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## SUPPLEMENT

## CURRENT ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS

Prepared for the Federal Open Market Committee

By the Staff

The Domestic Economy
Unit sales of new domestic autos declined somewhat in the second ten days of May from the advanced level of the first selling period. For the first 20 days of May, sales were at an annual rate of 8.6 million units--compared with 8.2 million units in April and 8.7 million units a year earlier.

The composite leading indicator rose to a new high in April. The coincident indicator continued to rise fractionally, and the lagging indicator declined slightly.

## COMPOSITE CYCLICAL INDICATORS $1963=100$

|  | 12 Leading <br> Indicators | 5 Coincident <br> Indicators | 6 Lagging <br> Indicators |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1969-$ January | 144.3 |  |  |
| February | 146.4 | 164.7 | 177.8 |
| March | 145.1 | 166.4 | 181.1 |
| April | $148.2 p$ | 167.3 | 182.3 |
|  |  | 167.7 p | $181.1 p$ |

In addition to those April increases in components of the leading indicator already reported in the Greenbook, nonagricultural placements, durable new orders, and plant and equipment contracts and orders were strong. To the extent that the April jump in the composite resulted from a bulge in machinery and equipment orders placed in anticipation of suspension or repeal of the investment tax credit, it is likely that the series will fall back in May.

The Domestic Financial Situation


#### Abstract

Mortgage market. In April, the backlog of outstanding mortgage comitments--chiefly for residential loans--rose again at all savings and loan associations and New York State mutual savings banks after adjustment for seasonal variation. The level of the backlog reached in April was a fifth above a year earlier, when average housing prices and loan amounts were smaller. However, the current backlog remains extremely large relative to recent cash flows.

The volume of new commitments approved by these institutions, after allowance for seasonal variation, also increased during the month, according to FRB derived estimates. The rise in new commitments apparently reflected a more optimistic near-term assessment of cash flows by these lenders, following the March-April reinvestment and tax period. Moreover, the liberal advance policy expressed by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board may have given some additional stimulus to savings and loan association lending.


RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE COMMITMENTS OUTSTANDING AT SELECTED THRIFT INSTITUTIONS


1968

| January | 8.4 | 5.8 | 2.6 | -0.6 | -0.01 | -1.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| February | 8.4 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 1.6 | -2.0 |
| March | 8.6 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 1.0 |
| April | 8.7 | 6.2 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 0 |
| May | 8.6 | 6.0 | 2.6 | -1.5 | -2.4 | 0.8 |
| June | 8.6 | 5.9 | 2.6 | -0.5 | -1.7 | 2.3 |
| July | 8.8 | 6.1 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.2 |
| August | 9.0 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 1.8 | -0.02 | 5.9 |
| September | 9.1 | 6.2 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| Cetober | 9.5 | 6.4 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 4.4 |
| November | 9.7 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 5.5 |
| December | 9.7 | 6.6 | 3.1 | -0.2 | 1.3 | -3.0 |

## 1969

| January | 9.9 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 2.2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| February | 10.1 | 6.9 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 1.1 |
| March | 10.3 | 7.0 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.3 |
| April | 10.5 | 7.2 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 0.2 |

Note: Based on seasonally adjusted dollar volume. Data from Federal Home Loan Bank Board and Savings Banks Association of New York State. Reporting savings banks account for about 70 per cent of total mortgage lending in the industry. Data for savings banks and S\&L's include a minor amount of nonresidential commitments. Subtotals may not add to totals because of rounding.

Interest rate changes. Yields on Treasury bills have dropped around 5 to 10 basis points since Tuesday, as continuing moderate demands for bills have run into a very thin immediate market supply. Dealers' total bill holdings on May 21 amounted to only $\$ 1.1$ billion. Included in the total were net short positions of more than $\$ 100$ milion in the under 3 -month maturity area, and around $\$ 675$ million of bills not immediately available for trading, and secured by long-term repurchase agreements. B111 yields have also been affected recently by the Treasury's announcement that in next week's monthly bill auction it will redeem the $\$ 200$ million added to the maturing issue by the February bill-strip auction.

While yields on intermediate-term Treasury coupon issues have also edged a little lower recently, due largely to official account buying, yields on long-term bonds have advanced another 5 basis points. The latter increase has reflected selling by investors seeking to obtain funds for payment of subscriptions to recently offered corporate bonds. Rates on certain short-term debt instruments other than U.S. Government securities have also advanced since publication of the Greenbook. For example, yields on short-term Federal Agency debt have risen another 5 to 10 basis points; commercial paper rates are up $1 / 8$ of a percentage point; and the weekly l-year prime municipal series has advanced 15 basis points.

KEY INTEREST RATES

|  | 1969 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lows | Highs |  | April 30 | May 22 |
| Short-Term Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds (weekly average) | 5.95 (1/1) | 8.91 | (5/21) | 7.79 | 8.91 (5/21) |
| 3-months |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 5.91 (3/24) | 6.25 | (1/7) | 5.87 | 6.02 |
| Bankers' acceptances | 6.38 (2/17) | 7.50 | (5/22) | 7.00 | 7.50 |
| Euro-dollars | 7.14 (1/2) | 9.55 | (5/22) | 8.51 | 9.55 |
| Federal agencies | 6.08 (3/26) | 6.85 | (5/22) | 6.51 | 6.85 |
| Finance paper | 6.25 (2/6) | 6.88 | (5/22) | 6.75 | 6.88 |
| CD's (prame NYC) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highest quoted new issue | 6.00 | 6.00 |  | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Secondary market | 6.45 (2/13) | 7.40 | (5/22) | 7.00 | 7.40 |
| 6-months |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 6.04 (3/25) | 6.42 | (1/7) | 5.96 | 6.09 |
| Bankers' acceptances | 6.50 (2/17) | 7.62 | (5/22) | 7.12 | 7.62 |
| Commercial paper | 6.25 (1/7) | 7.38 | (5/22) | 7.13 | 7.38 |
| Federal agencies | 6.32 (1/16) | 6.77 | (5/22) | 6.51 | 6.77 |
| CD's (prime NYC) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highest quoted new issue | $6.25$ | 6.25 |  | 6.25 | 6.25 |
| Secondary market | 6.50 (1/30) | 7.50 | (5/22) | 7.10 | 7.50 |
| 1-year |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 5.86 (1/16) | 6.39 | (2/27) | 5.93 | 6.03 |
| Prime municipals | 3.90 (1/2) | 4.55 | (3/20) | 4.05 | 4.40 |

## Intermediate and Long-Term

Treasury coupon issues
5-years
$6.11(1 / 20) \quad 6.56(5 / 22) \quad 6.37 \quad 6.56$
20-years
Corporate Seasoned Aaa

Baa
New Issue Aaa
No call protection
Call protection
Municipal
Bond Buyer Index
Moody's Aaa
Mortgage--implicit yield
in FNMA weekly auction 1/ 7.66 (1/9) 8.17 (3/3) $7.92 \quad 7.88$
1/ Yield on 6 -month forward commitment after allowance for commitment fee and required purchase and holding of FNMA stock. Assumes discount on 30-year loan amortized over 15 years.

International Developments
On page II - 5 of the May 21 Greenbook it was incorrectly stated that the downward revision in the estimated net export balance for the third and fourth quarters largely reflects "growing pessimism on the outlook for exports." Actually, the principal reason for the revision is a substantial increase in the estimate for U.S. merchandise imports, as briefly noted at page IV - 4. Also, the projection of imports of services includes substantially larger interest payments than earlier calculations allowed for.

The new import estimate reflects the use of estimating techniques that place somewhat greater weight than before on the effects of the continuing rise in U.S. prices and less on the moderation in the pace of real growth after 1968. Merchandise imports in the second half are now projected at an annual rate of $\$ 37.3$ billion, up 9 per cent from the second half of 1968. A month ago this import increase was projected at only 6 per cent, and in the Staff Projection of February 25 (published in the March Bulletin) the implied rise in imports between second halves of 1968 and 1969 was only 3 per cent.

With regard to merchandise exports, prospects for which were discussed at pages IV - 3 and 4, the latest revisions of earlier estimates are downward for commercial aircraft (until Boeing 747's begin to be shipped next year), downward also for agricultural exports, but upward for nonagricultural exports other than aircraft and autos on account of "stronger demand pressures in Europe than earlier assumed" (p. IV - 4).

The large revision in second quarter net exports of goods and services from the earlier projected $\$ 6$ billion to $\$ 2.7$ billion, annual rate, is due in minor part to upward revisions for imports of goods and services, but three-fourths of the revision comes from re-estimation of after-effects of the port strike on U.S. merchandise exports. March exports were somewhat larger than had been estimated, and on this account the amount of second quarter exports postponed from the first quarter is now guessed to be $\$ 200$ million less than before. More important, it is now assumed that $\$ 200$ million of agricultural exports and $\$ 200$ million of nonagricultural exports were completely lost in the first quarter, and would therefore not help to swell second quarter exports. No appreciable revision has been made in the estimate of the underlying trend of exports adjusted for effects of the port strike.

## Corrections

Page II - 5. End of line 9 and line 10 should read "mainly reflecting a significantly larger volume of imports than had been projected earlier."

Page II - 32, second paragraph, line 3, "in 1968" should be transferred to end of sentence.

$$
\text { Page IV - 12, the missing page reference is to pages IV - } 7
$$

to 10.

Respondents in the May 15 Bank Lending Practices Survey generally indicated-as they did in the previous survey--that they were continuing to experience and to expect stronger loan demand and that they had tightened lending terms and conditions further. Nearly two-thirds of the banks stated that demand for business loans had strengthened in the preceding three months, and almost one-half reported that they expected business loan demand to strengthen further in the nert three months. (Table 1). Virtually none of the respondents thought that business loan demand had weakened over the previous three months, or that it would weaken in the coming three months.

Most banks firmed substantially further their terms and conditions on loans to nonfinancial businesses. Over 90 per cent of the respondents indicated that they had raised interest rates on loans to such businesses--probably reflecting the March increase in the prime rate-while more than 75 per cent stiffened policies with regard to compensating balance requirements. Moreover, about 70 to 80 per cent of the banks followed more restrictive policies when reviewing credit lines or loan applications to new oi nonlocal service area customers and almost 50 per cent had firmed these policies rith regard to established or local service area customers. And around 70 per cent of the respondents reported that factors such as the value of the borrower as a depositor, the source of collateral, and the intended use of the loan were scrutinized more closely in business loan applications, while about 40 per cent firmed policies in connection with standards of credit wosthiness and the maturity of term loans.

Lendins terms and conditions to "noncaptive finance companies" were also tightened significantly further. About 65 per cent of the banks stated that they were less rilling to establish new or larger credit lines to these borrowers and more than 50 per cent had raised interest rates on finance company loans. While less than 30 per cent had tightened compensating balance requirements, almost 45 per cent of the banks had adopted more strict enforcement of these requirements.

Moreover, bank willingness to make certain other types of loans was also reduced fur ther in the past three months. Banks were particularly more reluctant to grant term loans, with nearly two-thirds of the respondents reporting this policy position. Mortgage loans also came under increasing pressure with about 45 per cent of the banks indicating that they were less willing to make single family mortgage loans, while around 60 per cent were less willing to accommodate demand for other types of mortgage loans. Approximately 40 per cent of the respondents were less willing to grant loans to brokers and participation loans to correspondent banks. However, less than 20 per cent of the banks indicated greater reluctance to grant loans in the relatively profitable consumer instalment area.

There was no significant size of bank variation in the responses to the current survey, with about the same percentage of larger banks (deposits \$l billicn or more) and smaller banks (deposits of less than \$1 billion) indicating strength in current and anticipated business loan demand and firming in lending terms and conditions (Table 2). This is in contrast with the February survey in which a greater percentage of larger than smaller banks reported strength in current and expected loan demand as well as firming of lending terms and conditions.

Banks that firmed lending policies in the current survey usually gave reduced availability and increased cost of funds and strong loan demands as the major reasons for more restrictive lending terms and conditions. A few mentioned that their laan/deposit ratios were higher than anticipated, and one bank stated that this ratio was as high as in late 1966. Another bank also cited the limited availability of funds in the Euro-dollar market as a reason for their stiffer lending policies.

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ISTATUS OF POLICY ON SELECTED LARGE BANKS IN THE U.SO I/ } \\
& \text { (NUMBER OF BANKS \& PERCENT OF TOTAL GANKS REPORTING) }
\end{aligned}
$$



[^1]|  | ANSWERING QUESTION |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { FIRMER } \\ & \text { POLICY } \end{aligned}$ |  | MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY |  | ```ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED PDLICY``` |  | MDDERATELY EASIER POLICY |  | MUCH EASIER POLICY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCI |
| FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VALUE AS DEPOSITOR TR SDURCF OF COLLATFRAL BUSINESS | 122 | 100.0 | 39 | 32.0 | 43 | 35.2 | 40 | 32.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| INTENDED USE OF THE LOAN | 123 | 100.0 | 44 | 35.8 | 44 | 35.8 | 35 | 28.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |


| LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TERMS AND CONDITIONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTEREST RATES CHARGED | 122 | 100.0 | 20 | 16.4 | 42 | 34.4 | 60 | 49.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES | 122 | 100.0 | 13 | 10.7 | 21 | 17.2 | 88 | 72.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| ENFORCEMENT OF BALANCE REQUIREMENTS | 122 | 100.0 | 21 | 17.2 | 31 | 25.4 | 70 | 57.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| ESTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES | 122 | 100.0 | 54 | 44.3 | 23 | 18.9 | 44 | 36.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | ANSWERING QUE STION |  | ```CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING``` |  | MODERATELY <br> LESS <br> WILLING |  | ESSENTIALLY <br> UNCHANGED |  | moderately <br> MORE <br> WILLING |  | ```CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING``` |  |
|  | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCI | BANKS | PCT |
| WILLINGNESS TO MAKF OTHER TYPFS OF LOANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TFRM LDANS TO BUSINESSES | 123 | 100.0 | 28 | 22.8 | 51 | 41.5 | 44 | 35.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS | 122 | 100.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 21 | 17.2 | 97 | 79.6 | 2 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| SINGLE FAMILY mortgage loans | 121 | 100.0 | 20 | 16.5 | 36 | 29.8 | 64 | 52.9 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| MULTI-FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS | 120 | 100.0 | 25 | 20.8 | 44 | 36.7 | 51 | 42.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| ALL DTHFR MORTGAGE LDANS | 121 | 100.0 | 27 | 22.3 | 48 | 39.7 | 46 | 38.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| PARTICIPATICN LDANS WITH CORRESPONDENT BANKS | 122 | 100.0 | 11 | 9.0 | 36 | 29.5 | 75 | 61.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| LOANS TO BRIKERS | 120 | 100.0 | 16 | 13.3 | 32 | 26.7 | 72 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

[^2] rRFDIT REQUESTS. AND FASIFR MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

```
CNMPARISTN OF JUARTERLY CHANGFS IN RANK LENDING PRACTICFS AT BANKS GROUPED BY SIZE OF TOTAL DEPOSITS I/
    STATUS OF POLICY ON
                                    MAY 15, 1969, COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIER)
    \NIMMRFR OF BANKS IN EACH COLUMN AS PER CENT OF TOTAL BANKS ANSWERING QUESTION&
```



[^3]|  | NUMBER ANSWERING QUESTION |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SIZE OF BI } \\ & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { FIRMER } \\ & \text { POLICY } \end{aligned}$ |  | -- TOTAL D MODERATELY <br> FIRMER POLICY |  | DEPOSITS IN BILLIDNS ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY |  | MODERATELY EASIER POLICY |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { EASIER } \\ & \text { POLICY } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FACTORS RELATING TD APPLICANT 21 | $\$ 1$ E DVFR | UNDER <br> $\$ 1$ | $\$ 1$ E OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\$ 18$ OVFR | UNDER <br> $\$ 1$ | $\$ 1$ E OVER | UNDER <br> $\$ 1$ | \$1 E <br> OVER | UNDER \$1 | $\$ 1$ E DVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ |
| VALUF AS DEPNSITOR OR SOURCE OF COLLATERAL RUSINFSS | 100 | 100 | 36 | 30 | 33 | 36 | 31 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| INTENDED USF DF THE LTAN | 100 | 100 | 37 | 35 | 33 | 38 | 30 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TERMS $\triangle$ ND CONDITIONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTEREST RATFS CHARGED | 100 | 100 | 16 | 17 | 40 | 31 | 44 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| COMPENSATING OR SUPPRRTING BALANCES | 100 | 100 | 9 | 12 | 24 | 13 | 67 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ENFORCEMENT OF BALANCE REQUIREMENTS | 100 | 100 | 18 | 17 | 27 | 25 | 55 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FSTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES | 100 | 100 | 47 | 43 | 20 | 18 | 33 | 38 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | NUMBER ANSWERING OUESTIDN |  | CONSIDERABLY <br> LESS <br> WILLING |  | MODERATELY <br> LESS <br> WILLING |  | ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED |  | ```MODERATELY MDRE WILLING``` |  | ```CONSIDERABLY MDRE WILLING``` |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \quad \varepsilon \\ & \text { IVER } \end{aligned}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\$ 1$ E OVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 1 \varepsilon$ OVER | UNDER <br> $\$ 1$ | $\$ 1$ E OVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \mathbf{\$ 1} \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 1 \varepsilon$ OVER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNDER } \\ & \quad \$ 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 18$ OVER | UNDER <br> $\$ 1$ |
| WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LOANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TERM LOANS TO RUSINFSSES | 100 | 100 | 17 | 26 | 48 | 38 | 35 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS | 100 | 100 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 19 | 83 | 79 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Single family mortgage loans | 100 | 100 | 22 | 13 | 29 | 30 | 47 | 57 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MULTI-FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS | 100 | 100 | 16 | 24 | 47 | 31 | 37 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ALL DTHER MORTGAGE LOANS | 100 | 100 | 11 | 29 | 60 | 28 | 29 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Participation loans with CORRESPONDENT BANKS | 100 | 100 | 11 | 8 | 29 | 30 | 60 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LDANS TO RRTKERS | 100 | 100 | 13 | 13 | 24 | 28 | 63 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^4]TABLE 2-A
NET RESPONSES OF BANKS IN LENDING PRACTICES SURVEYS (In per cent)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 1967 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Aug. } \\ & 1967 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1967 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Feb. } \\ & 1968 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Aug. <br> 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Nov. } \\ & 1968 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 1969 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 1969 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strength of loan demand ${ }^{1 /}$ (compared to 3 months ago) | 12.0 | 20.2 | 18.8 | -8.0 | 64.8 | -2.4 | 25.6 | 54.4 | 60.0 |
| Anticipated demand in next 3 months | 44.4 | 63.2 | 71.2 | 50.0 | 66.4 | -- | 20.8 | 49.2 | 41.8 |
| LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL BUSINESSES 2 / |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terms and Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rates charged | -69.8 | 21.6 | 30.4 | 34.4 | 93.6 | 0.8 | -27.2 | 86.2 | 91.0 |
| Compensating or supporting balances | 1.5 | 20.8 | 25.0 | 16.1 | 56.8 | 4.8 | 10.4 | 64.3 | 75.6 |
| Standards of credit worthiness | 9.5 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 7.3 | 32.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 32.8 | 41.4 |
| Maturity of term loans | - 3.1 | 5.6 | 12.1 | 1.6 | 32.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 30.3 | 42.3 |
| Reviewing Credit Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Established customers | -14.2 | 1.6 | 6.4 | -0.8 | 28.0 | -5.6 | -1.6 | 32.5 | 47.2 |
| New customers | -23.1 | 16.8 | 21.6 | 10.5 | 64.8 | -5.6 | 6.4 | 61.7 | 80.2 |
| Local service area customers | -12.1 | 0.8 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 30.0 | -5.6 | -4.1 | 30.9 | 46.7 |
| Non-local service area customers | - 4.0 | 16.1 | 18.9 | 11.6 | 56.9 | 10.6 | 15.4 | 49.5 | 71.3 |
| Factors Relating to Applicant <br> (Net percentage indicating more important) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value of depositor as source of business | 6.3 | 25.6 | 20.0 | 19.2 | 54.4 | 12.8 | 16.0 | 58.6 | 67.2 |
| intended use of loan | -13.4 | 10.4 | 14.4 | 12.0 | 44.4 | 8.1 | 6.4 | 54.5 | 71.6 |

## Terms and Conditions

| Interest rates charged | -14.2 | 6.4 | 10.4 | 22.4 | 60.5 | 2.4 | -26.4 | 53.3 | 50.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensating or supporting balances | 2.4 | 9.6 | 11.2 | 5.6 | 25.0 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 22.9 | 27.9 |
| Enforcement of balance requirements | 4.8 | 14.4 | 17.6 | 12.8 | 32.3 | 8.1 | 3.2 | 29.5 | 42.6 |
| Establishing new or larger credit lines | - 5.6 | 13.7 | 14.4 | 7.2 | 53.2 | 15.3 | 4.8 | 54.9 | 62.4 |
| WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER LOANS ${ }^{\text {3/ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term loans to businesses | -21.5 | 6.4 | 11.2 | - 4.0 | 49.6 | 4.8 | - 0.8 | 48.8 | 64.3 |
| Consumer instalment loans | -31.2 | -16.1 | -16.1 | -22.6 | -0.8 | -11.3 | -15.3 | 4.2 | 17.2 |
| Single-family mortgage loans | -53.2 | - 8.2 | 4.1 | - 4.9 | 32.0 | -14.1 | - 3.3 | 30.8 | 45.5 |
| Multi-family mortgage loans | -17.0 | 9.0 | 14.0 | 7.4 | 36.4 | 8.2 | 4.1 | 40.1 | 57.5 |
| A11 other mortgage loans | -28.3 | 9.8 | 14.0 | -- | 43.4 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 42.5 | 62.0 |
| Participation loans with correspondent banks | -24.2 | - 6.4 | - 4.8 | 8.8 | 16.0 | 1.6 | -" | 18.7 | 38.4 |
| Loans to brokers | -13.8 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 23.4 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 34.2 | 40.0 |

1/ Per cent of banks reporting stronger loan demand minus per cent of banks reporting weaker loan demand. Positive number indicates net stronger loan demand, negative number indicates net weaker loan demand.
2/ Per cent of banks reporting firmer lending policies minus per cent of banks reporting weaker lending policies. Positive number indicates net firmer lending policies, negative number indicates net easier lending policies.
3/ Per cent of banks reporting less willingness to make loans minus per cent of banks more willing to make loans. Positive number indicates less willingness, negative number indicates more willingness. NOTE: 133 banks participated in the February 1967 Survey; 125 banks have participated in the surveys since that time.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In some cases, original copies needed to be photocopied before being scanned into electronic format. All scanned images were deskewed (to remove the effects of printer- and scanner-introduced tilting) and lightly cleaned (to remove dark spots caused by staple holes, hole punches, and other blemishes caused after initial printing).
    ${ }^{2}$ A two-step process was used. An advanced optical character recognition computer program (OCR) first created electronic text from the document image. Where the OCR results were inconclusive, staff checked and corrected the text as necessary. Please note that the numbers and text in charts and tables were not reliably recognized by the OCR process and were not checked or corrected by staff.

[^1]:    I/ SURVFY OF LFNDING PRACTICES AT 124 LARGE BANKS REPDRTING IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY
    AS MF

[^2]:    ?/ FOR THESE FAC JRS, FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS HERE CONSIDERED MORE IMPORTANT IM MAKIMG DEGISIOMS FOR APPROYING

[^3]:    I/ SUQVEY OF LFNDING PRACTICES AT 46 LARGE BANKS (DEPOSITS DF $\$ 1$ BILLION OR MORE) AND
    41 RILIIIONI QEPIRTING IN THE FFOERAL RESFRVE QUARTFRLY INTERESI RATE SURVEY AS OF
    78 SMALL BANKS IDEPDSITS DF LESS THAN MAY 15, 1969.

[^4]:    I/ FOR THESF FACTIRS, FIRMFR MFANS THF FACTORS WERF CONSIDERED MORE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FDR APPROVING CREDIT RFQUFST, AND EASIFR MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

