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## SUPPLEMENT

## CURRENT ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS

## Prepared for the Federal Open Market Committee

## The Domestic Economy

Industrial production. Industrial production in August was 169.0 per cent, compared with 169.2 in July and 174.3 per cent a year earlier. Since May, when the index was also 169.0 , monthly changes in the total index have been quite small.

In August, output of business equipment declined further but production of defense equipment, consumer goods, and materials changed little. Among consumer goods, auto assemblies were at an annual rate of 8.5 million units, the same as in July. Output of television sets and furniture rose, but production of appliances declined from the July high. Output declines in business equipment were widespread. Production of steel and construction materials was off, output of crude oil was up sharply, and production of most other industrial materials showed offsetting changes.

Retail sales. Retail sales in August declined 0.3 per cent from July, according to the advance report. Sales of durable goods were about unchanged as improved sales of farm equipment, furniture and appliances offiset declines elsewhere. Sales of nondurable goods were down 0.5 per cent, with all major types of stores except food and gasoline stations declining. July sales were revised 0.4 per cent on the basis of the more complete preliminary report.

RETAIL SALES
(Billions of dollars)

|  | 1970 |  |  |  |  | Percentage change from previous month |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. |
| All retail stores | 30.5 | 30.5 | 30.5 | 30.7 | 30.6 | 2.5 | - . 1 | . 1 | . 7 | -. 3 |
| Durable | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 2.3 | - . 2 | 1.0 | . 8 | 1 |
| Nondurable | 21.2 | 21.2 | 21.1 | 21.3 | 21.2 | 2.6 | - . 1 | - . 4 | . 7 | -. 5 |
| Total real* | 24.4 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 24.3 | n.a. | 1.9 | -. 6 | -. 2 | . 6 | n.a. |

*Deflated by all commodities CPI.

Inventories. The book value of business inventories rose at a $\$ 14.5$ billion annual rate in July, after a June increase of $\$ 5$ billion. The July acceleration of inventory growth occurred at durable goods manufacturers, repeating last year's pattern of a low June and a high July for this group. Last year, book value growth at durable goods manufacturers moderated in August and September.

Manufacturers' anticipations also suggest more moderate growth in the quarter as a whole than the reported July rate; durable goods manufacturers anticipate accumulating stocks at a $\$ 3.2$ billion annual rate, while nondurable goods manufacturers anticipate a $\$ 0.8$ billion rate. This anticipated rate is somewhat higher than the second-quarter actual rate, however, and for the fourth quarter, some further stepup is anticipated. The fourth quarter acceleration is all at nondurable manufacturers, with durable growth slowing somewhat.

Trade inventory growth in July was well above the secondquarter average, although still below the June rate. Auto dealers continued to increase their stocks in July, and their stocks of new cars rose further in August. Growth of wholesale inventories was reported down slightly in July, but is likely to be revised upward, as it was in June and most previous months. Nondurable retailers continued June's rather high rate of inventory growth, with the bulk of the increase at general merchandise outlets in both months.

CHANGE IN BOOK VALUE OF BUSINESS INVENTORIES Seasonally adjusted annual rates, billions of dollars

|  | 1970 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QII | June | July prel. |
| Manufacturing and trade, total | 5.4 | 5.1 | 14.5 |
| Manufacturing, total | 3.3 | -2.2 | 9.2 |
| Durable | . 4 | -2.9 | 9.8 |
| Nondurable | 2.9 | . 7 | -. 6 |
| Trade, total | 2.1 | 7.3 | 5.3 |
| Wholesale | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| Retail | . 9 | 5.5 | 4.3 |
| Durable | . 3 | 2.6 | 1.6 |
| Automotive | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| Nonautomotive | -. 8 | . 4 | -. 1 |
| Nondurable | . 6 | 2.9 | 2.7 |

Sales increased as much as inventories, in percentage terms, and the manufacturing and trade inventory-sales ratio was unchanged in July. The cyclically significant trade ratio was revised upward in June and was unchanged in July. This ratio has been brought down somewhat from earlier highs but remains above the same months in 1967, when economic activity was beginning to recover from the retardation in the first half of that year. However, to a considerable extent this higher level reflects heavier auto stocks, as the industry appears to be anticipating a more extended work stoppage than they anticipated in 1967.

INVENTORY RATIOS

|  | 1967 |  | 1970 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June | July | June | July prel. |
| Inventories to sales: |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing and trade, total | 1.57 | 1.58 | 1.57 | 1.57 |
| Manufacturing, total | 1.78 | 1.81 | 1.73 | 1.73 |
| Durable | 2.08 | 2.15 | 2.11 | 2.10 |
| Nondurable | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.29 | 1.29 |
| Trade, total | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.39 | 1.39 |
| Wholesale | 1.20 | 1.19 | 1.22 | 1.22 |
| Retail | 1.44 | 1.46 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| Durable | 1.95 | 1.99 | 2.14 | 2.13 |
| Automotive | 1.43 | 1.46 | 1.77 | 1.78 |
| Nonautomotive | 2.70 | 2.76 | 2.65 | 2.63 |
| Nondurable | 1.20 | 1.21 | 1.22 | 1.22 |
| Inventories to unfilled orders, durable manufacturing | . 673 | . 674 | . 794 | . 807 |

The Domestic Financial Situation
Mortgage market. In the most recent FNMA auction, yields on 6-month forward purchase commitments remained virtually unchanged and now appear to have levelled at a yield just above 9 per cent. However, there was a rather sharp reduction in the volume of bids received in the September 8 auction--about a third below the level received two weeks earlier. While the drop-off in the volume of bids received may tend to confirm trade reports that indicate an increase in the availability of mortgage funds in the government-underwritten sector of the mortgage market, it may also reflect a degree of uncertainty engendered
by the expected reduction in the interest rate ceiling on FHA and VA mortgage loans. ${ }^{1 /}$

FNMA AUCTION

|  | Amount of total offers |  | mplicit private market yield on 6 -month commitments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Received | Accepted |  |
| Weekly Auction | (Millions | dollars) | (Per cent) |
| 1969 high | \$410 (6/16) | \$152 (9/8) | 8.87 (12/29) |
| 1970 high | 705 (1/5) | 151 (1/12) | 9.36 (1/12) |
| May 11 | 269 | 102 | 9.07 |
| 18 | 300 | 136 | 9.13 |
| 25 | 290 | 145 | 9.18 |
| June 1 | 224 | 114 | 9.24 |
| Bi-weekly Auction |  |  |  |
| 1970 high | 581 (1/26) | 298 (1/26) | 9.33 (6/29) |
| June 15 | 250 | 128 | 9.30 |
| 29 | 156 | 99 | 9.33 |
| July 13 | 286 | 113 | 9.21 |
| 27 | 324 | 150 | 9.12 |
| Aug. 10 | 441 | 180 | 9.03 |
| 24 | 575 | 215 | 9.03 |
| Sept. 8 | 384 | 200 | 9.04 |

NOTE: $f$ verage secondary market yield after allowance for commitment fee and required purchase and holding of FNMA stock, assuming prepayment period of 15 years for 30 -year Government-underwritten mortgages. Yields shown are gross, before deduction of fee paid by investors to servicers of 50 basis points prior to August 10 auction, and 38 basis points thereafter. At least partially offsetting the effect of the reduction in servicing fees on bid prices and gross yields in the August 10 and following auctions was another FNMA regulatory change permitting mortgage servicers to retain all escrow funds received on mortgages serviced under the reduced fee schedule. Under earlier practice, FNMA had retained most of these funds itself.

I/ If the FHA and VA ceiling rate were reduced, builders would have to absorb higher discounts in order for loans, which were originated at a lower ceiling rate, to be delivered under a FNMA commitment based on the current $8-1 / 2$ per cent limit. In the event that builders would be unable or unwilling to incur the higher discounts, bidders would probably be forced to cancel their current FNMA commitments and forfeit the commitment fee.

## CORRECTED COPY

## INTEREST RATES

|  | 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highs | Lows |  | Aug. 17 |  | Sept. 10 |  |
| Short-Term Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds (weekly averages) | 9.39 (2/18) | 6.34 | (8/26) | 6.82 | 9/2 | 6.64 |  |
| 3-months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 7.92 (1/6) | 6.08 | (3/24) | 6.53 |  | 6.41 |  |
| Bankers' acceptances | 8.75 (1/13) | 7.13 | (9/10) | 7.25 |  | 7.13 |  |
| Euro-dollars | 10.50 (1/9) | 7.69 | (8/12) | 8.23 |  | 7.97 |  |
| Federal agencies | 8.30 (1/9) | 6.50 | (7/24) | 7.01 | (8/14) | 6.70 |  |
| Finance paper | 8.19 (1/30) | 7.25 | (4/28) | 7.75 |  | 7.50 |  |
| CD's (prime NYC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highest quoted new issue | 6.75 | 6.75 |  | 6.75 |  | 6.75 |  |
| Second market | 9.25 (1/23) | 6.75 | (4/10) | 7.75 |  | 7.40 |  |
| 6-month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 7.99 (1/5) | 6.18 | (3/23) | 6.62 |  | 6.58 |  |
| Bankers' acceptances | 8.88 (1/13) | 7.25 | (3/30) | 7.38 | (e) | 7.25 | (a) |
| Commercial paper ( $4-6$ months) | 9.13 (1/8) | 7.38 | (9/10) | 8.00 |  | 7.38 |  |
| Federal agencies <br> CD's (prime NYC) | 8.50 (1/28) | 6.91 | (4/17) | 7.32 | (8/14) | 7.03 |  |
| Highest quoted new issue | 7.00 | 7.00 |  | 7.00 |  | 7.00 |  |
| Secondary market | 9.15 (1/7) | 7.25 | (4/17) | 7.80 |  | 7.50 |  |
| 1-year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 7.59 (1/9) | 6.20 | (4/13) | 6.60 |  | 6.50 |  |
| Prime municipals | 5.60 (1/9) | 3.80 | (3/27) | 4.20 | (8/14) | 4.10 |  |
| Intermediate and Long-Term |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury coupon issues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-years | 8.30 (1/7) | 7.05 | (3/25) | 7.68 |  | 7.43 |  |
| 20-years | 7.73 (5/26) | 6.55 | (2/27) | 7.28 |  | 7.00 |  |
| Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasoned Aaa | 8.60 (6/24) | 7.78 | (3/10) | 8.11 |  | 8.12 |  |
| Baa | 9.45 (7/8) | 8.57 | (3/10) | 9.41 |  | 9.44 |  |
| New Issue Aaa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No call protection | -- | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  |
| Call protection | 9.29 (6/17) | 8.20 | (2/27) | 8.53 | (8/13) | 8.52 |  |
| Municipal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond Buyer Index | 7.12 (5/28) | 5.95 | (3/12) | 6.30 | (8/14) | 6.30 |  |
| Moody's Aaa | 6.95 (6/18) | 5.75 | (3/12) | 6.10 | (8/14) | )6.00 |  |
| Mortgage--implicit yield |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/ Yield on 6 -month forward com required purchase and holdin loan amortized over 15 years |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { allow } \\ & \text { ck. A } \\ & \text { imated } \end{aligned}$ | ance for ssumes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { comm } \\ & \text { iscoul } \end{aligned}$ | itment nt on | $\begin{gathered} \text { fee } \\ 30-\mathrm{ye} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { and } \\ & \text { ear } \end{aligned}$ |

The responses of the participants in the most recent Survey of Bank Lending Practices indicate that demand for business loans generally remained strong during the three months ending August 15. Nearly a fourth of the respondents recorded an intensification of loan demand for this period while 70 per cent experienced little change in demand. Most of the participants expected loan demands to be sustained or strengthened further during the next three months. Since financial markets were still showing aftereffects of the Penn Central disturbance at the time the survey was conducted, it is possible that responses may have been influenced in some cases by that development.

## Lending Terms and Conditions

About 18 per cent of the participants reported a firming of interest rates over the three-month period, while 80 per cent did not significantly alter their rates. A significant number of banks also reported a general firming of loan terms, especially for those borrowers returning from the commercial paper market to the banking system. Loan applicants at close to one-fourth of the banks found that compensating or supporting balance requirements and standards of credit worthiness stiffened over the latest report period.

Nonlocal service customers again were subjected to additional credit rationing--according to nearly 20 per cent of the banks--while only a few banks firmed policies on lending to local and established customers. There has been progressive decline in the proportion of banks reporting net firming of policies for all three groups of customers in the past 4 surveys, as shown in Table 2A. In part, this reflects the recent appearance of a small number of banks reporting some easing in policy particularly as regards new customers. When reviewing credit applicants, between 10 and 20 per cent of the panel indicated a heavier reliance on such factors as the intended use of the loan and the value of the borrower as a source of deposits or collateral business.

## Lending to Noncaptive Finance Companies

Appreciable numbers of banks reported firming of their policies relating to terms and conditions on loans to finance companies, significantly more than in the May survey. For example, nearly a fourth of those surveyed had stiffened their enforcement of balance requirements and were more restrained in establishing or enlarging credit lines. This trend may have been related in part to publicity regarding financing difficulties encountered by some finance companies following the Penn Central bankruptcy.

[^1]Willingness to Make Other Types of Loans
About 17 per cent of the respondents became moderately more receptive to making single-family mortgage loans. Term loans to business and loans to brokers were treated with increased restraint while willingness to grant consumer installment loans or participations with correspondents was relatively unchanged.

## Size of Bank Variation

There was little variation by size of bank in responses with respect to either current and anticipated loan demand. However, the larger banks, with deposits of $\$ 1$ billion or more, showed a greater tendency to firm compensating balance requirements while smaller banks, with deposits of less than $\$ 1$ billion showed a greater tendency to become more selective when reviewing loan applications, particularly for new and nonlocal customers, and to rely more heavily on the borrower's potential value when establishing or enlarging credit lines to finance companies. The smaller banks were generally less willing to make most other types of loans-especially term loans and mortgages for larger-unit dwellings.

Several banks making supplemental comments reported that the inflow of funds from CD's was not enough to sustain the continued and increasing demand for money. Consequently, these banks were unable to ease their lending practices significantly but maintained the already restrictive policies in effect in the past few surveys. Uncertainties in the comercial paper market also were indicated to be a factor encouraging a closer scrutiny of potential borrowers.

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES
AT SELECTED LARGE BANKS IN THE U.S. $1 /$
(STATUS OF POLICY ON AUGUST 15,1970 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIER) (NUMBER OF BANKS \& PERCENT OF TOTAL BANKS REPORTING)

|  | total |  | MUCH STRONGER |  | moderately STRONGER |  | ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED |  | MDDERATELY WEAKER |  | MUCH WEAKER |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| StRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMmERCIAL AND industrial loans lafter allowance for bank's USUAL SEASONAL VARIATIONI | banks | PCT | banks | PCT | banks | PCT | banks | PCT | banks | PCT | banks | PC T |
| Compared to three months ago | 125 | 100.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 28 | 22.4 | 85 | 68.0 | 10 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| anticipated demano in next 3 months | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 29 | 23.2 | 84 | 67.2 | 12 | 9.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | ANSWE QUES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ERING } \\ & \text { STION } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | MODERA FIRM POLI | AT ELY MER ICY | ESSENT POL POL | tially ANGED ICY | $\begin{gathered} \text { MODER } \\ \text { EAS } \\ \text { POL } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL BUSINESSES BANKS PCT BANKS PCT BANKS PCT BANKS PCT BANKS PCT BANKS PCT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TERMS AND CONDITIONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| interest rates charged | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 22 | 17.6 | 100 | 80.0 | 3 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES | 125 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 31 | 24.8 | 92 | 73.6 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| STANDARDS OF CREDIT WORTHINFSS | 125 | 100.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 26 | 20.8 | 97 | 77.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| maturity of term loans | 125 | 100.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 17 | 13.6 | 105 | 84.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Reviewing credit lines or litan applications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| established customers | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 4.8 | 115 | 92.0 | 4 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| new customers | 124 | 100.0 | 5 | 4.0 | 15 | 12.1 | 92 | 74.2 | 11 | 8.9 | 1 | 0.8 |
| Local service area customers | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 4.0 | 111 | 88.8 | 9 | 7.2 | 0 |  |
| nonlocal service area customers | 124 | 100.0 | 6 | 4.8 | 15 | 12.9 | 100 | 80.7 | 2 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 |

[^2]|  | ANSWERING QUF STION |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { FIRMER } \\ & \text { POLICY } \end{aligned}$ |  | MODFRATELY <br> FIRMER <br> POLICY |  | ESSENT IALLY UNCHANGED POLICY |  | MCDERATELY EASIER POLICY |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { EASIER } \\ & \text { POLICY } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BANKS | PC T | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANK S | PCT | BANK 5 | PC T | BANKS | PCT |
| FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| value as depositor or SOURCE DF COLLATERAL BUSINESS | 124 | 100.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 21 | 16.9 | 101 | 81.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Intended use of the loan | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 10.4 | 111 | 88.8 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" finance companies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TERMS AND CONDITIONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTEREST RATES CHARGED | 124 | 100.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 10 | 8.1 | 112 | 90.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES | 124 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 14 | 11.3 | 109 | 87.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| ENFORCEMENT OF BALANCE REQUIREMENTS | 124 | 100.0 | 5 | 4.0 | 24 | 19.4 | 95 | 76.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| ESTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES | 124 | 100.0 | 16 | 12.9 | 14 | 11.3 | 92 | 74.2 | 2 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | ANSWERING QUE STION |  | ```CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING``` |  | ```MODERATELY LESS WILLING``` |  | FSSENTIALLY UNCHANGED |  | MODERATELY MORE WILLING |  | CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING |  |
|  | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANK S | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT |
| WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LOANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TERM LOANS TO BUSINESSES | 125 | 100.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 15 | 12.0 | 102 | 81.6 | 6 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS | 124 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 6.5 | 108 | 87.0 | 8 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Single family mortgage loans | 121 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 5 | 4.1 | 95 | 78.6 | 20 | 16.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| MULTI-FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS | 121 | 100.0 | 3 | 2.5 | 7 | 5.8 | 101 | 83.4 | 10 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| ALL OTHER MORTGAGE LOANS | 122 | 100.0 | 3 | 2.5 | 9 | 7.4 | 104 | 85.2 | 6 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| PARTICIPATION LOANS WITH CORRESPONOENT BANKS | 124 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 119 | 96.0 | 4 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| LOANS TO EROKERS | 123 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 13 | 10.6 | 108 | 87.8 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |

[^3]COMPARISON OF QUARTERLY CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES AT BANKS GROUPED BY SIZE OF TOTAL DEPOSITS I/ ISTATUS OF POLICY ON AUGUST 15, 1970, COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIERI (NUMBER OF BANKS IN EACH COLUMN AS PER (ENT OF TOTAL BANKS ANSWERING QUESTION)

|  |  |  | SILE | OF BA | -- | tal d | ITS | BILL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | tal | $\begin{array}{r} M L \\ S T R D \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CH } \\ & \text { NGER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODER } \\ & \text { STR } \end{aligned}$ | ATELY NGER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ESSEN } \\ & \text { UNCH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIALLY } \\ & \text { ANGED } \end{aligned}$ | MODER WEA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ATELY } \\ & \text { KER } \end{aligned}$ | MEA | $\begin{gathered} C H \\ \text { KER } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\$ 1 \varepsilon$ OVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\$ 1 \&$ OVER | UNDER \$1 | $\$ 1 \varepsilon$ OVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNOER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{s 1} \varepsilon \\ & 0 V E R \end{aligned}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ |
| STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL AND industrial loans lafter allowance for bank's usual seasonal variations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compared to three months ago | 100 | 100 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 23 | 64 | 71 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| anticipated demand in next 3 months | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 26 | 68 | 66 | 13 | 8 | 0 |  |
|  |  | tal |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UCH } \\ & \text { RMER } \end{aligned}$ | MODER FIR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ATELY } \\ & \text { MER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ESSEN } \\ & \text { UNCt } \end{aligned}$ | TIALL Y ANGED | MODER WE | ATELY <ER |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UCH } \\ & \text { AKER } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | UNOER $\$ 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \& \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ \text { OVER } \end{gathered}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ |
| Lending to nonfinancial businesses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| terms and conditions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rates charged | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 15 | 79 | 81 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES | 100 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 19 | 66 | 79 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Standards of Credit worthiness | 100 | 100 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 23 | 81 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| maturity of term loans | 100 | 100 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 14 | 85 | 83 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ReViewing credit lines or loan application |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| establishec customers | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 94 | 91 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW Customers | 100 | 100 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 13 | 76 | 73 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 0 |
| local service area customers | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 87 | 90 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| nonlocal service area customers | 100 | 100 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 87 | 77 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

1/ SURVEY OF LENDING PRACTICES AT 47 LARGE BANKS tDEPOSITS JF $\$ 1$ BILLION OR MORE) ANT 78 SMALL BANKS (DEPOSITS OF LFSS THAN \$1 eILLION) REOORTING IN THE FEDERAL RESFRVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVFY AS OF AUGUST 15, 197n.


[^4]TABLE 2A
NET RESPONSES OF BANKS IN LENDING PRACTICES SURVEYS
(In per cent)

|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Aug. } \\ 1968 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1968 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb . } \\ & 1969 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | May $1969$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Aug. } \\ 1969 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1969 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Feb. } \\ 1970 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { May } \\ 1970 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Aug. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strength of loan demand $1 /$ (crmpared to 3 months ago) | -2.4 | 25.6 | 54.4 | 60.0 | 30.6 | 28.0 | -1.6 | 12.1 | 16.0 |
| Anticipated demand in next 3 months LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL BUSINESSES ${ }^{2 / /}$ | -- | 20.8 | 49.2 | 41.8 | 5.7 | 8.9 | -8.0 | 11.2 | 13.6 |
| Terms and Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rates charged | 0.8 | -27.2 | 86.2 | 91.0 | 78.3 | 49.6 | 34.4 | -12.8 | 15.2 |
| Compensating or supporting balances | 4.8 | 10.4 | 64.3 | 75.6 | 68.3 | 57.6 | 38.4 | 18.4 | 24.8 |
| Standards of credit worthiness | 4.8 | 4.8 | 32.8 | 41.4 | 40.6 | 36.0 | 22.4 | 208 | 22.4 |
| Maturity of term loans | 1.6 | 1.6 | 30.3 | 42.3 | 42.2 | 35.2 | 17.6 | 10.4 | 14.4 |
| Reviewing Credit Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Established customers | -5.6 | -1.6 | 32.5 | 47.2 | 51.6 | 36.8 | 18.4 | 5.61 | 1.6 |
| New customers | -5.6 | 6.4 | 61.7 | 80.2 | 81.4 | 60.8 | 34.4 | 17.6 | 6.4 |
| Local service area customers | -5.6 | -4.1 | 30.9 | 46.7 | 48.8 | 32.0 | 14.4 | 56 | -3.2 |
| Nonlocal service area customers | 10.6 | 15.4 | 49.5 | 71.3 | 68.8 | 56.5 | 31.4 | 22.6 | 16.1 |
| Factors Relating to Applicant (Net percentage indicating more important) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value of depositor as source of business | 12.8 | 16.0 | 58.6 | 67.2 | 65.0 | 46.0 | 29.9 | 18.5 | 8.5 |
| Intended use of loan | 8.1 | 6.4 | 54.5 | 71.6 | 68.5 | 39.2 | 21.6 | 12.0 | 9.6 |
| LENDING TO NONCAPTIVE FINANCE COMPANIES ${ }^{2 /}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terms and Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rates charged | 2.4 | -26.4 | 53.3 | 50.8 | 48.0 | 19.3 | 14.5 | -16 ${ }^{1}$ | 9.7 |
| Compensating or supporting balances | 2.4 | 2.4 | 22.9 | 27.9 | 35.0 | 26.7 | 21.7 | 6.4 | 12.1 |
| Enforcement of balance requirements | 8.1 | 3.2 | 29.5 | 42.6 | 42.3 | 34.7 | 30.7 | 160 | 23.4 |
| Establishing new or larger credit lines WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER LOANS 3 / | 15.3 | 4.8 | 54.9 | 62.4 | 62.0 | 48.4 | 32.2 | 21.6 | 22.6 |
| Term loans to businesses | 4.8 | -0.8 | 48.8 | 64.3 | 65.9 | 48.0 | 21.6 | 128 | 8.8 |
| Consumer instalment loans | -11.3 | -15.3 | 4.2 | 17.2 | 26.9 | 24.2 | 17.7 | -4.11 | -- |
| Single-family mortgage loans | -14.1 | -3.3 | 30.8 | 45.5 | 49.7 | 30.4 | 19.7 | -8.2 | -11.6 |
| Multi-family mortgage loans | 8.2 | 4.1 | 40.1 | 57.5 | 58.3 | 36.3 | 21.8 |  |  |
| All other mortgage loans | 3.4 | 1.7 | 42.5 | 62.0 | 62.5 | 42.3 | 22.2 | 9.9 | 5.0 |
| Participation loans with correspondent banks | 1.6 | -- | 18.7 | 38.4 | 48.4 | 31.5 | 10.6 | 5.6 | -2.4 |
| Loans to brokers | 6.5 | 1.6 | 34.2 | 40.0 | 59.3 | 36.1 | 20.5 | 20.3 | 10.6 |

1/ Per cent of banks reporting stronger loan demand minus per cent of banks reporting weaker loan demand. Positive number indicates net stronger loan demand, negative number indicates net weaker loan demand.
2/ Per cent of banks reporting firmer lending policies minus per cent of banks reporting weaker lending policies. Positive number indicates net firmer lending policies, negative indicates net easier lending policies.
3/ Per cent of banks reporting less willingness to make loans minus per cent of banks more willing to make loans. Positive number indicates less willingness, negative number indicates more willingness.

The reports of the 43 banks participating in the July 31 survey of bank loan commitments indicate that during the preceding three months these banks made new commitments to lend totaling nearly $\$ 22$ billion. This is slightly more than $\$ 3$ billion above the volume of new commitments reported in the April survey. The volume of takedowns, expirations, and cancellations on previously existing commitments (hereafter referred to simply as takedowns) totaled nearly $\$ 21$ billion during the currenc report period--about $\$ 1.5$ billion more than the volume for the preceding report period. With new commitments exceeding takedowns, the level of unused commitments increased by about \$l billion to more than $\$ 50$ billion during the latest report period. This modest advance contrasts with the declines recorded in the preceding April and January report periods. The current volume of unused commitments remains about $\$ 5$ billion below the high for this series reported in the October Survey last year.

Difficulties encountered by borrowers in the commercial paper market following bankruptcy of the Penn Central Railroad probably contributed importantly to the rise in the volume of both new commitments and takedowns, as some firms were forced to utilize their bank lines to replace maturing commercial paper while others found it necessary to bolster their bank lines in order to maintain the attractiveness of their paper in the market.

New commitments. Most of the increase in new commitments reported in the latest survey was in commitments to commercial and industrial firms, with commitments under confirmed lines of credit accounting for nearly three-fifths of the increase for these borrowers. However, a relatively large rise also occurred in new commitments to nonbank financial institutions. New extensions to finance companies were responsible for the largest portion of this increase. New commitments for real estate mortgages remained at about the same level as in the past four surveys.

Commitment policies for the majority of respondents generally were reported as unchanged during the quarter. More restrictive policies were reported by 5 banks and less restrictive policies were reported by 6 banks. Those banks indicating a less restrictive commitment policy attributed this change to increased availability of funds.

[^5]Takedowns, expiracions, and cancellations. Although takedouns by commercial and industrial firms increased somewhat during the July reporting period, nonbank financial institutions were responsible for the major part of the total advance. Takedowns by these institutions amounted to more than $\$ 4$ billion or nearly a third of their total available commitments from April to July-unused commitments in April plus new commitments during the period. Takedowns for real estate mortgages were relaitively unchanged from April and were about the same as in July of 1969.

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF BANK LOAN COMMITMENTS AT SELECTED LARGE U.S. BANKŚ́/
Table 1: NEW AND UNUSED COMMITMENTS
(Billions of dollars, not seasonally adjusted)

|  | New commitments made during 3 -months ending |  |  | Takedowns, expirations, and cancellations during 3 -months ending |  |  | Unused commitments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Change during 3 -months ending | Outstanding on |
|  | Jan. 31 | Apr. 30 | July 91 |  |  |  | Jan. 31 | Apr. 30 | July 31 | Jan. 31 | Apr. 30 | Ju1y 31 | July 30 |
| Grand total commitments | 13.8 | 18.6 | 21.8 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 20.8 | -5.3 | -0.7 | 1.0 | 50.3 |
| Total- Comm. \& Indust. | 10.4 | 14.5 | 17.0 | 14.4 | 15.3 | 15.6 | -4.0 | -0.8 | 1.4 | 38.7 |
| Total- Nonbank Finan. Institutions | 2.3 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 4.0 | -0.7 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 9.0 |
| Total- Real Estate Mortgages | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | -0.5 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 2.6 |
| MEMO: Const. Loans (Included above) | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.0 | -0.4 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 2.2 |
| Total- Comm. \& Indust. Term Loans | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.3 | -0.3 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 1.3 |
| Revolving Credits Total Term \& | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 3.5 | -1.2 | 1/ | 0.2 | 10.6 |
| Revolving 2/ | 3.7 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 4.8 | -1.6 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 12.6 |
| Confirmed Lines of Credit | 6.3 | 10.0 | 11.4 | 8.4 | 11.0 | 10.8 | -2.2 | -1.0 | 0.5 | 23.3 |
| Other Commitments | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | -0.1 | -0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 2.8 |
| Total- Nonbank Finan. Institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Finance Companies | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.7 | -0.9 | 0.4 | -0.3 | 5.6 |
| For Mortgage Warehousing | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 3/ | 3/ | -0.1 | 1.4 |
| A11 Other | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 0.1 | 1.9 |
| Total- Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -0.2 | 3/ | 0.8 |
| Other | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | -2. 3 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 1.8 |

1/ Participants in Quarterly Interest Rate Survey with total deposits of more than $\$ 1$ billion (43 banks).
$\frac{2}{3}$ / This item may exceed sum of previous two items because some banks report combined total only.
3/ Less than $\$ 50$ million.
NOTE: Figures may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 2: VIEWS ON COMMITMENT POLICY
Number of Banks

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } \\ 31 \\ 1969 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr } \\ 30 \\ 1969 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 31 \\ 1969 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct. } \\ 31 \\ 1969 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. } \\ 31 \\ 1970 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr } \\ 30 \\ 1970 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 31 \\ 1970 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of banks responding: | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| Unused commitments in the past three months have: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Risen rapidly | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Risen moderately | 19 | 19 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 19 |
| Remained unchanged | 17 | 11 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 17 |
| Declined moderately | 9 | 14 | 11 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 12 |
| Declined rapidly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Takedowns in the next three months will: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rise rapidly | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rise moderately | 28 | 26 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 13 | 13 |
| Remain unchanged | 15 | 17 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 33 |
| Decline moderately | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 2 |
| Decline rapidly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Commitment policies in the past three months were: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Much more restrictive | 8 | 26 | 26 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Somewhat more restrictive | 28 | 15 | 16 | 20 | 15 | 7 | 5 |
| Unchanged | 12 | 7 | 6 | 15 | 29 | 40 | 37 |
| Less restrictive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Much less restrictive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 3: EXPLANATION OF RECENT CHANGE IN NEW COMMITMENT POLICIES AS INDICATED IN THE CURRENT SURVEY

| Indicated Change | Number of Banks Indicating Change | Reasons for Change (Number of Banks) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Increased Loan Demand | Reduced Availability of Funds | Both Demand And Funds |
| More restrictive | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | Decreased Loan Demand | Increased Availability of Funds | Both <br> Demand And Funds |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In some cases, original copies needed to be photocopied before being scanned into electronic format. All scanned images were deskewed (to remove the effects of printer- and scanner-introduced tilting) and lightly cleaned (to remove dark spots caused by staple holes, hole punches, and other blemishes caused after initial printing).
    ${ }^{2}$ A two-step process was used. An advanced optical character recognition computer program (OCR) first created electronic text from the document image. Where the OCR results were inconclusive, staff checked and corrected the text as necessary. Please note that the numbers and text in charts and tables were not reliably recognized by the OCR process and were not checked or corrected by staff.

[^1]:    *Prepared by Marilyn Connors, Research Assistant, Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

[^2]:    1/ SURVEY CF LENDING PRACTICES AT 125 LARGE BANKS REPORTING IN THE FEDFRAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATF SURVEY
    AS OF AUGUST 15, 1970.

[^3]:    21 FOR THESE FACTIRS, FIRMER YFANS THI FACTORS WERE CJNSIDERFD MOZE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING CREDIT REQUESTS, AN) EASIER MEANS THEY WERF LESS IMPORTANT.

[^4]:    $2 /$ FOR THESE FACTORS, FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDFRFO MZQE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIDNS FOR APPROVING CREDIT REQUESTS, AND FASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

[^5]:    * Prepared by Frederick M. Struble, Economist, Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

