54 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 92 | 88 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| 81 | 82 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 77 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 77 | 66 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 79 | 83 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 60 | 65 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

$2 f$ FOR THESE FACTORS, FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED MORE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING COEDIT REQUESTS. AND EASIER MEANS THEY WERE IESS IMPORTANT.


| ALL | BOS* |  | NEW Y | ORK | PHIL* | CLEVE* | HICM= | ATLAN= | CHIC- | St. | MINNE = | KANS. | DAL- | SAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OSTS | TON | YOTAL | CITY | OUTSIDE | ADEL. | LAND | MONO | TA | AGO | LOUIS | APOLIS | CITY | LAS | FRAN |

STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL AND
INDUSTRIAL LOANS (AFTER ALLOWANCE FOR
bankis usual seasonal variation)
COMPARED TO 3 MONTHS AGO 125

| MUCH STRONGER | 23 |
| :--- | ---: |
| MODERATELY STHONGER | 69 |
| ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED | 30 |
| MODERAYELY WEAKER | 3 |
| MUCH WEAKER | 0 | MUCH HEL WEAKER MUCH WEAKER


| 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 2 |
| 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 |
| 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

THTKCIPATEO DEMANO NEX THREE MONTHS

MUCH STRONGER
MODERATELY STRONGER
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED
MODERATELY WEAKER
MUCH WEAKER

| 13 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 | 4 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| 41 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 |

LENOING TO NONFINANCIAL BUSINESSES

TERMS AND CONDITIONS
INTEREST RATES CHARGEO 125

| MUCH FIRMER POLICY | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY | 76 |
| ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY | 25 |
| MODERATELY EASIER POLICY |  |
| MUCH EASIER POLICY |  |24

## COMPENSATING BALANCES <br> 125

| MUCH FIRMER POLICY | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY |  | ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNGHANGED POL MODERATELY EASIER

MUCH EASIER POLICY 17
51
57
0
0

| 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 3 |
| 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

1
8
2
0
0
3
9
0
0
0
2
5
3
0
0
3
7
5
0
0
3
4
2
0
0

| 1 | 2 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0 | 6 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 2 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| 2 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 8 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

1/ SURVEY OF LENDING PRACTICES AT 125 LARGE GANKS REPORTING IN THE FEDERAL RESEHVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY AS OF

MAY 15. 1973.

| LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL BUSINESSES | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ALL } \\ \text { DSTS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOS- } \\ & \text { TON } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL | NEW Y CITY | ORK OUTSIOE | PMILE AOEL. | CLEVELAND | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RICH- } \\ & \text { MOND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { APLAN- } \\ \text { TA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHIC= } \\ \text { AGO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ST. } \\ & \text { LOUIS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MINNE- } \\ & \text { APOLIS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KANS: } \\ & \text { CITY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DALe } \\ & \text { GAS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAN } \\ & \text { FRAN } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TERMS AND CONOITIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STANDARDS OF CREDIT WORTHINESS | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUCH FIRMER POLICY | 13 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 0 |
| MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY | 42 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 | $\frac{3}{3}$ | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY | 69 | 4 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 10 |
| MODERATELY EASIER POLICY | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MUCH EASIER POLICY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maturity of term loans | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUCH FIRMER POLICY | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY | 39 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| ESSENTIALLY UNCHANEEO POLICY | 78 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 11 |
| MOOERATELY EASIER POLTCY | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MUCH EASIER POLICY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESTABLISHED CUSTOMERS | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUCH FIRMER POLICY | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY | 37 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY | 83 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 10 |
| MODERATELY EASIER POLICY | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MUCH EASIER POLICY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW CUSTOMERS | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUCH FIAMER POLICY | 28 |  | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | E | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |
| MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY | 48 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 6 |
| essentially unchanged policy | 48 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 6 |
| moderately easier policy | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MUCH EASIER POLICY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUCH FIRMER POLICY | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY | 32 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY | 89 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 10 |
| MODERATELY EASIER POLICY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MUCH EASIER POLICY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



2/ for these factors, firmer means phe factors were considered more important in making decisions for approvine CREDIT REQUESTS, AND EASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.


TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)


# C-1 <br> SUPPLEMENXAL APPENDIX C <br> QUARTERLY SURVEY OF BANK LOAN COMMITMENTS * <br> Apri1 30, 1973 

The April 30 Quarterly Survey of Bank Loan Coumitments indicated no growth in unused commitments over the three month interval from the previous survey; in all previous surveys for the last three years there had been some growth in unused comitments. Unused C\&I commitments actually decreased over the interval, while commitments for loans to nonbank financial institutions and for real estate mortgages grew at rates substantially lower than in the previous survey. (See Table 1A.) Although new commitments (Table 1B) grew at a high rate, these partly represented seasonal renewals and reviews of credit lines and were otherwise offset by large takedowns, expirations, and cancellations (Table 1C). Over sixty per cent of the respondents to the survey expected an increase in the rate of takedowns over the next three months. In addition, nearly seventy per cent reported more restrictive comitment policies (Table 2).

Unused commitments to C \& I firms, constituting the largest part of the total, actuaily declined .9 per cent over the three month period from the previous survey date. This decline was attributable to fairly substantial reductions in confirmed lines of credit, though, in addition, the rate of increase in commitments for term and revolving credits was lower than for any survey period in a year.

Unused commitments to nonbank financial institutions, the next largest component in the total, grew by 1.7 per cent--also the smal1est rate of increase in a year. Weakness in commitments to finance

[^4]```
C-2
```

Companies was only partly offset by strength in commitments to othet nonbank financial institutions, which perhaps reflects institutions such as savings and loan associations attempting to secure alternative sources of funds in the face of slackening deposit inflows.

The growth rate in unused commitments for mortgages was appreciably stronger then that for loans to nonbank financial institutions or for $C \& I$ loans. This relative strength was almost entirely attributable to the rapid growth in commitments for residential mortgages which was offset only partially by a decline in commitments in the nonresidential sector.

Despite the zero growth shown in unused commitments, new commitments were strong and apparently heavily influenced by seasonal reviews and renewals of expiring lines of credit. Such reviews often are focused on confirmed lines of credit. And, new commitments for confirmed lines grew at a rate of nearly 25 per cent over the three month interval. This large increase was partly offset by substantial decreases in other new commitments for $C \& I$ purposes such as for term loans and revolving credits. New commitments to nonbank financial institutions were strong, except for mortgage warehousing, while new commitments for real estate mortgages actually declined.

Takedowns, expirations, and cancellations, as might be expected, were also large--particularly for confirmed C \& I lines where, as noted previously, seasonal reviews were of particular importance. Takedowns, expirations, and cancellations were large for $C \& I$ term and revolving credits as well.

In reports on commitment policies, of 48 respondents, the 15 that reported unused commitments had risen were outweighted by the 21 that indicated unused had declined. Moreover, 30 stated that takedswns in the next three monchs probably would rise, as against only 1 that expected a decline. And, 33 said commitment policies compared to three monthe earlier were more restrictive, while none moved in the direction of easier policies, Of the 33 adopting a more restrictive stance, 16 pointed to both reduced funds availability and increased comitment demands as the sources of the policy change; 9 cited increased demand alone; 8 isolated reduced funds availability as the sole factor. (Table 3).

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF BANK LOAN COMMITMENTS
AT SELECTED LARGE U.S. BANKS *1
(AS OF APK. 30, 1973)
TAELE 1 a unused commitments
(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN BILLIONS)

NUMRER DF BANKS

C E I FIRMS
NONBK FINAN INSTS
REAL ESTATE MORTG MEMG: CONSTRUCTION LOANS INCL abOVE

COMMERCIAL \& INDUST FIRMS

TERM LOANS
REVOLVING CREDITS
TOT: TERM \& REV *2
CONFIRMED LINES
OTHER COMMITMENTS
NONBANK FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

FINANCE COMPANIES MTGE WAREHOUSING ALL OTHER

REAL ESTATE MORTGES RESIDENTIAL OTHER

*I BANKS PARTICIPATING IN THE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY -- MAINLY BANKS WITH TOTAL DEPOSITS OF $\$ 1$ BILLION OR MORE. *2 THE TOTAL MAY EXCEED THE SUM OF THE PREVIOUS TWO ITEMS SINCE SOME BANKS REPORT ONLY TCTALS

[^5]
*1 BANKS PARTICIPATING IN THE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY -- MAINLY BANKS WITH TOTAL DEPOSITS OF \$I EILLION CR MORE. *2 THE TOTAL MAY EXCEED THE SUM OF THE PREVIOUS TWO ITEMS SINCE SOME BANKS REPORT ONLY TOTALS.
** NOTE: MINCR INCONSISTENCIES MAY OCCUR IN FIGURES DUE TO RDUNDING. **

NOT FOR
QUOTATION OR PUBLICATION

AT SELECTED LARGE U.S. BANKS *1
(AS DF APR. 30, 1973)
TABLE IC TAKEDOWNS, EXPIRATICNS, AND CANCELLATICNS *2
(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN BILLIGNS)

NUMBER DF BANKS
TOTAL TAKEDOWNS
C \& I FIRMS
NONBK FINAN INSTS
REAL ESTATE MORTG
MEMO: CONSTRUCTION
LGANS INCL AROVE
COMMERCIAL \& INDUST FIRMS

TERM LOANS
REVOLVING CREDITS
TOT: TERM \& REV *4
CONFIRMED LINES
OTHER COMMITMENTS
NONBANK FINANCIAL
INSTITUTIONS
FINANCE COMPANIES
MTGE WAREHOUSING
ALL OTHER
REAL ESTATE MORTGES RESIDENTIAL
OTHER

| (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) |  | (4) |  | 5) |  | (6) |  | (7) |  | (8) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AS OF |  | AS OF |  | AS CF |  | AS OF |  | AS UF |  | AS OF |  | S OF |  | AS DF |  |
| APR. | 301 | JAN. 31 |  | OCT. 31 |  | JUL. 31 |  | APR. 30 |  | JAN. 31 |  | OCT. 29 |  | JUL. 31 |  |
| 197 | 3 | 197 | 1 | 19 |  |  |  | 19 |  |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |
| $A M I$ | CHG I | AMI $\quad 1$ | $1 \%$ CHG | $\triangle M T \quad 1$ | 12.CHG | AMI | 18 CHG | AMI 1 |  | AMI 1\% CHG |  | AMI 1\% 12 CH |  | AMI 1\% CHG*3 |  |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | -1 | 过 |  |  |
| 421 | 1 | 42 1 | 1 | 421 | I | 42 | 1 | 42 | 1 | 42 | 1 | 42 1 |  | 42 |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31.91 | 28.01 | 26.81 | 24.61 | 24.41 | 23.51 | 32.91 | 30.21 | 25.21 | 25.91 | 20.11 | 22.21 | 19.31 | 22.41 | 32.01 | 0.0 |
| 24.11 | 28.61 | 20.81 | 25.51 | 18.51 | 23.71 | 25.61 | 30.91 | 19.01 | 25.81 | 16.21 | 23.31 | 15.61 | 23.41 | 24.81 | 0.0 |
| 5.41 | 25.61 | 3.81 | 20.11 | 3.81 | 21.11 | 5.51 | 28.41 | 4.61 | 25.81 | 2.81 | 17.71 | 2.41 | 16.51 | 5.21 | 0.0 |
| 2.41 | 28.11 | 2.11 | 26.51 | 2.01 | 27.81 | 1.81 | 27.51 | 1.71 | 28.11 | 1.11 | 20.71 | 1.31 | 26.81 | 2.01 | 0.0 |
| 1.51 | 23.41 | 1.21 | 19.71 | 1.41 | 25.31 | 1.01 | 21.51 | 0.91 | 21.51 | 0.81 | 20.41 | 1.01 | 25.61 | 1.21 | 0.0 |
| 1 |  | I | 1 | I |  |  |  | 1 |  | \| |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | I |  | 1 | , |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | I |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | , |  |  |  |
| 3.31 | 48.31 | 3.61 | 51.41 | 2.41 | 43.01 | 3.21 | 55.91 | 2.11 | 48.31 | 2.11 | 50.01 | 1.81 | 44.01 | 1.71 | 0.0 |
| 4.91 | 24.21 | 5.11 | 25.41 | 4.81 | 24.81 | 5.01 | 26.21 | 5.41 | 28.41 | 4.11 | 22.51 | 3.51 | 20.51 | 6.41 | 0.0 |
| 8.71 | 31.21 | 8.01 | 31.81 | 7.41 | 28.71 | 8.51 | 33.01 | 7.61 | 31.71 | 6.31 | 27.51 | 5.61 | 25.31 | 8.41 | 0.0 |
| 14.01 | 27.71 | 10.41 | 21.81 | 10.11 | 21.51 | 15.81 | 30.61 | 10.31 | 23.11 | 8.21 | 20.11 | 9.01 | 22.41 | 13.41 | 0.0 |
| 1.41 | 24.21 | 1.61 | 25.61 | 1.11 | 18.81 | 1.31 | 23.41 | 1.11 | 21.31 | 1.61 | 30.21 | 1.01 | 22.11 | 3.01 | 0.0 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2.71 | 24.11 | 1.91 | 18.11 | 1.91 | 18.11 | 3.41 | 29.11 | 2.51 | 23.21 | 1.21 | 13.11 | 1.31 | 14.41 | 3.41 | 0.0 |
| 0.81 | 25.31 | 0.81 | 24.91 | 0.81 | 26.41 | 0.81 | 26.61 | 0.81 | 28.91 | 0.91 | 30.71 | 0.51 | 19.61 | 0.71 | 0.0 |
| 1.91 | 28.41 | 1.11 | 21.01 | 1.21 | 24.01 | 1.31 | 27.91 | 1.31 | 30.21 | 0.71 | 19.51 | 0.71 | 19.91 | 1.01 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | I | , | 1 |  | 1 | I |  | - | I | 1 |  | I |  |
| 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 0.91 | 27.81 | 0.81 | 26.71 | 1.21 | 39.71 | 0.91 | 30.91 | 0.71 | 28.01 | 0.51 | 25.41 | 0.61 | 31.31 | 0.81 | 0.0 |
| 1.51 | 28.51 | 1.31 | 26.41 | 0.81 | 19.51 | 0.91 | 24.81 | 1.01 | 28.21 | 0.51 | 17.61 | 0.71 | 23.91 | 1.31 | 0.0 |

* 1 BANKS PARTICIPATING IN THE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY -- MAINLY BANKS WITH TOTAL DEPOSITS OF $\$ 1$ BILLION DR MORE
 (AVAILABLE COMMITMENTS = UNUSED COMMITMENTS FROM THE PREVIOUS QUARTER + NEW COMMITMENTS IN THE CURRENT QUARTER).
*3 PERCENTAGE CHANGE NOT COMPUTED FOR THIS QUARTER DUE TO THE SIZE CONSTRAINTS OF THE MATRIX.
* 4 THE TOTAL MAY EXCEED THE SUM OF THE PREVIDUS TWO ITEMS SINCE SOME BANKS REPORT DNLY TOTALS.
** NGTE: MINOR INCONSISTENCIES MAY OCCUR IN FIGURES DUE TO ROUNDING. **

NOT FOR
QUOTATION OR
pUblication

QUARTERLY SURVEY QF BANK LDAN COMMITMENTS
AT SELECTED LARGE U.S. BANKS (AS OF APR. 30, 1973)

TABLE 2: VIEWS ON COMMITMENT POLICY

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APR. | JAN. | QCT. | JULY | APR. | JAN. | OCT. | JULY |
| 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 |
| 1973 | 1973 | 1972 | 1972 | 1972 | 1972 | 1971 | 1971 |
| 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 14 | 23 | 24 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 25 | 19 |
| 12 | 17 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 15 | 19 |
| 18 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 9 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $n$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 21 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 25 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 34 | 37 | 37 |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 11 | 9 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

TAKEDOWNS IN THE NEXT THREE
MONTHS SHOULD:
RISE RAPIDLY
RISE MODERATELY
REMAIN UNCHANGED
dECLINE MODERATELY
DECLINE RAPIDLY

COMMITMENT POLICY COMPARED
TO THREE MONTHS AGO IS:
MUCH MORE RESTRICTIVE
SOMEWHAT MORE RESTRICTIVE
UNC HANG ED
LESS RESTRICTIVE
MUCH LESS RESTRICTIVE
TOTAL NUMBER OF BANKS RESPONDING:
UNUSED COMMITMENTS IN THE PAST THREE MDNTHS HAVE

RISEN RAPIDLY
RISEN MODERATELY
REMAINED UNCHANGED DECLINED MODERATELY DECLINED RAPIDLY

| 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 28 | 33 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 14 | 13 | 16 |
| 17 | 11 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 28 | 31 | 31 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

NOT FCR
quotation or
PUBLICATION

## QUARTERLY SURVEY OF BANK LOAN COMMITMENTS

AT SELECTED LARGE U.S. BANKS
(AS OF APR. 30, 1973)
TABLE 3 EXPLANATION OF CHANGES IN NEW COMMITMENT POLICY

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APR. | JAN. | OCT. | JULY | APR. | JAN. | OCT. | July |
| 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 |
| 1973 | 1973 | 1972 | 1972 | 1972 | 1972 | 1971 | 1971 |
| 33 | 21 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 9 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 14 | 11 | 9 |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 2 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In some cases, original copies needed to be photocopied before being scanned into electronic format. All scanned images were deskewed (to remove the effects of printer- and scanner-introduced tilting) and lightly cleaned (to remove dark spots caused by staple holes, hole punches, and other blemishes caused after initial printing).
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