Prefatory Note

The attached document represents the most complete and accurate version available based on original copies culled from the files of the FOMC Secretariat at the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. This electronic document was created through a comprehensive digitization process which included identifying the best-preserved paper copies, scanning those copies, ¹ and then making the scanned versions text-searchable.² Though a stringent quality assurance process was employed, some imperfections may remain.

Please note that some material may have been redacted from this document if that material was received on a confidential basis. Redacted material is indicated by occasional gaps in the text or by gray boxes around non-text content. All redacted passages are exempt from disclosure under applicable provisions of the Freedom of Information Act.

¹ In some cases, original copies needed to be photocopied before being scanned into electronic format. All scanned images were deskewed (to remove the effects of printer- and scanner-introduced tilting) and lightly cleaned (to remove dark spots caused by staple holes, hole punches, and other blemishes caused after initial printing).

 $^{^{2}}$ A two-step process was used. An advanced optical character recognition computer program (OCR) first created electronic text from the document image. Where the OCR results were inconclusive, staff checked and corrected the text as necessary. Please note that the numbers and text in charts and tables were not reliably recognized by the OCR process and were not checked or corrected by staff.

CONFIDENTIAL (FR)

SUPPLEMENT

CURRENT ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS

Prepared for the Federal Open Market Committee

June 16, 1972

By the Staff Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

The Domestic Economy

<u>Housing starts</u>. Seasonally adjusted private housing starts rose 11 per cent in May to an annual rate of 2.3 million units--nearly equaling the March rate. The rise in May was concentrated primarily in single-family units and in the North Central States. Building permits edged up 3 per cent in May to a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 2.0 million units.

	<u>1971</u>		1972	
	QIV	QI	Apr.(p)	May(p)
Starts	2.24	2.51	2.10	2.32
l-family	1.25	1.35	1.16	1.33
2-or-more family	.99	1.16	•94	.99
Permits	2.12	2.04	1.93	1.99
MEMORANDUM:				
Mobile home shipments	.51	.57	.63	n.a.
p/ Preliminary.				
n.a. – Not available.				

PRIVATE HOUSING STARTS AND PERMITS (Seasonally adjusted annual rates, in millions of units)

<u>Sales of new domestic-type autos</u> in the first 10 days of June were at an annual rate of 8.6 million units, somewhat below the first 10 days of May but 16 per cent above a year ago. <u>Personal income</u> increased by nearly \$5 billion to \$915.9 billion annual rate in May, somewhat less than the upward revised \$5.5 billion rise in the previous month. Wage and salary disbursements increased by about \$3 billion, with manufacturing and service payrolls accounting for much of the increase in private disbursements --primarily due to gains in employment in these industries. Government payrolls rose by \$500 million--the same as last month. Increases in transfer payments and personal interest income accounted for the rise in nonwage income. Compared to a year ago, personal income was up by 7.9 per cent, and wage and salary disbursements by 8.6 per cent.

PERSONAL INCOME (Billions of dollars; seasonally adjusted, annual rates)

		1972		Net change April 1972
······	March	April	May	May 1972
Total	905.6	911.1	915.9	4.8
Wage and salary disbursements	613.2	618.3	621.3	3.0
Government	132.3	132.9	133.4	.5
Private	480.9	485.4	487.9	2.5
Manufacturing	171.6	173.4	174.8	1.4
Distributive	148.6	150.1	150.4	.3
Services	113.5	114.3	115.1	.8
Other	47.2	47.7	47.6	1
Nonwage income	326.8	327.3	329.2	1.9
Less: Personal contributions				
for social insurance	34.4	34.5	34.6	.1

CORRECTION:

Section I-21, line 4 above the table should read <u>unusually</u> small April to May....(not usually).

Domestic Financial

INTEREST RATES

		19	72	_
	Highs	Lows	May 22	June 15
Short-Term Rates				
Federal funds (wkly. avg.)	4.48 (6/7)	3.18 (3/1)	4.32 (5/17)	4.46 (6/14)
3-month				
Treasury bills (bid)	3.94 (3/15)	2.99 (2/11)	3.79	3.90
Comm. paper (90-119 day)	4.62 (4/21)	3.75 (2/29)	4.38	4.50
Bankers' acceptances	4.50 (4/20)	3.38 (2/23)	4.25	4.38
Euro-dollars CD's (prime NYC)	5.94 (3/27)	4.62 (3/8)	4.75	4.81
Most often quoted new	4.50 (6/14)	3.50(2/23)	4.38 (5/17)	4.50 (6/14)
Secondary market	4.75 (4/19)			
6-month				
Treasury bills (bid)	4.44 (4/4)	3.35 (1/10)	4.26	4.34
Comm. paper (4-6 mo.)	4.62 (6/15)			4.62
Federal agencies CD's (prime NYC)	4.76 (4/13)			4.68
Most often quoted new	4.75 (4/26)	3.88 (2/23)	4.62 (5/17)	4.62 (6/14)
Secondary market	5.25 (4/12)			
1-Year				
Treasury bills (bid)	4.86 (4/4)	3.57 (1/8)	4.53	4.65
Federal agencies CD's (prime NYC)	5.32 (4/14)	4.32 (1/17)	4.96	4.99
Most often quoted new	5.12 (6/14)	4.62 (1/19)	5.12 (5/17)	5.12 (6/14)
Prime municipals	3.15 (4/13)		• •	• •
Intermediate and Long-Term				
Treasury coupon issues				
5-years	6.28 (4/13)		5.81	5.87
20-years	6.22 (4/14)	5.95 (1/14)	6.01	6.00
Corporate				
Seasoned Aaa		7.14 (1/17)		7.23
Baa	8.29 (1/3)	8.17 (1/19)	8.26	8.20
New Issue Aaa	7.42 (4/14)	6.86 (1/14)	7.25 (5/19)	7.26
Municipal				
Bond Buyer Index		4.99 (1/13)		
Moody's Ara	5.25 (4/13)	4.65 (1/13)	5.15 (5/18)	5,10
Mortgage-implicit yield				
in FNMA auction $1/$	7.63 (5/15)	7.54 (3/20)	7.63 (5/15)	7.62 (6/12)

1/ Yield on short-term forward commitment after allowance for commitment fee and required purchase and holding of FNMA stock. Assumes discount on 30-year loan amortized over 15 years. SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX A

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF

BANK LENDING PRACTICES*

Results of the May 15 Survey of Bank Lending Practices are consistent with the general improvement in the economy which has occurred since early in the year. Businesses' demands for bank financings increased significantly with bankers expressing considerable optimism that the momentum of business expansion would continue through the summer.

In the current survey, the 125 participants noted two major alterations in loan markets since mid-February. (See Table 1.) First, a significant strengthening in business loan demand was reported by nearly 60 per cent of the respondents, whereas in the February survey, less than a fifth had recorded any pick-up in loan demand. Moreover, in the current survey, nearly 75 percent expressed confidence that this uptrend in loan demand would be sustained through August. The second reported change in lending practices was in higher interest rates on business loans in line with the stepped-up demand for these loans. More than a third of the panel reported firmer interest rate policies, reflecting the general increases in prime lending rates. Most other terms on business lending were unchanged from February, but it is worth noting that more than 10 per cent of the participants reported placing greater emphasis on a loan applicant's potential value as a depositor or source of collateral business.

Changes in lending terms to finance companies were not quite as striking as those on business loans--less than a fourth of the banks reported firmer interest rates. The firmer interest rates policies, moreover, were partly offset by a slight increase in willingness to establish or enlarge credit lines.

Regarding other portions of the loan portfolio, bankers' interest in consumer instalment loans and in single-family mortgages increased further; willingness to extend term loans to businesses increased as well.

^{*} Prepared by Marilyn Barron, Research Assistant, Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

A breakdown of responses by size of banks shows that a somewhat higher percentage of smaller banks (with deposits of less than \$1 billion) reported firmer interest rates. (See Table 2.) Large banks, on the other hand, expressed a greater desire to extend multi-family and commercial mortgages and to make broker loans. Variations in responses by regions were negligible. (See Table 3.)

PAGE 01

OUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES AT SELECTED LARGE BANKS IN THE U.S. 1/ (STATUS OF POLICY ON MAY 15, 1972 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIER) (NUMBER OF,BANKS & PERCENT OF TOTAL BANKS REPORTING)

	۲a	TAL	MUC Stron		NODER (Strok			TTALLY Anged	MODER/ WEAT		MUC WEAK	
STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL LOANS (AFTER ALLOWANCE FOR BANK'S USUAL SEASONAL VARIATION)	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PC T	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO	125	100.0	2	1.6	71	56.8	44	35.2	8	6.4	0	0.0
ANTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 MONTHS	125	100.0	0	0.0	93	74.4	31	24.8	1	0.8	0	n.0
		ANSWER ING QUESTION		ICH IMER ICY	HER FIRM		RMER UNCH		MODER EAS POL	TER	EAS	ICH IER .ICY
LENDING TO NCNFINANCIAL BUSINESSES	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	РСТ	BANKS	PCT
TERMS "AND CONDITIONS:												
INTEREST RATES CHARGED	125	100.0	1	0.8	45	36.0	77	61.6	2	1.6	0	0.0
COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES	125	100.0	0	0.0	8	6.4	113	90.4	4	3.2	0	0.0
STANDARDS OF CREDIT WORTHINESS	125	100.0	2	1.6	6	4.8	116	92.8	1	0.8	ņ	0.ñ
MATURITY OF TERM LOANS	125	100.0	1	0.8	8	6.4	101	80.8	15	12.0	0	n.n
REVIEWING CRECIT LINES CR LOAN APPLICATIO	NŠ											
ESTABLISHED CUSTOMERS	125	100.0	1	0.8	4	3.2	118	94.4	2	1.6	O	0.0
NEW CUSTOMERS	125	100.0	3	2.4	6	4.8	107	85.6	9	7,2	0	0.0
LOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS	125	100.0	1	0.8	3	2.4	117	93.6	4	3.2	ç	0.0
NONLOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS	125	100.0	2	1.6	10	8.0	101	80.8	12	9.6	C,	n. n

1/ SURVEY OF LENDING PRACTICES AT 125 LARGE BANKS REPORTING IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY AS OF MAY 15, 1972.

	ANSWERING QUESTION		FIR	CH MER ICY	MODERATELY FIRMER Policy Ranks oft		ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY		MODER I EASI POLI	ER	EAS	ICH I ER I CY
	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PC T
FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT 2/												
VALUE AS DEPÔSITOR OR Sóurce óf collateral business	125	100.0	2	1.6	12	9.6	108	86.4	3	2.4	c	0.0
INTENDED USE OF THE LOAN	125	100.0	2	1.6	1	0.8	121	96.8	1	0.8	0	0.0
LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES												
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:												
INTEREST RATES CHARGED	125	100.0	2	1.6	28	22.4	92	73.6	3	2.4	0	0.0
COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES	125	100.0	L	0.8	4	3.2	116	92.8	4	3.2	0	0.0
ENFORCEMENT OF BALANCE REQUIREMENTS	125	100.0	Z	1.6	6	4.8	114	\$1.2	3	2.4	0	0.0
ESTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES	125	100.0	2	1.6	11	8.8	93	74.4	19	15.2	0	0.0
		ERING STION	CONSIDE Les Will	S	MODER/ Les WILL	S		TTALLY ANGED	NODER/ Mor Will	E	CONSTOE Mor Will	F
WELLENGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LOANS	BANKS	PCT	BANK S	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT
TERM LUANS TO BUSINESSES	124	100.0	1	0.8	3	4.5	100	80.7	20	16.1	•	5.0
CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS	124	100.0	0	9.0	2	1.6	86	69.4	34	27.4	2	1.6
SINGLE FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS	123	100.0	0	0.0	2	1.6	96	78.1	23	18.7	2	1.6
MULTI-FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS	123	100.0	1	0.8	8	6.5	102	82.9	12.	9.8	0	8.8
ALL OTHER MORTGAGE LOANS	123	100.0	0	0.0	6	4.9	100	81.3	15	12.2	Z	1.6
PARTICIPATION LOANS WITH Correspondent Banks	123	100.0	0	0.0	3	Z.4	107	87.0	13	10-6	0	0+0
LOANS TO BROKERS	122	100.0	2	1.6	3	2.5	102	83.6	13	10.7	2	1.6

TABLE 1 (CONTINUED)

NOT FOR QUOTATION OR PUBLICATION

2/ FOR THESE FACTORS. FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED MORE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING CREDIT REQUESTS. AND EASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT. A - 4

PAGE 02

A - 5

COMPARISON OF QUARTERLY CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES AT BANKS GROUPED BY SIZE OF TOTAL DEPOSITS 1/ (Status of Policy on Nay 15, 1972, compared to three months Earlier) (Number of Banks in Each column as per cent of total banks answering Question)

		SIZE OF BANK TOTAL DEPOSITS IN BILLIONS										
	т	OTAL		ICH INGER		ATELY		TIALLY	MODER WEAT		MU: WEA	
STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL LOANS (AFTER ALLOWANCE FOR BANK'S USUAL SEASONAL VARIATION)	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO	100	100	2	1	52	61	40	31	6	7	0	0
ANTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 MONTHS	100	100	0	0	70	77	28	23	2	0	0	^
	т	OTAL		NCH RMER		ATELY Mer		TTALLY Anged	MODER EAS I	-		UCH Siếr
LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL BUSINESSES	\$1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	\$1 ይ Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 ይ Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:												
INTEREST RATES CHARGED	100	100	0	1	30	41	70	55	o	3	n	c
COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES	100	100	o	o	2	10	94	87	4	3	n	0
STANDARDS OF CREDIT WORTHINESS	100	100	0	3	6	4	94	92	C	1	n	0
MATURITY OF TERM LOANS	100	100	0	l	6	7	79	82	15	10	0	n
REVIEWING CREDIT LINES OR LOAN APPLICATION	DNS											
ESTABLISHED CUSTOMERS	100	100	0	1	2	4	96	94	2	l	^	0
NFW CUSTOMERS	100	100	0	4	7	3	87	85	6	8	ſ	^
LOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTÓMERS	100	100	o	L	2	3	96	92	2	4	ſ	ç
NENLÓCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTAMERS	100	100	0	3	6	10	90	73	4	14	ſ	0

1/ SURVEY OF LENDING PRACTICES AT 54 LARGE BANKS (DEPOSITS OF \$1 BILLION OR MORE) AND 71 SMALL BANKS (DEPOSITS OF LESS THAN \$1 BILLION) REPORTING IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY AS OF MAY 15, 1972.

CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS

SINGLE FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS

	NUMBER AN SWER I NG QUE ST I DN		M F I			TOTAL DEP Moderately Firmer Policy		N BILLIC Itially Ianged Icy	MODER	ATFLY IER ICY	EA	UCH SIER LICY	
	S1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	\$1 C Over	UNDER \$1	
FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT 2/		••											
VALUE AS DEPCSITOR OR Source of collateral business	100	100	o	3	7	11	87	86	6	0	ſ	ç	
INTENDED USE OF THE LCAN	100	100	0	3	2	0	98	96	n	1	n	0	
LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES	i												
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:													
INTEREST RATES CHARGED	100	100	0	3	19	25	79	69	2	3	o	0	
COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES	100	100	0	1	4	3	89	96	7	0	n	0	
ENFORCEMENT OF BALANCE REQUIREMENTS	100	100	0	3	4	6	92	90	4	1	0	0	ł
ESTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES	100	100	0	3	9	8	78	72	13	17	0	0	1
	ANS	IMBER WERING IESTION	LE	ERABLY SS LING	MODER LE WIL			TIALLY	MÓ	RATELY Re Ling	CONSID MO WIL		
	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	
WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LOANS	UVER	3 I	UVER	₽ 1	UVER	#1	UVER	-1	GVER	J 1	OVER	-1	
TERM LOANS TO BUSINESSES	100	100	0	1	4	1	79	82	17	16	0	0	

MULTI-FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS	100	100	0	1	6	7	81	85	13	7	0	1
ALL OTHER MORTGAGE LOANS	100	100	0	0	6	4	75	86	19	7	0	
PARTICIPATION LOANS WITH Correspondent Banks	100	100	0	0	4	1	85	89	11	10	0	,
LOANS TO BROKERS	100	100	4	0	2	3	75	90	17	6	2	

2/ FOR THESE FACTORS, FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED MORE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING CREDIT REQUESTS, AND EASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

A - 6

A - 7

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES AT SELECTED LARGE BANKS IN THE U.S. 1/ STATUS OF POLICY ON MAY 15, 1972 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIER (NUMBER OF BANKS)

	ALL DSTS	BOS- Ton	N TOTAL	EW YOR City o		PHIL- ADEL.	CLEVE- LAND	RICH- Mond	ATLAN- TA	CHIC- Ago	ST. LOUIS	MINNE- Apolis	KANS. CITY	DAL- LAS	SAN Fran
STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL LCANS (AFTER ALLOWAN BANK'S USUAL SEASONAL VARIATION	ICE FOR														
COMPARED TO 3 MONTHS AGO	125														
MUCH STRENGER	2	0	o	o	0	0	ò	1	Ó	1	0	0	Ø	2	2
MÖDERATELY STRONGER	71	5	10	3	7	4	7	8 3	7	10	5	0	4	3	8
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	44	2	8	4	4	2	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	5
MODERATELY WEAKER	8	1	2	2	0	0	1	0		Ó	0	0	2	2	Ċ
MUCH WEAKER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	0	0
ANTICIPATED DEMAND NEXT															
THREE MONTHS	125														
PUCH STRENGER	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MODERATELY STRONGER	93	ĕ	13	7	6	ž	9	11	Ř	12	6	ž	ă	4	, Š
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	31	ž	13	ź	5	6 0	i	ĩ	8 2	3	3	ī	ĩ	5	5
MÖDERATELY WEAKER	1	ō	ò	ō	ó	ŏ	i	ò	ō	ő	ñ	ō	ō	ō	ō
MUCH WEAKER	ò	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ò	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ō
	-	-		-	-	-									
LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL BUSINESSES															
TERMS AND CONDITIONS															
INTEREST RATES CHARGED	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	.0	1	0	0
MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY	45	4	6	ĩ.	5	3	2	5	37	2	4	1	5	4	6
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY	77	4	12	8	4	3	9	7	7	13	5	2	3	5	7
MODERATELY EASIER POLICY	2	0	2	Ō	2	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MUCH EASTER POLICY	õ	Ō	Ō	Ō	ō	Ō	0	Ó	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	0
COMPENSATING BALANCES	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY	ă	ŏ	ĭ	ŏ	ĭ	ŏ	ĭ	ĭ	ž	ŏ	ō	ī	Ž	Õ	ō
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY	113	ă	17	8	9	5	9	1i	8	15	9	ž	7	9	13
MODERATELY EASTER POLICY		ŏ	2	ĩ	í	í	í	ō	ŏ	ō	Ó	ō	Ó	Ó	Ō
MUCH EASTER POLICY	ō	ŏ	ō	ò	ō	ō	à	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
HUGH EMDIEK PULICT	•	v	v	U U	v			.,	•	•	•	•	-	-	-

1/ SURVEY OF LENDING PRACTICES AT 125 LARGE BANKS REPORTING IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY As of May 15, 1972. NOT FOR QUOTATION OR PUBLICATION

BUSINESSES

TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL Businesses	ALL DSTS	805- Ton	NEW YORK Total City Outside	PHIL- Adel.	CLEVE- LAND	RICH- MOND	ATLAN- TA	ST. Louis	MINNE- Apôlis	KANS. CITY	DAL- LAS	ŠAN Fran
TERMS AND CONDITIONS												
STANDARDS OF CREDIT WORTHINESS	125											

MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATBLY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATBLY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	2 6 116 1 0	0 8 0 0	0 0 20 0 0	0 9 0 9	0 0 11 0 0	0 1 5 0 0	0 0 11 0 0	0 10 5 6	1 0 9 0 0	0 0 14 1 0	0 0 9 0 0	01200	1 1 7 0	00900	0 1 12 0
MATURITY OF TERM LOANS	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	1 8 101 15 0	0 6 2 0	0 0 17 3 0	0 0 6 3 0	0 0 11 0 0	0 4 2 0	0 0 10 1 0	0 1 10 1 0	1 0 7 2 0	0 1 13 1 0	00900	0 1 2 9 0	0 2 5 2 0	0 2 7 0 0	9 1 11 1 0
REVIEWING CREDIT LINFS OR LOANS															
ESTABLISHED CUSTOMERS	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY Moderately firmer policy Essentially unchanged policy Moderately Easier Policy Much Easier Policy	1 4 118 2 0	0 0 8 0	0 1 19 0 0	0 9 0 0	0 1 10 0 0	0 6 0	0 0 10 1 0	0 0 11 1 0	1 0 9 0	0 0 15 0 0	00900	00300	02700	00900	0 1 12 0 0
NEW CUSTOMERS	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATFLY FIRMER POLICY FSSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY FASTER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	3 6 107 9 0	00800	0 0 18 2 0	0 9 0 0	0 0 9 2 0	0 1 5 0 0	0 0 10 1 0	0 0 11 1 0	1 7 1 0	0 0 15 0	0 0 8 1 0	00100	*2 1 5 1 9	0 1 8 0 0	n 7 9 7 n
LOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	1 3 117 4 0	0 0 8 0 0	0 0 19 1 0	0 9 0 0	0 0 10 1	0 0 6 0	0 0 10 1 0	0 9 11 1 0	1 9 0 0	0 14 1 0		0 0 0 0	0 7 0 0		1 12 0

A t 80

MINNE- KANS. DAL-SAN PHIL- CLEVE- RICH- ATLAN- CHIC- ST. ALL 80S-NEW YORK FRAN ŤΑ AGO LOUIS APOLIS CITY LAS TON TOTAL CITY OUTSIDE ADEL. LAND MOND OSTS LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL BUSINESSES REVIEWING CREDIT LINES OR LOANS NONLOCAL SERVICE AREA CUST MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY Ô. ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY n . n MUCH EASIER POLICY FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT 2/ VALUE AS DEPOSITOR OR SOURCE OF COLLATERAL BUSINESS MUCH FIRMER POLICY n n MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY . q ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY n MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY INTENDED USE OF LOAN ^ Ö Ó MUCH FIRMER POLICY n Ċ. n Ö MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY a ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY À C MODERATELY EASIER POLICY t n n MUCH EASTER POLICY LENDING TO "NCNCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES TERMS AND CONDITIONS INTEREST RATES CHARGED MUCH FIRMER POLICY C MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ n MUCH EASTER POLICY

TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

27 FOR THESE FACTORS, FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED MORE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING CREDIT REQUESTS, AND EASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

NOT FOR QUOTATION OR PUBLICATION

9 - V

PAGE 07

NOT FOR QUOTATION OR PUBLICATION

	ALL DST S	BOS- TON	NE Total (EW YOR		PHIL- Adel.	CLEVE- LAND	RICH- Mond	ATLAN- TA	CHIC-	ST. LOUIS	MINNE-	KANS. CITY	041 - LAS	SAN FRAN
LENDING TO "NCNCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES															
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:															
SIZE OF COMPENSATING BALANCES	125														
MUCH FIRMER PÓLICY Moderately firmer policy	1 4	0	0	0	0	0 1	0	0 C	0 1	0	0	n n	1	6	5
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY		ř	18	7	11	5	10	12	9	15	9	3	7	9	12
MODERATELY EASIER POLICY	4	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	Ç	0	0	n	n	0	1
MUCH EASTER POLICY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n	n	c	ŷ	^
ENFORCEMENT OF Balance requirement	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	n	1	0	э
MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY Moderately easier policy	114	7	20 0	9	11	5 0	11	11	8 0	15	9	3	7	7	11
MUCH EASTER POLICY	õ	ò	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	õ	ŏ	ິ່ວ	n	ว้	ò	ò
ESTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ŋ	2	•	2
MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY	11	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ĩ	ĭ	ŏ	ĩ	ž	ĩ	õ	ñ	3	ž
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY	93	8	13	8	5	4	9	10	9	12	5	3	5	5	19
MODERATELY EASIER POLICY Much Easier Policy	19 0	0	7	1	6	1	1	2	C N	1	3	n C	2	1	1
MOGH ENSIEN POLICY	Ŭ	v	Ū	v	v	Ū	v	v	.,	.,	• •				0
WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER Types of Loans															
TERM LOANS TO BUSINESSES	124														
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	ŋ	0	0	n	0
MODERATELY LESS WILLING	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	n	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED Moderately more willing	100 20	7	18 2	8 1	10 1	5 1	9 2	10 2	7 2	13 2	7 2	3	4	8 0	9 2
CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	20	ò	ő	ō	ò	0	ő	Ő	0	õ	ő	Ö	ō	ñ	ő
	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	-	-			
CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS	124														
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	0
MODERATELY LESS WILLING	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	.0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED Moderately more willing	86 34	7	13 6	7	6 5	3	8	11	5 4	95	6	3	6 2	5 4	10 3
CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	2	ō	õ	ō	õ	ō	õ	ō	i	õ	õ	ŏ	ĩ	ō	ó

A - 10

PAGE OR

NOT FOR QUOTATION OR PUBLICATION

WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER Types of Loans	ALL DSTS	BOS- Ton		IEW YOR City o	K IUTSIDE	PHIL- Adel.	CLEVE- LAND	RICH- MOND	ATLAN- TA	CHIC- AGO	ST. LOUIS	MINNE- APOLIS	KANS. CITY	NAL- LAS	SAN FRAN	
SINGLE FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS	123															
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	0	•	0	•	ŋ	n	
MODERATELY LESS WILLING	2	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ó	č	ň	1	ž	2	í	n	
FSSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	96	7	15	7	8	5	Ť	10	7	13	5	2	6	Ŗ	10	
MÖDERATELY MORE WILLING	23	1	4	1	3	i	4	2	2	2	3	^	2	•	2	
CONSTDERABLY MORE WILLING	2	Ō	0	ō	ō	ō	0	ō	ñ	ō	Ô	r	ĩ	n	1	
MULTIFAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS	123															
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	1	0	0	o	0	0	0	n	0	0	ŋ	ŋ	•	ı	n	
MODERATELY LESS WILLING	8	0	1	0	1	0	Ō	0	0	2	1	0	2	n	2	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	102	8	17	7	10	5	9	10	9	12	7	3	5	8	9	
MODERATELY MORE WILLING	12	0	1	1	0	1	2	2	0	1	l	า	2	n	2	
CÔNSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ſ	0	ſ	C	•	
ALL CTHER MORTGAGE LOANS	123															
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	ð	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n	0	0	ń	0	0	0	:
MODERATELY LESS WILLING	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	n	1	1	•	0	1	2	1
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	100	7	15	7	8	5	9	10	9	11	7	3	7	8	9	•
MODERATELY MORE WILLING	15	1	3	1	2	1	2	2	0	3	0	0	1	n	2	
CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	2	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	^	
PARTICIPATION LOANS WITH Correspondent banks	123															
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MODERATELY LESS WILLING	3	Ō	Ō	ō	Ō	i	ŏ	Ō	ō	õ	ñ	ō	i	ō	1	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	107	7	18	8	10	4	9	11	10	14	8	3	5	8	10	
MODERATELY MORE WILLING	13	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	
CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	n	0	0	C	0	
LOANS TO BROKERS	122															
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	2	0	C	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	^	0	0	0	0	
MODERATELY LESS WILLING	3	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ō	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ò	ŏ	ň	ĭ	ĭ	ĭ	
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	102	8	16	6	10	5	7	11	9	13	ÿ	3	Ā	ĩ	10	
MODERATELY MORE WILLING	13	ŏ	4	3	1	õ	i i i	ö	i	ĩ	ó	õ	i	ò	2	
CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	2	Õ	Ó	Õ	ō	ŏ	Ö	ĩ	ō	ō	Ő	ō	ī	Ö	ō	

NUMBER OF BANKS

125

A - 11

PAGE TO

APPENDIX B: CONGRESSIONAL DEVELOPMENTS RELATING TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY AND WELFARE REFORM BILL.*

The Senate Finance Committee has completed its work on the broad social security welfare reform bill. The Committee bill is somewhat more costly in the long run (perhaps \$6.0 billion annually) than the Housepassed bill or the Administration proposals. There appears to be considerable opposition to the Senate committee bill, which is scheduled for debate in the Senate in July. Of course, the final outcome will not be known until differences are settled in the House-Senate conference committee. Staff projections incorporate the Administration's proposals for social security liberalization and welfare reform but the Staff estimate includes a 12.5 per cent social security boost projected rather than the 5 per cent increase proposed by the Administration. The Senate Committee bill includes a 10 per cent social security benefit hike, but many Senators are committed to a 20 per cent increase.

There are substantial differences in the estimate of the cost of various welfare provisions of the House and Senate bills. HEW estimates that the first full year cost of the Senate Committee proposals for general welfare and workfare will be \$12.7 billion compared to the Committee estimate of \$6.7 billion. On the other hand the Senate Committee estimates that the cost of the welfare provisions of the House bill (essentially the Administration's family assistance plan) are underestimated by \$2.0 billion. Table I below, shows the major provisions of the Senate Committee bill with alterative estimates of the first full year cost of the welfare provisions.

While the cost of the various provisions of the Senate Committee bill are still not certain, the Staff has made a rough estimate of the effect the Committee bill would have on Staff estimates if enacted. These estimates are shown in Table II, below.

The social security provisions of the Senate Committee bill are initially less costly than the Staff estimates. The Committee bill also includes the same wage base increase assumed in the Staff projections (from \$9,000 to \$10,200 beginning January 1973). However the Committee would increase the tax to 5.7 per cent instead of the 5.4 per cent expected by the Staff. The higher Committee rate would increase calendar 1973 receipts by \$3.0 billion. There have been reports that even higher social security taxes will be enacted if a 20 per cent benefit increase is approved.

*Prepared by William Beeman, Economist and Mary Ellen Stroupe, Economist, Government Finance Section.

Table 1. PRINCIPAL PROVISIONS OF THE SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE SOCIAL SECURITY AND WELFARE BILL

				First Full-Year Cost: Additions to Present Legislation (In Billions of \$) Senate		
		Effectiv	ve Date	F⊥nance Estimate	H.E.W. <u>Estimate</u>	
	SOCIAL SECURITY CASH BENEFITS					
1.	Provisions of House Bill Changed and New Provisions Added by the Committee					
	A. 10% across-the-board benefit increase	Retroact June 1,	ti ve to 1972 <u>1</u> /	\$4.300		
	B. Special minimum benefits up to \$200		1072	200		
	per month		1973 1975	.300		
	C. Automatic cost-of-living increases D. Retirement delayed beyond age 65	-	1973	n.e. .180		
	E. Reduction in waiting period for dis-	Jan.	1975	• 100		
	ability benefits (to 4 months)	Jan.	1973	.250		
	F, Extension of coverage to dependent sisters and to dependent disabled	•				
	brothers. G. Disability benefits for individuals	Jan.	1973	.070		
	who are blind	Jan.	1973	.200		
2.	Provisions of House Bill Not Changed by the Committee					
	A. Increase in widow's and widower's	-	1070	1 000		
	benefits B. Allowable earnings increased to \$2,000		1973	1.000		
	per year		1973	.650		
	C. Childhood disability benefits D. Continuation of child's benefits		1973	.016		
	through the end of a semester	Jan.	1973	.018	<u></u>	
	TOTAL SOCIAL SECURITY CASH BENEFITS			\$6.984	n.a.	
	MAJOR MEDICARE-MEDICAID PROVISIONS					
1.	Medicare					
	A. Medicare coverage for disabled bene- ficiaries	July 1,	1973			
	1) Hospital insurance			1.500		
	2) Supplementary medical		1070	.350		
	B. Coverage of drugs under medicare C. Cover chiropractic, limit premium,	July 1,	1973	.700		
	other changes	n.a.		.200		
	D. Extended care definition, other changes	n.a.		.200		
	1/ Implies first cash payment retroactive n.eNot Estimated n.aNot Available	to July	1			

			<u>(In billions of \$)</u> Senate			
		Effective Date	Finance Estimate	H.E.W. <u>Estimate</u>		
2.	Medicaid					
- •						
	A. Mentally ill children	n.a.	.100			
	B. Coverage of workfare participants	n.a.	.200			
	C. Other changes	n.a.	300			
	TOTAL MEDICARE-MEDICAID PROVISIONS		\$2.950	n.a.		
	WELFARE PROGRAMS					
1.	Additional Aid to the Aged, Blind and					
	Disabled	upon enactment	2.2	n.a.		
2.	"Workfare": Government employment	Jan. 1974	2.6	5.7		
	Wage supplement	Jan. 1974	.3	1.7		
	10% work bonus	Jan. 1974	1.2	1.1		
3.	General welfare provisions					
	A. Welfare payments	upon enactment	3.7	3.2		
	B. Food stamps	upon enactment	1.8	1.8		
	C. Child care	upon enactment	.8	1.5		
	D. Services, training	upon enactment	•4	.8		
	E. Administration	upon enactment	.7	1.7		
	F. Reduction In Existing Welfare program	upon enactment	-7.0	-7.0		
	TOTAL WELFARE PROGRAMS		\$6.7	12.7 <u>2</u> /		

 $\frac{2}{n}$ Using Committee figure for line 1 n.a.--Not Available

The Committee bill has higher outlays for welfare reform and for medicare and medicaid. Medicare and medicaid provisions would increase Staff outlays in the second half of calendar 1973 by about \$3.0 billion, annual rate. In regard to welfare the Staff projections assume that the Administration's welfare reform doesn't begin until Fiscal year 1974, although \$.5 billion start up costs are provided in fiscal 1973. In the Committee bill "workfare" would not be effective until January 1974, but aid to the aged, blind and disabled as well as several other general welfare programs would begin upon enactment.

		Calendar 1972	Cale 19		FY	CY	
		H-2	<u>H-1</u>	<u>H-2</u>	1973	1973	
Socia	l Security Benefits						
1.							
	of 12.5%	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	
2.	Widows benefit	8			4	*	
3.	Outside earnings	6			3		
4.	Other		1.0	1.0	<u>.5</u> -1.2	1.0	
	Total	-2.4			-1.2		
Medic	are and Medicaid						
1.	For disabled			1.9		1.0	
2.	Outpatient drugs			.7	~ -	.3	
3.	Other, net			4		$\frac{.2}{1.5}$	
	Total			3.0		1.5	
lelfa	re Reform						
1.	Aged, blind and		*=q /		1/		
	disabled		$2.2^{\pm 1}$	2.2	1.1-1/	2.2	
2.	Uorkfare						
3.	General Welfare		n /	21	21	n	
	Provisions, net		1.02/	$1.0^{\frac{2}{2}}$	_ <u>.5</u> ^{_/}	1.02	
	Total		3.2	3.2	1.6	3.2	
rand	Total	-2.4	3.2	6.2	.4	4.7	

PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES OF ADDITIONAL COSTS OF SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE SOCIAL SECURITY-WELFARE REFORM BILL AS COMPARED TO STAFF ASSUMPTIONS (Billions of dollars, annual rates)

Table II

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Effective upon enactment. $\frac{1}{2}$ Staff estimates. It is different to the state of the state of

2/ Staff estimates. It is difficult to determine the pattern of net costs for general welfare provisions because the Committee reports total welfare costs, including a \$7.0 billion reduction in existing costs. Some unspecified portion of this recoupment will occur only when "workfare" starts in January 1974.