

TABLE 4. CHANGES IN REPORTING BANKS

In the following centers, additions to number of reporting banks or offices increased reported debits by 3 per cent or more. First full year in which the additional respondents were included and the approximate percentage effect on the figures are shown beside the center.

	Year	Increase (per cent)
Boston District:		
New Haven, Conn.....	1948	4
Lowell, Mass.....	1959	12
Pittsfield, Mass.....	1962	21
Springfield, Mass.....	1962	10
Brockton, Mass.....	1963	10
New York District:		
Stamford, Conn.....	1958	23
Elizabeth, N. J.....	1958	3
	1963	23
Jersey City, N. J.....	1961	4
Montclair, N. J.....	1951	8
Newark, N. J.....	1961	11
New York, N. Y.....	1943	7
Philadelphia District:		
Atlantic City, N. J.....	1961	13
Allentown, Pa.....	1961	4
Bethlehem, Pa.....	1961	6
Harrisburg, Pa.....	1963	3
Reading, Pa.....	1961	15
Scranton, Pa.....	1964	5
York, Pa.....	1964	20
Richmond District:		
Raleigh, N. C.....	1960	6
Wilmington, N. C.....	1961	5
Wilson, N. C.....	1963	5
Danville, Va.....	1952	13
Newport News, Va.....	1943	5
	1959	15
Norfolk, Va.....	1943	8
Portsmouth, Va.....	1943	18
Richmond, Va.....	1943	3
Roanoke, Va.....	1943	5
Huntington, W. Va.....	1956	9
Parkersburg, W. Va.....	1964	3
Atlanta District:		
Dothan, Ala.....	1959	18
Gadsden, Ala.....	1959	7
Jacksonville, Fla.....	1952	4
	1959	4
Miami, Fla.....	1956	3
Orlando, Fla.....	1959	14
St. Petersburg, Fla.....	1959	20
Tampa, Fla.....	1948	10
	1959	8
Albany, Ga.....	1949	19
Atlanta, Ga.....	1943	14
	1959	5
Augusta, Ga.....	1959	5
Valdosta, Ga.....	1959	13
Baton Rouge, La.....	1959	25
Lake Charles, La.....	1961	6
Knoxville, Tenn.....	1957	28
Chicago District:		
Champaign-Urbana, Ill.....	1949	4
Chicago, Ill.....	1943	7
Decatur, Ill.....	1957	4
Davenport, Iowa.....	1943	13
Dubuque, Iowa.....	1943	21
Kalamazoo, Mich.....	1956	6
Green Bay, Wis.....	1943	7
Milwaukee, Wis.....	1943	11
St. Louis District:		
Helena, Ark.....	1943	59
Owensboro, Ky.....	1943	28
Paducah, Ky.....	1958	11
Sedalia, Mo.....	1943	47
Minneapolis District:		
Billings, Mont.....	1949	15
Sioux Falls, S. D.....	1950	3
Kansas City District:		
Colorado Springs, Colo.....	1957	5
Denver, Colo.....	1951	12
	1957	4
Kansas City, Kans.....	1957	6
Topeka, Kans.....	1943	59
Independence, Mo.....	1961	14
Lincoln, Neb.....	1957	5
Omaha, Neb.....	1957	4
Albuquerque, N. M.....	1951	8
Oklahoma City, Okla.....	1951	4
	1957	8
Tulsa, Okla.....	1955	3

	Year	Increase (per cent)
Dallas District:		
Tucson, Ariz.....	1950	8
	1961	4
Shreveport, La.....	1950	4
Roswell, N. M.....	1950	11
Abilene, Texas.....	1950	10
Amarillo, Texas.....	1964	3
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	1950	13
Corsicana, Texas.....	1964	4
Lubbock, Texas.....	1950	6
San Angelo, Texas.....	1964	3
San Antonio, Texas.....	1943	5
	1950	6
Wichita Falls, Texas.....	1963	4
San Francisco District:		
Phoenix, Ariz.....	1948	4
	1957	6
	1959	5
Fresno, Calif.....	1959	10
Modesto, Calif.....	1959	5
	1964	3
Pasadena, Calif.....	1951	10
San Bernardino, Calif.....	1959	6
Santa Rosa, Calif.....	1958	10
Stockton, Calif.....	1959	24
Boise, Idaho.....	1949	7
Reno, Nev.....	1951	11
Salem, Ore.....	1955	8
Seattle, Wash.....	1951	5
Yakima, Wash.....	1960	3

In the following centers, reductions in number of reporting banks or substitutions of one or more banks for those previously reporting decreased reported debits by 3 per cent or more. Year in which change occurred and the approximate percentage effect on the figures are shown beside the center.

	Year	Decrease (per cent)
Boston District:		
Lawrence, Mass.....	1958	19
Holyoke, Mass.....	1962	60
New York District:		
Passaic, N. J.....	1949	6*
Paterson-Passaic, N. J.....	1959	3
Philadelphia District:		
Norristown, Pa.....	1961	15
	1962	4
Chester, Pa.....	1962	20
Harrisburg, Pa.....	1964	16
Hazleton, Pa.....	1964	16
Richmond District:		
Richmond, Va.....	1962	7
Minneapolis District:		
Grand Forks, N. D.....	1958	8
Dallas District:		
Amarillo, Texas.....	1964	6

* Change occurred beginning June 1949. For a full year's reporting, figures would be about 10 per cent less than those obtained formerly.

In the following centers, figures for the years shown were corrected or revised. Figures for previous periods that may have been affected were not changed, but the percentages shown indicate the approximate extent to which figures for the immediately preceding year would be changed to obtain comparability.

	Year	Change (per cent)
Boston District:		
Holyoke, Mass.....	1947	-19
Cleveland District:		
Toledo, Ohio.....	1944	+ 4
Richmond District:		
Richmond, Va.....	1961	- 4*
Atlanta District:		
Albany, Ga.....	1959	-25
Savannah, Ga.....	1961	-11
Chicago District:		
South Bend, Ind.....	1953	-37
Ottumwa, Iowa.....	1954	- 6
Dallas District:		
Tucson, Ariz.....	1963	-20
San Francisco District:		
Sacramento, Calif.....	1961	-20
Portland, Oregon.....	1961	- 7

* Corrected figures beginning August 1961. Figures from May 1958 through July 1961 are overstated by about 7 per cent.